

# Logic

Paolo Bettelini

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Boolean Algebra</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Logical inference</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Modus Ponens . . . . .	3
2.2	Modus Tollens . . . . .	3
2.3	Fallacy of affirming the consequent . . . . .	3
2.4	Fallacy of denying the antecedent . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Necessity and sufficiency</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Sufficiency . . . . .	4
3.2	Necessity . . . . .	4
3.3	Biconditional logical connective . . . . .	4
<b>4</b>	<b>Induction</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	Weak induction . . . . .	5
4.2	Strong induction . . . . .	5
<b>5</b>	<b>Propositional Logic</b>	<b>6</b>
5.1	Propositional variable . . . . .	6
5.2	Connectives . . . . .	6
5.3	$k$ -ary Boolean function . . . . .	6
5.4	0-ary Boolean function . . . . .	6
5.5	Propositional formula . . . . .	6
5.6	Truth assignment . . . . .	6
5.7	Tautology . . . . .	6
5.8	Satisfiability . . . . .	6
5.9	Substitution . . . . .	6
5.10	Propositional Proof System . . . . .	7
5.11	Soundness $\mathcal{F}$ . . . . .	7
5.12	Completeness $\mathcal{F}$ . . . . .	7

# 1 Boolean Algebra

$$x \vee 0 = x$$

$$x \wedge 0 = 0$$

$$x \vee 1 = 1$$

$$x \wedge 1 = x$$

$$x \vee x = x$$

$$x \wedge x = x$$

$$x \wedge (x \vee y) = x$$

$$x \vee (x \wedge y) = x$$

$$x \wedge \neg x = 0$$

$$x \vee \neg x = 1$$

$$\neg x \wedge \neg y = \neg(x \vee y)$$

$$\neg x \vee \neg y = \neg(x \wedge y)$$

## 2 Logical inference

A logical inference is a logical deduction to infer the truth of a statement given a premise.

There are 4 forms of hypothetical syllogisms.

### 2.1 Modus Ponens

*Modus Ponens* or *affirming the antecedent* is a valid form hypothetical syllogism.

$$\frac{P \implies Q \quad P}{Q}$$

If  $P$  implies  $Q$  and  $P$  is true, then  $Q$  is also true.

### 2.2 Modus Tollens

*Modus Tollens* or *denying the consequent* is a valid form hypothetical syllogism.

$$\frac{P \implies Q \quad \neg Q}{\neg P}$$

If  $P$  implies  $Q$  and  $Q$  is false, then  $P$  is also false.

### 2.3 Fallacy of affirming the consequent

*Affirming the consequent* is an invalid form hypothetical syllogism.

$$\frac{P \implies Q \quad Q}{P}$$

If  $P$  implies  $Q$  and  $Q$  is true, then  $P$  is also true.

### 2.4 Fallacy of denying the antecedent

*Denying the antecedent* is an invalid form hypothetical syllogism.

$$\frac{P \implies Q \quad \neg P}{\neg Q}$$

If  $P$  implies  $Q$  and  $P$  is false, then  $Q$  is also false.

### 3 Necessity and sufficiency

#### 3.1 Sufficiency

Given two statements  $P$  and  $Q$  where  $P \implies Q$ ,  $P$  suffices for  $Q$  to be true.

#### 3.2 Necessity

Given two statements  $P$  and  $Q$  where  $P \implies Q$ ,  $Q$  is a necessity for  $P$  to be true ( $Q \longleftarrow P$ ), but  $Q$  does not necessarily imply  $P$ .

#### 3.3 Biconditional logical connective

A biconditional logical connective (written as *iff* or *xnor*) is the relation of equivalence between two statements  $P$  and  $Q$ . The relation  $P \iff Q$  is both a sufficient condition and a necessary condition.

$$P \iff Q \equiv (P \implies Q) \wedge (P \longleftarrow Q)$$

## 4 Induction

Induction can be used to prove a statement in the form  $P(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Weak and strong induction are equivalent.

### 4.1 Weak induction

Proving that the base case  $P(0)$  is true (or other starting points), along with proving the induction step  $P(n) \implies P(n+1)$ , implies  $P(n)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . In second-order logic

$$\forall P (P(0) \wedge \forall n (P(n) \implies P(n+1)) \implies \forall n (P(n)))$$

### 4.2 Strong induction

Proving that the base case  $P(k)$  for  $k < m$  is true, along with proving the induction step  $P(m)$ , implies  $P(n)$  for all  $n$ .

## 5 Propositional Logic

### 5.1 Propositional variable

A *propositional variable* is an input boolean variable. A propositional variable represents the value of a proposition (E.g. *it is snowy today*).

### 5.2 Connectives

Propositional variables can be connected using *connectives*. They usually are  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\neg$  or  $\implies$ . These connectives are not independent and could be defined in terms of the others. Terms like  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$  and  $\neg$  could also be defined as a composition of a single connective.

### 5.3 $k$ -ary Boolean function

A  $k$ -ary Boolean function is a mapping from  $\{T, F\}^k \rightarrow \{T, F\}$

### 5.4 0-ary Boolean function

The 0-ary Boolean function are the *verum* ( $\top$ ) and *falsum* ( $\perp$ ) connectives. They represent respectively the True value and the False value.

### 5.5 Propositional formula

A *propositional formula* is a formula which has a unique truth value given all variables.

The set of all formulas is countable.

### 5.6 Truth assignment

A *truth assignment* is a function which maps a set of propositional variables  $V = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$  to a boolean value

$$\tau : V \rightarrow \{T, F\}$$

A formula  $A$  involving the variables  $V = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$  defines a  $k$ -ary boolean function  $f_A(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  where  $x_n = \tau(p_n)$ .

### 5.7 Tautology

A propositional formula  $A$  is a *tautology*  $\models A$  if its  $k$ -ary boolean function  $f_A$  is always  $T$ . Otherwise, we say  $\not\models A$ .

### 5.8 Satisfiability

A propositional formula  $A$  is *satisfiable* if  $f_A$  is  $T$  for some input.

If  $\Gamma$  is a set of propositional formulas,  $\Gamma$  is satisfiable if there are some assignments to satisfy all its members.

$\Gamma \models A$  (tautologically implies  $A$ ) if every truth assignment satisfying  $\Gamma$  also satisfies  $A$ .

### 5.9 Substitution

A *substitution*  $\sigma$  is a mapping from a set of propositional variables to the set of propositional formulas. If  $A$  is a propositional formula,  $A\sigma$  is equal to the formula obtained by simultaneously replacing each variable appearing in  $A$  by its image under  $\sigma$ .

## 5.10 Propositional Proof System

A *Propositional Proof System*  $\mathcal{F}$  has every substitution into the axioms scheme as his axioms and a set of inference rules.

If  $A$  has an  $\mathcal{F}$ -proof, then  $\vdash A$ . If the proof needs extra hypothesis  $\Gamma$  (which may not be tautologies), then  $\Gamma \vdash A$ .

## 5.11 Soundness $\mathcal{F}$

$\mathcal{F}$  is sound iff every  $\mathcal{F}$ -formula is logically valid with respect to the semantics of the system.

## 5.12 Completeness $\mathcal{F}$

$\mathcal{F}$  is complete iff it can prove any valid formula, meaning that the semantic notion of validity and the syntactic notion of provability coincide, and a formula is valid iff it has an  $\mathcal{F}$ -proof.