



# **Protocol Audit Report**

Version 1.0

*Paolina Petkova*

December 31, 2023

# Protocol Audit Report

Paolina Petkova

Dec 31, 2023

Prepared by: [Paolina Petkova]

Lead Auditors:

- Paolina Petkova

## Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
  - Roles
- Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- Findings
  - High
    - \* [H-1] Storing the password on chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private.
    - \* [H-2] `PasswordStore::setPassword` has no access control, meaning a non-owner could change the password
  - Informational
    - \* [I-1] `PasswordStore::getPassword` natspec indicates a parameter that does not exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect

## Protocol Summary

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of user's passwords. The protocol is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only the owner should be able to set and access the password.

## Disclaimer

Paolina makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by her is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

## Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

## Audit Details

**The findings described in this document correspond with the following commit hash**

```
1 7d55682ddc4301a7b13ae9413095feffd9924566
```

## Scope

```
1 ./src/  
2 |__ PasswordStore.sol
```

## Roles

- Owner: the user who can set the password and read the password
- Outsiders: no one else should be able to set or read the password

## Executive Summary

The audit went great, I spend around 2 hours on reading, finding and documenting all the findings.

## Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Info	1
Total	3

## Findings

### High

#### [H-1] Storing the password on chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private.

root cause -> Storing the password on chain makes it visible to anyone impact -> no longer private

#### Description:

All data stored onchain is visible to anyone and can be read directly from the blockchain. The `PasswordStore:s_password` variable is intended to be a private and only accessed through

`PasswordStore::getPassword` function which is only intended to be called only by the owner of the contract.

We show one such method of reading any data off chain below.

**Impact:**

Anyone can read the private password, severely breaking the functionality of the protocol.s

### Proof of Concept: (Prove of Code)

The below test case shows how anyone can read teh password directly from the blockchain.

1. Create a locally running chain:

```
1 make anvil
```

- ## 2. Deploy the contract to the chain:

```
1 make deploy
```

- ### 3. Run the storage tool:

We use 1 because it is the storage slot of `s_password` in the contract.

```
1 cast storage <ADDRES_HERE>_http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

You will get an output that looks like this:

[illegible]

Then you need to parse this bytes to string to see the human readable value:

```
1 cast parse-bytes32-string 0  
x6d7950617373776f72644000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000014
```

And you will get an output:

```
1 myPassword
```

### Recommended Mitigation:

Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the stored password. However, you're also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with this decryption key.

**[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access control, meaning a non-owner could change the password****Description:**

`PasswordStore::setPassword` is an `external` function and could be accessed outside the contract, according to the documentation `This function allows only the owner to set a new password`. but nowhere is checked if the user is the owner.

```
1 function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
2   @> // @audit - there is no access control
3     s_password = newPassword;
4     emit SetNetPassword();
5 }
```

**Impact:**

Anyone can set/change the password of the contract, severely breaking the contract intended functionality.

**Proof of Concept:**

Added the following test to `PasswordStore.t.sol` file which proves that any user can set the password.

Code

```
1 function test_anyone_can_set_the_password(address randomAddress) public
2 {
3   vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
4   vm.prank(randomAddress);
5   string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPasswoword";
6   passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
7
8   vm.prank(owner);
9   string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
10
11   assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
12 }
```

**Recommended Mitigation:**

Add an access control conditional to the `PasswordStore::setPassword` function.

```
1 function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
2   if (msg.sender != s_owner) {
3     revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
4   }
5   s_password = newPassword;
6   emit SetNetPassword();
7 }
```

```
7 }
```

## Informational

**[I-1] PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that does not exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect**

### Description:

```
1      /*
2      * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
3      @> * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4      */
5      function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
```

PasswordStore::getPassword function signature is getPassword() while natspec says it should be getPassword(string).

### Impact:

The natspec is incorrect.

### Proof of Concept:

None.

### Recommended Mitigation:

Remove the incorrect natspec line.

```
1 -      * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```