Unpublished Findings in Experimental Philosophy: Causes and Impact

We appreciate your interest in this study, which investigates how unpublished or unreported findings may affect experimental philosophy. Our aim is to examine researchers' experiences, practices, and attitudes regarding data sharing, publication, and reasons for non-publication. This survey is anonymous; we do not collect any identifying information. The entire survey should take about 15–20 minutes to complete. We recommend taking part in this survey using a desktop (not mobile) web browser.

This study seeks participants who

- have completed or are currently pursuing a doctoral or other terminal degree (e.g., PhD, EdD, JD, MFA, MBA) in philosophy or a closely related field,
- · conduct quantitative experimental or empirical research, and
- · are affiliated with a university or research institution.

Some of the questions in this survey are inspired by studies conducted on researchers in other fields, such as psychology, neuroscience, and applied linguistics. This allows us to compare the challenges faced in experimental philosophy with those observed in other disciplines. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the research team at b.mackiewicz@uw.edu.pl.

This study has been approved by the Rector's Committee for the Ethics of Research Involving Human Participants, University of Warsaw (Decision Number: 361/2024).

By continuing, you acknowledge that you have read and understood this information and consent to participate.

There are 43 questions in this survey.

Prescreening

Have you completed a doctoral degree (or equivalent terminal degree, e.g., PhD, EdD, JD, MFA, MBA)? * Please choose only one of the following: Yes No
Are you currently pursuing a PhD in philosophy or a related field (e.g., cognitive science, psychology)? * Only answer this question if the following conditions are met: P1 (/index.php/questionAdministration/view/surveyid/187652/gid/47940/qid/180566) == "No" Please choose only one of the following:
○ No
Do you conduct quantitative experimental or empirical research in experimental philosophy or related to experimental philosophy? (Note: By quantitative research, we mean research with data collection that results in numerical data) * Please choose only one of the following: Yes No

	rently affiliated with a university/research institution (e.g.,
	t, faculty member, or researcher)? *
Please choose only	one of the following:
Yes	
○ No	
Demograph	ıy
	emographic and background information to contextualize your responses.
	ear did you complete your first doctoral (or
-	terminal) degree (e.g., PhD, EdD, JD, MFA, MBA)? *
-	estion if the following conditions are met: stionAdministration/view/surveyid/187652/gid/47940/qid/180566) == "Yes"
_	st be between 1900 and 2026
Only an integer va Please write your ar	alue may be entered in this field. nswer here:
, , , ,	
In which ve	ear did you begin your first doctoral (or equivalent terminal)
degree pro	
-	t be between 1900 and 2026
-	alue may be entered in this field.
Please write your ar	iswer nere:
How many	years have you been actively conducting research in
experimen	ital philosophy? *
Your answer must Please write your ar	at be between 0 and 100
Flease write your ar	iswel fiele.

In which country did you complete your doctoral (or equivalent terminal) degree ? *
Only answer this question if the following conditions are met: P1 (/index.php/questionAdministration/view/surveyid/187652/gid/47940/qid/180566) == "Yes"
Please choose only one of the following:
Afghanistan
Albania
Algeria
Andorra
○ Angola
Antigua and Barbuda
○ Argentina
Armenia
○ Australia
○ Austria
Azerbaijan
Bahamas
Bahrain
Bangladesh
Barbados
Belarus
Belgium
Belize
Benin
Bhutan
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)
Bosnia and Herzegovina
○ Botswana
○ Brazil
O Brunei Darussalam
Bulgaria
Burkina Faso
Burundi
Cabo Verde
Cambodia
Cameroon
Canada
Central African Republic
Chad
○ Chile
China
Colombia
○ Comoros
Congo
Cook Islands
Costa Rica

○ Côte d'Ivoire	
○ Croatia	
Cuba	
○ Cyprus	
Czech Republic	
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	
Congo	
○ Denmark	
□ Djibouti	
○ Dominica	
Ominican Republic	
○ Ecuador	
○ Egypt	
○ El Salvador	
Equatorial Guinea	
○ Eritrea	
○ Estonia	
○ Ethiopia	
○ Fiji	
○ Finland	
○ France	
Gabon	
Gambia	
Georgia	
Germany	
Ghana	
Greece	
Grenada	
Guatemala	
Guinea	
Guinea-Bissau	
Guyana	
Haiti	
Honduras	
Hungary	
lceland	
○ India	
☐ Indonesia ☐ Iran (Islamic Republic of)	
☐ Iran (Islamic Republic of) ☐ Iraq	
○ Ireland	
Israel	
○ Italy	
Jamaica	
Japan	
Jordan	
○ Kazakhstan	
	- 1

○ Kenya	
Kiribati	
Kuwait	
○ Kyrgyzstan	
Cao People's Democratic Republic	
○ Latvia	
Lebanon	
Clesotho	
Liberia	
Libya	
Lithuania	
Luxembourg	
○ Malawi	
Malaysia	
Maldives	
Mali	
Malta	
Marshall Islands	
○ Mauritania	
Mauritius	
○ Mexico	
Micronesia (Federated States of)	
Monaco	
Mongolia	
○ Montenegro	
Morocco	
Mozambique	
Myanmar	
Namibia	
Nauru	
Nepal	
Netherlands	
New Zealand	
Nicaragua	
Niger	
Nigeria	
Niue	
Norway	
Oman One of the control of the contr	
Pakistan	
Palau	
Palestine	
Panama Panya Naya Cuinea	
Papua New Guinea	
Paraguay	
Peru	

Philippines	
Poland	
○ Portugal	
O Puerto Rico	
○ Qatar	
Republic of Korea	
Republic of Moldova	
Romania	
Russian Federation	
Rwanda	
Saint Kitts and Nevis	
◯ Saint Lucia	
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	
Samoa	
◯ San Marino	
Sao Tome and Principe	
◯ Saudi Arabia	
Senegal	
Serbia	
Seychelles	
◯ Sierra Leone	
Singapore	
Slovakia	
Slovenia	
Solomon Islands	
Somalia	
South Africa	
South Sudan	
Spain	
◯ Sri Lanka	
Sudan	
Suriname	
Swaziland	
Sweden	
Switzerland	
Syrian Arab Republic	
○ Thailand	
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	
○ Timor-Leste	
○ Togo	
○ Tokelau	
◯ Tonga	
Trinidad and Tobago	
○ Tunisia	
○ Turkey	
○ Turkmenistan	

○ Tuvalu
○ Uganda
Ukraine
United Arab Emirates
the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
United Republic of Tanzania
United States of America
○ Uruguay
Uzbekistan
○ Vanuatu
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)
○ Viet Nam
Yemen
Zambia
Zimbabwe
During your undergraduate or graduate studies (e.g., MA, PhD), how many
courses or training sessions did you complete that focused primarily on quantitative research methods and/or statistics?
*
Only an integer value may be entered in this field. Please write your answer here:

In which country is your current university/institution located? * Please choose only one of the following:
Afghanistan
Albania
Algeria
Andorra
Angola
Antigua and Barbuda
Argentina
Armenia
Australia
Austria
Azerbaijan
Bahamas
Bahrain
○ Bangladesh
Barbados
Belarus
○ Belgium
Belize
Benin
Bhutan
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)
Bosnia and Herzegovina
○ Botswana
○ Brazil
O Brunei Darussalam
Bulgaria
Burkina Faso
Burundi
○ Cabo Verde
Cambodia
Cameroon
Canada
Central African Republic
Chad
Chile
China
Colombia
Comoros
Congo
Cook Islands
○ Costa Rica
○ Côte d'Ivoire
○ Croatia

Cuba	
○ Cyprus	
Czech Republic	
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	
Congo	
○ Denmark	
○ Djibouti	
○ Dominica	
O Dominican Republic	
○ Ecuador	
○ Egypt	
○ El Salvador	
Equatorial Guinea	
○ Eritrea	
Estonia	
Ethiopia	
○ Fiji	
Finland	
France	
Gabon	
Gambia	
Georgia	
Germany	
Ghana	
Greece	
Grenada	
Guatemala	
Guinea	
○ Guinea-Bissau	
Guyana	
○ Haiti	
○ Honduras	
() Hungary	
○ Iceland ○ India	
☐ India	
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	
○ Iraq	
○ Ireland	
○ Israel	
○ Italy	
Jamaica	
○ Japan	
Jordan	
○ Kazakhstan	
Kenya	
○ Kiribati	

○ Kuwait	
─ Kyrgyzstan	
Cao People's Democratic Republic	
○ Latvia	
Lebanon	
Lesotho	
Liberia	
Libya	
Lithuania	
Luxembourg	
○ Malawi	
Maldives	
Mali	
Malta	
Marshall Islands	
Mauritania	
Mauritius	
○ Mexico	
Micronesia (Federated States of)	
Monaco	
Mongolia	
○ Montenegro	
Morocco	
Mozambique	
○ Myanmar	
Namibia	
Nauru	
○ Nepal	
Netherlands	
New Zealand	
Nicaragua	
Niger	
Nigeria	
Niue	
Norway	
Oman	
Pakistan	
Palau	
Palestine	
Panama Daniel Control	
Papua New Guinea	
Paraguay Date of the second o	
Peru Peru	
Philippines Related	
Poland	

○ Portugal
Puerto Rico
Qatar
Republic of Korea
Republic of Moldova
Romania
Russian Federation
Rwanda
Saint Kitts and Nevis
Saint Lucia
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
Samoa
◯ San Marino
Sao Tome and Principe
Saudi Arabia
Senegal
○ Serbia
Seychelles
◯ Sierra Leone
Singapore
Slovakia
Slovenia
◯ Solomon Islands
Somalia
O South Africa
O South Sudan
Spain
○ Sri Lanka
Sudan
Suriname
Swaziland
Sweden
Switzerland
Syrian Arab Republic
○ Thailand
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia
○ Timor-Leste
○ Togo
○ Tokelau
Tonga
Trinidad and Tobago
Tunisia
Turkey
○ Turkmenistan
Tuvalu
☐ Uganda

Ukraine	
United Arab Emirates	
the United Kingdom of Great Britai	in and Northern Ireland
United Republic of Tanzania	
United States of America	
Uruguay	
Uzbekistan	
◯ Vanuatu	
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	
Viet Nam	
Yemen	
Zambia	
Zimbabwe	
Assistant Professor (or equivalent) Post-Doctoral Fellow Graduate Student	
Other	
Which of the following	disciplines most closely aligns with your primary erimental philosophy?
Which of the following research area in exp	disciplines most closely aligns with your primary erimental philosophy?
Which of the following research area in exp	
Which of the following research area in exp	
Which of the following research area in exp	
Which of the following research area in exp * • Check all that apply Please choose all that apply:	
Which of the following research area in exp * • Check all that apply Please choose all that apply: philosophy of action	
Which of the following research area in exp * Check all that apply Please choose all that apply: philosophy of action ethics	
Which of the following research area in exp Check all that apply Please choose all that apply: philosophy of action ethics epistemology	
Which of the following research area in exp Check all that apply Please choose all that apply: philosophy of action ethics epistemology philosophy of language	
Which of the following research area in exp * Description: Please choose all that apply: philosophy of action ethics epistemology philosophy of language philosophy of mind	erimental philosophy?
Which of the following research area in exp Check all that apply Please choose all that apply: philosophy of action ethics epistemology philosophy of language philosophy of mind metaphysics	erimental philosophy?
Which of the following research area in exp * Check all that apply Please choose all that apply: philosophy of action ethics epistemology philosophy of language philosophy of mind metaphysics foundations of experimental philos	erimental philosophy?
Which of the following research area in exp Check all that apply Please choose all that apply: philosophy of action ethics epistemology philosophy of language philosophy of mind metaphysics foundations of experimental philos logic and argumentation theory	erimental philosophy?

For the following questions, consider your publication activity **over the past 5 years** and provide an average estimate. *Note: If you began your doctoral (or equivalent terminal) degree fewer than 5 years ago, base your responses only on the period since you started that degree.*

On average, how many experimental philosophy projects (e.g., research articles, book chapters) do you publish per year? Please consider both theoretical and empirical research, including single- and co-authored projects. * Please write your answer here:
How many of your experimental philosophy projects published per year appear in peer-reviewed journals? * Please write your answer here:
How many of your experimental philosophy projects published each year
include quantitative data analysis ? *
Please write your answer here:
If you have any additional comments or wish to clarify any responses, please enter them below. Please write your answer here:

Prevalence

In the past 5 years , how many of the research projects involving quantitative data analysis you have been involved in (including your students' work) has stopped or terminated at each of the following stages ?
Note: If you started your doctoral or terminal degree (e.g., PhD, EdD, JD, MFA, MBA) fewer than 5 years ago, please focus only on the time since you began that degree.
 Each answer must be at least 0 Only integer values may be entered in these fields. Please write your answer(s) here:
Data analysis Formal Presentation (e.g., unpublished report, thesis)
Torrida Procentation (e.g., angustioned report, another
Professional Presentation (e.g., conference presentation, talk)
Submitted Manuscript (Editor's Rejection Reasons)
Reviewed Manuscript (Reviewer's Rejection Reasons)
Published paper
In case of research projects that have stopped or terminated at the stage of data analysis , what were the reasons for termination? *
Only answer this question if the following conditions are met: Answer was greater than '0' at question ' [R1]' (In the past 5 years, how many of the research projects involving quantitative data analysis you have been involved in (including your students' work) has stopped or terminated at each of the following stages? Note: If you started your doctoral or terminal degree (e.g., PhD, EdD, JD, MFA, MBA) fewer than 5 years ago, please focus only on the time since you began that degree. #question{QID} .ls-group-dynamic { display: flex; margin: 0 } #question{QID} .ls-group-dynamic .control-label { padding-left: calc(var(bs-gutter-x)*.5); padding-right: calc(var(bs-gutter-x)*.5); } #question{QID} .ls-group-dynamic .ls-input-group { width: 100px; padding-left: calc(var(bs-gutter-x)*.5); padding-right: calc(var(bs-gutter-x)*.5); text-align: right; } #question{QID} .ls-group-total .suffix-text { display: table-cell !important; } (Data analysis))
● Check all that apply Please choose all that apply:
Loss of interest or lack of resources
Additional studies needed (follow-ups, replications, etc.)
Conceptual, methodological, or statistical concerns
Unimportant or unanticipated results
Null results (non-significant findings)

In case of research projects that have stopped or terminated at the stage of formal presentation , what were the reasons for termination? *
Only answer this question if the following conditions are met: ((R1_SQ002.NAOK (/index.php/questionAdministration/view/surveyid/187652/gid/47942/qid/180586) > 0))
Check all that apply Please choose all that apply:
Loss of interest or lack of resources Additional studies needed (follow-ups, replications, etc.) Conceptual, methodological, or statistical concerns Unimportant or unanticipated results Null results (non-significant findings)
In case of research projects that have stopped or terminated at the stage of professional presentation , what were the reasons for termination? * Only answer this question if the following conditions are met: ((R1_SQ003.NAOK (/index.php/questionAdministration/view/surveyid/187652/gid/47942/qid/180586) > 0)) • Check all that apply Please choose all that apply: Loss of interest or lack of resources Additional studies needed (follow-ups, replications, etc.) Conceptual, methodological, or statistical concerns Unimportant or unanticipated results Null results (non-significant findings)
In case of research projects that have stopped or terminated at the stage of submitted manuscript, what were the reasons for termination? * Only answer this question if the following conditions are met: ((R1_SQ004.NAOK (/index.php/questionAdministration/view/surveyid/187652/gid/47942/qid/180586) > 0)) Other chall that apply Please choose all that apply: Not aligned with the journal's scope or profile Results considered unimportant by the editor Manuscript quality concerns (e.g., poor writing, excessive length) Null results (non-significant findings)

Awareness/implications

Before participating in this study, were you aware of the issue of many scientific results remaining unpublished for reasons not related to their scientific quality (sometimes referred to as the "file drawer problem" or "publication bias")? * Please choose only one of the following:
Yes
○ No
O Not sure
How familiar are you with this issue ? *
Only answer this question if the following conditions are met: I1 (/index.php/questionAdministration/view/surveyid/187652/gid/47943/qid/180583) == "Yes"
Please choose only one of the following:
1 - Not at all familiar
<u></u>
<u></u> 3
4
5 - Very familiar
In your opinion, does the "file drawer problem" apply to experimental philosophy research ? *
Please choose only one of the following:
1 - Definitely not
○ 3
<u></u>
◯ 5 - Definitely yes

Please indicate your **familiarity** with the following possible **consequences** of the **file drawer problem**, as well as how **severe** you believe each consequence is for **the quality of research in experimental philosophy**. *

Please choose the appropriate response for each item:

	1 - Not familiar at all, 5 - Very familiar			1 - Not severe at all,			, 5 - Very severe			
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Biased understanding of research results (e.g. overestimation of effect sizes, overestimation of how common certain phenomena are).	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Difficulty in evaluating the replicability of research findings.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bias toward novelty over replication.	\circ	\circ	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	\circ
Lack of negative result replications.	0	0	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	0	0
Wasted research efforts due to redundant studies.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced study quality due to pressure for significance.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Career setbacks for early-career researchers or other underprivileged groups.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Below is a list of **research practices** that are sometimes considered **problematic in scientific research**, including the file drawer problem. Please rate **how acceptable** you believe **these practices are within the community of experimental philosophers**.

*

Please choose the appropriate response for each item:

	Completely acceptable	Mostly acceptable	Neither acceptable nor unacceptable	Mostly unacceptable	Completely unacceptable	Prefer not to answer
Selecting variables out of convenience and/or familiarity when more theoretically grounded variables are available	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Fishing for results by collecting information on unnecessary variables	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not being transparent with regard to the reporting on what steps were taken for data cleaning (e.g., removing cases/items without a stated criterion or justification for doing so)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Removing whole items/cases knowingly / purposefully to obtain favorable results	0	0	0	0	0	0
Employing selective reporting of results/instruments	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cherry-picking data to analyze	0	\circ	0	\circ	0	\bigcirc
Not attempting to publish results in a timely manner	0	0	0	0	0	\circ
Not reporting or publishing results because they are not statistically significant	0	0	0	0	0	0

Solutions

Do your research sponsors (e.g., your institution, ethics review committee, or funding agency) require you to do any of the following? *
Check all that apply
Please choose all that apply:
Develop a data management plan before starting the research. Make research data publicly available. Publish in open access journals. Upload preprints or articles to a public repository.
None of the above.

Does your current organization or institution provide any of the follow resources or support ? *	ving
Check all that apply Please choose all that apply:	
An institutional repository for scientific publications. A research data repository. Funding for publishing in open access journals. Assistance in disseminating research results or preprints. Citable digital object identifiers (DOIs) for datasets or research reports. None of the above.	
Are you familiar with any repositories , sites , or tools suitable for experimental philosophy , such as the following? * • Comment only when you choose an answer. Please choose all that apply and provide a comment: Online databases of null results. Specify, if known:	
Online databases of replication studies. Specify, if known:	
Data journals. Specify, if known:	
Preprint publication platforms. Specify, if known:	
Journals that publish null results. Specify, if known:	
None of the above.	

Please indicate whether you are **currently engaging in** or **planning to engage** in the following **activities**: *

Please choose the appropriate response for each item:

	Currently			In the future			
	Yes	No	l don't know		Yes	No	l don't know
Publish a preprint	\circ	0	0		\bigcirc	0	0
Publish in an open access journal	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ		\bigcirc	\circ	\circ
Deposit an author's accepted manuscript of a non-open access journal article in a repository to make it openly available	0	0	0		\circ	0	0
Cite a dataset	\bigcirc	\circ	0		\bigcirc	0	0
Cite code or software used for data analysis or visualization	\bigcirc	\circ	0		\bigcirc	0	0
Publish a data paper or publish a dataset independently from a journal article or scholarly work	\bigcirc	0	0		\bigcirc	0	0
Make data available, but only to researchers with appropriate credentials (e.g. via a data access committee)	0	0	0		0	0	0
Share or publish other research materials (e.g. stimuli, questionnaires) independently from a journal article or scholarly work	0	0	0		\circ	0	0
Share or publish a study protocol, workflow, or method independently from a journal article or scholarly work	\circ	0	0			0	0
Pre-register a study	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ		\bigcirc	\circ	\circ
Submitting a registered report	\bigcirc	\circ	0		\bigcirc	\circ	0
Take advantage of a data curation or research data management service offered by a publisher or other third party	0	0	0		0	0	0
Publish a direct replication of a previously published study (possibly as part of another study)	\bigcirc	\circ	0			0	\circ
Publish my results in a form of micropublication (research note or a very short publication designed for unpublished observations, neutral or null results)	0	0	0		0	0	

Below is a list of **potential reasons** why researchers **might not publish** their **data, code, or research reports**. Please rate how much each **reason** applies to you or your research. *

Please choose the appropriate response for each item:

	Not a reason at all	Slightly a reason	Moderately a reason	Strongly a reason	Extremely a reason
Concerns about intellectual property or ownership issues.	0	0	0	\circ	0
Fear of misuse or misrepresentation of the data/code.	0	0	0	\circ	0
Desire to maintain a competitive advantage (e.g., worry that others might use the data to produce competing publications before the original authors can).	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of direct benefits, rewards, or incentives for the time and effort required to prepare data, code, or reports.	0	0	0	0	0
Technical challenges (e.g., issues with compatibility, version control, or documentation of code or data).	0	0	0	0	0
Journal policies that do not require sharing supplementary materials.	0	0	0	0	0
Fear of criticism or the possibility of exposing errors.	0	\circ	0	\circ	0
Pressure to publish in high-impact journals, leaving little time to ensure reproducibility or share materials.	0	0	0	0	0
Restrictions imposed by funding agencies, commercial partners, or collaborative agreements.	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of training or skills (e.g., no expertise in formatting or preparing data/code/reports).	0	0	0	0	0
Extra effort that feels overwhelming amidst other responsibilities.	0	0	0	0	0

If some other reason comes to your mind, please put it here and rate how much it applies to you or your research.

Please choose the appropriate response for each item:

	Not a reason at all	Slightly a reason	Moderately a reason	Strongly a reason	Extremely a reason
Other:		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	0

pen and transparent ther research materia r datasets in open ac egistering studies, an ease choose the appropriate response for	als, publish cess repos d submitti	ing prepi itories, ci	ints, depo ting data (siting ma or softwa	nuscripts
ade difede the appropriate response to	1- Not motivating	2	3	4	5 - Highly motivating
acluding these practices in esearcher evaluations or project esessments.	0	0	0	0	0
dditional funding specifically esignated to support these ractices.	0	0	0	0	0
vailability of experimental hilosophy-specific databases or epositories for data, materials, and ode.	0	0	0	0	0
ssignment of a permanent DOI for atasets, pre-registrations, reports, reprints, or any additional aterials.	0	0	0	0	0
tandardized templates or uidelines for pre-registration, open eporting, dataset preparation, and ther transparent research activities experimental philosophy.	0	0	0	0	0
o you publish micropu o you publish micropu npublished studies, neg ease choose only one of the following: Always Often Sometimes	ublications				of

Where do you publish micropublications ? *
Only answer this question if the following conditions are met:
M1 (/index.php/questionAdministration/view/surveyid/187652/gid/47948/qid/180818) == "AO01" M1 (/index.php/questionAdministration/view/surveyid/187652/gid/47948/qid/180818) == "AO02" M1
(/index.php/questionAdministration/view/surveyid/187652/gid/47948/qid/180818) == "AO03" M1
(/index.php/questionAdministration/view/surveyid/187652/gid/47948/qid/180818) == "AO04"
♠ Check all that apply Please choose all that apply:
ResearchGate
Open Science Framework (PsyArxiv)
Github
Personal website / blog
Other:
ata abasing/aubliabing
ata sharing/publishing
Have you ever archived, deposited, or published a dataset to make it
available to others? *
Check all that apply Please choose all that apply:
,
Yes, I have published my data as part of a journal article (e.g. sharing a full dataset as part of the supplementary materials).
Yes, I have deposited my data in a government or funder sponsored repository (e.g. NIMH Data Archive).
Yes, I have shared my data using the Open Science Framework (OSF).
Yes, I have deposited my data into a general purpose repository besides the OSF (e.g figshare, Zenodo).
Yes, I have deposited my data in a discipline-specific repository.
Yes, I have deposited my data in my institutional repository.
□ No.
Other:
What is your motivation for sharing your data (for example, by unloading
What is your motivation for sharing your data (for example, by uploading some or all of it to a general or discipline-specific repository)? *
Only answer this question if the following conditions are met:
Answer was NOT at question ' [H1]' (Have you ever archived, deposited, or published a dataset to make it available to others?)
• Check all that apply
Please choose all that apply:
,
To communicate my results and/or add to the scholarly literature.
To allow other researchers to assess the validity of my conclusions.
Professional incentives (e.g. authorship or citations).
To establish intellectual property or patent claims.
It is mandated by a funder, publisher, or my institution.
To foster transparency and reproducibility.
To foster re-use (e.g. facilitate meta-analyses).
Other:

Please indicate your **level of need** for **training or education** for each of the following data-sharing practices: * Please choose the appropriate response for each item: 5 - High level 1 - No need 2 3 4 of need Sharing data in a form that ensures it will be useful to others (e.g. in open file formats, with proper documentation, etc). Use of different platforms, \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc repositories, or tools for sharing data (e.g. Open Science Framework, figshare, institutional repository options). Protecting participant confidentiality \bigcirc \bigcirc in shared data. Understanding reuse rights related to data (e.g. copyright, licensing, citations). Best practices for preserving or \bigcirc archiving data over the long term. How would you rate your willingness to change your data management practices during the data collection phase in response to new technologies, opportunities, or requirements? * Please choose only one of the following: 1 - Unwilling () 2 3 \bigcirc 4 5 - Willing Final Comments and Additional Information If you have any comments on this questionnaire or additional information to share, please enter them below. Please write your answer here:

Thank you for participating in our study! Your responses have been recorded, and we greatly appreciate your time and input. If you have any questions or would like to learn more about the study's findings, feel free to contact us at b.mackiewicz@uw.edu.pl. Your contribution is invaluable to our understanding of research practices in experimental philosophy.

In designing this questionnaire, we drew on the following studies:

- Bernard, R., Weissgerber, T. L., Bobrov, E., Winham, S. J., Dirnagl, U., & Riedel, N. (2020). fiddle: a tool to combat publication bias by getting research out of the file drawer and into the scientific community. Clinical Science, 134(20), 2729-2739.
- Borghi JA, Van Gulick AE (2018) Data management and sharing in neuroimaging: Practices and perceptions of MRI researchers. PLoS ONE 13(7): e0200562. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200562
- Borghi JA, Van Gulick AE (2021) Data management and sharing: Practices and perceptions of psychology researchers. PLoS ONE 16(5): e0252047. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0252047
- Larsson, T., Plonsky, L., Sterling, S., Kytö, M., Yaw, K., & Wood, M. (2023). On the frequency, prevalence, and perceived severity of questionable research practices. Research Methods in Applied Linguistics, 2(3), 100064.
- Lishner, D. A. (2022). Sorting the file drawer: A typology for describing unpublished studies. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 17(1), 252-269.

Submit your survey.

Thank you for completing this survey.