

Logic

Paolo Bettelini

Contents

1	Boolean Algebra	2
2	Logical inference	3
2.1	Modus Ponens	3
2.2	Modus Tollens	3
2.3	Fallacy of affirming the consequent	3
2.4	Fallacy of denying the antecedent	3
3	Necessity and sufficiency	4
3.1	Sufficiency	4
3.2	Necessity	4
3.3	Biconditional logical connective	4
4	Induction	5
5	Proof theory	6
5.1	k -ary Boolean function	6
5.2	0-ary Boolean function	6
5.3	Propositional variable	6
5.4	Propositional formula	6
5.5	Truth assignment	6
5.6	Language	6
5.7	Tautology	6
5.8	Satisfiability	6

1 Boolean Algebra

$$x \vee 0 = x$$

$$x \wedge 0 = 0$$

$$x \vee 1 = 1$$

$$x \wedge 1 = x$$

$$x \vee x = x$$

$$x \wedge x = x$$

$$x \wedge (x \vee y) = x$$

$$x \vee (x \wedge y) = x$$

$$x \wedge \neg x = 0$$

$$x \vee \neg x = 1$$

$$\neg x \wedge \neg y = \neg(x \vee y)$$

$$\neg x \vee \neg y = \neg(x \wedge y)$$

2 Logical inference

A logical inference is a logical deduction to infer the truth of a statement given a premise.

There are 4 forms of hypothetical syllogisms.

2.1 Modus Ponens

Modus Ponens or *affirming the antecedent* is a valid form hypothetical syllogism.

$$\frac{P \implies Q \quad P}{Q}$$

If P implies Q and P is true, then Q is also true.

2.2 Modus Tollens

Modus Tollens or *denying the consequent* is a valid form hypothetical syllogism.

$$\frac{P \implies Q \quad \neg Q}{\neg P}$$

If P implies Q and Q is false, then P is also false.

2.3 Fallacy of affirming the consequent

Affirming the consequent is an invalid form hypothetical syllogism.

$$\frac{P \implies Q \quad Q}{P}$$

If P implies Q and Q is true, then P is also true.

2.4 Fallacy of denying the antecedent

Denying the antecedent is an invalid form hypothetical syllogism.

$$\frac{P \implies Q \quad \neg P}{\neg Q}$$

If P implies Q and P is false, then Q is also false.

3 Necessity and sufficiency

3.1 Sufficiency

Given two statements P and Q where $P \implies Q$, P suffices for Q to be true.

3.2 Necessity

Given two statements P and Q where $P \implies Q$, Q is a necessity for P to be true ($Q \longleftarrow P$), but Q does not necessarily imply P .

3.3 Biconditional logical connective

A biconditional logical connective (written as *iff* or *xnor*) is the relation of equivalence between two statements P and Q . The relation $P \iff Q$ is both a sufficient condition and a necessary condition.

$$P \iff Q \equiv (P \implies Q) \wedge (P \longleftarrow Q)$$

4 Induction

Induction can be used to prove a statement in the form $P(n \in \mathbb{N})$ for all n .

$$[(P(n) \implies P(n+1)) \wedge P(1)] \implies P(n)$$

5 Proof theory

5.1 k -ary Boolean function

A k -ary Boolean function is a mapping from $\{T, F\}^k \rightarrow \{T, F\}$

5.2 0-ary Boolean function

The 0-ary Boolean function are the *verum* (\top) and *falsum* (\perp) connectives. They represent respectively the True value and the False value.

5.3 Propositional variable

A *propositional variable* is an input boolean variable.

5.4 Propositional formula

A *propositional formula* is a formula which has a unique truth value given all variables.

5.5 Truth assignment

A *truth assignment* is a function which maps a set of propositional variables $V = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ to a boolean value

$$\tau : V \rightarrow \{T, F\}$$

A formula A involving the variables $V = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ defines a k -ary boolean function $f_A(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ where $x_n = \tau(p_n)$.

5.6 Language

A *language* L is a set of connectives which may be used to describe an L -formula.

A language L is *complete* iff every k -ary boolean functions can be defined by an L -formula.

5.7 Tautology

A propositional formula A is a *tautology* $\models A$ if its k -ary boolean function f_A is always T .

5.8 Satisfiability

A propositional formula A is *satisfiable* if f_A is T for some input.