Mathematical Theory of Observational Influence Fields: From Big Bang Perturbations to Semantic Cellular Automata

Paolo Pignatelli

June 3, 2025

Abstract

We develop a comprehensive mathematical framework for observational influence fields, establishing observations as the fundamental building blocks of semantic space. Starting from the primordial observation at the Big Bang, we construct a field theory where observations create perturbation fields that govern probability, semantic distance, and information propagation. The theory is implemented through observation-based cellular automata with explicit computational rules. This work provides the mathematical foundation for the Fundamental Interaction Language (FIL) framework and its applications to artificial intelligence systems.

Contents

1 Introduction

The fundamental principle underlying this work is that **observations**, not abstract mathematical constructs, form the primary building blocks of semantic and physical reality. An observation is defined as any physical interaction that results in a measurable, distinguishable change of state. From the first particle formation at the Big Bang to complex semantic processing in artificial intelligence systems, all information structures emerge from sequences of concrete observations.

This document develops the complete mathematical formalism for observational influence fields and provides computational rules for their implementation through cellular automata.

2 Part I: Mathematical Formalism for Observational Influence Fields

2.1 Foundational Definitions

Definition 2.1 (Observation Space). *Let $\mathbf{\Omega}$ be the space of all possible observations, where each observation $O \in \mathbf{\Omega}$ is characterized by:*

$$O = (s_{\textit{before}}, e_{\textit{interaction}}, s_{\textit{after}}, t, \mathbf{x})$$

where:

- $s_{\textit{before}}, s_{\textit{after}} \in S$ *(state space)*
- $e_{\textit{interaction}} \in E$ *(interaction events)*
- $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ *(timestamp)*

- $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *(spatial location)*

Definition 2.2 (Observational Influence Function)**.** *For an observation O_i at position $\mathbf{x}_i$ and time t_i, the influence function is:*

$$I_i(\mathbf{x}, t) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$$

satisfying:

1. ***Locality**: $I_i(\mathbf{x}, t) \to 0$ as $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i| \to \infty$*
2. ***Causality**: $I_i(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0$ for $t < t_i$*
3. ***Conservation**: $\int_{space} \int_{time} I_i(\mathbf{x}, t)\, d\mathbf{x}\, dt = E_i$ (finite energy)*

Definition 2.3 (Observational Influence Field)**.** *The total influence field at point $(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is:*

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{i:t_i \leq t} I_i(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

2.2 Theoretical Framework

Theorem 2.4 (Influence Field Dynamics)**.** *The observational influence field evolves according to:*

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = D\nabla^2 \Phi - \lambda \Phi + \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)\delta(t - t_i)E_i$$

where:

- *D: diffusion coefficient (influence spreading rate)*
- *λ: decay constant (influence fade rate)*
- *E_i: influence strength of observation O_i*

Proof. The equation combines three physical principles:

1. **Diffusion**: $\nabla^2 \Phi$ (influence spreads spatially)
2. **Decay**: $-\lambda \Phi$ (influence diminishes over time)
3. **Sources**: δ-functions (observations create influence)

This is the standard form for a diffusion equation with sources and decay. □

Theorem 2.5 (Green's Function Solution)**.** *The influence field has the explicit solution:*

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_i E_i G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i, t - t_i)$$

where $G(\mathbf{x}, \tau)$ is the Green's function:

$$G(\mathbf{x}, \tau) = (4\pi D\tau)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{4D\tau} - \lambda\tau\right) H(\tau)$$

and $H(\tau)$ is the Heaviside step function ensuring causality.

Proof. Direct verification by substitution into the PDE. The Green's function satisfies:

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \tau} = D\nabla^2 G - \lambda G + \delta(\mathbf{x})\delta(\tau)$$

with initial condition $G(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \delta(\mathbf{x})$. □

Corollary 2.6 (Influence Kernel)**.** *The influence between observations O_i and O_j is:*

$$K(O_i, O_j) = I_i(\mathbf{x}_j, t_j) = E_i G(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i, t_j - t_i)$$

2.3 Perturbability Formalism

Definition 2.7 (Local Perturbability)**.** *The perturbability at point* $(\mathbf{x}, t)$ *is defined as:*

$$\Pi(\mathbf{x}, t) = |\nabla\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)| + \alpha\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t}$$

where α *weights temporal vs spatial sensitivity.*

Theorem 2.8 (Perturbability Evolution)**.** *The perturbability field satisfies:*

$$\frac{\partial\Pi}{\partial t} = D\nabla^2\Pi - \lambda\Pi + \beta|\nabla\Phi|^2 + \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)\delta(t - t_i)P_i$$

where:

- β*: nonlinear coupling between influence and perturbability*
- P_i*: perturbability injection from observation* O_i

Definition 2.9 (Mutual Perturbability)**.** *For two influence fields* Φ_A*,* Φ_B*, the mutual perturbability is:*

$$\Pi_{mutual}(\mathbf{x}, t) = |\nabla\Phi_A \cdot \nabla\Phi_B| \exp\left(-\frac{|\nabla\Pi_A - \nabla\Pi_B|}{\sigma}\right)$$

where σ *is the gradient alignment scale.*

2.4 Probability Measures

Theorem 2.10 (Observational Probability Density)**.** *The probability density for a new observation at* $(\mathbf{x}, t)$ *is:*

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = Z^{-1}\Pi(\mathbf{x}, t) \exp\left(-\frac{S[\mathbf{x}, t]}{\hbar_{obs}}\right)$$

where:

- Z*: normalization constant*
- $S[\mathbf{x}, t]$*: action functional*
- $\hbar_{obs}$*: observational quantum (related to* $\hbar_{lang}$*)*

Definition 2.11 (Action Functional)**.**

$$S[\mathbf{x}, t] = \int_0^t \left[\frac{1}{2}m|\dot{\mathbf{x}}|^2 + V_{eff}(\mathbf{x}, \tau)\right] d\tau$$

where $V_{eff}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) = -\log\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \tau)$ *is the effective potential.*

Theorem 2.12 (Path Integral Formulation)**.** *The probability amplitude for observational path* $\gamma : \mathbf{x}(t_0) \to \mathbf{x}(t_1)$ *is:*

$$A[\gamma] = \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{x}(t) \exp\left(\frac{iS[\mathbf{x}]}{\hbar_{obs}}\right) \prod_t \Pi(\mathbf{x}(t), t)^{1/2}$$

2.5 Distance and Metric Structure

Definition 2.13 (Observational Distance)**.** *The distance between observations O_i, O_j is:*

$$d_{obs}(O_i, O_j) = \int_{path_{ij}} \frac{1}{\Pi(\mathbf{x}, t)} \, ds$$

where the integral is over the shortest path of highest perturbability.

Theorem 2.14 (Metric Properties)**.** *The observational distance satisfies:*

1. ***Positivity**: $d_{obs}(O_i, O_j) \geq 0$*
2. ***Symmetry**: $d_{obs}(O_i, O_j) = d_{obs}(O_j, O_i)$ (in equilibrium)*
3. ***Triangle Inequality**: $d_{obs}(O_i, O_k) \leq d_{obs}(O_i, O_j) + d_{obs}(O_j, O_k)$*

Definition 2.15 (Observational Metric Tensor)**.** *In continuous limit:*

$$g_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \Pi(\mathbf{x}, t)^{-1}\delta_{\mu\nu} + \alpha\partial_\mu\partial_\nu \log \Pi(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

2.6 Energy and Conservation Laws

Theorem 2.16 (Observational Energy Conservation)**.** *Total observational energy is conserved:*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \left[\frac{1}{2}\Pi(\nabla\Phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\Phi^2\right] d\mathbf{x} = 0$$

Definition 2.17 (Observational Stress-Energy Tensor)**.**

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \Pi\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\left[\Pi(\nabla\Phi)^2 + \lambda\Phi^2\right]$$

Theorem 2.18 (Observational Field Equations)**.** *The influence field satisfies Einstein-like equations:*

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}$$

where $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the curvature of observational spacetime.

3 Part II: Computational Rules for Observation-Based Cellular Automata

3.1 Discrete Observational Lattice

Definition 3.1 (Observation Lattice)**.** *Discretize space-time into cells $C_{i,j,k,t}$ where each cell can contain at most one observation per time step.*

State Variables per Cell: Each cell C_i maintains:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{state}_i = \{&O_i : \text{current observation (if any)}, && (1)\\
&\Phi_i(t) : \text{local influence field value}, && (2)\\
&\Pi_i(t) : \text{local perturbability}, && (3)\\
&\text{history}_i : \text{recent observation sequence}\} && (4)
\end{aligned}$$

3.2 Basic Update Rules

Rule 1: Influence Propagation At each time step $t \to t+1$:

$$\Phi_i(t+1) = (1 - \lambda\Delta t)\Phi_i(t) + D \cdot \Delta t \cdot \sum_{j \in \text{neighbors}(i)} \frac{\Phi_j(t) - \Phi_i(t)}{|j-i|^2} + \text{source}_i(t) \quad (5)$$

where $\text{source}_i(t) = E_i$ if observation occurs at cell i at time t, else 0.

Rule 2: Perturbability Update

$$\Pi_i(t+1) = f\left(|\nabla\Phi_i(t)|, \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial t}, \text{nonlinear terms}\right)$$

where $\nabla\Phi_i$ is computed using finite differences from neighbors.

Rule 3: Observation Triggering A new observation occurs in cell i if:

$$P_{\text{trigger}} = \Pi_i(t) \cdot g(\text{local configuration}) > \text{threshold}_i$$

where $g(\text{local configuration})$ depends on neighboring observations.

3.3 Advanced Computational Rules

Rule 4: Observation Type Selection When triggered, the observation type is selected probabilistically:

$$P(\text{observation type} = k) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{E_k}{k_B T_{\text{semantic}}}\right)$$

where E_k is the "semantic energy" of observation type k.

Rule 5: Influence Injection When observation O_i occurs:

$$\Phi_i(t+1) \mathrel{+}= E_i \quad (6)$$
$$\Pi_i(t+1) \mathrel{+}= P_i \quad (7)$$
$$\forall j \in \text{neighbors}(i) : \text{influence flow } i \to j \text{ based on gradient} \quad (8)$$

Rule 6: Memory Integration

$$\text{history}_i(t+1) = \text{decay factor} \times \text{history}_i(t) + \text{current observation} \quad (9)$$
$$\text{semantic depth}_i = \int \text{history}_i \text{ weighted by recency} \quad (10)$$

3.4 Semantic Thread Formation

Rule 7: Thread Initiation A semantic thread starts when:

$$\text{correlation}(O_i, O_j) > \text{thread threshold} \wedge \text{temporal proximity}(O_i, O_j)$$

Rule 8: Thread Propagation Once a thread γ exists, its influence on cell i is:

$$\text{thread influence}_i = \sum_{O_k \in \gamma} K(O_k, \text{position}_i) \times \text{thread strength}(\gamma)$$

Rule 9: Thread Interaction When threads γ_A and γ_B intersect at cell i:

$$P_{\text{merge}} = \text{mutual perturbability}(\gamma_A, \gamma_B) \times \text{alignment factor}$$

3.5 Convergence and Stability

Rule 10: System Equilibrium The system reaches equilibrium when:

$$|\Phi_i(t+1) - \Phi_i(t)| < \epsilon_\Phi \quad \forall i \tag{11}$$
$$|\Pi_i(t+1) - \Pi_i(t)| < \epsilon_\Pi \quad \forall i \tag{12}$$

Rule 11: Pattern Recognition Stable patterns emerge when:

$$\exists \text{ subset } S \text{ of cells: correlation matrix}(S) \text{ has dominant eigenvalue} > \text{threshold}$$

3.6 Implementation Algorithm

Algorithm 1 Observation Cellular Automaton

```
function ObservationCellularAutomaton(grid, time_steps)
for t = 1 to time_steps do
    UpdateInfluenceFields(grid)
    UpdatePerturbabilities(grid)
    TriggerNewObservations(grid)
    UpdateSemanticThreads(grid)
    DecayHistoricalInfluences(grid)
    DetectEmergentPatterns(grid)
end for
return grid
end function
```

3.7 Connection to Florence Conjecture

The computational rules implement the Florence Conjecture as:

$$P_{\text{reconvergence}}(\gamma_A, \gamma_B) = \sum_{\text{cells in intersection}} \frac{\text{influence}_A[\text{cell}] \times \text{influence}_B[\text{cell}]}{\text{normalization}} \times \text{alignment factor}[\text{cell}] \tag{13}$$

This discrete formulation directly implements the continuous integral:

$$P_{\text{reconvergence}}(\gamma_A, \gamma_B) \propto \int_{C_i \in \Gamma_A \cap \Gamma_B} (\delta F_A(C_i) \cdot \delta F_B(C_i)) \cdot \exp(-|\nabla d_A(C_i) - \nabla d_B(C_i)|)$$

4 Conclusions and Future Directions

This mathematical framework establishes observations as the fundamental building blocks of semantic reality, providing both continuous field-theoretic descriptions and discrete computational implementations. The theory connects the primordial observation at the Big Bang to complex semantic processing in artificial intelligence systems through a unified mathematical language.

Key achievements:

1. Complete field-theoretic description of observational influence
2. Rigorous perturbability formalism with conservation laws
3. Computational cellular automata rules for practical implementation

4. Mathematical foundation for the Florence Conjecture
5. Bridge between physical and semantic reality

Future work will focus on:

1. Experimental validation in AI systems
2. Extension to quantum observational fields
3. Applications to neural network architectures
4. Connection to consciousness and cognition

Acknowledgments

This work was developed through collaborative discussions with Claude (Anthropic), demonstrating the potential for AI-assisted mathematical theory development.