# Statistics 2 Unit 1

# Group?

# $March\ 13,\ 2018$

# Contents

1	Task 1	2
2	Task 2	2
3	Task 3	3
4	Task 4	3
5	Task 5         5.1 a)	
6	Task 6	5
7	Task 7         7.1 a)	6
8	Task 8	7
9	Task9:	8
10	Task11:         10.1 a)	10
11	Task12:	11

12 Task15:	12
13 Task16:	13
14 Task17:	14

By using the following equation  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} x^k y^{n-k} = (x+y)^n$  we can easily

find the characteristic function of the binominal distribution. 
$$E\left(e^{itk}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} e^{itk} p^k \left(1-p\right)^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \left(e^{it}p\right)^k \left(1-p\right)^{n-k} = \left(1+p\left(e^{it}-1\right)\right)^n$$

Now, By Levy's continuity theorem we can show that binomial distribution tends to Poisson distribution when:  $n \to \infty \land p \to 0$  s.t.  $np \to \lambda$ .

$$(1+p\left(e^{it}-1\right))^n = \left(1+\frac{np\left(e^{it}-1\right)}{n}\right)^n$$
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(1+\frac{np\left(e^{it}-1\right)}{n}\right)^n = e^{\lambda\left(e^{it}-1\right)}$$

We can notice that  $e^{\lambda(e^{it}-1)}$  is exactly the characteristic function of Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ . By continuity theorem the result follows.

#### 2 Task 2

Let  $X \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \lambda)$ . Also note that  $E(X) = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \wedge Var(X) = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2}$ .

First lets apply standartization to our gamma distribution:

$$Z = \frac{x - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}}{\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\lambda}} \sim N(0, 1)$$
 Thus, the corresponding characteristic function is:

$$\varphi_{z}(t) = E(e^{itz}) = e^{-it\sqrt{\alpha}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - it/\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha}$$
Now let's transform a bit the left part of the above equation:

Now let's transform a bit the left part of the above equation: 
$$\left(\frac{1}{1-it/\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha} = \left(1+it\sqrt{\alpha}/-\alpha\right)^{-\alpha} = e^{-\alpha \ln\left(\left(1+it\sqrt{\alpha}/-\alpha\right)\right)}$$
Now let's implement Taylor series expansion to  $\ln\left(\left(1+it\sqrt{\alpha}/-\alpha\right)\right)$ 

 $e^{-\alpha \ln\left(\left(1+it\sqrt{\alpha}/-\alpha\right)\right)} = e^{it\sqrt{\alpha}-\frac{t^2}{2}+O\left(t^3\right)}$ 

The, going back to our char. function, the first term of the expansion cancels with the first term of the characteristic function, and the term  $O(t^3)$  gets neglictible as  $\alpha \to \infty$  Thus, we get  $e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$  which is the characteristic function of the standard normal distribution. And by continuity theorem the result follows.

Let Round-off error be written as a R.V.  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{100} X_i$ Note that Expectation and Variance of unif. distribution (from a to b) are:

$$E(X) = \frac{b+a}{2} \text{ and } Var(X) = \frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$$
Then  $E(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{100} E(X_i) = 0 \land Var(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{100} Var(X_i) = \frac{25}{3}$ 
Now let's apply CLT:
$$Z = \frac{S_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{100} (X_i)}{\frac{5\sqrt{3}}{3}}$$

Let's approximate the probability that the round-off error exceeds 1, 2 and

$$\begin{array}{l}
5 \\
P(|S_n| > 1) = P(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{100} (X_i)\right| > 1) = P(|Z| > \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{3}) = 2P(Z > 0.3464) = \\
2(1 - \phi(0.3464)) = 0.7290421 \quad 2^*(1-\operatorname{pnorm}(0.3464)) \\
P(|S_n| > 2) = P(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{100} (X_i)\right| > 2) = P(|Z| > 2\frac{5\sqrt{3}}{3}) = 2P(Z > 0.693) = \\
2(1 - \phi(0.693)) = 0.4883096 \quad 2^*(1-\operatorname{pnorm}(0.693)) \\
P(|S_n| > 5) = P(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{100} (X_i)\right| > 5) = P(|Z| > 5\frac{5\sqrt{3}}{3}) = 2P(Z > 1.732) = \\
2(1 - \phi(1.732)) = 0.08327356 \quad 2^*(1-\operatorname{pnorm}(1.732))
\end{array}$$

#### 4 Task 4

Let's use CLT for the approximation.

$$E(X) = \int_0^1 x \cdot 2x dx = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$E(X^2) = \int_0^1 x^2 \cdot 2x dx = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$Var(X) = \frac{1}{18}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(S) = 20 \cdot \frac{2}{3} = \frac{40}{3} \wedge Var(S) = \frac{10}{9}$$

$$P(S \le 10) = P\left(S - \frac{40}{3} \le 10 - \frac{40}{3}\right) = P\left(\frac{S - \frac{40}{3}}{\sqrt{\frac{10}{9}}} \le \frac{10 - \frac{40}{3}}{\sqrt{\frac{10}{9}}}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{10 - \frac{40}{3}}{\sqrt{\frac{10}{9}}}\right)$$

pnorm((10 - 40/3)/sqrt(10/9))

## [1] 0.0007827011

#### 5.1 a)

1.

n = 100:

```
U1 <- runif(100,0,1)
mean(cos(2*pi*U1))

## [1] 0.04369909
```

n = 1000:

```
U2 <- runif(1000,0,1)
mean(cos(2*pi*U2))
## [1] -0.0105909
```

The correct solution to the integral is zero (easy to find by substitution where  $u = 2\pi x$ ).

The second Monte Carlo Integration with n = 1000 is closer to the true value, what supports the Law of Large Numbers.

# 5.2 b)

```
U3 <- runif(10000,0,1)
mean(cos(2*pi*U3^2))
## [1] 0.2510589
```

This integral does not have a closed form solution. One has to evaluate it with the Fresnel-integral. The Fresnel-Integral is defined as  $C(x) = \int_0^x \cos(t^2) dt = \sum_0^\infty (-1)^n \frac{x^{4n+1}}{(2n)!(4n+1)}$ . So by substitution where  $u = \sqrt{(2)}\sqrt{(\pi)}x$  we can solve  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2)}\sqrt{(\pi)}}\int_0^x \cos(u^2) du$ . After resubstitution it reduces to  $\frac{C(2)}{2} \approx 0.244$ .

We can find the exact solution also with R:

```
f <- function(x) cos(2 *pi*x^2)
integrate(f,0,1)
## 0.2441267 with absolute error < 2.3e-10</pre>
```

The idea of MC estimation: to evaluate the integral  $\Theta = \int g(x)f(x)dx$  we can compute  $\hat{\Theta} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{n=1}^{n}g(x_i)$ .

If the  $X_i$  are drawn independently, the  $Var(\hat{\Theta}) = \frac{Var(g(x))}{n}$ , consequently the standard deviation is equal to  $sd(\hat{\Theta}) = \frac{sd(g(x))}{\sqrt{n}}$ .

Now let's compute the integral  $\int_0^1 Cos(2\pi x)dx$  by using the MC estimation:

```
n<- 10000
x<-runif(n)
theta_hat<-mean(cos(2 * pi * x))
theta_hat
## [1] -0.004271987
sd<-sqrt(var(cos(2 * pi * x))/n)</pre>
```

The actual value of this integral should be 0.

```
actual_error<- theta_hat - 0
c(sd, actual_error)
## [1] 0.007025364 -0.004271987</pre>
```

Here we see that standard deviation of estimation and actual error differ a lot. We can also notice that our accuracy is depend on the size of the sample. So, now we will try to increase our n.

```
n<- 100000
x<-runif(n)
theta_hat<-mean(cos(2 * pi * x))
theta_hat</pre>
```

```
## [1] -0.0001710685
sd<-sqrt(var(cos(2 * pi * x))/n)</pre>
actual_error<- theta_hat - 0
c(sd, actual_error)
## [1] 0.0022381366 -0.0001710685
```

We can conclude that by increasing n we increase the accuracy of estimation.

#### 7 Task 7

We know that:

$$\Theta = \int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx$$

$$\hat{\Theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \frac{g(X_i)}{f(X_i)}$$

 $\hat{\Theta}=\frac{1}{n}\sum\frac{g(X_i)}{f(X_i)}$  Where f is is a density function on [a, b] from which we have generated the

#### 7.1 $\mathbf{a}$

$$\mathbf{E}(\hat{\Theta}) = \mathbf{E}(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \frac{g(X_i)}{f(X_i)}) = \frac{1}{n} * n * \mathbf{E}(\frac{g(X_i)}{f(X_i)}) = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{g(X_i)}{f(X_i)} * f(X_i) dx = \int_{a}^{b} g(x) dx = \Theta$$

#### 7.2**b**)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Var}(\hat{\Theta}) &= \mathbf{Var}(\frac{1}{n} \sum \frac{g(X_i)}{f(X_i)}) = \frac{n}{n^2} * \mathbf{Var}(\frac{g(X_i)}{f(X_i)}) = \frac{1}{n} * (\mathbf{E}(\frac{g(X_i)^2}{f(X_i)^2}) - \Theta^2) = \frac{1}{n} * (\int_a^b \frac{g(X_i)^2}{f(X_i)^2} * f(X_i) dx - \Theta^2) = \frac{1}{n} * (\int_a^b \frac{g(X_i)^2}{f(X_i)} dx - \Theta^2) \end{aligned}$$

Let's assume X is distributed uniformly on interval [0; 2] such that f(X) = 0.5.

Example of finite variance:

Let g(X) = X. Then  $\int_{0}^{x} 2X^{2} dx$  is finite and therefore variance is finite.

Example of infinite variance:

Let 
$$g(x) = X^{-0.5}$$
. Then  $(g(X))^2 = X^{-1}$ . Therefore  $(\int_0^2 2X^{-1} dx = 2(\ln(2) - \ln(0)))$ , so variance is infinite.

### 7.3 c)

we can use R:

```
f<-function(x) {
    exp(-x^2/2) * 1/(sqrt(2*pi))
}
theta<-integrate(f,0,1)
n<-100
r<-runif(100,0,1)
thetahat<-(1/n)*(1/sqrt(2*pi)*sum(exp(-r^2/2)))
difference <- theta[[1]][1] - thetahat
difference
## [1] -0.002713075</pre>
```

we can use a normal distribution, instead

```
r1<-rnorm(100,0,1)
thetahat1<-(1/n)*(1/sqrt(2*pi)*sum(exp(-r1^2/2)))
difference1<-theta[[1]][1] - thetahat1
difference1
## [1] 0.08003201
```

we can notice that using a normal distribution gets our estimate worse. This could be explained by the fact that the Monte Carlo estimation relies on the law of large number; therefore the expected value of the uniform distribution is exactly  $\frac{1}{n} * \sum (f(X))$ , which is why the uniform provides a better result, by getting closer to the actual expected value.

# 8 Task 8

To find such  $\delta$  we need to know  $\sigma$ , thus, we first estimate as MC with n=1000. After finding  $E(\theta)$  and  $E(\theta^2)$ , we can compute  $\sigma=E(\theta^2)-E(\theta)^2$  and follow the formula to compute our  $\delta$ :

$$P(-\delta \le \hat{\theta} - \theta \le \delta) = \Phi(\frac{\delta\sqrt(n)}{\sigma}) - (1 - \Phi(\frac{\delta\sqrt(n)}{\sigma}))$$

$$= 2\Phi(\frac{\delta\sqrt(n)}{\sigma}) - 1 = 0.05$$
(1)

```
MYESTIMATE <- function(x,n){
x <- runif(n)
thetah <- mean(cos(2*pi*x))
return(thetah)
}

MYESTIMATE2 <- function(x,n){
x <- runif(n)
thetah <- mean(x*cos(2*pi*x))
return(thetah)
}

mu <- MYESTIMATE(,1000)
var <- MYESTIMATE(,1000) - mu^2
answer8 <- pnorm(1.05/2)* var /sqrt(n)</pre>
```

Thus,  $\delta =$ 

```
answer8 ## [1] 8.315388e-05
```

### 9 Task9:

 $U_1, ..., U_n$  independently uniformly distributed RVs on [0, 1]  $U_{(n)}$  is maximum

Let' consider CDF of uniformly distributed RV on [0, 1]:

$$F_{U_i}(x) = xI_{[0,1)} + I_{[1,\infty]}$$

Let's find the CDF of  $U_{(n)}$ :

 $F_{U_n}(x) = P(U_{(n)} \le x) = P(\max[U_1, ..., U_n] \le x) = P(U_1 \le x, ..., U_n \le x) = P(U_1 \le x) \cdot P(U_2 \le x) \cdot ... \cdot P(U_n \le x) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(U_i \le x) = x^n I_{[0,1)} + I_{[1,\infty]}$ The density f(x) is just the derivative of F(x). So  $f(x) = nx^{n-1}$ .

$$E(U_n) = \int_0^1 x f(x) dx = \int_0^1 n x^n dx = \frac{n}{n+1}.$$

$$E(U_n^2) = \int_0^1 x^2 f(x) dx = \int_0^1 nx^{n+1} dx = \frac{n}{n+2}.$$

Now variance:

$$Var(U_n) = E(U_n^2) - E(U_n)^2 = \frac{n}{n+2} - \frac{n^2}{(n+1)^2} = \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2}.$$

And standard deviation:

$$\sigma_{U_n} = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)}}.$$

Standardization gives us

$$Z_n = \frac{U_n - \frac{n}{n+1}}{\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n+2}(n+1)}}$$

Since we need to find a limit at  $n \to \infty$ :

$$Z_n o rac{U_n - 1}{rac{1}{n}}$$

$$F_{Z_n}(x) = P(Z_n \le x) = P(U_n \le 1 + \frac{x}{n}) =$$

$$F_{U_n}\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right) = \left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right)^n I_{[0,1)}\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right) + I_{[1,\infty]}\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right)$$

Analyzing Indicator function and taking into account  $\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right)^n \to e^x$  we can conclude:

$$F_{Z_n}(x) = P(Z_n \le x) \to \begin{cases} e^x, & x < 0\\ 1, & x \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

### 10 Task11:

## 10.1 a)

The moment generating function:

$$m(t) = E(e^{tX})$$

Taylor series gives us the following:

$$m(t) = E(e^{tX}) = 1 + t\mu_1 + \frac{t^2\mu_2}{2!} + \frac{t^3\mu_3}{3!} + \dots + \frac{t^n\mu_n}{n!} + \dots$$

Here  $\mu_n$  are not central moments.

Cumulant is defined as natural log of moment generating function:

$$k(t) = log(m(t))$$

Now let's calculate the  $k_1$ . We need to take the derivative of  $log(1 + t\mu_1)$  with respect to t:

$$(\log(1+t\mu_1))' = \frac{\mu_1}{1+t\mu_1}$$

At t = 0 we get:

$$k_1 = \mu_1$$

Now to calculate the  $k_2$  we need the second derivative:

$$(\log(1+t\mu_1+\frac{t^2\mu_2}{2}))'' = (\frac{\mu_1+t\mu_2}{1+t\mu_1+\frac{t^2\mu_2}{2}})' = \frac{\mu_2(1+t\mu_1+\frac{t^2\mu_2}{2})-(\mu_1+t\mu_2)(\mu_1+t\mu_2)}{(1+t\mu_1+\frac{t^2\mu_2}{2})^2}$$

At t = 0 we get:

$$k_2 = \mu_2 - (\mu_1)^2$$

3rd and 4th derivative can be calculated using the formula:

$$k_n = \mu_n - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} {n-1 \choose m-1} k_m \mu_{n-m}$$

From this formula we get:

$$k_3 = \mu_3 - 3\mu_2\mu_1 + 2(\mu_1)^3$$

$$k_4 = \mu_4 - 4\mu_3\mu_1 - 3\mu_2^2 + 12\mu_2{\mu_1}^2 - 6{\mu_1}^4$$

# 10.2 b)

Here we show the  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$ ,  $k_4$  through the central moments. Central moments  $\mu_i$  can be calculated using the next formula:

$$\mu_i = E((x - E(x))^i)$$

$$k_2 = E(x^2) - E(x)^2 = E((x - E(x))^2) = \mu_2$$

It is clear that  $k_i$  can be represented by  $\mu_i$ , so for  $k_3$  and  $k_4$  we firstly calculated  $\mu_3$  and  $\mu_4$  and then compare with  $k_3$  and  $k_4$  sides.

$$\mu_3 = E((x - E(x))^3) = E(x^3 - 3x^2E(x) + 3xE(x)^2 - E(x)^3) = k_3$$

$$\mu_4 = E((x - E(x))^4) = E(x^4 - 4x^3E(x) + 6x^2E(x)^2 - 4xE(x)^3 + E(x)^4)$$
$$k_4 = \mu_4 - 3E(x^2)^2 + 6E(x^2)E(x)^2 - 3E(x)^4 = \mu_4 - 3\mu_2^2$$

## 10.3 c)

The skewness of a random variable X is the third standardized moment:

$$Skew(X) = \frac{\mu_3}{\mu_2^{3/2}} = \frac{k_3}{k_2^{3/2}}$$

The kurtosis is the fourth standardized moment, defined as

$$Kurt(X) = \frac{\mu_4}{\mu_2^2} = \frac{k_4 + 3k_2^2}{k_2^2}$$

### 11 Task12:

The cumulants  $k_n$  of a random variable X are defined via the cumulantgenerating function K(t), which is the natural logarithm of the momentgenerating function.

#### POISSON DISTRIBUTION

The moment-generating function  $m(t) = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}$ , therefore cumulant generating function  $k(t) = \log(m(t)) = \lambda(e^t - 1) = E(x)(e^t - 1) = \mu(e^t - 1)$ .

$$k_i = k^i(0) = \mu e^t(0) = \mu$$

So: 
$$k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k_4 = \mu$$

#### NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

The moment-generating function  $m(t)=e^{t\mu+\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2t^2}$ , therefore cumulant generating function:  $k(t)=\mu t+\sigma^2t^2/2$ .

$$k_1 = k^{(1)}(0) = \mu$$
  
 $k_2 = k^{(2)}(0) = \sigma^2$ 

$$k_3 = k_4 = 0$$

### 12 Task15:

The standard Gumbel distribution has distributution function

$$F(x) = e^{-e^{-x}}$$

#### Mode

To find the mode, we maximize the density function setting the derivative equal to 0.

$$f(x) = e^{-x}e^{-e^{-x}}$$

$$f'(x) = -e^{-x} * e^{-e^{-x}} + e^{-2x}e^{-e^{-x}}$$

Setting f'(x) = 0 and solving the equation we have:  $x_{mode} = 0$ .

#### Median

For median point  $F(x_{median}) = 0.5$ Solving the equation

$$F(x) = e^{-e^{-x}} = 0.5$$

we get  $x_{median} = -ln[-ln(2)]$ 

#### Moment generating function

$$m(t) = E(e^{xt}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{xt} * e^{-x} * e^{-e^{-x}} dx =$$

$$= [e^{-x} = v] = -\int_{\infty}^{0} v^{-t} v e^{-v} \frac{1}{v} dv = \int_{0}^{\infty} v^{-t} e^{-v} dv = \Gamma(1 - t)$$

#### Expectation

As we have seen in exercise 11, the  $E(X) = \mu_1$  irrespective to log-form.

$$E(x) = \mu_1 = m'(t)|_{t=0} = (\Gamma(1-t))'|_{t=0} =$$

$$= -\frac{\Gamma'(1-t)}{\Gamma(1-t)} = -\psi(1) = \gamma$$

$$E(e^{-x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x} * e^{-e^{-x}} * e^{-x} dx =$$

$$= [e^{-x} = v] = \int_{0}^{\infty} v e^{-v} dv = \Gamma(2) = 1$$

$$E(Xe^{-X}) = \Gamma(1-t)'$$

$$E(Xe^{-X}) = \Gamma(1-t)'$$

$$= (-t\Gamma(-t))'$$

$$= (-1)(\Gamma(-t)) + (-t)\Gamma'(-t)(-1)$$

$$= -1 + \Gamma'(1) = \gamma - 1.$$

$$E(X^{2}e^{-x}) = ((-1)(\Gamma(-t)) + t\Gamma'(-t))'$$

$$= \Gamma'(-t) + \Gamma'(-t) + t\Gamma''(-t)(-1)$$

$$= 2\Gamma'(-t) - t\Gamma''(-t)$$

$$= -2\gamma + \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} + \gamma^{2}$$

# 13 Task16:

We have  $F(t) = F_0\left(\frac{t-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$ , where  $F_0(t) = e^{-e^{-t}}$ . Then we can represent F(t) as

$$F(t) = e^{-e^{-\frac{t-\mu}{\sigma}}}.$$

To get  $F^{-1}(t)$  we should solve the equation with respect to t:

$$p = F(t)$$

$$p = e^{-e^{-\frac{t-\mu}{\sigma}}}$$

$$\log(p) = -e^{-\frac{t-\mu}{\sigma}}$$

$$-log(p) = e^{-\frac{t-\mu}{\sigma}}$$
 
$$log(-log(p)) = -\frac{t-\mu}{\sigma}$$
 
$$\sigma log(-log(p)) = -t + \mu$$
 
$$\mu - \sigma log(-log(p)) = t = F^{-1}(p)$$

# 14 Task17:

To find the cumulative distribution function we integrate the density function:

$$F(x|\alpha,\lambda) = \int_0^x \frac{\alpha\lambda^{\alpha}}{(\lambda+x)^{\alpha+1}} dx =$$

$$= \lambda^{\alpha} \alpha \int_0^x (\lambda+x)^{-\alpha-1} d(\lambda+x) =$$

$$= \lambda^{\alpha} \alpha \left[ \frac{1}{-\alpha} (\lambda+x)^{-\alpha} \right]_0^x =$$

$$= 1 - (1 + \frac{x}{\lambda})^{-\alpha}$$

For the Lomax distribution we know that:

$$E(X^n) = \frac{\lambda^n \Gamma(\alpha - n) \Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

Then

$$E(X) = \frac{\lambda * \Gamma(\alpha - 1)\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} = \frac{\lambda}{\alpha - 1}$$

$$Var(X) = E(X^2) - E(X)^2 = \frac{\lambda^2 * \Gamma(\alpha - 2)\Gamma(3)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} - (\frac{\lambda}{\alpha - 1})^2 = \frac{\lambda^2 \alpha}{(\alpha - 1)^2 (\alpha - 2)}$$