Project: ServeMe System

CSE 5325 – Spring 2022

Project Management

Module: COCOMO

Deliverable: COCOMO Estimate Report

Version: [1.0] Date: [04/12/2022]

Prepared by: Pankaj Gope

1001990547

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	2
2.	ESTIMATING FACTORS	3
	2.1 Source of Lines of Code	3
	2.3 Cost Drivers	4
3	PROJECT FINAL TIMELINE AND COST STRUCTURE	8
4.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS :	9
A	PPENDICES	10

1. INTRODUCTION:-

The objective of this document is to estimate the cost, effort, and schedule for the ServeMe System (SMS).

We utilized SystemStar to compute and generate reports that we would use as a guide throughout the project. For your convenience, we've included print screens of the COCOMO.

We derived COCOMO findings by taking into account 3 critical factors:

- Source Line of Code(SLOC)
- Scale Drivers
- Cost Drivers

SIGNIFICANT ESTIMATES PRODUCED:

- Total size of SLOC
- Total Effort(in Person-Months)
- Total Duration(in Months)
- Total Cost(in thousand \$)
- Total Productivity in Developed SLOC per Person-Month

MODEL USED: Waterfall

NUMBER OF DEVELOPERS: 5

Furthermore, the disparities between the two estimates will be analyzed, and recommendations for our project plan will be made based on the COCOMO projections. To provide context, the COCOMO II estimate for the ServeMe System project indicates a 5-month length, but the prior estimate on the Microsoft Project Plan indicated a 3-month period. The project also specifies the methods to be followed in order to eliminate cost variations and schedule inconsistencies.

2. Estimating Factors:-

2.1 SOURCE OF LINES OF CODE:-

The following is the number of lines of code delivered as part of this project, A justification for the total amount of LOC is provided.

SLOC | Source Lines of Code Value Chosen: : 5000

Justification: We have decided to go for the maximum SLOC i.e., 5000 so that we would be able to estimate programming productivity or maintainability once the software is developed, as well as to anticipate the amount of effort that will be necessary to construct a program.

2.2 SCALE DRIVERS :-

The following is the list of scale drivers, the values applicable to this project and a justification for each value chosen:

PREC | Precendentedness | Value Chosen: : Generally Familiar

Justification: The Senior developer can lead the team, along with other team members as they are familiar with the system design of the project since they have strong technical background.

FLEX | Development Flexibility | Value Chosen: : Some relaxation

Justification: We want to satisfy all our standards. Some relaxation will be provided when something unexpected occurs, such as a personal loss or a medical issue.

RESL | Architecture/Risk Resolution | Value Chosen: : Mostly (90%)

Justification: Our needs will be fully stated for the duration of the project. but there can be unforeseen problems with the android version OS and web application, so we have kept it as 90%.

TEAM | Team Cohesion | Value Chosen: : Highly Cooperative

Justification: We have a solid working connection with our business stakeholders. Also, our project team, strongly supports teambuilding to achieve a shared vision and commitments. All deliverables are completed on time by the team.

PMAT | Process Maturity Value Chosen: : SEI CMM Level 4

Justification: The ServeMe system is handled by highly skilled employees who are focused on a quantitative approach to analyze service and project performance to identify and implement process improvements to meet and exceed customers.

2.3 COST DRIVERS:-

The following is a list of cost drivers, the values that apply to this project, and a reason for each value selected:

ACAP | Analyst Capability Value Chosen: : Very High

Justification: It is a measure of the analyst's skill to determine how effectively the analyst understands and lays out the project's needs. This element is managed at a very high level since the team consists of professional programmers and QA engineers.

Justification: In addition to technical skills, application experience is essential. Object technology has been used in many key commercial end-user applications that deliver business benefits.

PCAP | COCOMO Programmer Value Chosen: : Very High

Justification: The team's members are all highly qualified and well-known. Even the Junior programmer, a fresh college grad recruit, had to go through a rigorous interview procedure before being assigned to this project.

Justification: It is a measure of the team's experience with the platform, and because the team is familiar with the website development platform and a few new platforms to be merged into the website, this is set to Very High.

Justification: Our experts have been well-versed with JavaScript, Java, React, Springboot, MySQL, HTML, CSS and our junior engineers have at least three years of expertise with Android technologies and languages.

Justification: It is a measure of the continuity of staff with the existing organization and is expressed in terms of annual turnover. Assuming that few employees leave the company each year, this is set to High.

PROJECT:

TOOL COCOMO Use of Software	Value Chosen: : Nominal
-------------------------------	-------------------------

Justification: We will make use of freely available market instruments. This is our test version of the project. To acquire clients, we want our developers to provide high-quality code. As a result, we shall limit ourselves to a minimal degree of Software tool.

SITE | COCOMO Multisite | Value Chosen: Extra High

Justification: It computes the number depending on the locations of the team members, who are spread throughout many cities. Assuming the team is in the same city, this is marked as Extra High.

Justification: We have a strict development timetable due to our restricted time. We also prepared a Low development timetable because the project had to be finished within a certain time frame.

PLATFORM:

TIME Execution Time Constraint	Value Chosen: : Nominal
----------------------------------	-------------------------

Justification: We strive to create software projects that do not consume too much of the available execution time. As a result, we have set time to nominal. It also implies that execution will not be too poor, or we will be unable to match client expectations.

Justification: It is a measure of how much storage space the software will take up on the machine it is being run on. Because it is a website, it will utilize high storage on the client side, hence it is marked as High.

Justification We picked a minimal platform volatility since there would be no substantial changes for a year after the project is deployed. Every month, we will concentrate on small adjustments such as aesthetic changes, new versions, license renewal, performance scalability, and so on.

PRODUCT:

RELY | Required Software Reliability | Value Chosen: : High

Justification: It is a metric based on the repercussions that the customer will suffer if the software fails. Because this is the customer's online store, if the website goes down, the client would suffer a significant financial loss, hence this is rated as high.

DATA | Database Size Cost Driver | Value Chosen: : Nominal

Justification: Since we are not establishing a separate database We will utilize an off-the-shelf database, MySQL, which is freely available to us. As a result, the database will not be too huge to handle.

Justification: While developing complicated applications, UX designers and researchers should address the complexities of integration, information, objective, environment, and institution.

RUSE | Required Reusability Cost | Value Chosen: : High

Justification: In terms of usability, the ServeMe System is pretty general. We are utilizing skilled personnel, as well as spending money and time, to create an application that can be re-used in our firm for future reference. This reusability element will also help us train the resources.

DOCU | COCOMO Documentation Value Chosen: : Nominal Match to Lifecycle Needs Cost Driver

Justification: : It represents the amount of documentation that will be created during the product development process. We have designated this as Nominal since we are not considering website upkeep and are developing the website utilizing waterfall technique.

3 PROJECT FINAL TIMELINE AND COST STRUCTURE:-

Time and Cost Structure from previous assignment (Microsoft Project Planner):

TYPES	TIME AND COST
DURATION	3 months
HUMAN RESOURCE COST	\$1,27,600
NON-HUMAN RESOURCES COST	\$64,000
PROFIT (0.5*(HUMAN + NON-HUMAN COST))	\$1,91,600*0.5 = \$95,800
TOTAL COST	\$1,91,600 + \$95,800 = \$2,87,400

Below are the New Time and Cost Structure (COCOMO):

TYPES	TIME AND COST
DURATION	4.9 months
COCOMO ESTIMATED COSTS (HUMAN RESOURCES)	\$2,57,500
NON-HUMAN RESOURCES	\$64,375
MAINTENANCE COST	\$17,500
PROFIT (0.5*(HUMAN + NON-HUMAN COST))	\$3,39,375*0.5 = \$1,69,687.5
TOTAL COST	\$3,39,375 + \$1,69,687.5= \$5,09,062.5

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS:-

CONCLUSIONS:

In second assignment, we did not compute the project cost and schedule prediction for the project, platform, and product, we did not use any equation, we overallocated human resources for a 3-month project, we did not include maintenance cost, we miscalculated the non-human resources such as license, hardware, and utility cost and we did not know the productivity of the resources involved.

The COCOMO findings are listed below:

- Total size of SLOC: 5000
- Total Effort(in Person-Months): 6.4
- Total Duration(in Months): 4.9
- Total Cost(in thousand \$): 257.5
- Total Productivity: 779

RECOMMENDATION:

Following things are the reasons why we would use COCOMO:

- Better management, Easy to follow, Reports and Graphs Generation.
- Due to the time constraints, it is strongly advised that we ship the primary capabilities
 requested by the customer in modest releases so that we may have a soft launch of the
 website on time. We will add the remaining functionalities when the website becomes
 online.
- If there is a set budget, we can solve the problem by successfully going through the application. We may bypass the mid-development phase where we need to collect input and instead proceed with full-scale feature development to complete all the features.

Appendices:-

















