Bubbles clustered inside for almost critical problems

Mohamed Ben Ayed[a][*] and Khalil El Mehdi[a,b][†]

a : Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Qassim University, Buraydah 51542, Saudi Arabia

b : Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université de Nouakchott, Nouakchott 2373, Mauritania.

Abstract: We investigate the existence of blowing-up solutions of the following almost critical problem

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = u^{p-\varepsilon}, \quad u > 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega,$$

where Ω is a bounded regular domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 4$, ε is a small positive parameter, $p + 1 = (2n)/(n-2)$ is the critical Soblolev exponent and the potential V is a smooth positive function. We find solutions which exhibit bubbles clustered inside as ε goes to zero. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first existence result for interior non-simple blowing-up positive solutions to Dirichlet problems in general domains. Our results are proven through delicate asymptotic estimates of the gradient of the associated Euler-Lagrange functional.

Key Words: Partial Differential Equations, Variational analysis, Nonlinear analysis, Critical Sobolev exponent.

MSC 2020: 35A15, 35J20, 35J25.

1 Introduction and Main Results

In this paper, we study the following almost critical problem

$$(\mathcal{P}_{V,\varepsilon}): \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + Vu = u^{p-\varepsilon} & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where Ω is a bounded regular domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 4$, $p + 1 = (2n)/(n-2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent for the embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$, the potential V is a C^3 positive function on $\overline{\Omega}$ and ε is a small positive parameter.

The problem in the form of $(\mathcal{P}_{V,\varepsilon})$ arises in various physical models, such as quantum transport and non-relativistic Newtonian gravity, as detailed in [2, 7, 13] and their associated references. It is also connected to the Yamabe problem in differential geometry, as discussed for example in [11] and the references therein.

---

[*]E-mail : M.BenAyed@qu.edu.sa

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail : K.Jiyid@qu.edu.sa

In the critical case, where $\varepsilon = 0$, it is well-established that the existence of solutions to problem $(\mathcal{P}_{V,\varepsilon})$ is influenced by the geometry of the domain, the characteristics of the potential V, and the dimension n. For instance, when V is constant and the domain is star-shaped, there are no solutions to the given problem. Due to the vast amount of research on this subject, we will only mention the seminal works by Brezis-Nirenberg [8] and Bahri-Coron [5].

In the subcritical case where $\varepsilon > 0$, proving the existence of a solution to the problem $(\mathcal{P}_{V,\varepsilon})$ is relatively simple. This can be shown by observing that the infimum

$$\inf \left\{ \int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u|^2 + V\, u^2 \right) : \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \ \text{and} \ \int_\Omega |u|^{p+1-\varepsilon} = 1 \right\}$$

is achieved, thanks to the compactness of the embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p+1-\varepsilon}(\Omega)$.

In [1], solutions of $(\mathcal{P}_{V,\varepsilon})$ were constructed that concentrate, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, at interior blow-up points, forming isolated bubbles. By *bubble* here, we refer to the functions defined by

$$\delta_{a,\lambda}(x) := c_0 \frac{\lambda^{(n-2)/2}}{(1+\lambda^2|x-a|^2)^{(n-2)/2}}, \quad \text{with} \quad c_0 := [n(n-2)]^{\frac{n-2}{4}}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \lambda > 0$$

which are the only solutions to the equation [9]

$$-\Delta u = u^p, \quad u > 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In this paper, we focus on the construction of interior bubbling solutions of $(\mathcal{P}_{V,\varepsilon})$ with clustered bubbles at critical points of V. These solutions reveal a new phenomenon for positive solutions, namely the existence of non simple blow-up points in the interior for the subcritical problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions in general domains. This phenomenon has been observed for changing-sign solutions on certain symmetric domains [14] and for positive solutions on the ball [15]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first existence result for interior non-simple blowing-up positive solutions to Dirichlet problems in general domains.

In order to formulate our results, we need to introduce some notation. Let b be a non-degenerate critical point of V and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the following function

$$\mathcal{F}_{b,N}(z_1, \cdots, z_N) := \sum_{i=1}^N D^2 V(b)(z_i, z_i) - \sum_{1 \le j \ne i \le N} \frac{1}{|z_j - z_i|^{n-2}}. \tag{2}$$

The aim of our first result is to construct interior bubbling solutions with clustered bubbles at a critical point of V, Namely, we have:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $n \ge 4$, $N \ge 2$ and $b \in \Omega$ be a non-degenerate critical point of V. Assume that the function $\mathcal{F}_{b,N}$ has a non-degenerate critical point $(\overline{z}_1, \cdots, \overline{z}_N)$. Then, there exists a small positive real ε_0 such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, problem $(\mathcal{P}_{V,\varepsilon})$ has a solution $u_{\varepsilon,b}$ with the following property*

$$u_{\varepsilon,b} = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{i,\varepsilon} \delta_{a_{i,\varepsilon}, \lambda_{i,\varepsilon}} + v_\varepsilon,$$

with

$$\|v_\varepsilon\| < c\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \tag{3}$$

$$|\alpha_{i,\varepsilon} - 1| \leq \varepsilon \ln^2 \varepsilon \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq N \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{\ln^{\sigma_n} \lambda_{i,\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon \lambda_{i,\varepsilon}^2} \leq c \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq N \tag{5}$$

$$|a_{i,\varepsilon} - b + \eta(\varepsilon)\sigma\overline{z}_i| \leq \eta(\varepsilon)\eta_0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq N, \tag{6}$$

where η_0 is a small positive constant, $\sigma_4 = 1$, $\sigma_n = 0$ for $n \geq 5$, c is a positive constant, $\eta(\varepsilon)$ and σ are defined in (54).
In addition, if for each N, $\mathcal{F}_{b,N}$ has a non-degenerate critical point, then, problem $(\mathcal{P}_{V,\varepsilon})$ has an arbitrary number of non-constant distinct solutions provided that ε is small.

Remark 1.2 *Note that, for $N = 1$, the existence of solution blowing up at a critical point of V with only one bubble has already proved in [1].*

Our second result addresses the case of multiple interior blow-up points with clustered bubbles. More precisely, we prove:

Theorem 1.3 *Let $n \geq 4$, $b_1, \cdots, b_k \in \Omega$ be non-degenerate critical points of V and $N_1, \cdots, N_k$ be non-zero natural integers. For each $i \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$ such that $N_i \geq 2$, assume that the function $\mathcal{F}_{b_i,N_i}$ has a non-degenerate critical point $(\overline{z}_1^i, \cdots, \overline{z}_{N_i}^i)$. Then, there exists a small positive real ε_0 such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, problem $(\mathcal{P}_{V,\varepsilon})$ has a solution $u_{\varepsilon,b_1,\cdots,b_k}$ satisfying*

$$u_{\varepsilon,b_1,\cdots,b_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \alpha_{1,i,\varepsilon}\delta_{a_{1,i,\varepsilon},\lambda_{1,i,\varepsilon}} + \cdots + \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \alpha_{k,i,\varepsilon}\delta_{a_{k,i,\varepsilon},\lambda_{k,i,\varepsilon}} + v_\varepsilon,$$

with $\|v_\varepsilon\| \leq c\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and for each $l \leq k$ the coefficients $\alpha_{l,i,\varepsilon}$, the speeds $\lambda_{l,i,\varepsilon}$ and the points $a_{l,i,\varepsilon}$ satisfy the properties (4), (5), *and* (6), *respectively.*

The proof of our results relies on refined asymptotic estimates of the gradient of the associated Euler-Lagrange functional in the neighborhood of bubbles. The goal is to determine the equilibrium conditions satisfied by the concentration parameters. These balancing conditions are derived by testing the equation with vector fields that represent the dominant terms of the gradient relative to the concentration parameters. Analyzing these conditions provides all the necessary information to establish our results.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce the parameterization of the neighborhood of bubbles, provide a precise estimate of the infinite-dimensional part, and carry out a delicate asymptotic expansion of the gradient of the associated Euler-Lagrange functional. Section 3 is dedicated to proving our results. Finally, in Section 4, we present several estimates that are referenced throughout the paper.

2 Analytical Framework

Problem $(\mathcal{P}_{V,\varepsilon})$ has a variational structure and its Euler-Lagrange functional is defined on $H_0^1(\Omega)$ by

$$I_{V,\varepsilon}(u) := \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega V u^2 - \frac{1}{p+1-\varepsilon}\int_\Omega |u|^{p+1-\varepsilon} \quad (\text{with } p := \frac{n+2}{n-2}). \qquad (7)$$

In the sequel, we will use the following scalar product and its corresponding norm defined by

$$\langle v, w\rangle := \int_\Omega \nabla v \cdot \nabla w + \int_\Omega V v w \quad ; \quad \|w\|^2 := \int_\Omega |\nabla w|^2 + \int_\Omega V w^2. \qquad (8)$$

Notice that, since V is a C^0-positive function on $\overline{\Omega}$, we deduce that this norm is equivalent to the two norms $||.||_0$ and $||.||_1$ of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $H^1(\Omega)$ respectively.

We start by giving some estimates concerning the approximate solutions for $(\mathcal{P}_{V,\varepsilon})$. For $a \in \Omega$ and $\lambda > 0$, let $\pi\delta_{a,\lambda}$ the projection defined by

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\pi\delta_{a,\lambda} + V\pi\delta_{a,\lambda} = \delta_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \pi\delta_{a,\lambda} = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\theta_{a,\lambda} := \delta_{a,\lambda} - \pi\delta_{a,\lambda}.$$

These functions are introduced in [1] and they satisfy, for $n \geq 4$,

$$0 \leq \pi\delta_{a,\lambda} \leq \delta_{a,\lambda} \quad ; \quad \left|\lambda\frac{\partial\pi\delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial\lambda}\right| \leq \frac{n+2}{2}\pi\delta_{a,\lambda} \quad ; \quad \left|\frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{\partial\pi\delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a_j}\right| \leq \frac{n+2}{2}\pi\delta_{a,\lambda} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \qquad (9)$$

$$0 \leq \theta_{a,\lambda} \leq \delta_{a,\lambda} \quad ; \quad \left|\lambda\frac{\partial\theta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial\lambda}\right| \leq \frac{n-2}{2}\theta_{a,\lambda} \quad ; \quad \left|\frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{\partial\theta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a_j}\right| \leq \frac{n-2}{2}\theta_{a,\lambda} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \qquad (10)$$

$$\theta_{a,\lambda} \leq cR_{a,\lambda}^1\delta_{a,\lambda} \quad ; \quad \left|\frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{\partial\theta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a_j}\right| \leq cR_{a,\lambda}^2\delta_{a,\lambda} \quad \text{in } \Omega_0 := \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) \geq d_0\}, \qquad (11)$$

$$\text{with } R_{a,\lambda}^1(x) := \ln^{\sigma_n}(\lambda)\lambda^{-2} + |x-a|^2|\ln|x-a||^{\sigma_n} \quad ; \quad R_{a,\lambda}^2(x) := \lambda^{-2} + \lambda^{-1}|x-a|, \qquad (12)$$

where d_0 is any fixed small positive constant, $\sigma_4 := 1$, $\sigma_n := 0$ for $n \geq 5$ and a_j is the jth-component of a.

For the proof of these facts, the interested reader is referred to [1].

Now, we introduce the parameterization of the neighborhood of bubbles. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu > 0$ be a small real. We define the following set

$$\mathcal{O}(N, \mu) := \{(\alpha, a, \lambda) \in (0, \infty)^N \times \Omega^N \times (\mu^{-1}, \infty)^N : |\alpha_i - 1| < \mu; \ d(a_i, \partial\Omega) > 2d_0; \qquad (13)$$
$$\varepsilon\ln\lambda_i < \mu; \ \forall \ i \ \text{and} \ \varepsilon_{ij} < \mu \ \forall \ i \neq j\}$$

where ε_{ij} is defined by

$$\varepsilon_{ij} := \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i - a_j|^2\right)^{(2-n)/2}. \qquad (14)$$

Observe that, since Ω is bounded, for each $b \in \Omega$ and $\lambda > 0$ satisfying $\varepsilon \ln \lambda$ is small, it follows that

$$\delta_{b,\lambda}^{-\varepsilon} = c_0^{-\varepsilon} \lambda^{-\varepsilon(n-2)/2} \left(1 + \frac{n-2}{2} \varepsilon \ln(1 + \lambda^2 |x-b|^2) \right) + O\left(\varepsilon^2 \ln^2(1 + \lambda^2 |x-b|^2) \right) = 1 + o(1). \quad (15)$$

For each $(\alpha, a, \lambda) \in \mathcal{O}(N, \mu)$ and $v \in E_{a,\lambda}^\perp$, we associate a function

$$u := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i (\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}) + v := \underline{u} + v, \quad \text{where} \quad (16)$$

$$E_{a,\lambda} := \operatorname{span}\left\{ \pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}, \frac{\partial(\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial \lambda_i}, \frac{\partial(\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial a_{i,j}}, \ i \in \{1, \cdots, N\}, \ j \in \{1, \cdots, n\} \right\}. \quad (17)$$

We notice that the orthogonality is taken with respect to the scalar product defined in (8). In the sequel, we need to study the functional $I_{V,\varepsilon}$ and to find some positive critical points u having the form (16). We start by studying the v-part of u.

2.1 Estimate of the infinite-dimensional part

Let $(\alpha, a, \lambda) \in \mathcal{O}(N, \mu)$, $v \in E_{a,\lambda}^\perp$ and u be defined in (16). In this section, we are going to study the v-part of u. To this aim, we need to expand $I_{V,\varepsilon}$ with respect to v.

Observe that, for $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\gamma > 2$, it holds

$$\left| |b_1 + b_2|^\gamma - |b_1|^\gamma - \gamma |b_1|^{\gamma-2} b_1 b_2 - \frac{1}{2}\gamma(\gamma-1) |b_1|^{\gamma-2} b_2^2 \right| \leq \begin{cases} c |b_1|^{\gamma-3} |b_2|^3 + c|b_2|^\gamma & \text{if} \quad \gamma > 3, \\ c |b_2|^\gamma & \text{if} \quad \gamma \leq 3. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Thus, using the fact that $\langle v, \underline{u} \rangle = 0$, we get:

$$I_{V,\varepsilon}(u) = I_{V,\varepsilon}(\underline{u}) - f_\varepsilon(v) + \frac{1}{2}Q_\varepsilon(v) + o\left(||v||^2 \right) \quad \text{where} \quad (19)$$

$$f_\varepsilon(v) := \int_\Omega (\underline{u})^{p-\varepsilon} v \quad \text{and} \quad Q_\varepsilon(v) := ||v||^2 - (p-\varepsilon) \int_\Omega (\underline{u})^{p-\varepsilon-1} v^2. \quad (20)$$

Notice that Q_ε is a positive definite quadratic form (see Proposition 3.1 of [1]) and the linear form f_ε satisfies

Lemma 2.1 *Let $(\alpha, a, \lambda) \in \mathcal{O}(N, \mu)$. Then, for each $v \in E_{a,\lambda}^\perp$, we have:*

$$|f_\varepsilon(v)| \leq c \, ||v|| \left(\varepsilon + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} T_2(\lambda_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} T_3(\varepsilon_{ij}) \right), \quad \text{where}$$

$$T_2(\lambda) := \left(\lambda^{-2} \ \text{if} \ n \geq 5 \ ; \ \lambda^{-2} \ln \lambda \ \text{if} \ n = 4 \ ; \ \lambda^{-1} \ \text{if} \ n = 3 \right),$$

$$T_3(t) := \left(t^{(n+2)/(2(n-2))} (\ln t^{-1})^{(n+2)/2n} \ \text{if} \ n \geq 6 \ , \ t \ \text{if} \ n \leq 5 \right).$$

Proof. First, observe that, for $\beta_i > 0$ and $\gamma > 1$, it holds:

$$\left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i \right)^\gamma - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i^\gamma \right| \leq \begin{cases} c \sum_{i \neq j} (\beta_i \beta_j)^{\gamma/2} & \text{if} \quad \gamma \leq 2, \\ c \sum_{i \neq j} \beta_i^{\gamma-1} \beta_j & \text{if} \quad \gamma > 2. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$f_\varepsilon(v) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i^{p-\varepsilon} \int_\Omega (\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})^{p-\varepsilon} v + \sum_{i\neq j} \begin{cases} O\left(\int_\Omega \left[(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})(\pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}) \right]^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{2}} |v| \right) & \text{if } n \geq 6, \\ O\left(\int_\Omega (\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})^{p-\varepsilon-1} (\pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}) |v| \right) & \text{if } n \leq 5. \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

Observe that, using (9) and (15), we get

$$\int_\Omega \left[(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})(\pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}) \right]^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{2}} |v| \leq \int_\Omega \left(\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} |v|$$
$$\leq \left[\int_\Omega \left(\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \right]^{(n+2)/2n} \left(\int_\Omega |v|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{(n-2)/(2n)}. \tag{23}$$

Note that, Estimate (E2) of [3] gives us

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} \right)^{n/(n-2)} \leq c\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) \tag{24}$$

and using the embedding theorem of $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)$, we derive that

$$\int_\Omega \left[(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})(\pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}) \right]^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{2}} |v| \leq c\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n+2}{2(n-2)}} \left(\ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1} \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2n}} \|v\|. \tag{25}$$

In the same way, for $n \leq 5$ (which implies $p - 1 := \frac{4}{n-2} > 1$), using Lemma 2.2 of [10], we have

$$\int_\Omega (\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})^{p-\varepsilon-1} (\pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}) |v| \leq \int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{p-1} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} |v| \leq c\|v\| \left(\int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{(p-1)\frac{2n}{n+2}} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{\frac{2n}{n+2}} \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2n}} \leq c\|v\|\varepsilon_{ij}. \tag{26}$$

It remains to estimate the first integral in (22). Observe that, for $0 < b_2 < b_1$ and $\gamma > 1$, it holds:

$$(b_1 - b_2)^\gamma = b_1^\gamma + O\left(b_1^{\gamma-1} b_2 \right) \tag{27}$$

thus, we get

$$\int_\Omega (\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})^{p-\varepsilon} v = \int_\Omega \left(\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} - \theta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \right)^{p-\varepsilon} v = \int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{p-\varepsilon} v + O\left(\int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{\frac{4}{n-2}-\varepsilon} \theta_{a_i,\lambda_i} |v| \right).$$

The last integral can be deduced following the proof of Lemma 6.5 of [1] by using (15) and we get

$$\int_\Omega \delta_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{4}{n-2}-\varepsilon} \theta_{a,\lambda} |v| \leq c \int_\Omega \delta_{a,\lambda}^{4/(n-2)} \theta_{a,\lambda} |v| \leq c\|v\| T_2(\lambda). \tag{28}$$

For the other one, using (15), and the fact that $v \perp \pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}$, we get:

$$\int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{p-\varepsilon} v = c_0^{-\varepsilon} \lambda_i^{-\varepsilon\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^p v + O\left(\varepsilon \int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^p \ln(1 + \lambda_i^2 |x - a_i|^2) |v| \right)$$
$$= O\left(\varepsilon\|v\| \left(\int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \ln^{\frac{2n}{n+2}} (1 + \lambda_i^2 |x - a_i|^2) \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2n}} \right) = O\left(\varepsilon\|v\| \right). \tag{29}$$

Thus, (28) and (29) imply that

$$\int_\Omega (\pi \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})^{p-\varepsilon} v = O\left(\varepsilon \|v\| + \|v\| T_2(\lambda_i)\right). \tag{30}$$

Putting (30), (26) and (25) in (22), the result follows. ∎

Combining (19), Lemma 2.1 and the fact that Q_ε is a positive definite quadratic form, we deduce that

Proposition 2.2 *Let* $(\alpha, a, \lambda) \in \mathcal{O}(N, \mu)$ *and* $\underline{u} := \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \pi \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}$. *Then for* ε *small, there exists a unique* $\overline{v} \in E_{a,\lambda}^\perp$ *satisfying:*

$$\langle \nabla I_{V,\varepsilon}(\underline{u} + \overline{v}), h \rangle = 0 \quad \forall h \in E_{a,\lambda}^\perp. \tag{31}$$

Moreover, $\overline{v}$ *satisfies*

$$\|\overline{v}\| \le cR_v(a, \lambda) \quad with \quad R_v(a, \lambda) := \varepsilon + \sum_{i \ne j} T_3(\varepsilon_{ij}) + \sum_{1 \le i \le N} T_2(\lambda_i)$$

where T_2 *and* T_3 *are defined in Lemma 2.1.*

2.2 Expansion of the gradient of the associated functional

In this section, we will provide asymptotic expansions of the gradient of functional $I_{V,\varepsilon}$. We begin with the expansion with respect to the gluing parameter $\alpha_i's$, specifically proving:

Proposition 2.3 *Let* $(\alpha, a, \lambda) \in \mathcal{O}(N, \mu)$, $v \in E_{a,\lambda}^\perp$ *and* $u = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \pi \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} + v$. *Then, for* ε *small and* $i \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$, *we have:*

$$\langle \nabla I_{V,\varepsilon}(u), \pi \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \rangle = \alpha_i S_n \left(1 - \alpha_i^{p-1-\varepsilon} \lambda_i^{-\varepsilon(n-2)/2}\right) + O(R_{\alpha_i})$$

where

$$S_n := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{0,1}^{2n/(n-2)}, \qquad R_{\alpha_i} := \varepsilon + T_2(\lambda_i) + \|v\|^2 + \sum_{i \ne j} \varepsilon_{ij}$$

and T_2 *is defined in Lemma 2.1.*

Proof. Observe that, since $v \in E_{a,\lambda}^\perp$, it holds:

$$\langle \nabla I_{V,\varepsilon}, \pi \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \left\langle \pi \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}, \pi \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \right\rangle - \int_\Omega |u|^{p-1-\varepsilon} u \pi \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}. \tag{32}$$

First, for $j \ne i$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \pi \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}, \pi \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \right\rangle &= \int_\Omega \nabla \left(\pi \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}\right) \nabla \left(\pi \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}\right) + \int_\Omega V \left(\pi \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}\right) \left(\pi \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}\right) \\
&= \int_\Omega (-\Delta + V) \left(\pi \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}\right) \left(\pi \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}\right) \le \int_\Omega \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \le c\varepsilon_{ij}.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}
$$

by using Estimate (E1) of [3]. Second, for $j = i$, as in the previous computation, we have

$$\|\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}\|^2 = \int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}) = \int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} - \int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \theta_{a_i,\lambda_i}.$$

The estimate of the first integral is well known and we have

$$\int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} = S_n + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^n}\right).$$

For the second integral, let $B_i := B(a_i, d_0)$, using (10) and (11), easy computations imply that

$$\int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \theta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \leq c \int_{B_i} R_1(x, a_i, \lambda_i) \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_i} \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \leq cT_2(\lambda_i) + \frac{c}{\lambda_i^n} \leq cT_2(\lambda_i). \qquad (34)$$

Thus we deduce that

$$\|\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}\|^2 = S_n + O\left(\lambda^{-2} \text{ if } n \geq 5 \quad ; \quad \lambda^{-2}\ln\lambda \text{ if } n = 4 \quad ; \quad \lambda^{-1} \text{ if } n = 3\right). \qquad (35)$$

Now, we focus on the last term in (32). Observe that, for $b_1, b_2, z \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|z| \leq \beta|b_1|$ for some positive constant β and $\gamma > 0$, it holds

$$|b_1 + b_2|^{\gamma-1} (b_1 + b_2) z = |b_1|^{\gamma-1} b_1 z + \gamma|b_1|^{\gamma-1} b_2 z + O\left(|b_1|^{\gamma-1} b_2^2 + |b_2|^{\gamma+1}\right), \qquad (36)$$

$$|b_1 + b_2|^\gamma = |b_1|^\gamma + O\left(|b_1|^{\gamma-1}|b_2| + |b_2|^\gamma\right). \qquad (37)$$

Thus, let $\underline{u} := \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j(\pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j})$, using (36), we get

$$\int_\Omega |u|^{p-\varepsilon-1} u(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}) = \int_\Omega (\underline{u})^{p-\varepsilon} (\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}) + (p-\varepsilon) \int_\Omega (\underline{u})^{p-\varepsilon-1} v(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}) + O\left(\|v\|^2\right). \qquad (38)$$

The first integral in the right hand side of (38) can be written as (using (37), (27) and (9))

$$\int_\Omega (\underline{u})^{p-\varepsilon} (\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}) = \alpha_i^{p-\varepsilon} \int_\Omega (\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})^{p+1-\varepsilon} + \sum_{j\neq i} O\left(\int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{p-\varepsilon} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} + \int_\Omega \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{p-\varepsilon} \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}\right)$$

$$= \alpha_i^{p-\varepsilon} \int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{p+1-\varepsilon} + O\left(\int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{p-\varepsilon} \theta_{a_i,\lambda_i} + \sum_{j\neq i} \int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^p \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} + \int_\Omega \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^p \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}\right)$$

$$= \alpha_i^{p-\varepsilon} c_0^{-\varepsilon} \lambda_i^{-\varepsilon(n-2)/2} S_n + O\left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^n} + T_2(\lambda_i) + \sum_{i\neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}\right),$$

where we have used (64) of [12], (34) and the last inequality in (33). Concerning the second integral in (38), it is computed in Lemma 4.2.

Combining the previous estimates, the proof follows. ∎

We will now present a balancing formula that involves the rate of concentration λ_i and the self-interaction of the bubbles ε_{ij}. Specifically, we prove:

Proposition 2.4 *Let $n \geq 4$, $(\alpha, a, \lambda) \in \mathcal{O}(N, \mu)$, $v \in E_{a,\lambda}^{\perp}$ and $u = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j \pi \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j} + v$. For ε small and $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$, we have*

$$\left\langle \nabla I_{V,\varepsilon}(u), \lambda_i \frac{\partial(\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial \lambda_i} \right\rangle = \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \, \overline{c}_2 \lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i} \left(1 - \alpha_i^{p-1-\varepsilon} \lambda_i^{-\varepsilon(n-2)/2} - \alpha_j^{p-1-\varepsilon} \lambda_j^{-\varepsilon(n-2)/2} \right)$$

$$+ c_0^{-\varepsilon} \lambda_i^{-\varepsilon \frac{n-2}{2}} c_2 \alpha_i^{p-\varepsilon} \varepsilon - c(n) \alpha_i \frac{\ln^{\sigma_n}(\lambda_i)}{\lambda_i^2} V(a_i) \left(2\alpha_i^{p-\varepsilon-1} c_0^{-\varepsilon} \lambda_i^{-\varepsilon \frac{n-2}{2}} - 1 \right) + O(R_{\lambda_i}),$$

where

$$c(4) := c_0^2 \, mes(\mathbb{S}^3) \quad , \qquad c(n) := \frac{n-2}{2} c_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|x|^2 - 1}{(1 + |x|^2)^{n-1}} dx > 0 \quad if \ n \geq 5,$$

$$c_2 := \left(\frac{n-2}{2} \right)^2 c_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(|x|^2 - 1)}{(1 + |x|^2)^{n+1}} \ln(1 + |x|^2) dx > 0 \quad , \quad \overline{c}_2 := c_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1 + |x|^2)^{(n+2)/2}} dx,$$

$$R_{\lambda_i} := \varepsilon^2 + \|v\|^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n-2}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^4} + (if \ n = 6) \frac{\ln \lambda_i}{\lambda_i^4} + \sum_{k \neq j} \varepsilon_{kj}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{kj}^{-1})$$

$$+ \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_j \lambda_i)^{(n-2)/2}} + \varepsilon_{ij} \left(\Xi_{ij} + \frac{1}{\lambda_j^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{3/2}} \right) \right)$$

and where Ξ_{ij} is defined in Lemma 4.3.

Proof. We will follow the proof of Proposition 2.3 and we need to be more precise in some integrals. Since $v \in E_{a,\lambda}^{\perp}$, we have

$$\left\langle u, \lambda_i \frac{\partial(\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial \lambda_i} \right\rangle = \sum \alpha_j \left\langle \pi \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}, \lambda_i \frac{\partial(\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial \lambda_i} \right\rangle.$$

First, for $j = i$, using Lemma 6.3 of [1], we get

$$\left\langle \pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}, \lambda_i \frac{\partial(\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial \lambda_i} \right\rangle = \int_{\Omega} \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(\lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} - \lambda_i \frac{\partial \theta_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right)$$

$$= c(n) \frac{\ln^{\sigma_n} \lambda_i}{\lambda_i^2} V(a_i) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n-2}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^4} + (if \ n = 6) \frac{\ln \lambda_i}{\lambda_i^4} \right). \tag{39}$$

Second, for $j \neq i$, we have

$$\left\langle \pi \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}, \lambda_i \frac{\partial(\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial \lambda_i} \right\rangle = \int_{\Omega} \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(\lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} - \lambda_i \frac{\partial \theta_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right). \tag{40}$$

Observe that, using Estimate F16 of [3] and the fact that $d(a_k, \partial \Omega) \geq c > 0$ for $k \in \{i, j\}$, we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} = \overline{c}_2 \lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i} + O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) + \frac{1}{\lambda_j^{(n+2)/2} \lambda_i^{(n-2)/2}} \right).$$

Furthermore, using Lemma 4.3, equation (40) becomes

$$\left\langle \pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}, \lambda_i\frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial\lambda_i} \right\rangle = \bar{c}_2\lambda_i\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial\lambda_i} + O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) + \frac{1}{(\lambda_j\lambda_i)^{(n-2)/2}} + \Xi_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij}\right). \qquad (41)$$

Thus, we derive that

$$\left\langle u, \lambda_i\frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial\lambda_i} \right\rangle = \alpha_i c(n)\frac{\ln^{\sigma_n}\lambda_i}{\lambda_i^2}V(a_i) + \bar{c}_2\sum_{j\neq i}\alpha_j\lambda_i\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial\lambda_i} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n-2}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^4} + (\text{if } n=6)\frac{\ln\lambda_i}{\lambda_i^4}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{j\neq i}O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) + \frac{1}{(\lambda_j\lambda_i)^{(n-2)/2}} + \varepsilon_{ij}\Xi_{ij}\right). \qquad (42)$$

Now, using (36), we get

$$\int_\Omega |u|^{p-\varepsilon-1}u\lambda_i\frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial\lambda_i} = \int_\Omega (\underline{u})^{p-\varepsilon}\lambda_i\frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial\lambda_i} + (p-\varepsilon)\int_\Omega (\underline{u})^{p-\varepsilon-1}v\lambda_i\frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial\lambda_i} + O(\|v\|^2). \qquad (43)$$

The last integral is computed in Lemma 4.2. For the first one, we need the following formula. Let $1 < \gamma \leq 3$, $b_j > 0$ for $j \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$ and s be such that $|s| \leq cb_i$ for some index i. Then it holds

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^N b_j\right)^\gamma s = \sum_{j=1}^N b_j^\gamma s + \gamma b_i^{\gamma-1}\left(\sum_{j\neq i}b_j\right)s + \sum_{k\neq j}O\left((b_k b_j)^{(\gamma+1)/2}\right). \qquad (44)$$

Thus, we get

$$\int_\Omega (\underline{u})^{p-\varepsilon}\lambda_i\frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial\lambda_i} = \sum_{1\leq j\leq N}\alpha_j^{p-\varepsilon}\int_\Omega (\pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j})^{p-\varepsilon}\lambda_i\frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial\lambda_i}$$

$$+ (p-\varepsilon)\sum_{j\neq i}\int_\Omega (\alpha_i\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})^{p-\varepsilon-1}(\alpha_j\pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j})\lambda_i\frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial\lambda_i} + \sum_{j\neq k}O\left(\int_\Omega (\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}\delta_{a_k,\lambda_k})^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right). \qquad (45)$$

First, we remark that the remainder term is computed in (24). Second, the first integral, with $j = i$, is computed in [1] (see Equ. (45)) and we have

$$\int_\Omega (\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})^{p-\varepsilon}\lambda_i\frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial\lambda_i} = c_0^{-\varepsilon}\lambda_i^{-\varepsilon\frac{n-2}{2}}\left(-c_2\varepsilon + 2c(n)\frac{\ln^{\sigma_n}\lambda_i}{\lambda_i^2}\right)$$

$$+ O\left(\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n-2}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^4} + (\text{if } n=6)\frac{\ln\lambda_i}{\lambda_i^4}\right). \qquad (46)$$

Third, we focus on estimating the first integral of (45) with $j \neq i$. Using (27), (9) and (15), we deduce that

$$\int_\Omega (\pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j})^{p-\varepsilon}\lambda_i\frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial\lambda_i} = \int_\Omega \left(\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{p-\varepsilon} + O\left(\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{p-1}\theta_{a_j,\lambda_j}\right)\right)\lambda_i\frac{\partial\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}}{\partial\lambda_i}$$

$$= c_0^{-\varepsilon}\lambda_j^{-\varepsilon(n-2)/2}\int_\Omega \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^p\lambda_i\frac{\partial\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}}{\partial\lambda_i}$$

$$+ O\left(\int_\Omega \theta_{a_j,\lambda_j}\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{p-1}\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} + \varepsilon\int_\Omega \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^p\ln(1+\lambda_j^2|x-a_j|^2)\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}\right).$$

Observe that, let $B_j := B(a_j, d_0)$, we have

$$\int_\Omega \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{p-1} \theta_{a_j,\lambda_j} \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \le c \int_{B_j} R_1(x, a_j, \lambda_j) \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^p \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} + \frac{c}{\lambda_j^{(n+2)/2}} \int \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}$$

$$\le c \frac{\ln^{\sigma_n} \lambda_j}{\lambda_j^2} \int_{B_j} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^p \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} + \int_{B_j} |x - a_j|^2 |\ln|x - a_j||^{\sigma_n} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^p \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} + \frac{c}{\lambda_j^{(n+2)/2}} \int \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}. \quad (47)$$

Using Holder's inequality and Lemma 2.2 of [10], we deduce that

$$\int_{B_j} |x - a_j|^2 |\ln^{\sigma_n}|x - a_j|| \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^p \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \le \frac{c}{\lambda_j^{3/2}} \int_{B_j} \frac{|\ln|x - a_j||^{\sigma_n}}{|x - a_j|} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{\frac{n-1}{n-2}} \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}$$

$$\le \frac{c}{\lambda_j^{3/2}} \Big(\int_{B_j} \frac{|\ln|x - a_j||^{(n-1)\sigma_n}}{|x - a_j|^{n-1}} \Big)^{1/(n-1)} \Big(\int_{B_j} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{\left(\frac{n-1}{n-2}\right)^2} \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{\frac{n-1}{n-2}} \Big)^{(n-2)/(n-1)} \le \frac{c}{\lambda_j^{3/2}} \varepsilon_{ij}.$$

Thus, (47) becomes

$$\int_\Omega \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{p-1} \theta_{a_j,\lambda_j} \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \le \frac{c}{\lambda_j^{3/2}} \varepsilon_{ij} + \frac{c}{\lambda_i^{(n-2)/2} \lambda_j^{(n+2)/2}}. \quad (48)$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\int_\Omega (\pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j})^{p-\varepsilon} \lambda_i \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial\lambda_i} = c_0^{-\varepsilon} \lambda_j^{-\varepsilon\frac{n-2}{2}} \langle \pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}, \lambda_i \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial\lambda_i} \rangle$$

$$+ O\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{(n-2)/2} \lambda_j^{(n+2)/2}} + \varepsilon_{ij} \Big(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\lambda_j^{3/2}} \Big) \Big).$$

In the same way, we deduce the estimate of the second integral of (45) and we get

$$p \int_\Omega (\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})^{p-\varepsilon-1} \lambda_i \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial\lambda_i} \pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} = c_0^{-\varepsilon} \lambda_i^{-\varepsilon\frac{n-2}{2}} \langle \pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}, \lambda_i \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial\lambda_i} \rangle$$

$$+ O\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^{(n-2)/2} \lambda_i^{(n+2)/2}} + \varepsilon_{ij} \Big(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{3/2}} \Big) \Big).$$

Finally, combining (42), (43), (45), (41), (46) and Lemma 4.2, the result follows. ∎

Next, we present a balancing condition that involves the concentration points.

Proposition 2.5 *Let* $n \ge 4$, $(\alpha, a, \lambda) \in \mathcal{O}(N, \mu)$, $v \in E_{a,\lambda}^\perp$ *and* $u = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j (\pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}) + v$. *For* ε *small and* $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$, *we have*

$$\Big\langle \nabla I_{V,\varepsilon}(u), \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial a_i} \Big\rangle = c_2(n) \alpha_i \frac{\ln^{\sigma_n}(\lambda_i)}{\lambda_i^3} \nabla V(a_i) \Big(2\alpha_i^{p-\varepsilon-1} c_0^{-\varepsilon} \lambda_i^{-\varepsilon\frac{n-2}{2}} - 1 \Big)$$

$$+ \overline{c}_2 \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial a_i} \Big(1 - c_0^{-\varepsilon} \lambda_i^{-\varepsilon\frac{n-2}{2}} \alpha_i^{p-\varepsilon-1} - c_0^{-\varepsilon} \lambda_i^{-\varepsilon\frac{n-2}{2}} \alpha_j^{p-\varepsilon-1} \Big) + O(R_{a_i}),$$

with

$$R_{a_i} := \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j |a_i - a_j| \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n+1}{n-2}} + \|v\|^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^4} + (if\, n = 5)\frac{\ln \lambda_i}{\lambda_i^4}$$

$$+ \sum \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{n-1}} + \sum_{k \neq j} \varepsilon_{kj}^{n/(n-2)} \ln \varepsilon_{kj}^{-1} + \sum \varepsilon_{ij}\Big(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\lambda_i} + \frac{1}{\lambda_j^{3/2}}\Big) + \varepsilon \frac{\ln^{\sigma_n} \lambda_i}{\lambda_i^2}$$

where

$$c_2(4) := \frac{1}{2} c_0^2 \, meas(\mathbb{S}^3) \qquad and \qquad c_2(n) := \frac{n-2}{n} c_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|x|^2}{(1+|x|^2)^{n-1}} \quad if\, n \geq 5.$$

Proof. We will follow the proof of Proposition 2.4. Since $v \in E_{a,\lambda}^{\perp}$, we have

$$\Big\langle u, \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial a_i} \Big\rangle = \sum \alpha_j \Big\langle \pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}, \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial a_i} \Big\rangle.$$

First, the scalar product, with $j = i$, is computed in [1] and we have

$$\Big\langle \pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}, \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial a_i} \Big\rangle = -c_2(n)\frac{\ln^{\sigma_n} \lambda_i}{\lambda_i^3} \nabla V(a_i) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^4} + (if\, n = 5)\frac{\ln \lambda_i}{\lambda_i^4} \right). \quad (49)$$

Second, for $j \neq i$, using (11) and (12), the last inequality in (33) and (F11) of [3], we get

$$\Big\langle \pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}, \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial a_i} \Big\rangle = \int_{\Omega} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}}{\partial a_i} - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial \theta_{a_i,\lambda_i}}{\partial a_i} \Big)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}}{\partial a_i} + O\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^{(n+2)/2} \lambda_i^{n/2}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \int_{\Omega} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \Big)$$

$$= \bar{c}_2 \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial a_i} + O\Big(\lambda_j |a_i - a_j| \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n+1}{n-2}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \varepsilon_{ij} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n/2} \lambda_j^{(n+2)/2}} \Big). \quad (50)$$

Thus, we derive that

$$\Big\langle u, \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial a_i} \Big\rangle = -\alpha_i c_2(n)\frac{\ln^{\sigma_n} \lambda_i}{\lambda_i^3} \nabla V(a_i) + \bar{c}_2 \alpha_j \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial a_i} \qquad\qquad (51)$$

$$+ O\Big(\sum_{j \neq i} \Big(\lambda_j |a_i - a_j| \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n+1}{n-2}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \varepsilon_{ij} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n/2} \lambda_j^{(n+2)/2}} \Big) + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^4} + (if\, n = 5)\frac{\ln \lambda_i}{\lambda_i^4} \Big).$$

Now, notice that (43) and (45) hold true with $\lambda_i^{-1}\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})/\partial a_i$ instead of $\lambda_i\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})/\partial \lambda_i$. Furthermore, Equ. (53) of [1] implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})^{p-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial a_i} = -2c_2(n)c_0^{-\varepsilon} \lambda_i^{-\varepsilon \frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{\ln^{\sigma_n} \lambda_i}{\lambda_i^3} \nabla V(a_i)$$

$$+ O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^4} + (if\, n = 5)\frac{\ln \lambda_i}{\lambda_i^4} + \varepsilon \frac{\ln^{\sigma_n} \lambda_i}{\lambda_i^2} \right). \quad (52)$$

In addition, for $j \neq i$, using (27), (9), (48) and (15), we deduce that

$$\int_\Omega (\pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j})^{p-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial a_i} = \int_\Omega \left(\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{p-\varepsilon} + O\left(\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{p-1} \theta_{a_j,\lambda_j} \right) \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}}{\partial a_i}$$

$$= c_0^{-\varepsilon} \lambda_j^{-\varepsilon\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_\Omega \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^p \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}}{\partial a_i} + O\left(\int_\Omega \theta_{a_j,\lambda_j} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{p-1} \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} + \varepsilon \int_\Omega \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^p \ln(1+\lambda_j^2|x-a_j|^2)\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \right)$$

$$= c_0^{-\varepsilon} \lambda_j^{-\varepsilon\frac{n-2}{2}} \langle \pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}, \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial a_i} \rangle + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{(n-2)/2}\lambda_j^{(n+2)/2}} + \varepsilon_{ij}\left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\lambda_j^{3/2}} \right) \right)$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$p \int_\Omega (\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})^{p-\varepsilon-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial a_i} \pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} = c_0^{-\varepsilon} \lambda_i^{-\varepsilon\frac{n-2}{2}} \langle \pi\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}, \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial a_i} \rangle$$

$$+ O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^{(n-2)/2}\lambda_i^{(n+2)/2}} + \varepsilon_{ij}\left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{3/2}2} \right) \right).$$

Combining the previous estimates, the result follows. ∎

3 Interior blowing up solutions with clustered bubbles

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. We start by proving Theorem 1.1. The proof structure adopts a method comparable to the one presented in [4, 12, 6]. Let $n \geq 4$, $b \in \Omega$ be a non-degenerate critical point of V and $(\overline{z}_1, \cdots, \overline{z}_N)$ be a non-degenerate critical point of $F_{b,N}$, where $F_{b,N}$ is defined by (2). We start by introducing a parameterization of the neighborhood of the desired constructed solutions. Let

$$\mathcal{O}_1(N,b,\varepsilon) = \{ (\alpha,\lambda,a,v) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^N \times (\mathbb{R}_+)^N \times \Omega^N \times H^1(\Omega) : v \in E_{a,\lambda}^\perp, \quad \|v\| < \sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

$$|\alpha_i - 1| < \varepsilon\ln^2\varepsilon, \frac{1}{c} < \frac{\ln^{\sigma_n}(\lambda_i)}{\lambda_i^2 \varepsilon} < c, |a_i - b - \eta(\varepsilon)\sigma\overline{z}_i| \leq \eta(\varepsilon)\eta_0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq N \}, \quad (53)$$

where η_0 is a small constant, $\sigma_4 = 1$, $\sigma_n = 0$ for $n \geq 5$, $E_{a,\lambda}$ is defined by (17),

$$\eta(\varepsilon) := \begin{cases} \varepsilon^\gamma & \text{if } n \geq 5 \\ |\ln\varepsilon|^{-1/4} & \text{if } n = 4 \end{cases}, \quad \gamma = \frac{n-4}{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \left(\frac{\overline{c}_2}{c_2(n)} \right)^{1/n} \left(\frac{c_2}{c(n)V(b)} \right)^\gamma \quad (54)$$

with $c_2, \overline{c}_2, c_2(n)$ and $c(n)$ are the constants defined in Propositions 2.4 and 2.5.
In addition, we consider the following function

$$\psi_\varepsilon : \mathcal{O}_1(N,b,\varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (\alpha,\lambda,a,v) \mapsto \psi_\varepsilon(\alpha,\lambda,a,v) = I_{V,\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} + v \right).$$

We notice that (α,λ,a,v) is a critical point of ψ_ε if and only if $u = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} + v$ is a critical point of $I_{V,\varepsilon}$. Thus, we need to look for critical points of ψ_ε. Since the variable v belongs to $E_{a,\lambda}^\perp$, the Lagrange multiplier theorem allows us to get the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 $(\alpha, \lambda, a, v) \in \mathcal{O}_1(N, b, \varepsilon)$ *is a critical point of* ψ_ε *if and only if there exists* $(A, B, C) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^N$ *such that the following holds:*

$$\frac{\partial \psi_\varepsilon}{\partial \alpha_i}(\alpha, \lambda, a, v) = 0 \ \forall \ i \in \{1, ..., N\}, \tag{55}$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi_\varepsilon}{\partial \lambda_i}(\alpha, \lambda, a, v) = B_i \langle v, \lambda_i \frac{\partial^2 (\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial \lambda_i^2} \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} \langle v, \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial^2 (\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial \lambda_i \partial a_i^j} \rangle \ \forall \ i \in \{1, ..., N\}, \tag{56}$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi_\varepsilon}{\partial a_i}(\alpha, \lambda, a, v) = B_i \langle v, \lambda_i \frac{\partial^2 (\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial \lambda_i \partial a_i} \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} \langle v, \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial^2 (\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial a_i^j \partial a_i} \rangle \ \forall \ i \in \{1, ..., N\}, \tag{57}$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi_\varepsilon}{\partial v}(\alpha, \lambda, a, v) = \sum_{k=1}^N \Big(A_k \pi \delta_{a_k, \lambda_k} + B_k \lambda_k \frac{\partial (\pi \delta_{a_k, \lambda_k})}{\partial \lambda_k} + \sum_{j=1}^n C_{kj} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \frac{\partial (\pi \delta_{a_k, \lambda_k})}{\partial a_k^j} \Big). \tag{58}$$

To prove Theorem 1.1, we will make a careful study of the previous equations. Notice that

$$\frac{\partial \psi_\varepsilon}{\partial \alpha_i}(\alpha, \lambda, a, v) = \Big\langle \nabla I_{V,\varepsilon}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} + v \Big), \pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} \Big\rangle, \tag{59}$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi_\varepsilon}{\partial \lambda_i}(\alpha, \lambda, a, v) = \Big\langle \nabla I_{V,\varepsilon}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} + v \Big), \alpha_i \frac{\partial (\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial \lambda_i} \Big\rangle, \tag{60}$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi_\varepsilon}{\partial a_i}(\alpha, \lambda, a, v) = \Big\langle \nabla I_{V,\varepsilon}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} + v \Big), \alpha_i \frac{\partial (\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial a_i} \Big\rangle, \tag{61}$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi_\varepsilon}{\partial v}(\alpha, \lambda, a, v) = \nabla I_{V,\varepsilon}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} + v \Big). \tag{62}$$

Let $(\alpha, \lambda, a, 0) \in \mathcal{O}_1(N, b, \varepsilon)$, which is defined by (53). We are going to solve the system defined by equations (55), (56), (57) and (58). The strategy of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to reduce the problem to a finite dimensional system. Proposition 2.2 allows us to obtain such a reduction by finding $\overline{v}$ verifying the equation (58). Combining equations (55), ..., (62), we see that $u = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \overline{v}$ is a critical point of $I_{V,\varepsilon}$ if and only if (α, λ, a) solves the following system: for each $1 \le i \le N$

$$(E_{\alpha_i}) \quad \Big\langle \nabla I_{V,\varepsilon}(u), \pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} \Big\rangle) = 0, \tag{63}$$

$$(E_{\lambda_i}) \quad \Big\langle \nabla I_{V,\varepsilon}(u), \alpha_i \frac{\partial (\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial \lambda_i} \Big\rangle = B_i \Big\langle \overline{v}, \lambda_i \frac{\partial^2 (\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial \lambda_i^2} \Big\rangle + \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} \Big\langle \overline{v}, \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial^2 (\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial \lambda_i \partial a_i^j} \Big\rangle, \tag{64}$$

$$(E_{a_i}) \quad \Big\langle \nabla I_{V,\varepsilon}(u), \alpha_i \frac{\partial (\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial a_i} \Big\rangle = B_i \Big\langle \overline{v}, \lambda_i \frac{\partial^2 (\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial \lambda_i \partial a_i} \Big\rangle + \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} \Big\langle \overline{v}, \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial^2 (\pi \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})}{\partial a_i^j \partial a_i} \Big\rangle. \tag{65}$$

We are looking for $(\alpha, \lambda, a) \in \mathcal{O}_2(N, b, \varepsilon)$ solution of the system defined by equations (63), (64) and (65), where $\mathcal{O}_2(N, b, \varepsilon)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{O}_2(N, b, \varepsilon) = \{(\alpha, \lambda, a) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^N \times (\mathbb{R}_+)^N \times \Omega^N : (\alpha, \lambda, a, 0) \in \mathcal{O}_1(N, b, \varepsilon)\}. \tag{66}$$

In order to work with a simpler system, we make the following change of variables, for $1 \leq i \leq N$,

$$\beta_i = 1 - \alpha_i^{p-1}, \quad (a_i - b) = \eta(\varepsilon)\sigma(\zeta_i + \overline{z}_i), \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} = \left(\frac{c_2}{c(n)}\frac{1}{V(b)}\,\varepsilon(|\ln\varepsilon|/2)^{-\sigma_n}\right)(1 + \wedge_i). \quad (67)$$

Using these changes of variables, it is easy to see that

$$c \leq \lambda_i|a_i - a_j|\varepsilon^{2/n}|\ln\varepsilon|^{-\sigma_n/4} \leq c', \tag{68}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{(\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i - a_j|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2|a_i - a_j|^2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2|a_i - a_j|^2}\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i - a_j|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}(1 + O(\varepsilon^{4/n}|\ln\varepsilon|^{-\sigma_n/2})) = O\left(\varepsilon^{2\gamma+1}|\ln\varepsilon|^{-\sigma_n/2}\right), \tag{69}$$

$$\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial a_i} = (n-2)\lambda_i\lambda_j(a_j - a_i)\varepsilon_{ij}^{n/(n-2)} = \frac{(n-2)(a_j - a_i)}{(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}|a_i - a_j|^n}\left(1 + O(\varepsilon^{4/n}|\ln\varepsilon|^{-\sigma_n/2})\right)$$

$$= (n-2)\frac{(\varepsilon|\ln\varepsilon|^{-\sigma_n})^{(n-2)/2}}{\sigma^{n-1}\eta(\varepsilon)^{n-1}}\left(\frac{c_2}{c(n)V(b)}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\frac{(\zeta_j + \overline{z}_j - \zeta_i - \overline{z}_i)}{|\zeta_i + \overline{z}_i - \zeta_j - \overline{z}_j|^n}\times \tag{70}$$

$$\times \left(1 + R(\wedge_i, \wedge_j)\right)\left(1 + O(\varepsilon^{4/n}|\ln\varepsilon|^{-\sigma_n/2})\right)$$

$$= O\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma+1}|\ln\varepsilon|^{-\sigma_n/4}\right), \tag{71}$$

where

$$R(\wedge_i, \wedge_j) = \frac{n-2}{4}\wedge_i + \frac{n-2}{4}\wedge_j + O\left(\wedge_i^2\right) + O\left(\wedge_j^2\right). \tag{72}$$

Next, using Propositions 2.1, 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5, we deduce that the following estimates hold:

Lemma 3.2 *For ε small, the following statements hold:*

$$\|\overline{v}\| \leq c\varepsilon, \qquad R_{\alpha_i} \leq c\varepsilon,$$

$$R_{\lambda_i} \leq c\varepsilon^{2\gamma+1}|\ln\varepsilon|^{-\sigma_n/2}, \quad R_{a_i} \leq c\begin{cases}\varepsilon^2|\ln\varepsilon| + \varepsilon^{(5/2)-(4/n)} & \text{if } n \geq 5, \\ \varepsilon^{3/2}|\ln\varepsilon|^{-1} & \text{if } n = 4.\end{cases}$$

where R_{α_i}, R_{λ_i} and R_{a_i} are defined in Propositions 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 respectively.

Now, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 of [1] and using Lemma 4.4, we derive that the constants A_i's, B_i's and C_{ij}'s which appear in equations (E_v), (E_{λ_i}) and (E_{a_i}) satisfy the following estimates:

Lemma 3.3 *Let $(\alpha, \lambda, a) \in \mathcal{O}_2(N, b, \varepsilon)$. Then, for ε small, the following statements hold:*

$$A_i = O(\varepsilon\ln^2\varepsilon), \quad B_i = O(\varepsilon) \quad and \quad |C_{ij}| \leq c\begin{cases}\varepsilon^{\gamma+3/2} & \text{if } n \geq 5, \\ \varepsilon^{3/2}|\ln\varepsilon|^{-3/4} & \text{if } n = 4,\end{cases} \quad \forall\, i \leq N, \forall\, j \leq n.$$

Next, our aim is to rewrite equations (E_{α_i}), (E_{λ_i}) and (E_{a_i}) in a simple form.

Lemma 3.4 *For ε small, equations (E_{α_i}), (E_{λ_i}) and (E_{a_i}) are equivalent to the following system*

$$(S')\quad\begin{cases}\beta_i = O(\varepsilon|\ln\varepsilon|) \quad \forall\, 1 \le i \le N, & (F'_{\alpha_i})\\[2mm]\wedge_i = O\left(|\beta_i| + \varepsilon^{2\gamma}|\ln\varepsilon|^{-\sigma_n/2}\right) \quad \forall\, 1 \le i \le N, & (F'_{\lambda_i})\\[2mm]D^2V(b)(\zeta_i, .) - (n-2)\sum_{j\neq i}\frac{\zeta_j-\zeta_i}{|\bar{z}_j-\bar{z}_i|^n} + n(n-2)\sum_{j\neq i}\left\langle\frac{\bar{z}_j-\bar{z}_i}{|\bar{z}_j-\bar{z}_i|^2}, \zeta_j-\zeta_i\right\rangle\frac{\bar{z}_j-\bar{z}_i}{|\bar{z}_j-\bar{z}_i|^n}\\[2mm]\qquad -(n-2)\sum_{j\neq i}\frac{\bar{z}_j-\bar{z}_i}{|\bar{z}_j-\bar{z}_i|^n}\left(\frac{n-6}{4}\wedge_i + \frac{n-2}{4}\wedge_j\right) = O(R'_S) \quad \forall\, 1 \le i \le N, & (F'_{a_i})\end{cases}$$

where

$$R'_S = \sum_{j=1}^N \wedge_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N |\zeta_j|^2 + \eta(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\gamma}|\ln\varepsilon|.$$

PROOF.   First, using Proposition 2.3, Lemma 3.2 and the fact that $(\alpha, \lambda, a) \in \mathcal{O}_2(N, b, \varepsilon)$, we see that (E_{α_i}) is equivalent to the equation (F'_{α_i}). Second, using Lemmas 3.3 and 4.4, we write

$$\left\langle \nabla I_\varepsilon(u), \lambda_i\frac{\partial\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}}{\partial\lambda_i}\right\rangle = O\left(|B_i|\|v\|\left\|\lambda_i^2\frac{\partial^2\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}}{\partial\lambda_i^2}\right\| + \sum_{j=1}^N|C_{ij}|\|v\|\left\|\frac{\partial^2\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}}{\partial\lambda_i\partial a_i^j}\right\|\right) = O\left(\varepsilon\|v\|\right).$$

Using Proposition 2.4, Lemma 3.2 and (69), we obtain

$$c_2\,\varepsilon - c(n)\frac{\ln^{\sigma_n}\lambda_i}{\lambda_i^2}V(a_i) = O\left(\varepsilon|\beta_i| + \varepsilon^{2\gamma+1}|\ln\varepsilon|^{-\sigma_n/2}\right).$$

But we have

$$V(a_i) = V(b) + O\left(|a_i - b|^2\right) = V(b) + O\left(\eta(\varepsilon)^2\right).$$

This implies that (E_{λ_i}) is equivalent to the equation (F'_{λ_i}).

To deal with the third equation (E_{a_i}), using Lemma 4.4, we write

$$\left\langle \nabla I_\varepsilon(u), \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}}{\partial a_i}\right\rangle = O\left(|B_i|\|v\|\left\|\frac{\partial^2\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}}{\partial\lambda_i\partial a_i}\right\| + \sum_{j=1}^N|C_{ij}|\|v\|\left\|\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\frac{\partial^2\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}}{\partial a_i\partial a_i^j}\right\|\right) = O\left(\varepsilon\|v\|\right).$$
$$(73)$$

But, combining (70), Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 3.2, (73) becomes

$$c_2(n)\frac{\ln^{\sigma_n}\lambda_i}{\lambda_i^3}\nabla V(a_i) - (n-2)\bar{c}_{10}\frac{\varepsilon\eta(\varepsilon)}{\lambda_i}\sum_{j\neq i}\frac{(\zeta_j+\bar{z}_j-\zeta_i-\bar{z}_i)}{|\zeta_j+\bar{z}_j-\zeta_i-\bar{z}_i|^n}\left(1 + \frac{n-2}{4}\wedge_i + \frac{n-2}{4}\wedge_j\right)$$
$$= O\left(\varepsilon^2|\ln\varepsilon|^{\sigma_n/2} + R_{a_i} + \eta(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{3/2}|\ln\varepsilon|^{-\sigma_n/2}\sum\left(|\beta_j| + \wedge_j^2\right)\right), \qquad (74)$$

where

$$\bar{c}_{10} = \frac{\bar{c}_2}{\sigma^{n-1}}\left(\frac{c_2}{c(n)V(b)}\right)^{(n-2)/2}.$$

Observe that

$$\nabla V(a_i) = D^2V(b)(a_i - b, .) + O\left(|a_i - b|^2\right) = \eta(\varepsilon)\sigma D^2V(b)(\zeta_i + \bar{z}_i, .) + O\left(\eta(\varepsilon)^2\right). \qquad (75)$$

Combining (74), (75) and (54), we obtain

$$D^2V(b)(\zeta_i + \bar{z}_i, .) - (n-2)\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{(\zeta_j + \bar{z}_j - \zeta_i - \bar{z}_i)}{|\zeta_j + \bar{z}_j - \zeta_i - \bar{z}_i|^n}\left(1 + \frac{n-6}{4}\wedge_i + \frac{n-2}{4}\wedge_j\right)$$
$$= O\left(\eta(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\gamma}|\ln\varepsilon| + \sum\left(|\beta_j| + \wedge_j^2\right)\right). \qquad (76)$$

But we have

$$D^2V(b)(\zeta_i + \bar{z}_i, .) = D^2V(b)(\zeta_i, .) + D^2V(b)(\bar{z}_i, .) \qquad (77)$$

and

$$\frac{(\zeta_j + \bar{z}_j - \zeta_i - \bar{z}_i)}{|\zeta_j + \bar{z}_j - \zeta_i - \bar{z}_i|^n} = \left(\frac{(\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_i)}{|\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_i|^n} + \frac{(\zeta_j - \zeta_i)}{|\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_i|^n}\right)\left[1 - n\left\langle\frac{(\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_i)}{|\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_i|^2}, \zeta_j - \zeta_i\right\rangle + O\left(|\zeta_i|^2 + |\zeta_j|^2\right)\right]$$
$$= \frac{(\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_i)}{|\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_i|^n} - n\left\langle\frac{(\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_i)}{|\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_i|^2}, \zeta_j - \zeta_i\right\rangle\frac{(\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_i)}{|\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_i|^n} + \frac{(\zeta_j - \zeta_i)}{|\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_i|^n} + O\left(|\zeta_i|^2 + |\zeta_j|^2\right). \quad (78)$$

Combining (76), (77), (78) and the fact that $(\bar{z}_1, ..., \bar{z}_N)$ is a critical point of $F_{N,b}$, we see that equation (E_{a_i}) is equivalent to the equation (F'_{a_i}) which completes the proof of Lemma 3.4. ∎

Now, we are ready to prove our results related to the construction of clustered bubbling solutions.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Note that the system (F'_{a_1}), ..., (F'_{a_N}) is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2}D^2F_{N,b}(\bar{z}_1, ..., \bar{z}_N)(\zeta_1, ..., \zeta_N) - (n-2)(\Gamma_1, \cdots, \Gamma_N) = O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}(\wedge_j^2 + |\zeta_j|^2) + \eta(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\gamma}|\ln\varepsilon|\right),$$

where

$$\Gamma_i := \sum_{j \neq i}\frac{\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_i}{|\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_i|^n}\left(\frac{n-6}{4}\wedge_i + \frac{n-2}{4}\wedge_j\right) \quad \forall\, 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1 of [12], we define a linear map by taking the left hand side of the system defined by (F'_{α_i}), (F'_{λ_i}) and (F'_{a_i}). Since $(\bar{z}_1, ..., \bar{z}_N)$ is a non-degenerate critical point of $F_{N,b}$, we deduce that such a linear map is invertible and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1 of [12], we derive that the system (S') has a solution $(\beta_\varepsilon, \wedge_\varepsilon, \zeta_\varepsilon)$ for ε small. This implies that $(\mathcal{P}_{V,\varepsilon})$ admits a solution $u_{\varepsilon,b} = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_{i,\varepsilon}\pi\delta_{a_{i,\varepsilon},\lambda_{i,\varepsilon}} + v_\varepsilon$ and, by construction, properties (3) - (6) are satisfied. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is thereby completed. ∎

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Let b_1, b_2 be two non-degenerate critical points of V. First, we observe that in the equation (E_{λ_i}), the interaction between the bubbles of two different blocks is of the order of $\varepsilon^{(n-2)/2}$ which is negligible in front of the main term whose order is ε. This implies that terms of this type will fit into the rest. Second, we note that in the equation (E_{a_i}), the interaction between the bubbles of two distinct blocks is of order $(\varepsilon^{(n-1)/2}$ if $n \geq 5$; $(\varepsilon/|\ln\varepsilon|)^{3/2}$ if $n = 4)$, which is negligible compared to the main term of order $(\varepsilon^{2-\frac{2}{n}}$ if $n \geq 5$; $|\ln\varepsilon|^{-3/4}\varepsilon^{3/2}$ if $n = 4)$. Consequently, terms of this form can be incorporated into the remaining terms. Hence, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and taking a new system $((S_1), \cdots, (S_m))$ with each (S_i) represents the system studied in the proof of Theorem 1.1, the proof of the theorem follows. ∎

4 Appendix

In this appendix, we compile several estimates necessary for the analysis presented in the paper. We begin with the following result, which is taken from [10] (see Lemma 2.2).

Lemma 4.1 *Let a_i, a_j, λ_i and λ_j be such that ε_{ij} is small. For α and β satisfying $\alpha + \beta = 2n/(n-2)$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$, it holds*

$$\int_\Omega \delta_i^\alpha \delta_j^\beta \leq c\varepsilon_{ij}^{\min(\alpha,\beta)}.$$

We now prove some estimations involving bubbles and the approximate solutions.

Lemma 4.2 *For $i \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$ and $j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$, let*

$$\varphi_i \in \left\{ \pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}, \lambda_i \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial\lambda_i}, \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial(\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})}{\partial(a_i)_j} \right\}.$$

Let $\underline{u} := \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}$ and $v \in E_{a,\lambda}^\perp$, it holds

$$\left| \int_\Omega (\underline{u})^{p-\varepsilon-1} v\varphi_i \right| \leq c\|v\| \left(\varepsilon + T_2(\lambda_i) + \sum_{j\neq i} T_3(\varepsilon_{ij}) \right)$$

where $T_2(\lambda)$ and $T_3(\varepsilon_{ij})$ are defined in Lemma 2.1.

Proof. Observe that, from (9), we deduce that $|\varphi_i| \leq c\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}$. Thus, using the fact that: for $b_1, b_2, z \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|z| \leq c|b_1|$,

$$\left| |b_1 + b_2|^\gamma z - |b_1|^\gamma z \right| \leq c \begin{cases} |b_1 b_2|^{(\gamma+1)/2} & \text{if } \gamma \leq 1, \\ |b_1|^\gamma |b_2| + |b_2|^\gamma |b_1| & \text{if } \gamma > 1, \end{cases} \tag{79}$$

it follows that

$$\int_\Omega (\underline{u})^{p-\varepsilon-1} v\varphi_i = \alpha_i^{p-\varepsilon-1} \int_\Omega (\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})^{p-\varepsilon-1} v\varphi_i$$
$$+ \sum_{j\neq i} \begin{cases} O\left(\int_\Omega \left(\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} |v| \right) & \text{if } n \geq 6, \\ O\left(\int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}^{p-1} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} |v| + \int_\Omega \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}^{p-1} |v| \right) & \text{if } n \leq 5. \end{cases}$$

Note that, for $n \leq 5$ (which implies that $p-1 > 1$), for each k and ℓ, it holds

$$\int_\Omega \delta_{a_k,\lambda_k}^{p-1} \delta_{a_\ell,\lambda_\ell} |v| \leq c\|v\| \left(\int_\Omega \left(\delta_{a_k,\lambda_k}^{p-1} \delta_{a_\ell,\lambda_\ell} \right)^{\frac{2n}{n+2}} \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2n}} \leq c\|v\| \varepsilon_{k\ell}$$

by using Lemma 4.1. However, using (24), we derive that

$$\int_\Omega \left(\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} |v| \leq c\|v\| \left(\int_\Omega \left(\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i} \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2n}} \leq c\|v\| \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n+2}{2(n-2)}} \left(\ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1} \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2n}}.$$

For the other integral, following the end of the proof of Lemma 6.6 of [1], we deduce that

$$\left| \int_\Omega (\pi \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i})^{p-\varepsilon-1} \, v\varphi_i \right| \le c \, \|v\| \, (\varepsilon + T_2 \, (\lambda_i)) .$$

Hence, the proof of the Lemma is completed. ∎

Lemma 4.3 *Let $a_1, a_2 \in \Omega$ be such that $d(a_i, \partial\Omega) \ge c >$ and λ_1, λ_2 be large reals such that ε_{12} is small. Let $\psi_1 \in \{\theta_{a_1,\lambda_1}, \lambda_1 \partial\theta_{a_1,\lambda_1}/\partial\lambda_1\}$. It holds that*

$$\int_\Omega \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} |\psi_1| \le \frac{c}{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{(n-2)/2}} + c\varepsilon_{12} \underbrace{\begin{cases} 1/\lambda_1 & \text{if } n = 3, \\ \ln \lambda_1/\lambda_1^2 + |a_1 - a_2|^2 |\ln|a_1 - a_2|| + 1/\lambda_2^{3/2} & \text{if } n = 4, \\ 1/\lambda_1^2 + |a_1 - a_2|^2 + 1/\lambda_2^{3/2} & \text{if } n \ge 5. \end{cases}}_{\Xi_{12}}$$

Proof. First, we remark that we can modify the proof to improve the power of λ_2. It can be β with $\beta < 2$.

Let $B_1 := B(a_1, r_1)$ where $r_1 := (1/2)\min(d_{a_1}, e^{-4})$, using (10) and (11), we get

$$\int_\Omega \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} |\psi_1| \le c \int_{B_1} R_{a_1,\lambda_1}^1(x) \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \delta_{a_1,\lambda_1} + \int_{\Omega\setminus B_1} \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \delta_{a_1,\lambda_1}$$

where R_{a_1,λ_1}^1 is defined in (12). For the last integral, it is easy to get

$$\int_{\Omega\setminus B_1} \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \delta_{a_1,\lambda_1} \le \frac{c}{\lambda_1^{(n-2)/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \le \frac{c}{\lambda_1^{(n-2)/2} \lambda_2^{(n-2)/2}} .$$

Concerning the other one, using the formula of R_{a_1,λ_1}^1, we have to distinguish three cases.

- For $n = 3$, we remark that, in this case, $|x - a|\delta_{a,\lambda} \le c/\sqrt{\lambda}$. Thus, it holds

$$\int_{B_1} R_{a_1,\lambda_1}^1(x) \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \delta_{a_1,\lambda_1} \le \frac{c}{\lambda_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \delta_{a_1,\lambda_1} + \int_{B_1} |x - a_1| \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \delta_{a_1,\lambda_1}$$
$$\le \frac{c}{\lambda_1} \varepsilon_{12} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \le \frac{c}{\lambda_1} \varepsilon_{12} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}} .$$

- For $n \ge 5$, it holds that

$$\int_{B_1} R_{a_1,\lambda_1}^1(x) \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \delta_{a_1,\lambda_1} \le \frac{c}{\lambda_1^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \delta_{a_1,\lambda_1} + c \int_{B_1} |x - a_1|^2 \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \delta_{a_1,\lambda_1}$$
$$\le c\frac{\varepsilon_{12}}{\lambda_1^2} + c|a_1 - a_2|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \delta_{a_1,\lambda_1} + c \int_\Omega |x - a_2|^2 \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \delta_{a_1,\lambda_1} .$$

Notice that

$$\int_\Omega |x - a_2|^2 \delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \delta_{a_1,\lambda_1} = \int_\Omega \frac{c}{\lambda_2^{3/2} |x - a_2|} (\delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n-1}{n-2}} \delta_{a_1,\lambda_1})$$
$$\le \frac{c}{\lambda_2^{3/2}} \left(\int_\Omega \frac{1}{|x - a_2|^{2n/3}} \right)^{3/(2n)} \left(\int_\Omega \left[\delta_{a_2,\lambda_2}^{\frac{n-1}{n-2}} \delta_{a_1,\lambda_1} \right]^{\frac{2n}{2n-3}} \right)^{(2n-3)/(2n)}$$
$$\le \frac{c}{\lambda_2^{3/2}} \varepsilon_{12} . \tag{80}$$

- For $n = 4$,

$$\int_{B_1} R^1_{a_1,\lambda_1}(x)\delta^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}_{a_2,\lambda_2}\delta_{a_1,\lambda_1} \le c\frac{\ln\lambda_1}{\lambda_1^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\delta^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}_{a_2,\lambda_2}\delta_{a_1,\lambda_1} + \int_{B_1}|x-a_1|^2|\ln|x-a_1||\delta^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}_{a_2,\lambda_2}\delta_{a_1,\lambda_1}.$$

Concerning the second integral, observe that, if $|a_1 - a_2| \ge e^{-4}$, it follows that $|x - a_2| \ge e^{-4}/2$ for each $x \in B_1$ and therefore

$$\int_{B_1}|x-a_1|^2|\ln|x-a_1||\delta^3_{a_2,\lambda_2}\delta_{a_1,\lambda_1} \le \frac{c}{\lambda_2^3}\int_{B_1}|x-a_1|^2|\ln|x-a_1|\delta_{a_1,\lambda_1}.$$

In the other case, that is $|a_1 - a_2| \le e^{-4}$, it follows that $|x - a_2| \le (3/2)e^{-4}$, and therefore, since the function : $t \mapsto -t^2\ln t$ is increasing and convex on the set $(0, e^{-4})$, we deduce that

$$|x-a_1|^2|\ln|x-a_1|| \le c|x-a_2|^2|\ln|x-a_2|| + c|a_2-a_1|^2|\ln|a_2-a_1||.$$

Thus the integral becomes

$$\int_{B_1}|x-a_1|^2|\ln|x-a_1||\delta^3_{a_2,\lambda_2}\delta_{a_1,\lambda_1}$$
$$\le c\int_{B_1}|x-a_2|^2|\ln|x-a_2||\delta^3_{a_2,\lambda_2}\delta_{a_1,\lambda_1} + c|a_2-a_1|^2|\ln|a_2-a_1||\int_{B_1}\delta^3_{a_2,\lambda_2}\delta_{a_1,\lambda_1}$$
$$\le \int_\Omega\frac{c|\ln|x-a_2||}{\lambda_2^{3/2}|x-a_2|}(\delta^{3/2}_{a_2,\lambda_2}\delta_{a_1,\lambda_1}) + c|a_2-a_1|^2|\ln|a_2-a_1||\varepsilon_{12}$$
$$\le \frac{c}{\lambda_2^{3/2}}\varepsilon_{12} + c|a_2-a_1|^2|\ln|a_2-a_1||\varepsilon_{12},$$

where we have used the same computations done in (80).

This completes the proof of the lemma. ∎

Lemma 4.4 *Let $n \ge 4$.*
(1) Let d_0 be a fixed small positive constant, $a \in \Omega_0 := \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) \ge d_0\}$ and λ be large. Then we have

$$\|\pi\delta_{a,\lambda}\|^2 = S_n + O\left(\frac{\ln^{\sigma_n}\lambda}{\lambda^2}\right), \quad \langle\pi\delta_{a,\lambda},\varphi\rangle = O\left(\frac{\ln^{\sigma_n}\lambda}{\lambda^m}\right) \quad with \quad \begin{cases} m = 2 \text{ if } \varphi = \lambda\partial(\pi\delta_{a,\lambda})/\partial\lambda, \\ m = 3 \text{ if } \varphi = \lambda^{-1}\partial(\pi\delta_{a,\lambda})/\partial a, \end{cases} \tag{81}$$

$$\left\|\lambda\frac{\partial\pi\delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial\lambda}\right\|^2 = c + O\left(\frac{\ln^{\sigma_n}\lambda}{\lambda^2}\right) \quad, \quad \langle\lambda\frac{\partial\pi\delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial\lambda}, \frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{\partial\pi\delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a}\rangle = O\left(\frac{\ln^{\sigma_n}\lambda}{\lambda^3}\right), \tag{82}$$

$$\left\|\frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{\partial\pi\delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a^j}\right\|^2 = c'' + O\left(\frac{\ln^{\sigma_n}\lambda}{\lambda^3}\right) \quad, \quad \langle\frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{\partial\delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a^j}, \frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{\partial\delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a^\ell}\rangle = O\left(\frac{\ln^{\sigma_n}\lambda}{\lambda^3}\right) \forall\ell \ne j, \tag{83}$$

(2) Let $a_1, a_2 \in \Omega_0$ and λ_1, λ_2 be large so that ε_{12}, defined in (14), is small. Then we have

$$\langle\varphi_1,\varphi_2\rangle = O(\varepsilon_{12}) \quad \forall\varphi_i \in \left\{\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}, \lambda_i\frac{\partial\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}}{\partial\lambda_i}, \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial\pi\delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}}{\partial a_i^j}\right\}, \quad for \ i \in \{1,2\} \text{ and } j \in \{1,\cdots,n\}. \tag{84}$$

PROOF. Note that, the first assertion is proved in (35), (39) and (49) respectively. Concerning Claim (82), using (9) and (10), we get

$$\left\| \lambda \frac{\partial \pi \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \right\|^2 = \int_\Omega \left[(-\Delta + V) \lambda \frac{\partial \pi \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \right] \lambda \frac{\partial \pi \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{n+2}{n-2} \int_\Omega \delta_{a,\lambda}^{4/(n-2)} \lambda \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \left(\lambda \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} - \lambda \frac{\partial \theta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \right)$$

$$= \frac{n+2}{n-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{a,\lambda}^{4/(n-2)} \left(\lambda \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \right)^2 + O \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} \delta_{a,\lambda}^{2n/(n-2)} + \int_\Omega \delta_{a,\lambda}^{(n+2)/(n-2)} \theta_{a,\lambda} \right).$$

Thus, (34) and easy computations imply the proof of the first equality in (82). For the other equality, observe that

$$\langle \lambda \frac{\partial \pi \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda}, \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \pi \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a} \rangle = \int_\Omega \left[(-\Delta + V) \lambda \frac{\partial \pi \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \right] \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \pi \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a} = \frac{n+2}{n-2} \int_\Omega \delta_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \lambda \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \theta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a} \right).$$

Let $B := B(a, d_0)$, by oddness, it holds that

$$\int_\Omega \delta_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \lambda \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a} = \int_{\Omega \setminus B} \delta_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \lambda \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a} = O \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{n+1}} \right).$$

For the other integral, since $a \in \Omega_0$, we get

$$\frac{n+2}{n-2} \int_\Omega \delta_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \lambda \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \theta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a} = \int_\Omega \left[(-\Delta + V) \lambda \frac{\partial \pi \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \right] \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \theta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a}$$

$$= \int_\Omega \lambda \frac{\partial \pi \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \left[(-\Delta + V) \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \theta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a} \right] - \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left[\lambda \frac{\partial \pi \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \right] \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \theta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a}$$

$$= \int_\Omega \lambda \frac{\partial \pi \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} V \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a} + O \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{n-1}} \right).$$

To complete the proof, using (9), (10), (11) and the fact that $|\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}/\partial a| \le c \delta_{a,\lambda}/|x - a|$, we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\Omega \setminus B} \lambda \frac{\partial \pi \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} V \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a} \right| \le c \int_{\Omega \setminus B} \delta_{a,\lambda} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a} \right| \le \frac{c}{\lambda^{n-1}},$$

$$\int_B \lambda \frac{\partial \pi \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} V \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a} = V(a) \int_{B(a,d_0)} \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial \delta_{a,\lambda}}{\partial a} + O \left(\int_B \frac{1}{\lambda} \delta_{a,\lambda}^2 + \int_B R_{a,\lambda}^1(x) \frac{\delta_{a,\lambda}^2}{\lambda |x - a|} \right) \le c \frac{\ln^{\sigma_n} \lambda}{\lambda^3}.$$

This completes the proof of Assertion (82).

The proof of (83) can be done in the same way than the proof of (82). Thus, we will omit it here.

Concerning Assertion (84), note that, (9) and easy computations imply that

$$|(-\Delta + V) \varphi_i| \le c \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}^{(n+2)/(n-2)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad |\varphi_i| \le c \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} \qquad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Thus, using the last inequality of (33), we deduce that

$$|\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle| = \left| \int_\Omega (-\Delta + V) \varphi_1) \varphi_2 \right| \le c \int_\Omega \delta_{a_1, \lambda_1}^{(n+2)/(n-2)} \delta_{a_2, \lambda_2} \le c \varepsilon_{12}.$$

This completes the proof of Assertion (84). ∎

5   Conclusion

In this paper, we have investigated the existence of solutions to a nonlinear elliptic problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions, involving a slightly subcritical exponent for Sobolev embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$. Through a careful asymptotic analysis of the gradient of the associated Euler-Lagrange functional near the "bubbles," we successfully constructed solutions that exhibit bubble formation clustered at interior points. The methodology adopted is tailored to variational problems. While our work demonstrates the existence of solutions with clustered bubbles for the given problem, several promising avenues for further research and open questions remain:

(i) **Location of the Concentration Points:** This paper focuses on the construction of interior bubbling solutions with clustered bubbles. A natural extension of this work would be to investigate the existence of solutions that concentrate at non-isolated interior points that approach the boundary in the limit.

(ii) **Impact of the Nature of Critical Points:** The solutions constructed in this paper are based on the assumption that the chosen critical point b of the potential V is non-degenerate, and that the corresponding function $\mathcal{F}_{b,N}$ has a non-degenerate critical point, where $\mathcal{F}_{b,N}$ is defined by (2) with N denotes the number of bubbles clustered at point b. A natural question arises: what occurs if b is degenerate, or if $\mathcal{F}_{b,N}$ lacks non-degenerate critical points?

(iii) **Impact of Subcritical Exponent:** The present work addresses a slightly subcritical exponent for Sobolev embedding. Future investigations could extend the analysis to exponents that are slightly supercritical, that is, when $\varepsilon < 0$ but close to zero.

Author contributions: All authors contributed significantly and equally to writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The authors state no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement: Data are contained within the article.

References

[1] H. Alharbi, H. Alkhuzayyim, M. Ben Ayed, K. El Mehdi, *Interior peak solutions for a semilinear Dirichlet problem*, Axioms 2025, 14, 58. https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms14010058 .

[2] M. Bahrami, A. Grobardt, S. Donadi, A. Bassi, *The Schrodinger-Newton equation and its foundations*, New J. Phys. **16**, No. 11, Article ID 115007, 17 p. (2014).

[3] A. Bahri, Critical points at infinity in some variational problems. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, **182**. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.

[4] A. Bahri, Y.Li, O. Rey *On a variational problem with lack of compactness: the topological effect of the critical points at infinity*, Calculus of Variation and Partial Diff. Equ. **3** (1995) 67-94.

[5] A. Bahri, J. M. Coron, *On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent: the effect of the topology of the domain*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41**, (1988), 253-294.

[6] M. Ben Ayed, K.El Mehdi, F.Mohamed Salem, *Inerior multi-peak solution for a slightly subcritical nonlinear Neumann equation*, Symmetry 16 (3) **291** (2024).

[7] O. Bokanowski, Lopez, L. Jose, J. Soler, *On an exchange interaction model for quantum transport: the Schrodinger-Poisson-Slater system*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **13**, No. 10 (2003), 1397-1412.

[8] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **36** 4 (1983), 437–477.

[9] L. Caffarelli, B. Gidas, J. Spruck, *Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **42** (1989) 271-297.

[10] Y. Dammak, A non-existence result for low energy sign-changing solutions of the Brezis-Nirenberg problem in dimensions 4, 5 and 6, J. Differential Equations 263 (2017) 7559–7600 .

[11] O. Druet, E. Hebey, *Elliptic equations of Yamabe type*, IMRS Int. Math. Res. Surv., **1** (2005) 1–113.

[12] K. El Mehdi, F. Mohamed Salem *Interior Bubbling Solutions for an Elliptic Equation with Slightly Subcritical Nonlinearity*, Mathematics **11** (6), 1471 (2023). https://doi.org/10.3390/math11061471.

[13] R. Penrose, *On gravity's role in quantum state reduction*, Gen. Relativ. Gravitation **28**, No. 5 (1996), 581-600.

[14] A. Pistoia, T. Weith, *Sign-changing bubble-tower solutions in a slightly subcritical semi-linear Dirichlet problem*, Ann. L'i.H.P. Anal. Non Linéaire, **24** (2007), 325–340.

[15] J. Wei, S. Yan, *Clustered bubbles for an elliptic problem with critical growth*, Nonlinear Analysis:TMA **119** (2015), 46-61.