Graph Optimization

What is graph?

A graph is a pair G = (V, E),

where V is a set of vertices, each of which contains some parameters to be optimized. E is a set of connected information, whose elements are denotes the constraint relationship between two vertices.

Many robotics and computer vision problems can be represented by a graph problem.

How to solve graph problem?

A graph problem can be defined as a nonlinear least squares problems. Here, r_k and Σ_k represent the residual vector and the covariance matrix of edge k, respectively.

$$\underset{x}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} F(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e_k \in E} r_k^T \Sigma_k^{-1} r_k \tag{1}$$

We need to find an optimal set of vertices (i.e. V) to minimize the overall cost. According to guass_newton_method.md, once we can compute the Hessian matrix H and gradient g, we can solve this problem.

The hessian matrix H

Assuming the number of vertices in the graph is n and the number of edges is m, the block sizes of J, r, H, and g are m x n, m x 1, n x n, and n x 1, respectively. We noticed that the size of H and g is independent of m.

The hessian matrix can be show as:

$$H = J^T \Sigma^{-1} J = \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \sum_{e_k \in E} J_i^{kT} \Sigma_k^{-1} J_j^k & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2)

The gradient g

The gradient vector can be show as:

$$g = J^T \Sigma^{-1} r = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ \sum_{e_k \in E} J_i^{k^T} \Sigma_k^{-1} r_k \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$
 (3)

i and j are vertex numbers, and they also indicate the row and column numbers within the Hessian matrix. k is the edge number. J_i^k represents the partial derivative matrix of r_k with respect to x_i .

Derivative of edge between two lie groups

Suppose φ is an smooth mapping between two lie groups, we can define the derivative of φ as J:

$$\exp(\widehat{J\delta}) = \varphi(x)^{-1}\varphi(x \oplus \delta) \tag{4}$$

x is a the parameter of φ , and δ is a small increment to x.

The the transfrom error of two lie groups can define as:

$$\varphi(A,B) = Z^{-1}A^{-1}B \tag{5}$$

Where A and B are the two lie groups, which represent the poses of two vertices. The Z represents the relative pose of A nad B, which usually measured by odometry or loop-closing.

If A and B are SO3

$$\exp(\widehat{J_A\delta}) = (Z^{-1}A^{-1}B)^{-1}(Z^{-1}(A\exp(\hat{\delta}))^{-1}B)$$

$$= B^{-1}AZZ^{-1}\exp(-\hat{\delta})A^{-1}B$$

$$= B^{-1}A\exp(-\hat{\delta})A^{-1}B$$

$$= -\exp(B^{-1}A\hat{\delta}A^{-1}B)$$

$$= -\exp(\widehat{B^{-1}A\delta})$$
(6)

Hence:

$$J_A = -B^{-1}A \tag{7}$$

$$\exp(\widehat{J_B\delta}) = (Z^{-1}A^{-1}B)^{-1}(Z^{-1}A^{-1}B\exp(\hat{\delta}))$$

$$= B^{-1}AZZ^{-1}A^{-1}B\exp(\hat{\delta})$$

$$= \exp(\hat{\delta})$$
(8)

Hence:

$$J_B = I (9)$$

If A and B are SE2

The small incremental matrix of SE2 can be shown as follow:

$$\hat{\delta} = \begin{bmatrix} [\omega]_+ & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{10}$$

Where
$$\delta = \left[egin{array}{c} v \\ w \end{array}
ight] \in \mathfrak{se}(2)$$

 ω : the parameter of rotation (is a scalar). $[w]_+ = egin{bmatrix} 0 & -w \ w & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

v: the parameters of translation (is a 2d vector).

We rewrite the $B^{-1}A$ as T_{BA} .

$$T_{BA} = \begin{bmatrix} R & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{11}$$

We substitute (10) and (11) into (6), we get:

$$\exp(\widehat{J_A\delta}) = -\exp(T_{BA}\widehat{\delta}T_{BA}^{-1})
= -\exp(T_{BA}\begin{bmatrix} [\omega]_+ & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}T_{BA}^{-1})
= -\exp(\begin{bmatrix} R & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} [\omega]_+ & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} R^T & -R^Tt \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix})
= -\exp(\begin{bmatrix} R[\omega]_+ & Rv \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} R^T & -R^Tt \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix})
= -\exp(\begin{bmatrix} R[\omega]_+R^T & R[\omega]_+(-R^Tt) + Rv \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix})
= -\exp(\begin{bmatrix} [\omega]_+ & -[\omega]_+t + Rv \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix})
= -\exp(\begin{bmatrix} [\omega]_+ & -[\omega]_+t + Rv \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix})$$

According to (10), we can rewrite (12) as:

$$egin{align} \exp(\widehat{J_A\delta}) &= -\exp(\overline{egin{bmatrix} -[\omega]_+t+Rv \ w \end{bmatrix}}) \ &= -\exp(\overline{egin{bmatrix} -\omega t^ot+Rv \ w \end{bmatrix}}) \ &= -\exp(\overline{egin{bmatrix} R & -t^ot \ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} v \ w \end{bmatrix}}) \end{aligned}$$

Where
$$t^{\perp}=[1]_{+}t=egin{bmatrix} -t_{2} \ t_{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence:

$$J_A = - \begin{bmatrix} R & -t^{\perp} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} R_{BA} & -t_{BA}^{\perp} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (13)

similer with (9):

$$J_B = I \tag{14}$$

If A and B are SE3

The small incremental matrix of SE3 can be shown as follow:

$$\hat{\delta} = \begin{bmatrix} [\omega]_{\times} & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{15}$$

Where
$$\delta = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{se}(3)$$

 ω : the parameters of rotation (is a 3d vector). $[w]_{ imes}$ is the skew symmetric matrix of w.

v: the parameters of translation (is a 3d vector).

Similar to (12), we get:

$$\exp(\widehat{J_A\delta}) = -\exp(T_{BA}\widehat{\delta}T_{BA}^{-1})
= -\exp(T_{BA}\begin{bmatrix} [\omega]_{\times} & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}T_{BA}^{-1})
= -\exp(\begin{bmatrix} R & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} [\omega]_{\times} & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} R^T & -R^Tt \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix})
= -\exp(\begin{bmatrix} R[\omega]_{\times} & Rv \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} R^T & -R^Tt \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix})
= -\exp(\begin{bmatrix} R[\omega]_{\times}R^T & -R[\omega]_{\times}R^Tt + Rv \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix})
= -\exp(\begin{bmatrix} [R\omega]_{\times} & -[R\omega]_{\times}t + Rv \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix})
= -\exp(\begin{bmatrix} [R\omega]_{\times} & -[R\omega]_{\times}t + Rv \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix})$$

According to (10), we can rewrite (16) as:

$$\exp(\widehat{J_A\delta}) = -\exp(\overline{\begin{bmatrix} -[R\omega]_{\times}t + Rv \\ Rw \end{bmatrix}})$$

$$= -\exp(\overline{\begin{bmatrix} [t]_{\times}R\omega + Rv \\ Rw \end{bmatrix}})$$

$$= -\exp(\overline{\begin{bmatrix} R & [t]_{\times}R \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix})$$
(17)

Hence:

$$J_A = -\begin{bmatrix} R_{BA} & [t_{BA}]_{\times} R_{BA} \\ 0 & R_{BA} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (18)

similer with (9):

$$J_B = I (19)$$