

**YCompany Electronic Claim Processing**

Design Document

[Abstract summary of the document]

Version 1.0.0

**Contents**

[1 Preface 2](#_Toc62416820)

[2 Introduction 3](#_Toc62416821)

[3 Overview 4](#_Toc62416822)

[4 Design considerations 7](#_Toc62416823)

[4.1 Assumptions & Dependencies 7](#_Toc62416824)

[4.2 Goals & Guidelines 7](#_Toc62416825)

[5 Glossary 9](#_Toc62416826)

[Appendix 10](#_Toc62416827)

[A.1 <Appendix sub-heading level 1> 10](#_Toc62416828)

[A.1.1 <Appendix sub-heading level 2> 10](#_Toc62416829)

# Preface

This document will outline the software design and specification for proposed eClaims system for YCompany in addition to system architecture, system components, and software requirements as proposed upon by the project team.

# Introduction

YCompany decided to develop the Electronic Claim Processing application. This document describes the high-level design of the application. It explains design of the application by using solution diagram, detailed solution architecture, technology stack. It also covers non-functional requirements coverage, performance and scalability, assumptions, and scope. The specifications described in this document can be used to initiate development of the application. However, the design described would require appropriate approvals from the company.

# Overview

“YCompany” is willing to build customer portal and mobile app which they can use to inform of an accident or loss, they should be able to submit any details regarding accident e.g. photograph of accident or police report and further details. Once the first information is received, the claims should be generated corresponding to the policy and incident. Incident manager, adjustor and surveyor should be notified of the incident. Customer should be constantly updated with the status of the claims processing.

Customer should be provided with a list of partner workshop where they can take the vehicle for repairs. Customer can choose from partner workshop or any external workshop. In case of partner workshop selection customer should be able to set up appointment with the workshop from the customer site itself. The partner workshop should be intimated of the initial accident details. Once the vehicle is dropped off based on the type of policy coverage user should be able to select a rental vehicle from the Car Rental partner from customer site itself. Any change in the status of the vehicle the customer should be notified.

Surveyor should be able to assess the damage on the vehicle once vehicle is in workshop and should be able to submit the report to back office, Once the assessment is submitted electronically, the adjustor should be able to evaluate the claim to be processed and approve the amount. Once it has been approved electronically Customer should be notified of the amount the claim has been approved for with notification also being sent to the workshop. The workshop should be able to keep updating the status of repairs which should be notified to the customer. The customer should be notified of the any changes in the expected delivery date of the vehicle based on information from workshop.

On completion of work the Customer should be able to pay the due amount electronically from the web site itself.

The partner workshop should be able to login to the site and upload work order with respect the car repairs and estimates. Partner Workshop should be able to track their payment based on the incidents they have addressed.

Claims department should be able to generate reports on claims processed, processing time, fraudulent claims, ageing matrix for claim if there are any claims which are pending from long time. Claims department should be able to crate claims processed reports based on different roles i.e., Adjustor, Surveyor, Case Manager, Workshop. All the information interchange should be electronic completely avoiding paperwork.

The claims received and processed should be stored in a central document management system for auditing and compliance perspective.

* Customer Portal and App
  + Customer can create a login using their policy details.
  + Customer should be able to change the correspondence address.
  + Customer should be able to change the billing cycle.
  + Customer should be able to make electronic payment.
  + Customer should be able to submit claims.
  + Customer should be able to submit any supporting document.
  + Customer should be notified and alerted if any status change happens on claims.
  + Customer should be able to check the Partner Service providers (Repair workshop, authorized service station, Car Rental) based on this location or zip address.
  + Customer should be able to keep track of repair based on work order and progress report submitted by the Repair agency.
* Incident Management
  + Case Management team should be automatically assigned based on the availability of the Surveyor, Adjustor, Case Management also keeping in mind the Surveyor field office covers the area where vehicle is for repairs.
  + Surveyor should be able to submit their assessment online via website or app and once the surveyor assignment is submitted the adjustor should be notified.
  + Adjustor should be able to view the claim, supporting document, assessment report online and should be able to adjudicate the claim based on policy coverage.
  + In case Surveyor or Adjustor is not available for claims processing the case, manager should be able to delegate the claim processing to another Surveyor or Adjustor.
  + Case Manager should be able to view the complete details of the case and make adjustment to claims which can override the Adjustor and surveyor.
  + Auditor can look at all the details pertaining to the claims and any processing.
* 3rd Party integrations
  + 3rd Party service providers like in network Vehicle Repair Workshop should be able to provide detail work order and estimates with respect to claim.
  + They should be able to provide constant updates with respect to the work that is being done and has been completed.
  + Updates provided by them should be notified to customer (email/SMS) along with the delivery date.
  + They should be able to provide final bill amount for the repairs, which customer should be notified prior of and should be able to pay off from the site.
  + 3rd Party provider should be able to track the claims payment status.
* Internal Users Reporting
  + Internal user should be able to login to the portal.
  + Only Authorized Case Managers should be able to generate reports with respect to the claims they have received.
  + Regional Manager should be able to see the details with respect to claims received in their region with details like
    - Claims Processing Time
    - Claims amount paid out.
    - Number of claims with respect to geography.
  + Top management should be able to generate reports based on how each region is processing with respect to claims, which region more claims are being received, which region has higher claims amount paid out.
* Document Management
  + Document Management is the key aspect as every claims document should be saved and archived for further auditing and processing.
* Alerts and Notification
  + Alerts and Notification should be sent out constantly to all the relevant parties notifying them of any progress or status change of claims.
  + All communication with the customer should be archived for future reference, auditing, and compliance.

# Design considerations

## Assumptions & Dependencies

* Solution is only considering Auto loan, other insurance like General, Health etc. are out of scope of this design.
* Car workshop and rental providers already have the software system ready and we will be integrating it with e-claim system.
* YCompany will provide one time data entry team to backfill existing policies details via excel in defined format, this backfill will cover all existing policies to be inserted/uploaded on database server.
* Majority percentage of the user do have access to smart phones and internet access and well aware of using any website, though user training will be conducted before the application launch.
* Best suited browser is Google Chrome.
* Client has accepted cloud-based architecture, currently there is a discussion pending whether it will an on-premises or privately owned environment.
* YCompany Cloud partner is Microsoft Azure.
* Data centers will be cloud region based so as to follow security guidelines as per region/zone jurisdiction rules about data privacy.

## Goals & Guidelines

* System should be:
  + Readable
  + Correct
  + Reliable
  + Reusable
  + Extendable
  + Flexible
  + Efficient
* Iterative Development – As to resolve software flaws or risks before there has been a lot of time and effort put into the software, this approach enables continuous testing and continuous integration which creates the opportunity for early feedback so that changes can be made swiftly.
* Continuous testing from the start of development will help to avoid costly repairs later on or even after deployment.
* Validate against industry standard rules for security and risk.
* System should follow the modularity so that refactoring can be easy and fast.
* All code files should be accompanied by their respective unit test cases and code coverage report should be minimum 75%, though for some part/files it could be ignored based on valid justification from developer.
* Automation test framework will be implemented for System Integration Testing (SIT).
* All warnings from IDE will be considered as errors.
* All best practices should be followed during coding phase and proper code analysis check should be enforced by team leads and module owners.
* Code/Release branches merge should have proper code review mechanism.

# Non-Functional Requirement considerations

## Usability

* Speed of Use
  + Application user interface will enable end user an error and mistake free system operating.
  + Application will be available on desktop browsers (preferably google chrome) as well as on mobile device.
* Required User Ability
  + Application will be used by customer owning the policy, internal users, and partners.
* Learnability
  + Application will provide tour and video materials for users.
* Training Material
  + Application tour within the application will be available as training material.
* Language
  + Application will be implemented only in English.

## Reliability

* Maximum Failure Rate
  + Maximum failure rate should not be more than 1%. It must be in range of 0-1 %.
* Maximum Down Time
  + Acceptable system downtime is 0 per 24-hour period.
* Ease of Recovery
  + System will be auto recoverable. Assuming maximum acceptable time for restarting the system is 5 min after failure.
* Maximum Known Bugs
  + System or any part of the system is not acceptable with known high severity bugs.
  + System is acceptable with up to 5 known medium severity bugs with defined work arounds.
  + Medium and low severity bugs should be reported in release document with future action items so as to avoid them.

## Performance

* Throughput
  + Assuming 100 thousand concurrent users may use the system at any given peak-use time.
  + Assuming that 5 servers is acceptable under load balancer under peak time. Normally application will have two servers under load balancer.
  + The offered solution must complete 99% of provided services in less than 5000 milliseconds, over both the peak and non-peak hours.
* Response Time
  + Acceptable response time range 0-5 (in seconds) to a user action on the system.

## Security

* All the web API call is secure with JWT token and only authenticated and authorize use will access the system.
* Solution provided guard against following security threats.

1. [Injection](https://blog.sucuri.net/2018/10/owasp-top-10-security-risks-part-i.html)
2. [Broken Authentication](https://blog.sucuri.net/2018/10/owasp-top-10-security-risks-part-i.html)
3. [Sensitive data exposure](https://blog.sucuri.net/2018/10/owasp-top-10-security-risks-part-ii.html)
4. [XML External Entities (XXE)](https://blog.sucuri.net/2018/10/owasp-top-10-security-risks-part-ii.html)
5. [Broken Access control](https://blog.sucuri.net/2018/12/owasp-top-10-security-risks-part-iii.html)
6. [Security misconfigurations](https://blog.sucuri.net/2018/12/owasp-top-10-security-risks-part-iii.html)
7. Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)
8. Insecure Deserialization
9. Using Components with known vulnerabilities
10. Insufficient logging and monitoring

## Supportability

* Ease of Installation
  + Solution is develop using docker. So, it is easily portable and deployable on any environment. Docker images published on all targeted registry using fully integrated CI\CD pipeline.
  + We are assuming that client is already on azure cloud and we want to deploy our multi container application on Azure Kubernetes Services.
  + YCompany DevOps engineer will do the deployment job of e-claim and we will be providing online support during this process.
* Planned Maintenance
  + Our targeted deployment environment is self-managed AKS. So, maintenance is very minimum, and everything will handle via browser.
  + YCompany DevOps engineer are responsible.
  + Assuming monthly maintenance and release cycle.
* Backup
  + Back up will be handled by azure cloud service.
  + No user interaction is required for backup.
* Ease of Configuration
  + AKS cluster configuration is required for setting up the no of cluster and no of microservice instances.
  + Need to configure policies for increasing the number of instances of handling the high load.
  + Will be handled by IT admin of YCompany, having access of azure account.
* Ease of Testing
  + Application should be tested with manual as well as automated cases.
  + User acceptance testing is required at the end of development cycle.
  + Load testing will be required at the end of development cycle.

## Infrastructure Requirements

* Clients
  + Client system should have at least 1 GB RAM.
  + All latest and previous two versions are supported fully on desktop and mobile.
* Servers
  + We recommend deploying the application on azure AKS. If we want to deploy on premises Kubernetes cluster. We recommend having at the three clusters to deploy all microservices spread on all the clusters.
  + Each cluster machine should have 4 GM RAM and 8 core processor and 100GB hard disk.
* Networks
  + 100 MBPS bandwidth network connection is required by Web Server and Application Server.
* Web Services
  + SMS Provider service
  + Mail Exchange service
  + Azure file storage service
* Environment
  + Application is multi container application and will run in on-premises or AKS.

# Solution Architecture

Solution architecture is based on the Microservice architecture pattern which requires implementation of an API gateway as the single-entry point for all clients. The API gateway handles requests in one of two ways. Some requests are simply proxied/routed to the appropriate service. It handles other requests by fanning out to multiple services.

We have defined a separate API gateway for each kind of client and this type of architecture pattern is known as **Backends for frontends**. In this architecture style, there are three kinds of clients: web application, mobile application, and internal application like bulk upload or back office. There are three different API gateways. Each one is providing an API for its client.

Using an API gateway has the following benefits:

* Insulates the clients from how the application is partitioned into microservices.
* Insulates the clients from the problem of determining the locations of service instances.
* Provides the optimal API for each client.
* Reduces the number of requests/roundtrips. For example, the API gateway enables clients to retrieve data from multiple services with a single round-trip. Fewer requests also mean less overhead and improves the user experience. An API gateway is essential for mobile applications.
* Simplifies the client by moving logic for calling multiple services from the client to API gateway.
* Translates from a “standard” public web-friendly API protocol to whatever protocols are used internally.

The API gateway pattern has some drawbacks:

* Increased complexity - the API gateway is yet another moving part that must be developed, deployed, and managed.
* Increased response time due to the additional network hop through the API gateway - however, for most applications the cost of an extra roundtrip is insignificant.

## Solution diagrams

### Backend for frontends:

Backend for frontends:

Web Applicaion

Internal App Gateway

Web App Gateway

Mobile App Gateway

Mobile App

Internal Application

Web Application

### API Gateway under the hood

API

Gateway

Service

**1**

**2**

**3**

**4**

**5**

**6**

**7 Other Middleware**

**8**

**Authentication & Authorization**

**Middleware**

### Solution Design

**Event bus**


**Identity Microservice**

**Docker Host or AKS**

Web API

**SQL Server**

API Gateways




Aggregator

Web

API


Gateway

INGRESS (NGINX)

`

Aggregator

Mobile API Gateway



Aggregator

Internal app API Gateway

# Glossary

| Glossary Term | Definition |
| --- | --- |
| <Term one> | <Definition one> Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam at porta est, et lobortis sem. Duis imperdiet in nisl sed luctus. Vivamus hendrerit molestie urna, eu viverra velit porttitor sit amet. Donec nec ligula vitae elit venenatis tincidunt. Curabitur in est placerat, lobortis ante sit amet, tincidunt tortor. Duis ligula dui, tincidunt ut aliquam quis, gravida in libero. Nunc vel lacinia turpis. Etiam metus neque, ultricies eget odio eu, mollis ultricies lectus. Vestibulum consectetur turpis eget diam dignissim posuere. |
| <Term two> | <Definition two> Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam at porta est, et lobortis sem. Duis imperdiet in nisl sed luctus. Vivamus hendrerit molestie urna, eu viverra velit porttitor sit amet. Donec nec ligula vitae elit venenatis tincidunt. Curabitur in est placerat, lobortis ante sit amet, tincidunt tortor. Duis ligula dui, tincidunt ut aliquam quis, gravida in libero. Nunc vel lacinia turpis. Etiam metus neque, ultricies eget odio eu, mollis ultricies lectus. Vestibulum consectetur turpis eget diam dignissim posuere. |
| <Term N> | <Definition N> Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam at porta est, et lobortis sem. Duis imperdiet in nisl sed luctus. Vivamus hendrerit molestie urna, eu viverra velit porttitor sit amet. Donec nec ligula vitae elit venenatis tincidunt. Curabitur in est placerat, lobortis ante sit amet, tincidunt tortor. Duis ligula dui, tincidunt ut aliquam quis, gravida in libero. Nunc vel lacinia turpis. Etiam metus neque, ultricies eget odio eu, mollis ultricies lectus. Vestibulum consectetur turpis eget diam dignissim posuere. |

Appendix

[todo]


[Appendices are optional, and are used to provide additional detailed information that cannot be covered in main flow.]

* 1. <Appendix sub-heading level 1>

[todo]

* + 1. <Appendix sub-heading level 2>

[todo]



**COPYRIGHT NOTICE**

Copyright © 2013-17 Nagarro Software Pvt. Ltd. **All rights reserved.**

This document is confidential and proprietary to Nagarro Software Pvt. Ltd. and no part of it should be reproduced, published, transmitted or distributed in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in any information storage or retrieval system of any nature nor should it be disclosed to third parties without the prior express written authorization of Nagarro Software Pvt. Ltd.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Revision History** | | |  |
| Version | Date | Author/Contributor | Comments |
| 0.1 | [dd-mm-yyyy] | [name/name] | [comments] |
|  |  |  |  |