

# **Jivaka: Yapping Chronicles**

Annual Writings & Reflections of Jivaka Brahma Putra

**Compiled & Formatted by Jericho Wilbert**

**Member of the DevenSMP Community**

**Published by PT. Deven Strategic Media Platform**



## Copyright Page

Copyright © 2024–2025 by **Jivaka Brahma Putra**

Published under license by **PT. Deven Strategic Media Platform**

Compilation & Format © 2024–2025 by **Jericho Wilbert**

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted without prior consent from the author or the publisher, except for brief quotations for commentary or educational use.

This book is an archival preservation of original writing.

All content remains unaltered for authenticity.

Edition Version: **M1.0 – Original Master Release**

## Dedication

To the pianist, the artist, the yapper.

To wandering thoughts given shape in words.

To memories worth keeping.

## Preface

Jivaka Brahma Putra — male French pianist, visual artist, and member of the DevenSMP community — writes with a mind that moves fast and free. His thoughts challenge meaning, morality, consciousness, and sometimes sanity itself. Imperfect, impulsive, and honest, his writing captures real thinking rather than polished literature.

This work exists for preservation. His words are kept exactly as they were written — mistakes, chaos, brilliance included. A yearly archive of thoughts, yaps, philosophy, and spontaneous questions. Not revised. Not filtered. A raw record of how a mind looked at the world in that moment.

Some pages reflect deep reasoning; others are pure emotion, or comedic critique of anime. All of it is him — the pianist tapping keys, the artist drawing ideas, the thinker never silent.

As a community, we keep this memory alive.

Not to perfect it, but to remember it.

Enjoy the yapping.

— Jericho Wilbert

## Table of Contents

Jivaka: Yapping Chronicles.....	ii
Copyright Page.....	i
Dedication .....	i
Preface.....	i
Table of Contents .....	iii
2024: .....	1
4 November – Probability.....	1
5 November – Enjoying Our Suffering .....	2
18 November – Pain of Nostalgic .....	4
23 November – Life Doings .....	4
15 December – Types of Action .....	5
2025: .....	7
30 January – Time Machine Paradox .....	7
9 March – Greed and Law .....	7
16 April – Hell? .....	9
17 April – The Worst Consequence.....	10
28 June – Rene Descartes: Consciousness.....	12
31 July – Opinion on Rent a Girlfriend .....	13
Contact Information .....	15

# 2024:

## 4 November – Probability

Before i tell you, let me tell you a clear line between

- not possible
- Possible
- 100% possible

"Not possible" is 100% not going to happen. For example:

- something out of nothing without any other factor would not be possible (believe me, "not possible" things are hard to think of. That is the best i can give you.)
- an infinite number of things in this real universe(not including a finite infinites)
- You getting any bitches

Possible things, are the most common out of those 3. Ex:

- tomorrows going to be raining.
- We live in a simulation
- God didnt exist
- And so much more.

100% is going to happen is also uncommon to occur. Ex:

- we live in a 3d world
- im out of ideas

Ofcourse, all of this is debatable. But its the general idea.

Okay, my theory is if its likely to be true, its true. Example:

Is it 100% true, without any external information, that right now, not a single person is asleep in their bed.

Now, you might be thinking that its so fucking obvious for a mathematical question that you might think this is a philosophical wise question.

You may be right. But even though there is definitely atleast 1 person asleep, it isn't "mathematically" speaking 100%. It might be 99.999999999999% true and got round up to 100% tho.

Another more wild example:

The school will 100% open tomorrow. I will not die tomorrow. This message will be read by someone.

Now, now, i know its all a bit paradoxical. But if we set that aside, it IS true that all of that will happen. Because it is unlikely that an external factor happens to be disturbing everyday activities JUST as was saying it wont.

This theory is a little bit annoying to write. Because anyone will gladly disprove it by doing something irrational just to prove im wrong.

Example: i said to you "you 100% wont kill urself now." And you did. You just disprove my whole point. Funny no?

Disclaimer: I think this only works for "facts". Because if you try to predict the future with this method, its unlikely that the prediction will be 100%. Because in my opinion the future and probabilities has a very clear relationship. On the other hand, "likely facts" hasnt had the best relationship with probabilities.

I also believe this is the way detectives solve murser cases. Their assumption isnt always 100% correct mathematical wise (this is also why the culprit could easily make up improbable scenarios and still be reasonable. So, the detective is forced to "guess" that the alibi of the culprit is too improbable and coincidental).

## 5 November – Enjoying Our Suffering

Life sucks.

It sure is.

Then what is the point of living?

Aren't you tired of asking the same question over and over again?

I won't be because it is still unanswered.

What is the definition of "answered" for you?

If I understand, and believe in the answer I was given, then it is answered for me.

So, isn't each person has a different answer that they are satisfied with? Does this makes everyone's opinion or belief and behavior justified?

I believe that majority decides what is right or wrong for society. This is why we still have war, demonstration, and riot all across the world. It is a fight between "majorities".

Majority decides? So you are saying that nothing is truely right or wrong? Isn't that counter intuitive.

Exactly. That is because people build the idea of something to solidify their mind set, their beliefs, their.. rules. To agree on something is to make an exception for something. This is how we live on.

I don't believe that is necessarily true. Why can't we just intuitively know what is right or wrong? Isn't it concerning that we have lived roughly 60,000 years and still hasn't resolve meaningless conflict and suffering? Why isn't anyone trying to make peace and find a solution to all of us?

Because that doesn't exist. The "win-win-solution" is not real. Nothing can really satisfy ALL of humanity.

Isn't satisfaction just a construct of desire that can only be pleased by oneself?

Yes.

Then why can't it work?

Because the reality is, people are dumb. They can't think that far. And only a certain people can really be aware of this mindset. And those people are truly, happy.

That is arrogant of you. I think arrogance is not fit for the growth of oneself.

Why so?

It destroys the will to learn more by giving satisfactory to any minor accomplishment.

Oh, but everyone is different no? I learn it the hard way. EVERY. ONE. Is not the same.

Why it should be obvious. Can you elaborate?

Everyone has its own way of doing things. For growth, for pleasure, and for awareness. We do not have the rights to force someone to our way of thinking.

But what about absolute truth? What about a surefire way of doing things so that maximum efficiency is achieved?

Then what IS the point to live? We will be but an algorithm, following instruction till the day we all perished from our meaningless life. I think the point of living is enjoying. We have to enjoy all the good and bad of our precious life. After all, there is no sweet without the sour.

So we have to change our way of thinking into one that always be happy no matter what? To change the action preventing us from failure?

I think you misunderstood me there, I said we have to ENJOY all. Not be happy all the time, but FEEL the sadness you get from waking up early, FEEL the happiness of great meals. FEEL the overwhelming exhaustion of work. Yes, we still have to avoid being sad to not be miserable, but that is the fun of living life.

Then we all are equivalent to Sisyphus. Rolling a boulder and falling down. Just to do it over again?

One must imagine Sisyphus happy.

Then I will enjoy this privilege to suffer to the very end.

## 18 November – Pain of Nostalgic

"Even pain can be nostalgic"

Is a quote that I made up myself. I got the inspiration to think of such from my own personal experience.

A bit of backstory, I once had a french course everyday. With my beloved professors (Shoutout to Monsieur Rakha and Madame Hanie for being such great professors). And me being back to Maitreya and all, stopped going to their course. Instead, I was transferred to another Professor named Madame Ami.

Of course, as an individual who adore the everlasting comfort zone, I wasn't really content with the decision to leave my 2 professors and to face change.

But alas, I try to adapt to the situation by thinking rationally. Basically convincing myself that it isn't that bad.

About 2 months has past, and I actually am really grateful for the change.

Here I learn that all changes will be scary for me, but with the passing of time, I can learn to admire the changes made for me.

Then I thought: "Hey, would I be nostalgic of this course with Madame Ami someday?". And just the thought of that is enough to make me giggle. I usually don't change my opinion that often. And when I thought that someday I might miss what I despised, I laughed.

In the end, for me atleast, anything that I go through repeatedly will be my "normal" or "comfort zone". So if something changes in my life, I will feel nostalgic. Even the bad things.

## 23 November – Life Doings

I recently think, what part of our knowledge is actually true? I dont even know what I enjoy.

Do I enjoy being alone? Or with friends and family?

Or maybe I like to relax all day. But I felt at lost and anxious if I do?

Or maybe all of this doesn't matter.

Maybe I feel the same way for all of things? Both enjoy and despise the same things?

What is my preference? Does living without preference means that we dont have the sense of identity?

Idk. Im just dumping a lot of question without actually knowing the answer.

I think the nickname for the number 3 is the prime killer. Yk cuz every number that is odd and NOT a prime, its because of 3. Which it self is a prime. Kinda ironic

## 15 December – Types of Action

there are 2 types of action (to describe).

1. the general purpose action
2. the precise exact action

let me explain. this applies to EVERY single action you could possibly think of.

to explain it simply, general purpose action (GPA) is an applied technique or skills that is done to achieve certain purpose (usually with patterns or groupings of certain behaviors). While the precise exact action (PEA) is more like computer and codes. it is precisely describe and done perfectly without deviating to another ways.

example:

1. Mark is hammering each wall with a spread pattern of sorts. He frantically hit each wall a couple of times before moving to another wall without completing said task.
2. Mark uses the hammer to hit one of the 4 walls with no pattern. he choose and hit the first wall 3 times. then hit the 3rd wall 4 times. then 2nd wall 2 times. (and so on and so on)
1. As you can see, the 1st and 2nd statement tell us a similar picture. but the 2nd one is more precise. but, we often use the 1st type of description over the latter.

why? i think its because clearly the 1st option is so much simpler to describe and easier to understand and grasp the intention of the action.

let me give you another example:

1. Jivaka is writting his personal opinion about describing actions or action in general that suddenly came to mind while watching markiplier's video on youtube playing one of lixian's horror game. he got the idea and want to share his idea with others. Jivaka gives a clear and thorough explanation while also giving examples to further help the person reading this a full understanding of his opinion.
2. Jivaka is typing letters on the laptop. he types t, h, e, r, (and so on).  
or
2. Jivaka types the main topic of the discussion in number format. next, he writes about the appliances of the topic. then, he explain the terms he use. next, he (and so on and so forth).

either 2 of the 2nd option could do. because both thoroughly describe the action that Jivaka was performing.

while the 1st option is only giving general ideas of the action.

are you starting to understand my point?

to summarize,

- GPA is describing the general purpose of the action without giving too much detail while also maintaining a precise description without leaving anything behind.
- PEA is a very detailed, step by step, complete action that is usually described without caring about the purpose of the action, rather it is more focused on the action that is performed.

GPA: oh so basically you just need to do this so that you can achieve this.

PEA: just do this and don't ask me what will happen.

while PEA can achieve constant results without caring for the result itself, GPA can produce various results and achieving the same goal given.

why am I talking about something so trivial? because it has a relation with one's way of thinking and making decisions. Because if we only see what the instruction tells us to do and not clear of the purpose, the result will lack "creativity" or "warmth". Instead, humans see the purpose of each action. the whys and hows. and because of that, humans make patterns and groupings of all the actions we made.

the most correlation I can make and people can relate the most is by far..... The Piano! (or music in general :p)

if I play certain notes that are followed by other certain notes, we get a song. And if I continue to think that I have to press each individual note and memorizing each note, I would explode and die.

Instead, I look for patterns, chord progressions, certain groups of measures in the songs to make it simpler for me to understand the music.

but computers can't do that, so, they ask only the required notes to play. how to play it? when to play it? and they ask unlimited questions for the task. as a result, they play the notes perfectly each and every time.

that is the difference between an algorithm and a human playing on music. for me, human music has feelings and dynamics that may be replicated by an algorithm perfectly, but will never be replaced.

it is not impressive to repeat the same repeatable actions. because that is just the nature of humans. we bore the expectations that are expected.

so I think music is impressive not only because of the composition, but also the hard work that the player put in with each practice.

**2025:**

### **30 January – Time Machine Paradox**

so you know how there exist a paradox of "if time machines are possible, why haven't there been any visits yet from the future to us using a time machine to create a time machine?"

i decided to solve this problem by stating that a time machine to the past requires another time machine to the destined time.

for example, I have to had made a time machine in the year 2010 to time travel from the year 2025 using 2 time machines on a different time.

to conclude my statement, there must be a receiver output of the time machine corresponding to the sender input.

that resolve the paradox of inventing a time machine by using a time machine.

this is a sneak peek to the soon to be released first chapter of our sci-fi novel. stay tuned.

### **9 March – Greed and Law**

I have a funny question. Why do we search for loop holes and cheap tricks to everything? Literally anything and everything you could possibly think of, we will eventually find a way that satisfy us the most. And most of the time, the most profitable and the most exploitable method is affecting others. Be it positively or negatively.

Isn't it just so obvious? We search for the best way to be the best! To be better! To be great! The Greatest! Well, it is true. We do aim for the utmost beneficial outcome on our decisions. So why in the hell am I still asking such pointless question?

Law. Are rules that were made by us. The only people who will ever going to follow it. Rules were made for ones whom able to comprehend said rules. A chimpanzee nor an infant would not be accused and be trailed by the law. Why? Well, they believed that the intention or motive are also a big factor of determining the consequence. Which made somewhat of a sense. But if we think about it, aren't the outcome and the tragedy are still undeniably the same? Whether it was shot by a murderer or some random baby, my parents still died. And that is a fact.

Isn't it ironic? The rules are made effectively for a certain group of people who are aware of such prohibition. Then why bother making a rule at all? Theoretically, a person who is aware and conscious of the action, will not do harm to himself nor other. Right? I mean, that also made somewhat of a sense. Why would a rational being put harm on other?

I think it is because of greed. Why we need to set boundaries and rules for all of us to follow. Why a law is prioritising the intention over outcome. Why everything is the way it is. The dreams of

millions of people are controlled by one's own greed. To become powerful, to control people, hell, even the intent of helping people is fuelled by greed. Do you think it is farfetched? Because it is.

I sometimes imagine a world without such desire to gain. A world where everyone is understanding of one another, and always prioritising other than themselves. A heart-to-heart connection between all live forms. And then I laughed.

I laughed knowing how disturbingly impossible the image I can only perceive in my mind was. “a world without people taking advantages for themselves? how ridiculous.”

But why? Why we didn't even THINK and imagine to have that objective? Is it wrong to wish for world peace? For a win-win solution for all of us? Literally nothing is receiving any harm with this solution in mind. So why isn't it possible and doable? Why don't we even attempt for this kind of all mighty solution to all of our problems? Is it really that easy to achieve world piece?

The reality of the situation is that unfortunately, all types of life will always have a will to live. People call this instinct; others call it a logical decision. I call it greed.

A virus destroys its host cell in a lytic cycle. Many types of plants will take nutrients off its surroundings and effectively killing other lifeforms around it. Even human will make other humans miserable just so that he gains another digit of 0 in his bank account.

Well, I'd like to imagine that all of that isn't only for the sake of survival. I think that all that cases could be easily avoided if all of them have the “awareness” to stop gaining once it has enough nutrients, etc.

It may sound a bit bizarre to even think that way, it's like imagining a towel on a puddle of water, not absorbing any water. The concept itself is defying nature and the laws of the universe.

And it is. It is defying the law. What law? I think that to put it simply, “if there is more to gain, do anything in your power to gain it.” Even if it's not necessary. Even if it's pointless. Even if it destroys others.

We can see proof of this law by seeing the rich getting richer by making others suffer (CEO of health care last year), bacteria multiplying in humans and animals alike, carnivores eating other animals to survive, and even a quote from economic studies says that: “human has limited resources but unlimited desires.”

So, that's that. We could never achieve world peace, because people will continue killing others to thrive. Search for loopholes and exploit anything they could. And not just people, but literally anything that is alive. Right?

Maybe. We will never know.

## 16 April – Hell?

Jivaka'a Note:

*Anyway, I yapped a bit of topic for the first few paragraphs, sooo if you're only interested in the hell stuff, skip until the "Now that the introduction..."*

Hell?

Human thinks. They possess the capabilities to reason. And this is what differs them from animals. That's why they can thrive, and be the peak lifeform.

And just by having the ability to think, we will eventually search the purpose of our existence. The searching and fulfilling purpose are the entire reason for living, that is the meaning of life (for me at least).

So, we began asking questions. “What am I? Where am I? How did I become? Who made me?”. This is natural question asked by all of us at some point in our lifetime. And some people gave us satisfying answers that we believe in. others say that we are sons of God, and others say that we evolved. All of these answers are true in their way, so I won't get into the debate.

What is interesting to me is that we as a society made terms to believe in so that the unanswerable questions are made acceptable. For example, The universe, stars, sun, moon, heaven, and hell.

These are all terms made by humans. If intelligent life never existed, all of the things we named will still exist, but nameless. But there are also concepts that we cannot see nor perceive, but we give terms to these as well. Such as gravity, air, thinking, God, heaven, hell, and so much more.

Why did we give such terms? If you can't see it then it doesn't exist. Right? Well, no. we have given terms to them because they exist with viable explanations. That's why glorhsdibv doesn't exist. Because there isn't such agreement in society that glorhsdibv is a thing. For this example, we have agreed as a society to call that “gibberish”. That's why we name things. To communicate better.

Now that the introductions for clarifying some of the controversial things I am about to say are done, let's get into the main topic. What is Hell?

Well, as I've stated, Hell is a manmade term to describe the place of eternal suffering for the sins that we had made. This will CLEARLY be different for each religion. So, I've made the definition as vague and agreeable as possible.

Well, that's funny ain't it? The very definition itself is very vague and subjective. We may as well be in hell right now!? With all of the chaos and war and suffering humans had experienced, what place other than this to describe hell? How do we know that this isn't hell?

We don't. And we won't know. Because what is real? What is hell but the state of mind? The wealthiest person on earth right now may as well be suffering, and the unfortunate perhaps has found happiness. So how do we describe hell if all of us has a different way of experiencing pain? Is our very consciousness being tortured for eternity in hell? Who is even the torturer?

Too many questions for such a vague concept. Let's just talk what we DO know for sure.

Humans vary. Some are intelligent, honest, brave, and some are a psychopath, evil, and uneducated. All of which have their own aspiration. Even though we are still the same species. Unlike animals with almost the same characteristic as other.

Hell is made to contain bad people. Literally. One of the reason Hell exist is to control the people that cannot think rationally. Cannot differentiate what is right or wrong. People like these are closer to an animal that cannot think of the consequence to others and themselves. So, we disciplined them by force. By enforcing consequences to themselves, they will think twice before acting. That is one of the purposes of hell.

I am sorry if I'm unable to give a satisfying answer. Because no answer satisfies everyone. This also applies to all answers for all questions. But for specifically this one, its harder to break down and analysis something as vague. So, this is the best I can do.

Perhaps in the future we will know the truth.

## 17 April – The Worst Consequence

Consequences exist to punish the wrong do-er, it exists because we want to make them suffer.

Consequence has many types and forms, with them relating to the action itself. Whether or not the action is intended and the impact of said action.

Then again, what is the Worst Consequence to give or to experience?

Many will believe that physical pain is the worst. Others will say psychological trauma is more fatal. Everyone each believe with their statements, all with valid arguments. After all, the word "worst" is very much a subjective one.

I mean, the lack of motivation and talent to move forward in this world causing each day of your existence feel like you only wander around aimlessly without purpose and losing all will to live is pretty damaging if you ask me.

Then again, with every method to torture you physically being applied to you on a nonstop spree of hurting you without giving you the chance of death can also give you mental trauma.

But can we search for an objective answer to this question? Let's try.

First, it must be everlasting. No healing or moving on from it. For example: death, time, and all of the eternal things. There isn't a way to retrieve the passing of our loved one, the lost of time, and the things that had happened (for now).

Well, people can just kill themselves then. I mean, its worst than facing our consequences, right? Rather than seeing our loved ones be killed or carrying out the time in the prison, it is better to just end it all, right?

How about the afterlife? Would Hell theoretically be the worst consequence of our actions? Well, it would. At least that is what many people believe. And sadly, why many people didn't go insane and go kill everyone in their sight. Because of the consequence of hell.

Let's not get into the afterlife stuff. And let's think of another way of dealing the most damage.

Even the forever stuff CAN be forgotten and dealt with. For example, the death of our close friend is very painful, but not forever. And if hypothetically, we give unlimited painful deaths to our subject, the deaths will eventually be normal for him. And he could have accepted this consequence and move on.

Human mind is so great at dealing with problems. So, what if we were to gradually rise the pain step by step? If the subject is accustomed to it, we will simply make it more painful. That way they can never adapt to the pain.

I mean, we are just discussing the worst punishment, not some evil mastermind plan to torture someone. Punishment is implying the consequence so that we won't be repeating the same mistakes. So that should be our main objective.

How to make someone stop entirely from doing what they do? essentially, there is nothing that we can do. No matter how long the prison time is, if a psychopath were to be freed, they will do the things they love again, and again, no matter how hard you punish them, no matter how hard you want to try changing them. It is simply impossible.

Unfortunately, we don't have enough technologies and understanding of the human mind to do this big of task. Maybe in the future we can just alternate the very mindsets of the subject.

But isn't that changing who they were? If we were to manipulate the brain to behave differently than before, isn't that just brainwashing and completely defeat the purpose of punishment?

Or is the cruel changing of ones very own individual traits being the very worst consequence that we could perceive? Imagine living while not knowing about your true backstory and losing your whole identity while STILL living, being ignorant about it.

Then should we just accept the psychopaths that are wandering about in our world and justifying their actions? Just because it's their true identity so we should just let them kill people without consequences?

While many new questions emerge from this, I think we all can agree that the last one is a bad idea. Oh, wait. Maybe not??? Because I thought that we all are rational people that can differentiate between right and wrong, there are people in this world that don't have those capabilities. While we are all humans, there are STILL people who think that they are right without wanting to reason and just blatantly spewing baseless nonsense because they don't want to accept their lost. These "people" also has a job, can vote, contribute and be acknowledge as part of the society, even though they don't have any logical brain. And also thinks that the louder you are the more factual your statements are. Which is why the dumbest person always seem the loudest. They are basically a herd of zombies who don't know how to communicate, following the captain who is speaking louder than everybody else.

I might just be venting about my problems with these people, but my main point is, are these people to be considered human? I think not. So, could we punish them with the likes of animals? What even is the worst consequence for a brainless, non-processing, mindless creature? I think they can only be hurt by pain, unfortunately. If we try to give the whole knowledge of the entire universe, I think it will deal more damage. I mean, who wouldn't?

Well, one thing I know FOR SURE, is that I will not touch a subjective subject again. It's a pain to deal with. And as always. Maybe we will never know...

## 28 June – Rene Descartes: Consciousness

"Cogito ergo sum. I think, therefore I am."

I believe this is the quote stated by Rene Descartes. A world renown figure in the 1800. I think therefore I am, can be interpreted as a direct argument of consciousness.

The definition of consciousness itself has been debated with many statements and opinions, whether or not a "thing" is conscious and deserves rights. This argument is mostly on the topic of the morality in killing lifeforms, such as: abortion, meat vs. plant based diet, etc.

I personally think that the ability to think and reason, are one of the biggest distinctions between us human and wild animals. To think, is processing information logically without relying on instincts. This permits us to achieve what is "unnatural" in a way that is helping our life to be more convenient.

But, enough glazing the entire human race, back to the topic in hand about consciousness. While humanity got the incredible privilege to be able to think and reflect on themselves for improving the overall lifestyle, I believe some of us is not utilizing the full potential of the brain.

There ARE people who will not consciously or sub-consciously reflect nor acknowledge their surroundings. They never sought out to improve on themselves, rather, they blame others for their

misfortunes. No ambition, no purpose. They just exist. Can we even call this a consciousness? What differs them between an animal or a non-conscious rock?

On the other side, there are people who are willing to exploit the unfortunate by manipulating or controlling their victim. Be it by guilt tripping, playing victim, black-mailing, just straight up lying, and many more.

All this leads to one question. What is right? What is True? What is valid?  
What value does justice have when there exists a different perspective for everything?  
There is no singular truth. Only the fact of the event that occurred.  
Everything can be excused with a thought-out reason.

If a man kills, he is wronged.

If a man kills a CEO who raped his daughter, he is excused.

If a man kills a CEO who raped his daughter because she tricked him, and she is after the lawsuit money, she is at fault.

If a man kills a CEO who raped his daughter because she tricked him, and she is after the lawsuit money to cure her friend's illness, her action is approved.

What if a sociopath murdered his entire family?  
What if the family abused him and caused the sociopathic behaviour?  
What if the sociopath didn't realise his action because of a brain damage?  
What if the family is only trying to build character by enforcing harsh environment?  
What if the sociopath was "overreacting"?

Apparently if we tried to go in the "blaming the source" route of handling the situation, we will eventually lost track of meaning. The butterfly effect and the overly complex perspective of events are the main obstacle of finding the appropriate way to blame the wrong.

Whether or not things are right or wrong, we as a society has agreed to do certain things in certain events. All of our collective paradigm creates a plausible rule of thumb for simple and reoccurring incidents. While it might not be the most perfect solution nor the best outcome possible, it's a well enough cover for our immense burden of decision.

In the end, even if human is blessed with the knowledge of wisdom, unfortunately the vast amount of collective paradigm is no where near a unison solution.

## 31 July – Opinion on Rent a Girlfriend

look, im the type of guy who can accept anything objectively. i can perceive the objective of the story, what the story has to offer. so as a result, i can enjoy many types of genre indifferently.

but rent a girlfriend is SO TRASH. i cannot even comprehend what the author was on while making this piece of garbage. what even is the point of the story.

no character progression, too many freaky situations.

i think the author wants to make his self insert as the main character.

its all just a bunch of freaky obsession and fantasy of the author.

im the type of guy who can enjoy both shonen, romance, seinen animes with love, but i kid you not, rent a girlfriend is too much to bear.

thankfully i dropped it after the 3rd eps.

## Contact Information

**Author:** Jivaka Brahma Putra

**Email:** [jivakabp@gmail.com](mailto:jivakabp@gmail.com)

**Lead Archivist & Editor:** Jericho Wilbert

**Email:** [muchlikenpc@gmail.com](mailto:muchlikenpc@gmail.com)

**Publisher Company:** PT. Deven Strategic Media Platform

**Email:** [devensmpofficial@gmail.com](mailto:devensmpofficial@gmail.com)