# Software Systems Engineering Case Study 2016

Paolo Sarti and Marcello Ballanti

Alma Mater Studiorum - University of Bologna viale Risorgimento 2, 40136 Bologna, Italy paolo.sarti2@studio.unibo.it, marcello.ballanti@studio.unibo.it

## 1 Introduction

The following report describes the software development process employed to analyze, design and implement an IoT application. The whole process is divided into two steps: at first, the client will communicate the initial requirements for the application, then new features will be requested. The report will show the impact of client requirements changes on the project on both the design and implementation phase.

#### 2 Vision

We want to discuss the process of software development in order to overcome the limits of a technology-based approach in heterogeneous distributed system application design. We try to adopt a model-driven software development taking into account the AGILE methods for cooperation and work management. In particular, we want to:

- Define a formal, executable model of the application to receive feedback from the client and ensure that requirements are clearly defined as soon as possible
- Minimize the abstraction gap between the concepts supported by the development tools and the application domain entities.
- Delay any technological hypotesis as much as possible in order to support multiple deployment environments and to be able to quickly adapt to technological changes. This is particularly important in heterogeneous distributed environments.
- Create flexible applications to resist requirements changes and add new features easily

#### 3 Goals

The goal is to solve the given problem following the principles described in the vision and determine if this approach is viable and convenient. We want to build a first prototype since the very formal definition of the problem, and incrementally enhance it until we'll have the complete final product, employing AGILE methods for the implementation. Then we'll rapidly adapt the application to new requirements, trying to minimize the development effort.

## 4 Requirements

We have to solve the following problem:

The Security Department of an Airport intends to exploit a differential drive robot equipped with a sonar (and some other device) to inspect -in a safe wayunattended bags when they are found in some sensible area of the Airport.

The software working on the inspector-roobot should support the following behavior:

- an operator drives the robot from an initial point (robot base area, RBA) towards the bag. To drive the robot the operator makes use of a remote robot control interface running on a smart device or a PC. The robot must accept commands from a single source only;
- as soon as the robot sonar perceives the bag within a prefixed distance (e.g. d=20cm):
  - 1. the robot automatically stops
  - 2. the robot starts blinking a led
  - 3. the robot starts a first detection phase (e.g. it moves around and performs some action according to its equiment for example it could take some photo of the bag)
  - 4. the robot sends the results of its detection phase to the Airport Security Center:
- at the end of its work, the robot turns the led off and automatically returns to its RBA. During this phase the Airport Security Center could emit an 'alarm' signal; in this case the robot must restart to blink.

#### STEP 1

Design and build a working prototype of this inspector-robot.

## Non functional requriments at step1

The goal is to build a software system able to evolve from an initial proptotype (defined as the result of a problem analysis phase) to a final, testable product, by 'mixing' in a proper (pragmatically useful) way agile (SCRUM) software development with modelling.


## 5 Requirement analysis

#### 5.1 Use cases

The use cases describe how actors (UML actors i.e. the role played by a user or external system) interact with the system. In the requirements we can identify two external entities:

- The operator that drives the robot remotely from the initial point to the bag.
- The Airport Security Center that receives the results of the robot's detection phase and then it may emit an 'alarm' signal.

These interactions are shown by the UML below:



## 5.2 Scenarios

## Scenario 1:

Title	DriveRobotRemotely
Description	The operator drives the robot to the suspicious bag
Relationships	
Actors	Operator
Preconditions	The robot is in the RBA, waiting for commands from the operator.
Postconditions	The robot starts the detection phase.
Main scenario	The operator uses the remote console to drive the robot.
	When the robot perceives the bag, it starts the detection phase.

Scenario 2:

Title	SendAlarm
Description	The Airport Security Center sends an alarm signal to the robot if
	needed
Relationships	
Actors	Airport Security Center
Preconditions	The Airport Security Center received the detection results
Postconditions	The robot blinks its led until it comes back to the RBA.
Main scenario	The Airport Security Center uses its interface to send the alarm
	to the robot. The robot blinks its led.

#### 5.3 (Domain) model

In this phase we try to find an agreement with the client on what the entities mentioned in the requirements are and what they have to do.

The system is composed by three parts:

- Operator's remote console
- Airport Security Center's remote console
- Differential drive robot

A **console** is a physical or virtual device that allows communication between the system and an external entity. It can get user input data and send them to the system, show some system output data to the user or both. In this case, the operator's console can get input from the operator and the Airport Security Center's console can receive the detection results and emit an alarm signal.

A differential drive robot is a composed entity that is able to use some devices to perform actions and receive data from the environment. It can also communicate with other parts of the system. All differential drive robots must have a sonar and are able to move in the environment. In this case, the differential drive robot has DC motors and wheels to move, a sonar, a led and a camera. DC motors, wheels, led, sonar and camera are the hardware components mounted on the robot.

A **DC** motor can spin the attached wheel clockwise or counter-clockwise.

A **led** can be turned on or off.

A **sonar** can send an ultrasonic signal (trigger) and generates a corresponding response waveform (echo). The waveform analysis allows to estimate the distance from an obstacle.

A **camera** is a device that can take photos when requested. It will be used by the robot in the detection phase.

We want to define the system formally so that we can avoid ambiguity and generate an executable version of said model, but we want to avoid any technology assumption. To achieve this, we will use the custom language / executable meta-model developed by our software house. It allows us to describe what the parts of the system are, how they interact with each other and their behaviour and it can generate the corresponding executable code.

The following is a first description of the system obtained by the requirement analysis:

```
RobotSystem testCase2016Analysis

Dispatch drive: drive(X)
Dispatch detectionResults: detectionResults(X)

Event alarm: alarm
Event obstacle: obstacle(X)

Event local_inputDrive: local_inputDrive(X) //events
from GUI/External Input
```

```
Event local alarm : local alarm
                                       //events from GUI
       /External Input
9
   Context ctxDriveRobot ip[host="localhost" port=8010]
10
   Context ctxOperator ip [host="localhost" port=8015]
11
   Context ctxASC ip [host="localhost" port=8020]
12
13
14
   QActor operatorconsole context ctxOperator -g cyan
15
16
     Plan init normal
        println("Operator starts");
17
       switchToPlan senseInput
18
19
20
     Plan senseInput
        sense time (60000) local inputDrive ->
21
           sendDriveCommands;
22
        repeatPlan 0
23
     Plan sendDriveCommands resumeLastPlan
24
25
       onEvent\ local\ inputDrive\ :\ local\ inputDrive\ (X)\ ->
           forward driverobot -m drive : drive(X)
26
27
28
   QActor ascconsole context ctxASC -g green
29
30
     Plan init normal
       println("ASC starts");
31
32
       switchToPlan work
33
     Plan work
34
35
       receiveMsg time(600000);
36
       onMsg detectionResults : detectionResults(X) ->
           println ( detection Results (X) );
37
        switchToPlan senseAlarm
38
39
     Plan senseAlarm
        sense time (100000) local alarm -> continue;
40
41
        onEvent local alarm : local alarm -> emit alarm :
           alarm
42
43
   Robot mymock QActor driverobot context ctxDriveRobot
44
45
46
     Plan init normal
47
       println("driverobot starts");
```

```
switchToPlan drive
48
49
50
      Plan drive
51
        //We'll have to make sure that the robot executes the
            commands from the first console only
        receiveMsg time(600000) react event obstacle ->
52
           detect:
        onMsg drive : drive(X) -> println(savingmove(X));
53
        onMsg drive : drive(X) -> println(driving(X));
54
        repeatPlan 0
55
56
      Plan detect
57
        println("Stopping...");
58
        delay time (1000);
59
        println("Start blinking the led");
60
61
        println("Starting detection Phase...");
62
        delay time (3000);
        println("Sending results");
63
        forward ascconsole -m detectionResults :
64
           detectionResults("results");
65
        println("Detection Results Sent");
        println("Stop blinking the led");
66
        println("Back to base");
67
68
        switchToPlan backToBase
69
70
      Plan backToBase
71
        delay time (20000) react event alarm -> alarmReaction;
72
        switchToPlan finish
73
74
      Plan alarmReaction resumeLastPlan
        println("Alarm!");
75
76
        println ("Start blinking the led")
77
78
      Plan finish
79
        println("DriveRobot ends")
80
```

The operator can only send commands to drive the robot. The Airport Security Center waits for the detection results and can emit the alarm only after the results have been sent.

## 5.4 Test plan

We can do a test plan even before starting to implement the application, as a way to specify the expected behaviour of the system in a precise way. We just need to check if the parts of the system behave and interact with each other as defined in the requirements. We can't express tests formally tough, because we already described the entities as actors, so object oriented tests (e.g. JUnit tests) are inadequate. Furthermore, some tests should check the interaction of the physiscal system with the environment and this can only be achieved by observing the actual behaviour of the system. Thus, we'll describe these tests in natural language.

In the initial phase, the operator drives the robot. We have to check the following:

- the operator can send commands to the robot
- the robot executes the commands it receives
- the robot accepts commands only from a single source
- the robot perceives the presence of an obstacle

In the detection phase, the robot inspects the bag. We'll test the following:

- the robot stops and ignores commands from the operator
- the robot starts blinking after it stopped
- the robot can take a picture of the bag
- the robot can send the results to the Airport Security Center
- the Airport Security Center can receive the results of the inspection
- the robot stops blinking at the end of this phase

In the final phase, the robot comes back to the RBA. These are the tests we'll do:

- the robot actually comes back autonomously
- the Airport Security Center can emit the alarm signal
- the robot blinks the led if it perceives the alarm

At this stage in the development process, we can't define more specific functional or integration tests, we'll add them as needed during the implementation phase. We still haven't decided what technology we will use to implement the application, so we can't write executable tests yet. However, at the end of the analysis phase, we'll have an executable logical architecture of the application and we'll be able to perform some of the tests on it.

## 6 Problem analysis

#### 6.1 Logic architecture

Logic architecture can be expressed in 3 dimensions:

- 1. **Structure**: what parts the system is made of.
- 2. **Interaction**: how the parts of the system communicate with each other.
- 3. **Behaviour**: what the parts of the system do.

We can formally express these concepts with the DDR custom language:

```
RobotSystem testCase2016LogicArchitecture
1
2
   Event local inputDrive : local inputDrive(X) //events
      from GUI/External Input
4
   Dispatch drive : drive (X)
   Dispatch detectionResults : detectionResults(X)
5
   Event alarm: alarm
   Event local alarm : local alarm
                                          //events from GUI
      /External Input
   Event obstacle : obstacle (X)
   Event bagFound : bagFound
   Event endDetection : endDetection
   Event botIsBack : botIsBack
                                         //signals the
      return to the base of the robot
12
   Context ctxDriveRobot ip[host="192.168.1.69" port=8010]
13
   14
   Context ctxASC ip [host="192.168.1.2" port=8020]
15
16
17
   QActor led context ctxDriveRobot
18
19
     Plan init normal
20
       println("Led starts");
       switchToPlan senseStartBlink
21
22
     Plan senseStartBlink
23
       println("Led Off");
24
25
       sense time(60000) bagFound -> startBlinking;
26
       repeatPlan 0
27
28
     Plan startBlinking
       println("led On");
29
       delay time (1000) react event endDetection ->
30
          senseAlarm;
31
       println("Led Off");
```

```
32
        delay time (1000) react event endDetection ->
           senseAlarm:
33
        repeatPlan 0
34
35
      Plan senseAlarm
36
        println("Led Off");
37
        sense time (60000) alarm-> blinkingAlarm;
38
        repeatPlan 0
39
40
      Plan blinking Alarm
        println("led On");
41
42
        delay time(500) react event botIsBack -> finish;
43
        println("Led Off");
        delay time(500) react event botIsBack -> finish;
44
45
        repeatPlan 0
46
     Plan finish
47
        println("Led ends")
48
49
   }
50
51
   QActor operatorconsole context ctxOperator -g cyan
52
53
      Plan init normal
54
        println("Operator starts");
        switchToPlan senseInput
55
56
      Plan senseInput
57
        println("Waiting for input");
58
59
        sense time (60000) local inputDrive ->
           sendDriveCommands;
        repeatPlan 0
60
61
62
      Plan sendDriveCommands resumeLastPlan
63
        onEvent local inputDrive : local inputDrive(X) ->
           forward driverobot -m drive : drive(X)
64
65
66
   QActor ascconsole context ctxASC -g green
67
68
     Plan init normal
        println("ASC starts");
69
70
        switchToPlan work
71
72
     Plan work
73
        receiveMsg time(600000);
```

```
74
        onMsg detectionResults : detectionResults(X) \rightarrow
            println (detectionResults(X));
75
        switchToPlan senseAlarm
76
77
      Plan senseAlarm
        sense time (100000) local alarm -> continue;
78
        onEvent local alarm : local alarm -> emit alarm :
79
            alarm
80
81
82
    Robot mock QActor driverobot context ctxDriveRobot
83
      Plan init normal
84
        println("driverobot starts");
85
        solve consult ("talkTheory.pl") time(0) on FailSwitchTo
86
             prologFailure;
        switchToPlan drive
87
88
89
      Plan drive
        //We'll have to make sure that the robot executes the
90
             commands from the first console only
91
        receiveMsg time(600000) react event obstacle ->
            detect;
92
        onMsg drive : drive(X) -> println(savingmove(X));
93
        onMsg drive : drive (X) -> solve X time (0);
94
        repeatPlan 0
95
96
      Plan detect
97
        println("Stopping...");
        robotStop speed(100) time(1000);
98
        emit bagFound : bagFound;
99
100
        println("Starting detection Phase...");
101
        [?? detection(X)] forward ascconsole—m
            detectionResults : detectionResults(X);
102
        println("Detection Results Sent");
103
        emit endDetection : endDetection;
104
        println("Back to base");
        switchToPlan backToBase
105
106
107
      Plan backToBase
        solve backToBase time(0); //It doesn't need to react,
108
             as the gactor led handles that
109
        switchToPlan finish
110
111
      Plan finish
```

```
112 | emit botIsBack : botIsBack;
113 | println("DriveRobot ends")
114 |
115 | Plan prologFailure resumeLastPlan
116 | println("Failed to load talkTheory")
117 |}
```

This describes the whole logic architecture of our application. It can also be executed so that the client can confirm that the analysis defined a system that behaves as required.

This architecture derives from the one obtained in the domain model and introduces new interactions and a new entity.

The **DriveRobot** receives commands from the Operator Interface in the first phase, executes its automatic operations during the detection phase, it sends results to the ASCConsole and comes back to the RBA in the end. It has to react to obstacles to begin the detection phase.

The **Operator Console** receives commands from the operator as events and sends the corresponding commands to the robot.

The **ASC Console** receives the detection results from the detection phase and then enables the Airport Security Center to emit the alarm.

We decided to introduce the **led** as an actor separated from the robot because it is an active entity that has to sense and react to system events and has its own behaviour, so modeling it as a passive object managed by the robot is inappropriate. The led starts to blink when the detection phase begins, stops to blink when the detection phase ends and it starts to blink again if the alarm is emitted when the robot is coming back. Finally it stops blinking when the robot reaches the RBA.

The **camera** will be modeled as a passive entity that can only take a picture when the robot asks for it.

We defined the accessory event botIsBack to signal that the robot has come back to the RBA. This event can be used to stop the led if an alarm has been emitted before.

The interaction with external entities (the operator and the ASC) have been modeled as local events.

#### 6.2 Abstraction gap

The abstraction gap is the distance between the concepts used to model the problem and those implied by the technology available. Based on the previous analysis, we found out that this application needs a set of features that includes:

- Synchronous and asynchronous communication between heterogeneous parts of a distributed system
- Distributed events
- Communication with entities that are not part of the system
- Interruptable actions

All the features we need can be implemented with current technology: actually, the framework developed by our software house can offer these features.

#### 6.3 Risk analysis

Thanks to the framework provided, executable code is generated from the model defined in the ddr meta-model. Thus, adopting this framework allows the application designers to use an extremely high-level description of the problem, closer to the application domain, reducing considerably the abstraction gap. The specific technology to be used can be decided later, during implementation phase. The advantage of using a meta-model and a code generator is also that it can be extended to support more advanced concepts. Using the framework code generators, we can write most of the code independently from the specific implementation technology. Although the qa/ddr metamodel is technology independent, the code generated automatically may require some kind of environment on the computational nodes where it will be deployed (e.g. the JVM, the .NET runtime environment, a specific operating system etc).

## 7 Work plan

After the analysis phase, we decided to develop the application using the ddr framework, so that we don't start from scratch. We can reuse the executable logic architecture and enhance it. The framework already offers the implementation logic for some parts of the system and it offers high level abstractions that allow the developers to focus on business logic and not to worry too much about boilerplate code.

We'll use the following features offered by the framework:

- A communication system that allows the parts of the system to send and receive messages and events
- Reactive actions
- Timed actions
- The robot configuration
- DC motors driver
- sonar driver (and management of its data)

We'll implement the remaining features following the SCRUM framework for work planning. So we defined a product backlog which is a prioritized list of tasks needed to complete the project:

- 1. Define the robot configuration with .baseddr
- 2. Implement the console interfaces that allow external entities to interact with the system
- 3. Implement the led driver
- 4. Decide and implement a way to send a picture in the ddr framework
- 5. Develop the detection phase logic with the camera driver (as a mock entity)
- 6. Create an algorithm that allows the robot to come back to the RBA

## 8 Project

#### 8.1 Structure

The structure is essentially the same as the logic architecture. Our robot has no camera, so we'll implement it as a mock device.

#### 8.2 Interaction

There are no significant changes from the logic architecture.

#### 8.3 Behavior

More details have been added to implement the missing features described in the work plan.

The consoles used by the ASC and the operator will be GUIs that allow them to interact with the system. The robot behaviour has slightly changed in the first phase: to ensure it receives messages from a single source, it memorizes the sender of the first received drive message and accepts new drive commands from that source only.

```
RobotSystem testCase2016Project
1
2
   Event local inputDrive : local inputDrive(X) //events
3
       from GUI/External Input
   Dispatch drive : drive (X)
4
   Dispatch detectionResults: detectionResults(X)
5
   Event alarm : alarm
6
                                             //events from GUI
   Event local alarm: local alarm
       /External Input
8
   Event obstacle : obstacle (X)
9
   Event bagFound : bagFound
   Event endDetection : endDetection
   Event botIsBack : botIsBack
                                           //signals the
11
       return to the base of the robot
12
   Context ctxDriveRobot ip [host="localhost" port=8010]
13
   Context ctxOperator ip [host="localhost" port=8015]
14
15
   Context ctxASC ip [host="localhost" port=8020]
16
17
   QActor led context ctxDriveRobot
18
19
     Plan init normal
       println("Led starts");
20
21
       solve consult ("ledTheory.pl") time (0) on Fail Switch To
           prologFailure;
```

```
22
        switchToPlan senseStartBlink
23
24
      Plan senseStartBlink
25
        println("Led Sensing");
        solve turnTheLed(off) time(0) onFailSwitchTo
26
           prologFailure;
27
        sense time (60000) bagFound -> startBlinking;
28
        repeatPlan 0
29
30
      Plan startBlinking
        println("led On");
31
32
        solve turnTheLed(on) time(0) onFailSwitchTo
           prologFailure;
        delay time (500) react event endDetection ->
33
           senseAlarm;
34
        println("Led Off");
        solve turnTheLed(off) time(0) onFailSwitchTo
35
           prologFailure;
        delay time (500) react event endDetection ->
36
           senseAlarm;
37
        repeatPlan 0
38
39
      Plan senseAlarm
40
        println("Led Off, waiting alarm");
        solve turnTheLed(off) time(0);
41
        sense time (60000) alarm-> blinking Alarm;
42
43
        repeatPlan 0
44
45
      Plan blinking Alarm
        println("led On");
46
        solve turnTheLed(on) time(0) onFailSwitchTo
47
           prologFailure;
48
        delay time (500) react event botIsBack -> finish;
        println("Led Off");
49
50
        solve turnTheLed(off) time(0) onFailSwitchTo
           prologFailure;
        delay time(500) react event botIsBack -> finish;
51
        repeatPlan 0
52
53
54
      Plan finish
        solve turnTheLed(offcompletely) time(0)
55
           onFailSwitchTo prologFailure;
        println("Led ends")
56
57
58
      Plan prologFailure resumeLastPlan
```

```
println("Prolog Failure LED")
59
60
   }
61
62
   QActor operatorconsole context ctxOperator -g cyan
63
64
     Plan init normal
        println("Operator starts");
65
        switchToPlan senseInput
66
67
      Plan senseInput
68
        sense time (60000) local inputDrive ->
69
           sendDriveCommands;
70
        repeatPlan 0
71
      Plan sendDriveCommands resumeLastPlan
72
73
        onEvent \ local\_inputDrive \ : \ local\_inputDrive \ (X) \ -\!\!>
           forward driverobot -m drive : drive(X)
74
75
76
   QActor ascconsole context ctxASC -g green
77
      Plan init normal
78
        println("ASC starts");
79
80
        switchToPlan work
81
82
      Plan work
        receiveMsg time(600000);
83
        onMsg detectionResults : detectionResults(X) ->
84
85
        solve actorOp(loadResults(X)) time(0) onFailSwitchTo
           prologFailure;
        switchToPlan senseAlarm
86
87
88
      Plan senseAlarm
89
        sense time (100000) local alarm -> continue;
90
        onEvent local alarm : local alarm -> emit alarm :
91
92
      Plan prologFailure resumeLastPlan
93
        println("Prolog failure ASC")
94
95
   Robot mymock QActor driverobot context ctxDriveRobot
96
97
98
     Plan init normal
99
        println("driverobot starts");
```

```
100
        solve consult ("talkTheory.pl") time(0) onFailSwitchTo
             prologFailure;
101
        println("consulting driveRobotTheory");
102
        solve consult ("driveRobotTheory.pl") time(0)
            onFailSwitchTo prologFailure;
103
        println("consulted driveRobotTheory");
104
        switchToPlan receiveFirstCommand
105
106
      Plan receiveFirstCommand
107
        println("ROBOT waiting first message");
        receiveMsg time(600000) react event obstacle ->
108
            detect;
109
         //Save first sender
110
        [?? msg(drive, dispatch, S, R, drive(X), N)] solve
            assert(firstSender(S)) time(0);
111
        onMsg drive : drive (X) -> solve savemove (X) time (0)
            onFailSwitchTo savemoveFailure;
112
        onMsg drive : drive(X) -> println(X);
        onMsg drive : drive(X) -> solve X time(0)
113
            onFailSwitchTo prologFailure;
114
        onMsg drive : drive(X) -> switchToPlan drive;
115
        repeatPlan 0
116
117
      Plan drive
        receiveMsg time(600000) react event obstacle ->
118
            detect;
119
        //To make sure that the sender is the same as the
            first one
120
        [?? msg(drive, dispatch, S, R, drive(X), N)] solve
            firstSender(S) time(0) onFailSwitchTo drive;
121
        onMsg drive : drive (X) -> println (X);
122
        onMsg drive : drive (X) -> solve savemove (X) time (0)
            onFailSwitchTo prologFailure;
123
        onMsg drive : drive (X) -> solve X time (0)
            onFailSwitchTo prologFailure;
124
        repeatPlan 0
125
126
      Plan detect
127
        println("Stopping...");
128
        robotStop speed(100) time(0);
129
        delay time (1000);
130
        println("Stopped");
131
        solve endSavemoves time (0) onFailSwitchTo
            prologFailure;
132
        emit bagFound: bagFound;
```

```
133
        println("Starting detection Phase...");
134
        delay time ( 3000);
135
        [!? detection(X)] forward ascconsole—m
            detectionResults : detectionResults(X);
136
        delay time (3000);
        println("Detection Results Sent");
137
        emit endDetection : endDetection;
138
139
        println("Back to base");
140
        switchToPlan backToBase
141
      Plan backToBase
142
143
        solve backToBase time(0) onFailSwitchTo prologFailure
            ; //It doesn't need to react, as the gactor led
            handles that
        switchToPlan finish
144
145
146
      Plan finish
        emit botIsBack : botIsBack;
147
        println("DriveRobot ends")
148
149
150
      Plan prologFailure resumeLastPlan
        println("Robot Failed to load prolog theories")
151
152
153
      Plan savemoveFailure resumeLastPlan
154
        println("Failed save move")
155
156
```

## 9 Implementation

#### 9.1 Robot configuration

The file robots based contains the configuration we used:

```
1
   RobotBase plexiBox
2
   //BASIC
                       gpiomotor pincw 13 pinccw 12
3
   motorleft = Motor
       position: LEFT
   motorright = Motor
                       [ gpiomotor pincw 4 pinccw 5 ]
4
       position: RIGHT
   distanceRadar = Distance
                             sonarhcsr04 pintrig 0 pinecho
5
        2 position: FRONT TOP
                  [ gpioswitch pin 15 activelow ] position
6
   //line = Line
       : BOTTOM
7
   //COMPOSED
8
   motors = Actuators [ motorleft , motorright ] private
       position: BOTTOM
9
   Mainrobot plexiBox
                      [ motors ]
10
```

#### 9.2 Operator and ASC console

The **operator console** includes a GUI that translates external input events into messages to drive the robot.

```
/* Generated by AN DISI Unibo */
1
2
   This code is generated only ONCE
3
4
5
   package it.unibo.operatorconsole;
   import java.awt.Button;
7
   import java.awt.GridLayout;
   import java.awt.Label;
8
   import java.awt.Panel;
   | import java.awt.event.MouseEvent;
10
   import java.awt.event.MouseListener;
11
12
   import java.util.HashMap;
13
   import java.util.Map;
14
15
   import it.unibo.baseEnv.basicFrame.EnvFrame;
   import it .unibo.is.interfaces.IOutputEnvView;
16
17
   import it.unibo.qactors.ActorContext;
18
19
   public class Operatorconsole extends
       AbstractOperatorconsole {
```

```
20
21
   protected Map<String , String > driveCmdMap;
22
23
     public final static String Forward="Forward";
24
     public final static String Backward="Backward";
     public final static String Right="Right";
25
     public final static String Left="left";
26
27
     public final static String Halt="Halt";
28
29
     public Operatorconsole (String actorId, ActorContext
         myCtx, IOutputEnvView outEnvView ) throws Exception
30
       super(actorId, myCtx, outEnvView);
31
32
33
     protected void initCmdMap(){
34
       driveCmdMap=new HashMap<>();
       driveCmdMap.put(Forward, "executeInput(move(mf,100,0))
35
       driveCmdMap.put(Backward, "executeInput(move(mb
36
           ,100,0))");
       driveCmdMap.put(Right, "executeInput(move(mr,100,0))"
37
       driveCmdMap.put(Left, "executeInput(move(ml,100,0))")
38
       driveCmdMap.put(Halt, "executeInput(move(h,100,0))");
39
40
     }
41
42
     @Override
43
     protected void addInputPanel(int size) {
44
     }
45
46
     @Override
47
     protected void addCmdPanels(){
48
       initCmdMap();
49
       ((EnvFrame) env).setSize(800,700);
       Panel p = new Panel();
50
51
       GridLayout l = new GridLayout();
52
       l.setVgap(10);
53
       l.setHgap(10);
       l.setColumns(3);
54
       l.setRows(3);
55
56
       p.setLayout(1);
57
58
       MouseListener ml = new MouseListener() {
```

```
59
          @Override
60
          public void mouseReleased(MouseEvent e) {
61
               String cmd = ((Button)e.getSource()).getLabel()
62
               if (!cmd.equals(Halt)) {
63
                 execAction(Halt);
64
65
            System.out.println("DEBUG: UNPRESSED");
66
67
          @Override
          public void mousePressed(MouseEvent e) {
68
            Button b = (Button)e.getSource();
69
70
            execAction(b.getLabel());
71
            System.out.println("DEBUG: PRESSED" + b.getLabel
                ());
72
          }
73
          @Override
74
          public void mouseExited(MouseEvent e) {
75
76
          @Override
77
          public void mouseEntered(MouseEvent e) {
78
79
          @Override
80
          public void mouseClicked(MouseEvent e) {
81
        };
82
83
        Button forward = new Button (Forward);
84
85
        forward.addMouseListener(ml);
        Button backward = new Button (Backward);
86
87
        backward.addMouseListener(ml);
88
        Button right = new Button (Right);
89
        right.addMouseListener(ml);
90
        Button left = new Button (Left);
91
        left.addMouseListener(ml);
92
        Button halt = new Button(Halt);
93
        halt.addMouseListener(ml);
94
        p.add(new Label(""));
95
        p.add(forward);
96
        p.add(new Label(""));
97
        p.add(left);
        p.add(halt);
98
99
        p.add(right);
100
        p.add(new Label(""));
101
        p.add(backward);
```

```
102
        p.add(new Label(""));
103
         ((EnvFrame) env).add(p);
104
         ((EnvFrame) env).validate();
105
106
      @Override
107
108
      public void execAction(String cmd) {
        super.execAction(cmd);
109
110
         if (driveCmdMap.containsKey(cmd)){
111
           String actualCmd = driveCmdMap.get(cmd);
112
           platform.raiseEvent("input", "local inputDrive", "
113
              local inputDrive("+actualCmd+")");
114
           return;
115
116
      }
117
```

The **ASC** console includes a GUI that shows the image received from the robot at the end of the detection phase and then shows a button that emits the alarm if pressed.

```
/* Generated by AN DISI Unibo */
1
2
3
   This code is generated only ONCE
4
   package it.unibo.ascconsole;
5
6
   import java.awt.*;
   import java.awt.event.ActionEvent;
   import java.awt.event.ActionListener;
9
   import java.io.ByteArrayInputStream;
10
11
   import java.io.IOException;
   import java.util.Base64;
12
   import javax.imageio.ImageIO;
13
   import it.unibo.is.interfaces.IOutputEnvView;
14
15
   import it.unibo.qactors.ActorContext;
16
17
   public class Ascconsole extends AbstractAscconsole {
18
     public Ascconsole (String actorId, ActorContext myCtx,
         IOutputEnvView outEnvView ) throws Exception {
19
       super(actorId, myCtx, outEnvView);
20
     }
21
22
     protected Label userMsg;
23
     protected Button alarm;
```

```
24
     protected ImagePanel results;
25
26
     @Override
27
     protected void addCmdPanels(){
28
        //super.addCmdPanels();
29
        //photo panel
30
        ((Frame) env).removeAll();
31
        GridLayout l = new GridLayout();
32
        l.setColumns(2);
33
        l.setRows(2);
34
        ((Frame) env).setLayout(1);
35
        results = new ImagePanel();
36
        results.setSize(300, 400);
37
        ((Frame) env).add(results);
38
        alarm = new Button("Alarm");
39
        alarm.setBackground(Color.red);
40
        alarm.addActionListener(new ActionListener() {
41
          @Override
          public void actionPerformed(ActionEvent e) {
42
43
              execAction("Alarm");
44
          }
45
        });
46
        alarm.setEnabled(false);
47
        ((Frame) env).add(alarm);
48
        userMsg = new Label("Waiting for results");
49
        ((Frame) env).add(userMsg);
50
        ((Frame) env).validate();
     }
51
52
53
     //this is called when the results are received
54
     public void loadResults(String imageString){
            byte[] imageBytes = Base64.getDecoder().decode(
55
               imageString);
56
            try {
57
          Image image = ImageIO.read(new ByteArrayInputStream
             (imageBytes));
          results.setImage(image);
58
59
        } catch (IOException e) {
60
          System.out.println("MyPanel: Image error!");
61
          e.printStackTrace();
62
63
64
        alarm.setEnabled(true);
65
        userMsg.setText("Results received");
66
        ((Frame) env).validate();
```

```
67
      }
68
69
      @Override
70
      public void execAction(String cmd) {
71
        super.execAction(cmd);
72
         if ( cmd.equals("Alarm") ){
           platform.raiseEvent("input", "local alarm", "
73
              local alarm");
           userMsg.setText("Alarm sent!");
74
75
           return;
76
        }
      }
77
78
      protected class ImagePanel extends Panel{
79
80
81
         *
82
         private static final long serialVersionUID = 1L;
83
84
         private Image image;
85
86
         public ImagePanel(){
87
            image = null;
88
89
90
         public void paint(Graphics g){
91
                 super.paint(g);
92
                 if (image != null) {
93
                   int w = getWidth();
94
                   int h = getHeight();
                   int imageWidth = image.getWidth(this);
95
96
                   int imageHeight = image.getHeight(this);
97
                   int x = (w - imageWidth)/2;
                   int y = (h - imageHeight)/2;
98
99
                   g.drawImage(image, x, y, this);
100
                 }
             }
101
102
          public void setImage(Image image){
103
             this.image=image;
104
105
                 validate();
         }
106
107
      }
108
109
```

The **led** blinking logic is implemented directly as QActor behaviour. The Prolog theory turnTheLed/1 allows the QActor to manage the led and actually turn it on and off calling the underlying Java code.

```
|%createPi4jLed(PinNum):-
1
2
       actorobj (Actor),
3
       Actor <- getOutputEnvView returns OutView ,
4
   |% class("it.unibo.devices.qa.DeviceLedPi4jQa") <-
       createLed (OutView, PinNum) returns LED.
5
6
   %turnTheLed( on ):-
   \% class ("it . unibo . devices . qa . DeviceLedPi4jQa") <-
       getTheLed returns LED,
   \% LED <- turnOn .
8
9
   |%turnTheLed( off ):-
10
  |% class("it.unibo.devices.qa.DeviceLedPi4jQa") <-
       getTheLed returns LED,
   |% LED <- turnOff .
12
13
14
   pinNum(25).
15
16
  |\%turnTheLed(on):-
17
   |\%| pinNum(X),
  |% class("it.unibo.devices.qa.LedDevicesFactory") <-
       getTheLedCmd(X) returns LED,
19
   % LED <- turnOn.
20
21
22
   |%turnTheLed(off):-
   \% pinNum(X),
  |% class("it.unibo.devices.qa.LedDevicesFactory") <-
       getTheLedCmd(X) returns LED,
25
   % LED <- turnOff.
26
27
   turnTheLed(on):-
28
     pinNum(X),
29
     class ("it.unibo.devices.qa.LedDevicesFactory") <-
         getTheLedCmdInterpreter(X) returns LED,
30
     LED <- turnOn.
31
32
33
   turnTheLed(off):-
34
     pinNum(X),
```

```
class("it.unibo.devices.ga.LedDevicesFactory") <-</pre>
35
         getTheLedCmdInterpreter(X) returns LED,
36
     LED <- turnOff.
37
   turnTheLed(offcompletely):-
38
39
     pinNum(X),
40
      class ("it.unibo.devices.qa.LedDevicesFactory") <-
         getTheLedCmdInterpreter(X) returns LED,
41
     LED <- turnOffForever.
42
   %initialize :-
43
                      createPi4jLed(25).
   initialize.
44
45
46
  :- initialization (initialize).
```

The led instance is created through a factory:

```
package it.unibo.devices.qa;
1
2
   import java.util.HashMap;
3
4
   import java.util.Map;
6
7
    * BCM convention!!
8
   public class LedDevicesFactory {
9
10
11
     private static Map<Integer, ILed> leds;
12
13
     private static String command="sudo bash/gpioPin.sh";
     private static String commandInterpreter="sudo bash/
14
         gpioPinInterpreter.sh";
15
16
     static {
17
       leds = new HashMap <> ();
18
19
20
     public static ILed getTheLedCmd(int nPin){
21
        if (leds.containsKey(nPin)&&leds.get(nPin) instanceof
           LedShellCmd) {
22
          return leds.get(nPin);
23
24
        leds.put(nPin, new LedShellCmd(command, nPin));
25
        return leds.get(nPin);
26
27
```

```
28
     public static ILed getTheLedCmdInterpreter(int nPin){
29
        if (leds.containsKey(nPin)&&leds.get(nPin) instanceof
           LedShellCmdInterpreter){
30
          return leds.get(nPin);
31
        leds.put(nPin, new LedShellCmdInterpreter(
32
           commandInterpreter , nPin ) );
33
        return leds.get(nPin);
     }
34
35
     public static ILed getTheLedPi4j(int nPin){
36
37
        if (leds.containsKey(nPin)&&leds.get(nPin) instanceof
           Pi4iLed){
          return leds.get(nPin);
38
39
40
        leds.put(nPin, new Pi4jLed(nPin));
41
        return leds.get(nPin);
42
43
44
```

We implemented the led as a bash script that receives zeros and ones to turn the led on and off:

```
1
   package it.unibo.devices.qa;
2
   import java.io.PrintWriter;
3
4
   import it.unibo.sartiballanti.utils.Utils;
6
   public class LedShellCmdInterpreter extends LedShellCmd {
7
8
9
      private PrintWriter pw;
10
11
      public LedShellCmdInterpreter(String command, int nPin)
12
        super(command, nPin);
        this.pw=new PrintWriter(Utils.
13
           executeShellCommandOutput(command + " "+ nPin));
     }
14
15
16
      @Override
      public void turnOn() {
17
18
       pw. print ("1\n");
19
       pw.flush();
20
```

```
21
22
      @Override
23
      public void turnOff() {
24
        pw.print("0 \setminus n");
25
        pw.flush();
26
27
28
      public void turnOffForever(){
29
        turnOff();
30
        pw.close();
31
32
33
```

```
1
   echo "$1" > /sys/class/gpio/unexport #
   echo "$1" > /sys/class/gpio/export \#
2
3
   cd /sys/class/gpio/gpio"$1" #
4
5
   echo out > direction #
6
7
   while read ONOFF
8
9
     echo $ONOFF > value #
10
   done
```

#### 9.4 Camera

The camera implements the following interface:

```
package it.unibo.sartiballanti.camera;

public interface ICamera {
   public byte[] takePhoto();
}
```

This is the implementation of the mock camera:

```
package it.unibo.sartiballanti.camera;

import java.io.IOException;
import java.nio.file.Files;
import java.nio.file.Paths;

public class MockFileCamera implements ICamera {

private String imgPath;
```

```
10
11
      public MockFileCamera(String imgPath){
12
        this.imgPath=imgPath;
13
14
      @Override
15
      public byte[] takePhoto() {
16
17
        try {
          return Files.readAllBytes(Paths.get(imgPath));
18
19
        } catch (IOException e) {
20
          e.printStackTrace();
21
22
        return null;
23
24
```

#### 9.5 Detection phase

The **robot** uses an actor method to execute the detection phase. It takes a picture of the bag using the simulated **camera** and sends it to the ASC. In order to send send the photo as a message payload in the ddr framework, we needed to obtain a string representation of the image.

```
/* Generated by AN DISI Unibo */
1
2
   package it.unibo.driverobot;
   import java.util.Base64;
3
4
   import it.unibo.is.interfaces.IOutputEnvView;
   import it.unibo.qactors.ActorContext;
   import it.unibo.sartiballanti.camera.CameraFactory;
6
8
   public class Driverobot extends AbstractDriverobot {
9
     public Driverobot (String actorId, ActorContext myCtx,
         IOutputEnvView outEnvView , it .unibo.iot.executors.
         baseRobot.IBaseRobot baserobot) throws Exception {
10
       super(actorId, myCtx, outEnvView, baserobot);
11
12
     public String takeStringifiedPhoto(){
13
14
       byte [] img= CameraFactory.getInstance().getCamera().
           takePhoto();
15
       return Base64.getEncoder().encodeToString(img);
16
17
```

#### 9.6 Back to base

The **driveRobotTheory** is used to implement a simple algorithm to come back autonomously: the robot memorizes every move it makes in the first phase, so it can come back executing the same moves backwards.

```
%drivecommand example
1
2
   \%executeInput(move(mf,100,1000,0))
3
4
   %lastmove is the next move to save,
  % can get the starting time, but I can't insert it into
5
       the moveList until it ends.
6
   %The savemove/1 rule uses the knowledge base to store and
        update the information,
   %but it uses savemove/5 to get the updated lastMove and
       moveList.
9
10
   %Initial facts
   moveList ([]).
11
12
   lastMove(none, 0).
13
   savemove (executeInput (CUR)):-
14
     moveList(L),
15
16
     last Move (LASTMOVE, MVTIME),
17
     savemove (CUR, last Move (LASTMOVE, MVTIME), L, NEWLASTMOVE,
18
     retract (lastMove(_,_)),
19
     retract ( moveList (_) ) ,
20
     assert (NEWLASTMOVE),
21
     assert (moveList (NEWL)).
22
23
   Mere the savemove rule is implemented without assert and
        retract.
24
   %savemove (CUR, LAST, LIST, NEWLAST, NEWLIST)
   25
26
     getCurrentMillis(M).
27
28
   savemove (CUR, last Move (move (MV, SPEED, 0), FIRSTM), L, last Move
       (CUR,M), [move(MV, SPEED, DIFF, 0) | L]):-
29
     getCurrentMillis(M),
     DIFF is M - FIRSTM.
30
31
32 |\% just to put the last command in the list
33
   end Save moves \colon \!\! -
34
     savemove (executeInput (move (h, 100, 1000))).
```

```
35
36
   backToBase:-
37
      moveList(L),
38
      backToBase(L).
39
40
   backToBase([]).
41
42
   backToBase([H|T]):-
43
      revMove(H,RH),
44
      executeInput(RH),
45
      backToBase(T).
46
   getCurrentMillis(M):-
47
      class ("it.unibo.sartiballanti.utils.Utils") <-
48
          getCurrentTimeMillis returns M.
49
   revMove(move(mf, X, Y, Z), move(mb, X, Y, Z)).
50
   revMove(move(mb, X, Y, Z), move(mf, X, Y, Z)).
51
   revMove(move(mr, X, Y, Z), move(ml, X, Y, Z)).
53
   revMove(move(ml, X, Y, Z), move(mr, X, Y, Z)).
54
   revMove(move(h, X, Y, Z), move(h, X, Y, Z)).
55
   %For example taking a photo
56
57
   detection (X):-
      actorOp(takeStringifiedPhoto),
58
      actorOpDone(takeStringifiedPhoto,X).
59
60
61
   init Drive Robot Theory\ .
62
63
   :- initialization (initDriveRobotTheory).
```

## 10 Testing

In the previous sections, we had an executable model that could be tested, so most of the tests that involve communication between parts of the system have been done at the end of the analysis phase. Initially, communication tests have been executed locally, then the system parts have been deployed on different computational nodes to test system behaviour as a whole in a distributed environment, checking if the system behaved as described in the test plan. In local tests, we used a mock robot that simulated sensors and motors:

```
1
   RobotBase mock
2
    //BASIC
3
                          simulated 0
   motorleft
              = Motor
                                           position: LEFT
4
   motorright = Motor
                          simulated 0
                                           position: RIGHT
              = Line
                        simulated 0
                                          position: BOTTOM
5
   distFrontMock= Distance [ simulated 0 ] position: FRONT
6
7
   mgn1 = Magnetometer [ simulated 0 ] private position:
      FRONT
   //COMPOSED
8
9
          = Rotation [ mgn1 ] private position: FRONT
10
   motors = Actuators [ motorleft , motorright ] private
       position: BOTTOM
11
   Mainrobot mock [ motors, rot ]
12
```

Finally, we deployed the system parts on their final location and tested the complete system and checked that it behaved as described in the test plan.

## 11 Deployment

The parts of the application will be deployed on different computational nodes as JAR executable archives with some configuration files, Prolog theories and bash scripts. The platforms we use in this case are a Raspberry Pi board on the robot and two PCs to provide the consoles, we just need to copy the appropriate files, set execution permissions for the bash scripts and execute the JAR on every node. The application will start when all the parts of the system have been started.

#### 12 Maintenance

We developed the application using the ddr framework and delaying any technological hypothesis, so the resulting system can be easily modified to add or change features. In the next section, we'll show how new (compatible) requirements need very little changes to the previously developed system.

## 13 Step 2

#### 13.1 Requirements

#### STEP 2 (Implementation Optional)

Extend the last requirement as follows:

- If the bag is qualifed as "harmful", the Airport Security Center emits an 'alarm' signal and activates another (properly equipped) robot that (starting from the same RBA of the robot inspector) should reach the bag in autonomous way and remove the bag from the area.

## 13.2 Requirements analysis

Use cases No new use cases or changes to the previous ones.

**Scenarios** No new scenarios or changes to the previous ones.

(**Domain**) **model** A new robot is introduced, similar to the previous one. It needs a way to remove the bag. We just need to add the following to the previous domain model:

```
RobotSystem extensionanalysis
1
2
3
   Event alarm: alarm
4
   Context ctxLocal ip [ host="localhost" port=8025 ]
5
6
   Robot mock QActor removerabot context ctxLocal {
7
8
     Plan init normal
9
        println("Removerobot starts");
10
        switchToPlan waitAlarm
11
12
     Plan waitAlarm
        sense time(60000) alarm -> goToBag;
13
14
        repeatPlan 0
15
     Plan goToBag
16
        println("Going to bag");
17
18
        delay time (5000);
19
        println("Removing Bag");
20
        delay time (5000);
        println("Removerobot ends")
21
22
```

**Test plan** We need to check if the second robot receives can reach the bag and remove it. We can test this observing the whole system after the ASC emits the alarm.

#### 13.3 Problem analysis

**Logic architecture** We can obtain the new logic architecture adding a new type of message, the new actor described in the domain model and slightly changing the behavior of the first robot.

It is not specified by the requirements whether the second robot has to go to the bag as soon as it perceives the alarm, or it can wait until the first robot is returned to the RBA. If it has to start immediatly, collisions may occur during the route. So, in order to avoid this problem, we'll make the second robot wait for the first one. In any case, the second robot should know the bag location as soon as possible. Thus, the first robot will send the route to the bag to the second robot immidiately after it reached the bag. The second robot will follow this route to reach the bag and remove it if an alarm is emitted.

```
RobotSystem extensionlogicarchitecture
1
2
3
   Event local inputDrive : local inputDrive(X) //events
4
       from GUI/External Input
5
   Dispatch drive : drive (X)
   Dispatch detection Results: detection Results (X)
7
   Event alarm : alarm
                                             //events from GUI
   Event local alarm: local alarm
       External Input
   Event obstacle : obstacle (X)
   Event bagFound : bagFound
10
   Event endDetection : endDetection
11
                                           //sent by the
12
   Dispatch routeToBag : routeToBag(X)
       driverobot when the bag is found
   Event botIsBack : botIsBack
13
14
   Context ctxRemoverobot ip host="192.168.1.80" port=8025
15
   Context ctxDriveRobot ip [host="192.168.1.69" port=8010]
16
17
   Context ctxOperator ip [host="192.168.1.2" port=8015]
18
   Context ctxASC ip [host="192.168.1.2" port=8020]
19
20
   QActor led context ctxDriveRobot
21
22
     Plan init normal
23
       println("Led starts");
24
       switchToPlan senseStartBlink
```

```
25
26
      Plan senseStartBlink
27
        println("Led Off");
28
        sense time (60000) bagFound -> startBlinking;
29
        repeatPlan 0
30
31
      Plan startBlinking
32
        println("led On");
33
        delay time (1000) react event endDetection ->
           senseAlarm;
        println("Led Off");
34
35
        delay time (1000) react event endDetection ->
           senseAlarm;
        repeatPlan 0
36
37
38
      Plan senseAlarm
        println("Led Off");
39
        sense time (60000) alarm -> blinking Alarm;
40
        repeatPlan 0
41
42
43
      Plan blinkingAlarm
        println("led On");
44
        delay time(500) react event botIsBack -> finish;
45
46
        println("Led Off");
47
        delay time (500) react event botIsBack -> finish;
48
        repeatPlan 0
49
50
      Plan finish
51
        println("Led ends")
52
53
54
   QActor operatorconsole context ctxOperator -g cyan
55
56
     Plan init normal
57
        println("Operator starts");
        switchToPlan senseInput
58
59
60
      Plan senseInput
        sense time (60000) local inputDrive ->
61
           sendDriveCommands;
62
        repeatPlan 0
63
64
      Plan sendDriveCommands resumeLastPlan
65
        onEvent local inputDrive : local inputDrive(X) ->
           forward driverobot -m drive : drive(X)
```

```
66 | }
67
68
    QActor ascconsole context ctxASC -g green
69
70
      Plan init normal
71
        println("ASC starts");
        switchToPlan work
72
73
74
      Plan work
75
        receiveMsg time(600000);
76
        onMsg detectionResults : detectionResults(X) ->
            println (detectionResults(X));
77
        switchToPlan senseAlarm
78
79
      Plan senseAlarm
        sense time(100000) local_alarm -> continue;
80
        onEvent local alarm : local alarm -> emit alarm :
81
            alarm
82
    }
83
84
    Robot mock QActor driverobot context ctxDriveRobot
85
86
      Plan init normal
87
        println("driverobot starts");
        solve consult ("talkTheory.pl") time(0) onFailSwitchTo
88
             prologFailure;
        switchToPlan drive
89
90
91
      Plan drive
        //We'll have to make sure that the robot executes the
92
             commands from the first console only
93
        receiveMsg time(600000) react event obstacle ->
            detect;
94
        onMsg drive : drive(X) -> println(savingmove(X));
95
        onMsg drive : drive(X) -> solve X time(0);
        repeatPlan 0
96
97
98
      Plan detect
        println("Stopping...");
99
100
        robotStop speed(100) time(1000);
101
        //Extension
102
        println("Sending the route to the second robot");
103
104
        forward removerabot -m routeToBag : routeToBag(
            listOfMoves);
```

```
105
        println("Route to bag sent");
106
        //End extension
107
108
        emit bagFound : bagFound;
109
        println("Starting detection Phase...");
        [?? detection(X)] forward ascconsole—m
110
            detectionResults : detectionResults(X);
        println("Detection Results Sent");
111
112
        emit endDetection : endDetection;
113
        println("Back to base");
114
        switchToPlan backToBase
115
      Plan backToBase
116
        solve backToBase time(0); //It doesn't need to react,
117
             as the gactor led handles that
118
        switchToPlan finish
119
      Plan finish
120
121
        emit botIsBack : botIsBack;
122
        println("DriveRobot ends")
123
124
      Plan prologFailure resumeLastPlan
125
        println("Failed to load talkTheory")
126
    }
127
128
    Robot mock QActor removerabot context ctxRemoverabot {
129
      Plan init normal
130
131
        println("Removerobot starts");
132
        switchToPlan receiveRoute
133
134
      Plan receiveRoute
135
        receiveMsg time(60000);
136
        onMsg routeToBag : routeToBag(X) -> switchToPlan
            waitAlarm;
137
        repeatPlan 0
138
139
      Plan waitAlarm
140
        println("Waiting for alarm");
141
        sense time (60000) alarm, botIsBack -> waitBotIsBack,
            finish;
142
        repeatPlan 0
143
      Plan waitBotIsBack
144
145
        println("Waiting for driverobot to arrive to RBA");
```

```
146
         sense time (60000) botIsBack -> goToBag;
147
         repeatPlan 0
148
149
       Plan goToBag
         println("Going to bag");
150
         delay time (5000);
151
         println("Removing Bag");
152
         delay time (5000);
153
154
         switchToPlan finish
155
       Plan finish
156
         println("Removerobot ends")
157
158
```

#### 13.4 Work plan

We are using the ddr framework, so most of the behaviour of the new robot is already defined. The bag removal will be simulated with a print operation because our robot can't physically move the bag, so we just need to define the second robot configuration and implement an algorithm that allows the robot to follow the route it received from the other robot.

## 13.5 Project

Structure The structure is the same as the logic architecture.

**Interaction** We introduced the message routeToBag to send the path to follow to the second robot.

**Behavior** The behavior of the asc console, operator console and led remain unchanged, the first robot just needs to send a new message as described before. The second robot has no actuators, so it will just simulate the bag removal.

```
RobotSystem testCase2016Project
1
2
  Event local inputDrive : local inputDrive(X) //events
3
      from GUI/External Input
4
  Dispatch drive : drive(X)
5
  Dispatch detectionResults: detectionResults(X)
  Event alarm: alarm
6
  Event local alarm : local alarm
                                           //events from GUI
      External Input
  Event obstacle : obstacle (X)
  Event bagFound : bagFound
```

```
10 | Event endDetection : endDetection
11
  Event botIsBack : botIsBack
                                           //signals the
       return to the base of the robot
12
13
   //Extension
14 Dispatch routeToBag : routeToBag(X)
                                               //sent by the
       driverobot when the bag is found
15
   Context ctxDriveRobot ip [host="192.168.43.162" port=8010]
16
   Context ctxOperator ip [host="192.168.43.159" port=8015]
17
   Context ctxASC ip [host="192.168.43.241" port=8020]
18
19
20
   //Extension
   Context ctxRemoverobot ip [ host="192.168.43.87" port=8025
21
22
23
24
   QActor led context ctxDriveRobot
25
26
     Plan init normal
27
        println("Led starts");
        solve consult ("ledTheory.pl") time(0) onFailSwitchTo
28
           prologFailure;
29
        switchToPlan senseStartBlink
30
     Plan senseStartBlink
31
32
        println("Led Sensing");
        solve turnTheLed(off) time(0) onFailSwitchTo
33
           prologFailure;
        sense time (60000) bagFound -> startBlinking;
34
35
        repeatPlan 0
36
37
     Plan startBlinking
38
        println("led On");
39
        solve turnTheLed(on) time(0) onFailSwitchTo
           prologFailure;
        delay time (500) react event endDetection ->
40
           senseAlarm;
        println("Led Off");
41
42
        solve turnTheLed(off) time(0) onFailSwitchTo
           prologFailure;
        delay time (500) react event endDetection ->
43
           senseAlarm;
44
        repeatPlan 0
45
```

```
46
      Plan senseAlarm
47
        println("Led Off, waiting alarm");
48
        solve turnTheLed(off) time(0);
49
        sense time (60000) alarm-> blinking Alarm;
50
        repeatPlan 0
51
52
      Plan blinking Alarm
53
        println("Alarm! Blinking again");
        println("led On");
54
        solve turnTheLed(on) time(0) onFailSwitchTo
55
            prologFailure;
        delay time(500) react event botIsBack -> finish;
56
        println("Led Off");
57
        solve turnTheLed(off) time(0) onFailSwitchTo
58
            prologFailure;
59
        delay time(500) react event botIsBack -> finish;
60
        repeatPlan 0
61
      Plan finish
62
63
        solve turnTheLed(offcompletely) time(0)
           onFailSwitchTo prologFailure;
        println("Led ends")
64
65
66
      Plan prologFailure resumeLastPlan
67
        println("Prolog Failure LED")
   }
68
69
70
   QActor operatorconsole context ctxOperator -g cyan
71
72
      Plan init normal
73
        println("Operator starts");
        {\bf switch To Plan} \ \ {\bf sense Input}
74
75
76
      Plan senseInput
        sense time(60000) local_inputDrive ->
77
           sendDriveCommands;
        repeatPlan 0
78
79
80
      Plan sendDriveCommands resumeLastPlan
81
        onEvent\ local\ inputDrive\ :\ local\ inputDrive\ (X)\ ->
            forward driverobot -m drive : drive(X)
82
83
84 | QActor ascconsole context ctxASC -g green
85 | {
```

```
86
      Plan init normal
87
        println("ASC starts");
88
        switchToPlan work
89
90
      Plan work
91
        receiveMsg time(600000);
        onMsg detectionResults : detectionResults(X) ->
92
93
        solve actorOp(loadResults(X)) time(0) onFailSwitchTo
            prologFailure;
94
        switchToPlan senseAlarm
95
      Plan senseAlarm
96
97
        sense time (100000) local alarm -> continue;
        onEvent local alarm : local alarm -> emit alarm :
98
            alarm
99
100
      Plan prologFailure resumeLastPlan
101
        println("Prolog failure ASC")
102
103
104
    //QActor obstacleemitter context ctxDriveRobot
105
106
107
        Plan init normal
108
           delay time (15000);
           emit obstacle : obstacle(12);
109
           println("Emitted obstacle event")
110
    //}
111
112
113
    Robot senseBot QActor driverobot context ctxDriveRobot
114
115
      Plan init normal
116
        println("driverobot starts");
        solve consult ("talkTheory.pl") time(0) on FailSwitchTo
117
             prologFailure;
        println("consulting driveRobotTheory");
118
119
        solve consult("driveRobotTheory.pl") time(0)
            onFailSwitchTo prologFailure;
        println("consulted driveRobotTheory");
120
121
        switchToPlan receiveFirstCommand
122
123
      Plan receiveFirstCommand
124
        println("ROBOT waiting first message");
125
        receiveMsg time(600000) react event obstacle ->
            detect;
```

```
126
         //Save first sender
127
         [?? msg(drive, dispatch, S, R, drive(X), N)] solve
            assert(firstSender(S)) time(0);
128
        onMsg drive : drive (X) -> solve savemove (X) time (0)
            onFailSwitchTo savemoveFailure;
129
        onMsg drive : drive(X) -> println(X);
        onMsg drive : drive(X) -> solve X time(0)
130
            onFailSwitchTo prologFailure;
        onMsg drive : drive(X) -> switchToPlan drive;
131
132
         repeatPlan 0
133
134
      Plan drive
135
         receiveMsg time(600000) react event obstacle ->
            detect;
136
         //To make sure that the sender is the same as the
            first one
137
         [?? msg(drive, dispatch, S, R, drive(X), N)] solve
            firstSender(S) time(0) onFailSwitchTo drive;
138
        onMsg drive : drive (X) \rightarrow println(X);
        onMsg drive : drive (X) -> solve savemove (X) time (0)
139
            onFailSwitchTo prologFailure;
        onMsg drive : drive(X) \rightarrow solve X time(0)
140
            onFailSwitchTo prologFailure;
         repeatPlan 0
141
142
143
      Plan detect
144
         println("Stopping...");
145
         robotStop speed(100) time(0);
146
         delay time (1000);
         println("Stopped");
147
         solve endSavemoves time (0) onFailSwitchTo
148
            prologFailure;
149
         emit bagFound : bagFound;
150
151
         //Extension
152
         println("Sending the route to the second robot");
         [!? moveList(X)] forward removerabot -m routeToBag :
153
            routeToBag(moveList(X));
154
         println("Route to bag sent");
155
         //End extension
156
         println("Starting detection Phase...");
157
158
         delay time ( 3000);
159
         [!? detection(X)] forward ascconsole—m
            detectionResults : detectionResults(X);
```

```
160
         delay time ( 3000);
161
         println("Detection Results Sent");
162
         emit endDetection : endDetection;
163
         println("Back to base");
         switchToPlan backToBase
164
165
166
      Plan backToBase
         solve backToBase time(0) onFailSwitchTo prologFailure
167
            ; //It doesn't need to react, as the qactor led
            handles that
         switchToPlan finish
168
169
170
      Plan finish
         emit botIsBack : botIsBack;
171
172
         println("DriveRobot ends")
173
174
      Plan prologFailure resumeLastPlan
175
         println("Robot Failed to load prolog theories")
176
177
      Plan savemoveFailure resumeLastPlan
178
         println("Failed save move")
179
180
    Robot plexiBox QActor removerobot context ctxRemoverobot
181
      Plan init normal
182
        println("Removerobot starts");
183
         solve consult ("talkTheory.pl") time(0) on FailSwitchTo
184
             prologFailure;
         solve consult("removeRobotTheory.pl") time(0)
185
            onFailSwitchTo prologFailure;
186
         switchToPlan receiveRoute
187
188
      Plan receiveRoute
189
        receiveMsg time(60000);
        onMsg routeToBag : routeToBag(X) -> println(X);
190
191
        onMsg routeToBag : routeToBag(X) \rightarrow solve assert(X)
            time(0);
        onMsg routeToBag : routeToBag(X) \rightarrow switchToPlan
192
            waitAlarm;
193
         repeatPlan 0
194
195
      Plan waitAlarm
196
         println("Waiting for alarm");
```

```
197
         sense time (60000) alarm, botIsBack -> waitBotIsBack,
            finish:
198
         repeatPlan 0
199
200
      Plan waitBotIsBack
201
         println("Waiting for driverobot to arrive to RBA");
         sense time(60000) botIsBack -> goToBag;
202
203
         repeatPlan 0
204
205
      Plan goToBag
         println("Going to bag");
206
207
         solve gotobag time(0) onFailSwitchTo prologFailure;
208
         println("Removing Bag");
209
         delay time (5000);
210
         println("Removerobot ends")
211
212
      Plan finish
213
         println("Removerobot ends")
214
215
      Plan prologFailure
216
         println ("Removerobot Failed to load prolog theories")
217
```

## 13.6 Implementation

This is the configuration of the new robot:

```
RobotBase senseBot
1
2
   //BASIC
3
   motorleft = Motor
                       gpiomotor pincw 6 pinccw 5
      position: LEFT
   motorright = Motor [ gpiomotor pincw 4 pinccw 0
4
      position: RIGHT
   distanceRadar = Distance [ sonarhcsr04 pintrig 22
5
      pinecho 21 | position: FRONT TOP
   //line = Line
                   gpioswitch pin 15 activelow position
6
      : BOTTOM
   //COMPOSED
7
   motors = Actuators [ motorleft , motorright ] private
      position: BOTTOM
9
   Mainrobot senseBot [ motors ]
10
```

We use the following Prolog theory to make the robot reach the bag:

```
1 %drivecommand example
```

```
|%executeInput(move(mf,100,1000,0))
 3
 4
    gotobag:-
 5
      moveList(L),
 6
      reverse (L,LR),
 7
      gotobag (LR).
 8
9
    gotobag([]).
10
11
    gotobag\left(\left[H|T\right]\right):-
12
      executeInput(H),
13
      gotobag(T).
14
15
   initRemoveRobotTheory.
16
17
   :- initialization (initRemoveRobotTheory).
```

The bag removal is just a print operation done by the actor.

## 13.7 Testing

We tested the system as a whole observing the second robot when an alarm is emitted.

## 14 Information about the author



## References

1. A. Natali and A. Molesini. Costruire sistemi software: dai modelli al codice. Esculapio, 2009.