

A Parking Guidance and Information System for TinyOS

CSE 521S Final Report

Matthew Lindsay

Patrick McBryde

Michael Schultz

Washington University in Saint Louis

ABSTRACT

“Intelligent Transportation Systems” (ITS) are a mix of systems that gather various data metrics from transportation areas (parking lots, streets, alleyways, etc.), aggregate this data together, and present coherent information to the end-users of the system. Orchestrating such a system presents several challenges at each level. How do you gather the data and at what granularity? Where does the data go once gathered and can it be useful to anyone? If it can be useful, how can it be presented to end-users to provide accurate and simple-to-interpret content? This paper presents and explains our decisions in developing a “Parking Guidance and Information” (PGI) system for TinyOS.

1. INTRODUCTION

Driving to new destinations always brings some level of stress and uncertainty. Where am I going, what do the roads and intersections look like, what side of the road is the place on, where do I park? These are all questions that dart through the brain when beginning to think about going some place new. Luckily, maps bring us the answer or at least a partial answer to some of those questions. In the past decade there has been huge growth of web-based mapping technology to help answer these questions even more fully. For example, Google Maps allows you to get directions from point A to point B, and in the past 5 years has introduced Street View [8] to their interface. Street View allows a user to view the roads they will be travelling on from an in-car perspective. This removes much of the uncertainty when driving, leading to less confusion and a better experience (and potentially fewer accidents).

However, there is still that can be done. Even after you’ve arrived at your destination you must find where to park. This can also be quite a hassle, as you are unfamiliar with the area and during peak hours there may not be a parking space in near proximity. To help in this matter, Parking Guidance and Information Systems (PGIS) allow drivers to quickly evaluate where best to go to find parking efficiently [7]. Traditional PGI Systems simply count the number of cars that enter and exit a designated area and display the number of spots available in that area to the driver. These systems are imprecise, costly, and can’t be integrated with other technologies.

This paper presents our experiences building a parking guidance and information system using the TelosB/TinyOS platform. By using motes, it opens the possibility of deploying a single sensor for each available parking space allowing for much more detailed information about a parking lot as a whole. Moreover, with proper sheltering, these sensors can be mounted on the surface of a parking lot instead of cutting into the lot and installing an inductive loop to detect vehicle presence. We also take advantage of the wireless multi-hop routing abilities of TinyOS-based motes to avoid wiring and enable a heterogeneous mix of sensors to keep track of parking spot availability and usage data. Combining these aspects gives a system that can be more precise, lower cost, and more easily integrated with future technologies.

The remainder of this paper is as follows. Section 2 defines the goals of this project more fully. Section 3 presents and explains the high-level architecture of our PGI System and details the hardware and software used during the course of this project. Section 4 talks about how we convinced ourselves that the system worked correctly and, if we have more resources, could scale up as needed. Sections 5 and 6 give an overview of related works and lessons we learned during this project. Finally, Section 7 concludes this paper with a discussion of potential future work for this project.

2. GOALS

Since we believe other solutions to PGI Systems do not represent what modern technology is capable of, our goals for this project are to build a PGI System that can be easily deployed at a low-cost, aggregates the data at a single location, and integrates with user-facing technology to provide a rich experience. In short, we plan to answer the following questions:

- How do you gather parking data and at what granularity?
- Where does the data go once gathered and can it be useful to anyone?
- How can it be presented to end-users to provide accurate and simple-to-interpret content?

3. DESIGN




Figure 1: High-level view of our PGI System. A base station at the lot sends data over the Internet to our aggregator, which end-users can query.

Figure 1 shows a high-level view of the infrastructure of our PGI System. Our system begins with a parking lot (left side of Figure 1), each space in this lot is equipped with a sensor built on the TelosB/TinyOS platform. Data is collected at a per-lot base station, which then sends the data over the Internet to our backend. When our backend receives the data it updates and logs the changes to the data store and organizes the lot information. Once the data is stored, clients are able to query the backend and get up-to-date information about a specific lot and lots in the vicinity.

Building this system requires a fair amount of hardware and software knowledge and is described below.

3.1 Hardware

This should cover the physical hardware we used in building this. Including (but not limited to):

- TelosB motes (listing any relevant specifications, why we used TelosB and not something else) [3].
- External sensor we used, specifications for that, more detail than TelosB since the reader will be less familiar with it, why we used that.

At least one pictures of the telosb, the sensors, or the telosb with sensor attached.

We would like 6 TelosB/Tmote Sky sensors (2 for sensor testing and 4 for network development to build a non-trivial routing topology). It would also be interesting to use a Mica family board with the MTS310CA (magnetometer) sensor board, to test vehicle detection with a magnetometer, though not strictly required as we can find other sensors to use. Alternatively, if we can interface a magnetometer with the TelosB/Tmote Sky mote board that could be used instead of a Mica family board.

3.2 Collection Software

Yup we had software.

A paragraph or two about TinyOS and building whatever we used there (interfaces, interaction with other

sensors and base station, CTP) [6].

A paragraph about the base station software and what it does.

The major components of this project are building and developing the sensing devices, writing and testing the networking and communications software to handle data delivery, and creating a friendly front end to present and track the information as needed. If we discover any of these components are significantly easier than others, it is easy to combine forces to develop new/better methods for any of them. We also have an interest in discovering more specific information of existing systems and getting up-to-date in the area of intelligent transportation systems.

3.3 Aggregation Software

A paragraph laying out what Google AppEngine is, why we chose it, and what it does for us.

A paragraph or two describing the AE backend and frontend(s).

More detailed description of the underlying implementation (packet formats in the network, sending data to AppEngine, specific technology used on backend, any frontend stuff).

4. EXPERIMENT

Paragraph about experiments that show our system works.

5. RELATED WORK

We are aware of two systems that target per-spot granularity. The first, Signal-Park, uses sonar sensors above each parking spot to detect the presence of a vehicle [1]. Approximately three times per second, the sensor is queried to determine vehicle presences and updates a central computer. This system uses serial lines to connect each sensor with the central computer, leading to more costly deploy. It also appears to be limited to the parking lot that it is deployed in and lacks the ability to aggregate the information for others to benefit. It would certainly be possible to improve the connectivity of this system to integrate with our back-end aggregation system to take advantage of existing installations.

The other system, Streetline, is a startup in the San Francisco area that similarly uses a wireless mesh network to link all the sensors together [2]. The Streetline system has recently (Summer 2010) begun to roll out sensors and upgraded meters for select areas in the San Francisco area [5, 4]. This system uses surface (and sub-surface) sensor mounts that contain a wireless transmitter and a magnetometer for vehicle detection. Status is sent to a central aggregation server where users can see the status of street parking via their web browser, text message, or smart phone; it also allows municipal workers to identify vehicles that have not paid fully. Presuming the Streetline trial is successful and the system expands, our system and their system should be able to integrate with little effort and could be seen as competitors. We view this a positive, as it demonstrates

that our project is a useful idea with potential buyers available.

6. LESSONS LEARNED

Anything we would have done differently if we were to pursue this project in more detail again.

7. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Conclusions from this project.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] Signal-park parking management solutions.
<http://www.signalpark.com/>.
- [2] Streetline parking management system.
<http://www.streetlinenetworks.com/>.
- [3] TelosB Datasheet.
http://www.xbow.com/Products/Product_pdf_files/Wireless_pdf/TelosB_Datasheet.pdf.
- [4] SFpark, 2010. <http://sfpark.org/>.
- [5] K. Barry. City parking smartens up with Streetline. *Wired Magazine*, November 2010.
<http://www.wired.com/autopia/2010/11/city-parking-smartens-up-with-streetline/>.
- [6] R. Fonseca, O. Gnawali, K. Jamieson, and P. Levis. Collection. TEP 119 (Documentary). TinyOS-2.x, URL <http://www.tinyos.net/dist-2.0.0/tinyos-2.x/doc/html/tep119.html>.
- [7] A. Sakai, K. Mizuno, T. Sugimoto, and T. Okuda. Parking guidance and information systems. In *Vehicle Navigation and Information Systems Conference, 1995. Proceedings. In conjunction with the Pacific Rim TransTech Conference. 6th International VNIS. 'A Ride into the Future'*, pages 478–485, July 1995.
- [8] L. Vincent. Taking online maps down to street level. *Computer*, 40(12):118–120, 2007.