

Table 1: Final "mUX aspects" taxonomy specifications representing UX aspects their definitions, attributes, sub-attributes and measurement methods.

| NO.                           | Element       | Definition   | Adopted/<br>Refined/<br>Proposed | Resource <sup>i</sup>             | Measurement methods <sup>ii</sup>   |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>User-Centric Dimension</b> |               |  |                                  |                                   |   |
| 1                             | Usability     | The extent to which specified users can use a product to achieve specified goals with effectiveness, efficiency, satisfaction, understandability, learnability, operability, etc, in a specified context of use. | Refined                          | [72], [73],<br>[74], [75]         | -   |
| 1.1                           | Effectiveness | The software product's capability enables users to successfully complete specified tasks with accuracy and completeness in a specified context.  | Adopted                          | [73], [76],<br>[9], [77],<br>[23] | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Usability metric for user experience (UMUX) scale<br>Post-task questionnaire (PTQ)<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Think Aloud<br>Interview<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>The Mobile Application User Experience Checklist (MAUX-C)<br>ALL:<br>User testing (Scenario testing: Task completion rate, Error rate, Time on task)<br>Automated evaluation |
| 1.2                           | Efficiency    | The capability of the software product to provide appropriate performance relative to the number of resources used under stated conditions.  | Adopted                          | [73], [9],<br>[77], [23]          | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Post-task questionnaire (PTQ)<br>User Experience Questionnaire (UEQ)<br>Usability metric for user experience (UMUX) scale<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Think Aloud<br>Physiological Measurement:<br>Eye tracking (measuring workload)<br>Electroencephalogram (EEG) (measuring workload)<br>Heart rate activity (measuring workload)<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Expert Evaluation:              |

|     |                        |  |         |                  |   |
|-----|------------------------|--|---------|------------------|---|
|     |                        |  |         |                  | Cognitive Walkthrough<br>The Mobile Application User Experience Checklist (MAUX-C)<br>ALL:<br>User testing (Task completion time, number of steps)<br>Performance testing   |
| 1.3 | Assistance/Helpfulness | User's perception that a product or service communicates and provides useful help to achieve tasks.  | Refined | [78], [79], [2]  | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Post-Task Questionnaire (PTQ)<br>Helpfulness Evaluation Survey<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Think Aloud<br>Interview<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Heuristic evaluation<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Help Scenarios   |
| 1.4 | Operability            | The degree to which a product empowers users to control its functionalities and navigate the interface with ease and minimal frustration. It goes beyond simply enabling users to perform tasks (effectiveness) or minimizing resource usage (efficiency) by focusing on the user's experience during interaction.                                     | Refined | [9], [79]        | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Post-Task Questionnaire (PTQ)<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Interview<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Contextual Inquiry<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Heuristic Evaluation<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>Task Analysis inspection<br>ALL:<br>User testing<br>Performance testing (measure how effectively the system performs under various conditions relevant to operability) |
| 1.5 | Learnability           | The capability with which users can learn a product and acquire the necessary knowledge and skills to comprehensively operate it effectively. This encompasses the ease and speed with which new users can start using the system, accomplish basic tasks, and gradually gain proficiency over time, reflecting the system's overall learnable design. | Refined | [79], [73], [80] | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Ease of use Questionnaire (USE)<br>Post-Study System Usability Questionnaire (PSSUQ)<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Interview<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>The Mobile Application User Experience Checklist (MAUX-C)  |

|     |                   |  |          |                                   |   |
|-----|-------------------|--|----------|-----------------------------------|---|
|     |                   |  |          |                                   | Task Analysis inspection<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>ALL:<br>User testing<br>Automated evaluation<br>Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>USE Questionnaire<br>Questionnaire for User Interface Satisfaction (QUIS)<br>System Usability Scale (SUS)<br>User Experience Questionnaire (UEQ)<br>Post-Task Questionnaire (PTQ)<br>Usability Metric for User Experience (UMUX) scale<br>Net Promoter Score (NPS)<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Interview<br>Think aloud<br>Physiological Measurement:<br>Heart Rate Variability (HRV)<br>Facial Expression Analysis<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>ALL:<br>User testing |
| 1.6 | Satisfaction      | Satisfaction reflects a user's overall contentment and fulfillment from interacting with a system. It encompasses the subjective experience of using the product, focusing on whether it meets expectations, fulfills needs, and aligns with user preferences. Satisfaction reflects how well the system enhances user experience, supports their goals, and provides positive emotional responses, independent of factors like learnability or ease of use. It ultimately gauges the user's sense of accomplishment and perceived value from using the product. | Refined  | [9], [80], [79], [81], [82]       |   |
| 1.7 | Understandability | The degree to which users can comprehend the content, layout, and functionality of a system or interface, based on their mental models and expectations, thereby facilitating effective interaction and task completion.   | Proposed | [9], [79]                         | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Post-Task Questionnaire (PTQ)<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Heuristic Evaluation<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>ALL:<br>User testing  |
| 1.8 | Memorability      | The users' ability to retain knowledge and recall how to use a service or product after a period of disuse. This ensures a smooth re-engagement with the system without the burden of relearning, reflecting the system's intuitive design and the lasting impact of the initial learning experience.  | Refined  | [77], [23], [9], [73], [80], [78] | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>The Mobile Application User Experience Checklist (MAUX-C)<br>Heuristic Evaluation<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Re-testing After a Delay<br>Recognition vs. Recall Test   |
| 1.9 | Ease Of Use       | The effortlessness with which users can learn, interact with, and complete tasks within a product, emphasizing convenience, simplicity, and user-friendliness.   | Refined  | [83], [84], [85], [86]            | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>USE Questionnaire<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS)<br>Interview<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Focus Group  |

|      |                 |   |          |                                   |   |
|------|-----------------|---|----------|-----------------------------------|---|
|      |                 |   |          |                                   | <p>Observation</p> <p>ALL:</p> <p>User testing (Task Completion Rate, Error Rate, Time on Task)</p> <p>First Impressions Test</p> <p>Self-Reported Measurement: Questionnaire</p> <p>Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS)</p> <p>Interview</p> <p>Think aloud</p> <p>Physiological Measurement: Eye Tracking</p> <p>Observational Measurement: Focus Group</p> <p>Observation</p> <p>Expert Evaluation: The Mobile Application User Experience Checklist (MAUX-C)</p> <p>ALL:</p> |
| 1.10 | Navigability    | Navigability refers to how easily users navigate the app's interface due to its structure, organization, and labeling, allowing them to move efficiently and complete tasks with minimal cognitive effort.                          | Proposed | [9]                               | <p>User Testing</p> <p>Self-Reported Measurement: Questionnaire</p> <p>USE Questionnaire</p> <p>Ease of use Questionnaire (USE)</p> <p>meCUE questionnaire</p> <p>Hedonic Usefulness Scale</p> <p>Analyzing user reviews</p> <p>Interview</p> <p>Observational Measurement: Observation</p> <p>Expert Evaluation: The Mobile Application User Experience Checklist (MAUX-C)</p> <p>Cognitive Walkthrough</p> <p>ALL:</p>  |
| 1.11 | Usefulness      | The degree to which a product/service has a beneficial, practical use for users to achieve their goals effectively.   | Refined  | [78], [8], [82], [87], [88], [89] | <p>User Testing (success rate, Task Completion Time)</p> <p>Expert Evaluation: The Mobile Application User Experience Checklist (MAUX-C)</p> <p>Heuristic Evaluation</p> <p>Cognitive Walkthrough</p> <p>Self-Reported Measurement: Questionnaire</p> <p>Interview</p> <p>Think-Aloud</p> <p>Physiological Measurement: Eye tracking</p> <p>Observational Measurement: Focus Group</p> <p>Expert Evaluation: Cognitive walkthrough</p>                                      |
| 1.12 | Consistency     | The uniformity of elements across the interface makes interactions predictable and user expectations clear.   | Refined  | [9], [82], [78]                   |   |
| 1.13 | Informativeness | The degree to which a product or service is instructive effectively communicates knowledge to users. This encompasses providing essential information facilitating task completion, informed decision-making, and user empowerment. | Adopted  | [78], [84], [90], [85]            |   |

|      |                             |  |          |                 |  |
|------|-----------------------------|--|----------|-----------------|--|
|      |                             |  |          |                 | Heuristic Evaluation<br>Content Audits (Information Architecture Review)<br>ALL:<br>User testing<br>Automated evaluation (Analyze user behavior)   |
| 1.14 | Searchability               | The ability of an app to allow users to efficiently locate specific information or features using a search function with clear filters and relevant results.   | Proposed | [91]            | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Physiological Measurement:<br>Eye tracking (Heatmaps)<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Focus Group<br>Observation<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Search Interface Evaluation<br>Search Log Analysis<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>ALL:<br>User testing (Search performance evaluation)<br>Automated evaluation (Analyze user behavior)   |
| 2    | Emotional                   | The full spectrum of desirable feelings users experiences while interacting with a product or service. These emotions are influenced by the context and goals of the interaction, contributing to a pleasurable and engaging product experience.   | Refined  | [8], [92], [93] | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Self-Assessment-Manikin (SAM) questionnaire<br>UEQ-Emoji Questionnaire<br>Post-Task Surveys<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Interview<br>Think aloud<br>Physiological Measurement:<br>Heart rate activity<br>Electrodermal activity (EDA)<br>Electromyography (EMG)<br>Facial Expression Analysis<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Emocard Method<br>ALL:<br>User Testing (observing emotional responses) |
| 3    | External Subjective Factors | Refer to user-related, external factors that indirectly influence the user experience (UX) by shaping a user's perception, attitudes, and sensations toward a product or service. Unlike internal subjective factors (emotions, motivations), these factors arise from the user's experiences and beliefs. | Proposed | -               | -  |
| 3.1  | Technology Acceptance       | The user's willingness and readiness to adopt and use a new technology or  | Proposed | -               | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) Survey  |

|     |                  |  |          |   |   |
|-----|------------------|--|----------|---|---|
|     |                  | system reflects their comfort, trust, and confidence.  |          |   | Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) Survey<br>Interview  |
| 3.2 | Intention To Use | The likelihood that a user will use an app in the future that influenced by factors such as perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and individual preferences.   | Proposed | - | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) Survey<br>Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) Survey<br>Interview<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Follow-up Surveys  |
| 3.3 | User Loyalty     | It is a user's commitment to a particular app. It indicates a solid and enduring relationship between the user and the product, contributing to long-term user engagement and retention.   | Proposed | - | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Customer Satisfaction (CSAT) Surveys<br>Net Promoter Score (NPS)<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Retention Rate Analysis<br>App Engagement Analytics  |
| 4   | Engagement       | The level of involvement, interest, and enthusiasm a user has with a product or service involves capturing attention, encouraging participation, and fostering a meaningful connection.  | Proposed | - | -   |
| 4.1 | Motivating       | The quality of an app captures and holds a user's attention, sparking curiosity and a desire for continued interaction. It also inspires and encourages users to actively participate and engage with the app. This includes enabling users to take action, pursue their goals, and maintain their commitment through incentives, rewards, challenges, or meaningful objectives.                     | Proposed | - | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>The AttrakDiff questionnaire<br>Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS)<br>UEQ-Emoji Questionnaire<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>App Engagement Analytics<br>ALL:<br>Automated evaluation (User Behavioral Analysis)   |
| 4.2 | Attachment       | Attachment goes beyond a simple emotional connection and delves into the formation of a stronger, long-term bond between a user and the app. It signifies the user's sense of care or belonging, which fosters sustained engagement and advocacy over time. This connection encourages users to return to the app regularly, actively participate in its community, and advocate for it among peers. | Proposed | - | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS)<br>UEQ-Emoji Questionnaire<br>Think aloud<br>Physiological Measurement:<br>Facial Expression Analysis<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Field studies<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>App Engagement Analytics<br>ALL:<br>Automated evaluation (User Behavioral Analysis)<br>User Testing with Emotional Response Tracking |

|     |                 |   |          |                     |  |
|-----|-----------------|---|----------|---------------------|--|
| 4.3 | Presence        | Refers to the user's perception of being fully immersed and connected with the app or experience, as if they are physically present within it. This is fostered by realistic graphics, immersive audio, and intuitive interfaces that enhance the user's enjoyment and satisfaction by creating a compelling and absorbing digital environment.   | Proposed | -                   | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>UEQ-Emoji Questionnaire<br>Think aloud<br>Physiological Measurement:<br>Facial Expression Analysis<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Field studies<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>App Engagement Analytics<br>ALL:<br>Automated evaluation (User Behavioral Analysis)<br>User Testing with Emotional Response Tracking  |
| 5   | Stimulation     | Refers to interactive features and elements within an app that captivate users by piquing their curiosity, generating interest, and fostering enjoyment. Unlike engagement, it aims to provoke immediate exploration through novel functionalities, creative activities, or problem-solving opportunities. It encourages users to actively interact with the app, discover new aspects, and potentially gain new insights or experiences. | Refined  | [94], [95],<br>[96] | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>The AttrakDiff questionnaire<br>Customer Satisfaction (CSAT) Surveys<br>Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS)<br>UEQ-Emoji Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Physiological Measurement:<br>Eye Tracking<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Retention Rate Analysis<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Exploration Tasks<br>Automated evaluation (User Behavior Analysis) |
| 6   | Effort          | Refers to the cognitive and physical exertion users require to interact with a mobile application. Minimizing effort by providing intuitive interfaces, streamlined processes, and clear feedback enhances the overall user experience, satisfaction, and retention.  | Proposed | -                   | -  |
| 6.1 | Mental Workload | It refers to the cognitive effort required by users to interact with and use the app. High mental workload can negatively impact the user experience by causing frustration, confusion, and reduced task performance  | Proposed | -                   | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>NASA TLX questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Physiological Measurement:<br>Heart rate variability (HRV)<br>Galvanic skin response (GSR)<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Cognitive Walkthroughs<br>Cognitive Load Analysis<br>Behavioral Metrics Analysis<br>ALL:<br>User testing   |
| 6.2 | Physical Demand | Refers to the physical effort required by users to interact with the app, such as   | Proposed | -                   | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire  |

typing on a small keyboard or navigating through small touch targets.

NASA TLX Questionnaire  
Interviews  
Think aloud  
Physiological Measurement:  
Eye Tracking  
Heart rate activity (HRV)  
Galvanic skin response (GSR)  
Observational Measurement:  
Observation  
Focus group  
Expert Evaluation:  
Cognitive walkthrough  
Task Analysis inspection  
ALL:

7 Desirability The ability of a product or service, through its visual appeal, emotional resonance, and alignment with user preferences, to evoke a strong desire or attraction among its target audience. Refined [97], [98], [99]

User Testing with Scenario-Based Tasks  
Self-Reported Measurement:  
Questionnaire  
Sentiment Analysis of User Reviews  
Interview  
Observational Measurement:  
Focus Groups  
Expert Evaluation:  
Emotional Response Analysis  
ALL:

8 Valuable Ensuring the app provides significant value to users by addressing their needs, solving their problems, or enhancing their lives. This aspect goes beyond mere functionality, encompassing all elements of UX design to deliver holistic user value. It focuses on the overall benefits and improvements the app brings to users, encouraging their appreciation and satisfaction with the product. Refined [98], [99]

User testing  
Self-Reported Measurement:  
Questionnaire  
Value Proposition Surveys  
Net Promoter Score (NPS)  
Interview  
Expert Evaluation:  
Retention Rate Analysis  
App Engagement Analytics  
ALL:  
User Testing with Value-Assessment Tasks

#### App-Centric Dimension

9 Functionality An app's features and capabilities ensure the product is fully operational and aligns with user objectives. Refined [8], [91]

Self-Reported Measurement:  
Questionnaire  
Interview  
Observational Measurement:  
Field Studies and Contextual Inquiry  
Expert Evaluation:  
Bug report analysis  
Feature usage tracking  
Load Testing  
Stress Testing  
ALL:  
Scenario-Based Testing  
Automated evaluation (unit and integration tests)



|        |                           |   |          |                             |  |
|--------|---------------------------|---|----------|-----------------------------|--|
| 10     | Aesthetic Design          | The visual harmony, appeal, and inherent beauty of the product colors, texts, graphics, layout, audio, etc.   | Refined  | [8], [100], [74]            | -  |
| 10.1   | Attractiveness            | User's perception that a product/service is pleasing, arousing, interesting, and attractive.  | Adopted  | [101], [84], [90], [8], [2] | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Interview<br>Enjoyment curve<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Visual design evaluation<br>A/B Testing with Different Design Variations<br>attractiveness principles Evaluation<br>ALL:<br>User testing (observing users' engagement and interest)   |
| 10.2   | Minimalist Style          | Minimalist style is characterized by simplicity, featuring clean lines, minimal ornamentation, and a focus on essential elements to achieve a sleek and uncluttered aesthetic.  | Proposed | -                           | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Perceived complexity questionnaire<br>Aesthetic appeal surveys<br>Interview<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Design Audits/Visual inspection<br>Simplicity heuristics evaluation   |
| 11     | Safety                    | The extent to which the app mitigates the risk of harm to individuals or other resources, including hardware and stored information, within a defined use context.  | Adopted  | [9], [82]                   | -  |
| 11.1   | Operational Safety        | The capability of the software product to meet the user requirements during regular operation without harm to other resources and the environment. Criteria to be considered in evaluating operational safety include accuracy, completeness, and error handling. | Adopted  | [82]                        | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Incident reporting surveys<br>Contextual interviews<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Error logs analysis<br>Crash reports analysis<br>Safety-focused heuristics<br>Regulatory compliance/safety standards inspections<br>Simulation and stress testing<br>Code review<br>ALL:<br>User testing (Error prone task scenarios)<br>Automated evaluation (User error tracking) |
| 11.1.1 | Error Prevention/Handling | The app proactively prevents user errors; in the event they occur, it adeptly manages unexpected situations to avert crashes or data loss by delivering clear, informative instructions.  | Proposed | -                           | Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Security Heuristic Evaluation<br>Error Handling Checklist review<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Error Injection  |
| 11.1.2 | Accuracy                  | The degree to which data has attributes that correctly represent the true value of the intended attribute of a concept or event in a specific context of use.   | Adopted  | [9], [2]                    | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Data Quality Audits (data architecture, data validation)  |

|        |                       |  |          |                  |  |
|--------|-----------------------|--|----------|------------------|--|
|        |                       |  |          |                  | procedures, etc.)<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Injected Errors<br>Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Contextual interviews<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Unexpected input testing<br>Resource consumption analysis<br>Crash reports analysis<br>Simulation and stress testing<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Scenarios<br>Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Contextual interviews<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Code review<br>Crash reports analysis<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>Simulation and stress testing<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Fault Injection<br>Automated evaluation (Error/crash Tracking and Logging)                                     |
| 11.2   | Contingency Safety    | The capability of the software product to operate outside its regular operation while still preventing risks. Criteria for contingency safety include fault tolerance and resource safety. | Adopted  | [82]             |  |
| 11.2.1 | Fault Tolerance       | The app's capability is to maintain a specified level of performance in cases of software faults or infringement of its specified interface.   | Adopted  | [82]             |  |
| 11.2.2 | Resource Safety       | Efficient use of device resources (battery, memory) to ensure the app can function even in low-resource situations.  | Proposed | -                | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Contextual interviews<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Battery consumption tracking<br>Memory usage monitoring<br>Code analysis for resource efficiency<br>Performance testing under resource constraints<br>Device compatibility testing<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Security audits (Dynamic Application Security Testing (DAST))<br>Penetration testing (Ethical hacking)<br>Security code reviews<br>Authentication and authorization testing<br>Compliance and certification evaluation<br>Security Policy Review<br>Threat Modeling<br>Security Heuristic Evaluation<br>Automated testing (Static Application Security Testing (SAST)) |
| 11.3   | Security              | Safeguards user data and privacy, the app itself, and the environment from unauthorized access, modification, or loss.   | Refined  | [9], [82], [102] | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) (A systematic review   |
| 11.3.1 | User Data And Privacy | Protecting personal information and user-related data from unauthorized access, corruption, or loss, ensuring its confidentiality, integrity, and availability                             | Refined  | [9], [102]       |  |

|        |                                 |   |          |  |  |
|--------|---------------------------------|---|----------|--|--|
|        |                                 | through measures such as encryption and access controls.  |          |  | of the app's data collection practices, data storage, and access controls)<br>Security Policy Review<br>Penetration Testing with Privacy Focus<br>Data Flow Mapping (a visual representation of how user data flows through the app, identifying potential privacy risks)<br>Security Heuristic Evaluation<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Security audits (Dynamic Application Security Testing (DAST))<br>Penetration testing (Ethical hacking)<br>Security code reviews<br>Authentication and authorization testing<br>Compliance and certification evaluation<br>Automated testing (Static Application Security Testing (SAST)) |
| 11.3.2 | App Protection                  | Securing the app itself from malicious attacks that could disrupt operations or compromise user data.   | Proposed | -  | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>User Interview (with specific users)<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Web Analytics inspections  |
| 12     | External Application Assistants | Refer to tools or technical checkers external to the app itself that aid users in verifying compatibility with their devices (such as mobile phones and tablets) and operating systems (like Android and iOS). They also assist in troubleshooting technical issues related to requirements such as internet speed. | Proposed | -  | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>User Interview (with specific users)<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Web Analytics inspections  |
| 13     | Novelty                         | Novelty entails introducing new and original elements or features compared to existing ones. It reflects the product's level of innovation and creativity, influencing its ability to attract user interest and engagement.   | Refined  | [96]   | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS)<br>Interviews with Diverse Users<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>A/B Testing with Novel Features<br>ALL:<br>User Testing  |
| 14     | Dependability                   | A user's trust in the app's ability to perform consistently, predictably, and securely, meeting their expectations for control and safety during interaction.   | Refined  | [95], [103], [104], [105], [101], [86], [106], [107] | -  |
| 14.1   | Reliability                     | A consistent performance of the app's intended functions without degradation or failure ensures fluency, availability, system performance, and stability.   | Refined  | [79], [102]  | Expert Evaluation:<br>Code review<br>Stress/load Testing<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Simulated Errors<br>Automated evaluation (Error/crash Tracking and Logging, Performance monitoring)  |

|      |                           |  |          |                  |   |
|------|---------------------------|--|----------|------------------|---|
| 14.2 | Responsiveness            | Responsiveness refers to an app's ability to react promptly and seamlessly to user input. It encompasses two key aspects: speed and feedback.  | Proposed | -                | <p>Self-Reported Measurement:</p> <p>Questionnaire</p> <p>Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS)</p> <p>Interview</p> <p>Expert evaluation:</p> <p>Load testing</p> <p>ALL:</p> <p>User Testing with Performance Measurement ( measure load/response times, responsiveness to touch/input, and animation smoothness, speed, etc.)</p>   |
| 14.3 | Stability                 | The app's ability to maintain its functionality and performance over time, without crashing or freezing.   | Refined  | [102]            | <p>Self-Reported Measurement:</p> <p>Questionnaire</p> <p>Interviews</p> <p>Analyzing user reviews</p> <p>Expert Evaluation:</p> <p>Beta Testing with Diverse Devices</p> <p>Crash Reporting and Error Logs Analysis</p> <p>Load Testing</p> <p>ALL:</p> <p>User Testing with Stress Scenarios</p> <p>Automated evaluation (App Performance Monitoring, Long-Term Monitoring and Feedback Collection)</p>                               |
| 14.4 | Security                  | Safeguards user data and privacy, the app itself, and the environment from unauthorized access, modification, or loss.   | Refined  | [9], [82], [102] | <p>Expert Evaluation:</p> <p>Security audits (Dynamic Application Security Testing (DAST))</p> <p>Penetration testing (Ethical hacking)</p> <p>Security code reviews</p> <p>Authentication and authorization testing</p> <p>Compliance and certification evaluation</p> <p>Security Policy Review</p> <p>Threat Modeling</p> <p>Security Heuristic Evaluation</p> <p>Automated testing (Static Application Security Testing (SAST))</p> |
| 14.5 | Availability              | Refers to the readiness of the app for users to access and utilize its functionalities when they need it.  | Refined  | [86]             | <p>Self-Reported Measurement:</p> <p>Questionnaire</p> <p>Interviews</p> <p>Analyzing user reviews</p> <p>Expert Evaluation:</p> <p>Crash Reporting and Error Logs Analysis and Networks</p> <p>Load Testing</p> <p>ALL:</p> <p>User Testing with Stress Scenarios</p> <p>Automated evaluation (App Performance Monitoring, Long-Term Monitoring and Feedback Collection)</p>   |
| 14.6 | Error Prevention/Handling | The app proactively prevents user errors; in the event they occur, it adeptly manages unexpected situations to avert crashes or data loss by delivering clear, informative instructions. | Proposed | -                | <p>Observational Measurement:</p> <p>Observation</p> <p>Expert Evaluation:</p> <p>Security Heuristic Evaluation</p> <p>Error Handling Checklist review</p> <p>Cognitive Walkthrough</p>   |

|      |                      |   |          |   |  |
|------|----------------------|---|----------|---|--|
| 14.7 | Visual Symmetry      | The cohesive integration of design elements creates a seamless and aesthetically pleasing user experience, fostering predictability in interactions and clarity in user expectations.   | Proposed | - | ALL:<br>User Testing with Error Injection  |
| 14.8 | Transparency         | Transparency refers to the clarity and openness of the app's operations and functionalities to users. It involves providing users with clear and comprehensive information about how the app works, how their data is collected, used, and protected, and any potential risks or limitations associated with its use.   | Proposed | - | Expert Evaluation:<br>The Mobile Application User Experience Checklist (MAUX-C)<br>Heuristic Evaluation<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Expert evaluation:<br>Expert Review<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Information Access Tasks  |
| 15   | External Constraints | External constraints represent limitations that exist outside the product or service itself and can indirectly impact the user experience (UX). These constraints are often technical in nature and encompass factors that the product must accommodate to ensure optimal performance and usability.  | Proposed | - | -  |
| 15.1 | Integrability        | Integrability refers to the ease with which an app can be integrated with external systems, services, or platforms. It involves the application's ability to connect seamlessly with other software components, APIs, databases, or third-party services.   | Proposed | - | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>API documentation review<br>Security review of integration points<br>Integration usage tracking<br>Integration testing<br>Compatibility testing<br>Performance monitoring<br>ALL:<br>User testing with integrated features   |
| 15.2 | Devices Limitations  | Devices Limitations in mobile UX refer to the constraints imposed by the device's hardware, software, or platform on the user experience. This includes factors such as screen size, processing power, memory, battery life, and network connectivity. Designing with awareness of these limitations ensures optimal performance and usability across various devices and platforms, enhancing the overall user experience. | Proposed | - | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire on Device Experience<br>Interview on Device Experience<br>App Store/ Marketplace Reviews<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Performance Monitoring by Device<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Device-Specific Testing<br>Heuristic Evaluation with Device Considerations<br>Accessibility Review for Different Devices<br>Performance Testing under Device Constraints<br>Device Compatibility Testing |

ALL:  
Crowd testing with Different Devices

|      |                 |  |          |                       |   |
|------|-----------------|--|----------|-----------------------|---|
| 15.3 | Infrastructure  | Refers to the hardware technology that has been used to build the product from both sides (client and Server) as it has an impact on the usability and it has interaction with Service Response Time aspect  | Adopted  | [2]                   | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interviews<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Device Compatibility Testing<br>Server Load Testing<br>Infrastructure Performance Testing (loading times, resource usage, etc.)<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Limited Resources   |
| 16   | Independability | The degree to which a mobile app allows users to achieve their goals and complete tasks within the app itself, with minimal reliance on external factors or assistance.  | Proposed | -                     | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Test app usage with limited connectivity  |
| 17   | Updateness      | It refers to the frequency and timeliness of updates released for a mobile app. It includes the regularity of bug fixes, feature enhancements, security patches, and compatibility updates.  | Proposed | -                     | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Review analysis for update frequency<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Update installation rates<br>Version history analysis<br>Update impact assessment<br>Comparison with competitors  |
| 18   | Accessibility   | Accessibility refers to the design of mobile apps such that they can be easily used and understood by people of all abilities.   | Refined  | [9], [82], [78], [68] | -   |
| 18.1 | Perceivable     | Ensures that digital content (information and UI components) is presented in a manner that can be detected or understood by all users, regardless of their sensory abilities. This includes providing alternatives for sensory information where necessary, such as text captions for audio content and descriptive text for images. | Adopted  | [68]                  | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Surveys with users with disabilities<br>Interview<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Audio and visual cues testing<br>WCAG (Web Content Accessibility Guidelines) Evaluation<br>Assistive technology compatibility testing<br>ALL:<br>Automated evaluation (Color Contrast Analysis Tools)<br>Automated evaluation (Screen Reader Testing) |

Testing with Users with disabilities  
Cognitive Ability Testing

|        |                      |  |          |       |   |
|--------|----------------------|--|----------|-------|---|
| 18.1.1 | Readability          | Sufficient contrast between text and background, appropriate font size, and clear visual hierarchy ensure content is easily readable for users with visual impairments.  | Proposed | [108] | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Surveys with Users with Visual Impairments<br>Interviews with Users with Visual Impairments<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>WCAG (Web Content Accessibility Guidelines) Evaluation<br>A/B Testing with Different Font Sizes and Color Combinations<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Users with Visual Impairments<br>Automated evaluation (Color Contrast Analysis Tools, Font Size Analysis Tools) |
| 18.1.2 | Self-Descriptiveness | The use of descriptive labels, icons, and alt text to ensure that users, particularly those with visual impairments, can understand the content without relying solely on visual cues.   | Proposed | [108] | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Surveys with users with disabilities<br>Interview<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Focus group<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Manual inspections<br>ALL:<br>User testing with visual impairments<br>Automated evaluation (Alt text analysis tools, Label review tools)   |
| 18.2   | Operability          | The capability of users to interact with digital content and user interface components effectively. It involves designing interfaces that users with diverse abilities and input methods can navigate, interact with, and use. | Adopted  | [68]  | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Surveys with Users with Motor Impairments<br>Interviews with Users with Motor Impairments<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>WCAG Evaluation with Focus on Input Methods<br>A/B Testing with Different Interaction Methods<br>ALL:<br>Testing with Users with Motor Impairments<br>Automated evaluation (Touch Target Analysis Tools)  |

|        |   |  |          |       |  |
|--------|---|--|----------|-------|--|
| 18.2.1 | Navigability                                  | Refers to the overall ease with which users with disabilities can locate, access, and interact with desired content within a digital product. This includes well-designed layouts, appropriate color schemes, and readable typography. It incorporates findability aspects such as clear labeling, logical organization, and robust search with alternative input methods to ensure users can discover what they need. | Proposed | [108] | <p>Self-Reported Measurement:</p> <p>Questionnaire</p> <p>Surveys with Users with disabilities</p> <p>Interviews with Users with disabilities</p> <p>Analyzing user reviews</p> <p>Observational Measurement:</p> <p>Observation</p> <p>Focus Group</p> <p>Expert Evaluation:</p> <p>WCAG Evaluation</p> <p>ALL:</p> <p>Testing with Users with disabilities</p> <p>Automated evaluation (user behavior analysis)</p>  |
| 18.2.2 | Controllability                               | Refers to the UI elements that allow users to control the pace of interaction, pause or undo actions, and adjust settings to suit their needs. This is especially important for users with motor skill limitations or cognitive disabilities.  | Proposed | [108] | <p>Self-Reported Measurement:</p> <p>Questionnaire</p> <p>Surveys with Users with disabilities</p> <p>Interviews with Users with disabilities</p> <p>Analyzing user reviews</p> <p>Observational Measurement:</p> <p>Observation</p> <p>Focus Group</p> <p>Expert Evaluation:</p> <p>WCAG Evaluation with Focus on User Control</p> <p>A/B Testing with Different Control Mechanisms</p> <p>ALL:</p> <p>Testing with Users with disabilities with Scenarios Requiring Control</p> <p>Automated evaluation (user behavior analysis)</p> |
| 18.2.3 | Responsiveness                                | The app should respond to user input in a predictable and timely manner, allowing users with motor skill limitations to interact without feeling rushed.   | Proposed | [108] | <p>Self-Reported Measurement:</p> <p>Questionnaire</p> <p>Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS)</p> <p>Interview</p> <p>Expert evaluation:</p> <p>Load testing</p> <p>ALL:</p> <p>User Testing with Performance Measurement (measure load/response times, responsiveness to touch/input, and animation smoothness, speed, etc.)</p>   |
| 18.3   | Visual Comprehensibility (Understandability ) | The clarity and comprehensibility of the UI's information and operations, ensuring users can easily grasp how to use the application, particularly those with disabilities.  | Proposed | [68]  | <p>Self-Reported Measurement:</p> <p>Questionnaire</p> <p>Interviews</p> <p>Analyzing user reviews</p> <p>Observational Measurement:</p> <p>Observation</p> <p>Focus Group</p> <p>Expert Evaluation:</p> <p>WCAG Evaluation with Focus on Cognitive Clarity</p> <p>Cognitive Walkthrough</p> <p>ALL:</p> <p>Testing with Users with Cognitive Disabilities</p> <p>Automated evaluation (user behavior analysis)</p>  |



|        |                        |   |          |                             |  |
|--------|------------------------|---|----------|-----------------------------|--|
| 18.3.1 | Simplicity             | An uncluttered and straightforward interface design that removes unnecessary elements, making it easy to understand and use for all users, including those with cognitive disabilities or limited technical skills.   | Refined  | [9], [82], [109], [2], [77] | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>The AttrakDiff questionnaire<br>Interviews<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Focus Group<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>WCAG Evaluation with Focus on Simplicity<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>Heuristic Evaluation with Focus on Simplicity<br>A/B Testing with Simplified Layouts<br>The Mobile Application User Experience Checklist (MAUX-C)<br>ALL:<br>Testing with Users with Disabilities<br>Automated evaluation (user behavior analysis) |
| 18.3.2 | Visual Symmetry        | The cohesive integration of design elements creates a seamless and aesthetically pleasing user experience, fostering predictability in interactions and clarity in user expectations. This is especially beneficial for individuals with disabilities who may encounter difficulties with change. | Proposed | [108]                       | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interviews<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Focus Group<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>WCAG Evaluation with Focus on Consistency<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>Style Guide Review<br>Heuristic Evaluation with Focus on Consistency<br>A/B Testing with Consistent Design Elements<br>ALL:<br>Testing with Users with Disabilities<br>Automated evaluation (user behavior analysis)  |
| 18.3.3 | Assistance/Helpfulness | User's perception that a product or service communicates and provides useful help to achieve tasks. This includes descriptions of the app UI elements and features to their needs.  | Refined  | [78], [79], [2]             | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Post-Task Questionnaire (PTQ)<br>Helpfulness Evaluation Survey<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Think Aloud<br>Interview<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Heuristic evaluation<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Help Scenarios  |

|      |                       |   |          |                  |   |
|------|-----------------------|---|----------|------------------|---|
| 18.4 | Robustness            | The ability of digital content to be reliably interpreted and accessed by a wide range of user agents, including assistive technologies and different types of devices.   | Adopted  | [68]             | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interviews<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Focus Group<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>WCAG Evaluation with Focus on Technical Robustness<br>Assistive Technology Testing with Screen Readers and Voice Control<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Search Tasks<br>Automated evaluation with Different Devices and Browsers |
| 19   | Trustworthiness       | Trustworthiness in mobile UX reflects the user's confidence in an app's reliability, security, and the organization behind it.  | Refined  | [8], [78], [110] | -   |
| 19.1 | Transparency          | Transparency refers to the clarity and openness of the app's operations and functionalities to users. It involves providing users with clear and comprehensive information about how the app works, how their data is collected, used, and protected, and any potential risks or limitations associated with its use.                       | Proposed | -                | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Expert evaluation:<br>Expert Review<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Information Access Tasks   |
| 19.2 | Brand Trustworthiness | It is the confidence consumers have in a brand's reliability, quality, and ethical practices. It's built through consistent quality, transparent communication, reliability, ethical practices, and strong customer relationships.  | Proposed | -                | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Brand Trust Surveys<br>Net Promoter Score (NPS)<br>Customer Satisfaction (CSAT) Surveys<br>Interviews<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Brand Reputation Analysis<br>Customer Support Analysis<br>Case Studies and Industry Benchmarks<br>Ethical Practice Audits<br>Third-party certifications and award inspections                   |
| 19.3 | Content Truthfulness  | Refers to the accuracy and honesty of information presented in digital content. It goes beyond simply presenting accurate information. It signifies the overall reliability, credibility, and trustworthiness of the information presented within the digital content. It ensures users feel confident that the information they encounter. | Proposed | -                | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Content Trust Surveys<br>Net Promoter Score (NPS)<br>Customer Satisfaction (CSAT) Surveys<br>Interviews<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Ethical Practice Audits<br>Third-party certifications and award inspections<br>Content Verification   |

|      |                     |   |          |                  |  |
|------|---------------------|---|----------|------------------|--|
| 19.4 | Security            | Safeguards user data and privacy, the app itself, and the environment from unauthorized access, modification, or loss.  | Refined  | [9], [82], [102] | Expert Evaluation:<br>Security audits (Dynamic Application Security Testing (DAST))<br>Penetration testing (Ethical hacking)<br>Security code reviews<br>Authentication and authorization testing<br>Compliance and certification evaluation<br>Security Policy Review<br>Threat Modeling<br>Security Heuristic Evaluation<br>Automated testing (Static Application Security Testing (SAST)) |
| 19.5 | Consistent Delivery | Refers to the ability of a brand or product to consistently meet or exceed the expectations of its users or customers. It implies reliability and dependability in delivering the promised quality, features, and performance consistently over time. | Proposed | -                | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>App Rating Trends<br>Error Reporting and Crash Analytics<br>User Retention and Engagement Metrics<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Visual Impairments<br>Automated evaluation (Alt text analysis tools, Label review tools)  |
| 19.6 | Reliability         | A consistent performance of the app's intended functions without degradation or failure ensures fluency, availability, system performance, and stability.   | Refined  | [79], [102]      | Expert Evaluation:<br>Code review<br>Stress/load Testing<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Simulated Errors<br>Automated evaluation (Error/crash Tracking and Logging, Performance monitoring)  |
| 19.7 | Ethical Practices   | Adherence to ethical standards, social responsibility, and sustainability practices demonstrates integrity and earns consumers' trust by aligning with their values and beliefs.  | Proposed | -                | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Ethical Standards Review   |
| 20   | Information Quality | Refers to the accuracy, meaningfulness, and comprehensiveness/Completeness of the information presented within the app or service.  | Proposed | -                | -  |
| 20.1 | Meaningfulness      | Refers to the app's capacity to provide users with data and content that is relevant, significant, and valuable, enhancing the overall quality of information presented within the app  | Proposed | -                | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interviews<br>Think aloud<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Focus group<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Heuristic evaluation<br>Cognitive walkthrough<br>Content Audit with Focus on User Needs and Goals<br>ALL:  |

|      |              |  |          |          |   |
|------|--------------|--|----------|----------|---|
| 20.2 | Accuracy     | The degree to which data has attributes that correctly represent the true value of the intended attribute of a concept or event in a specific context of use.  | Adopted  | [9], [2] | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Data Quality Audits (data architecture, data validation procedures, etc.)<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Injected Errors  |
| 20.3 | Completeness | Refers to the extent to which all necessary and relevant information is provided within the app, leaving no significant gaps or omissions. It ensures that users have access to a comprehensive set of data and content, enabling them to make informed decisions and achieve their objectives effectively.  | Proposed | -        | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Post-Task Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Content Inventory & Analysis<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>Heuristic Evaluation<br>Task Analysis inspection<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Scenarios   |
| 21   | Affordances  | Affordances refers to the intuitive indications in an interface that guide users towards possible actions that align with the app's purpose. These cues may be visual, tactile, or auditory, and they help users understand how to interact with interface elements to achieve their objectives. Affordances are tailored to the app's domain and can include various attributes. For instance, gaming apps leverage affordances for collaboration (invite buttons), immersion (high-quality graphics), and challenge (progress bars). Similarly, shopping apps utilize affordances for luxuriousness (product photos), advertising, and delicacy (drag-and-drop features). In different domains of apps, affordances adapt to various attributes, such as the cost attribute in learning apps or the timeliness attribute in critical apps. | Adopted  | -        | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Retention Rate Analysis<br>App Engagement Analytics<br>Heuristic Evaluation with Affordance Focus<br>Cognitive Walkthrough with Affordance Focus<br>A/B Testing with Interface Variations<br>ALL:<br>Usability Testing with Affordance Evaluation |

|    |                       |  |          |   |   |
|----|-----------------------|--|----------|---|---|
| 22 | User Support          | User Support involves making assistance accessible across various channels (live chat, phone, email, etc.), ensuring users can receive help anytime and anywhere, thereby enhancing the overall user experience.   | Proposed | - | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Support Satisfaction Surveys<br>Interview<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>User Support Logs Analysis<br>Support Quality Audits<br>Support Channel Availability inspection<br>ALL:<br>User Testing for Support Features  |
| 23 | Emerging Technologies | Refer to the integration and utilization of advanced and innovative technologies such as Voice Interaction, Augmented Reality (AR), and Virtual Reality (VR) to enhance user experiences, significantly impact how users interact with the app and perceive its functionality, and provide immersive, interactive, and intuitive mobile applications.                                    | Proposed | - | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>User Experience Questionnaires (UEQ)<br>Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) Surveys<br>Interview<br>Think Aloud<br>Physiological Measurement:<br>Eye tracking<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Focus Group<br>Contextual Inquiry<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Cognitive walkthrough<br>Heuristic Evaluation<br>Expert reviews<br>A/B Testing<br>Voice Command Accuracy<br>ALL:<br>User testing                          |
| 24 | Automation & AI       | Refer to leveraging machine learning, artificial intelligence, and automated processes to enhance UX, streamline interactions, and provide efficient and intelligent application features, for instance, automating tasks, predictive analytics, and smart notifications, and offering intelligent assistance to users through intelligent search, chatbots, and recommendation systems. | Proposed | - | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>User Experience Questionnaires (UEQ)<br>Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) Surveys<br>Interview<br>Think Aloud<br>Physiological Measurement:<br>Eye tracking<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Focus Group<br>Contextual Inquiry<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Cognitive walkthrough<br>Heuristic Evaluation<br>Expert reviews (AI Performance Metrics)<br>A/B Testing<br>Voice Command Accuracy<br>ALL:<br>User testing |

#### Context Dimension

|      |                            |  |          |                  |  |
|------|----------------------------|--|----------|------------------|--|
| 25   | User Inclusivity           | User Inclusivity is the philosophy of designing experiences that cater to a diverse range of users. It delves into understanding users' unique characteristics, preferences, and backgrounds, guiding the creation of tailored app experiences that resonate with each individual. This encompasses demographics, knowledge, needs, cultural background, and personal values.  | Proposed | -                | -  |
| 25.1 | Demographics Inclusivity   | Demographic inclusivity emphasizes accommodating users from diverse demographics, such as age, gender, location, city, country, region, income, education levels, etc, depending on the app's purpose.   | Proposed | -                | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Demographic Survey<br>Interviews<br>Think Aloud<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Field Studies<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Heuristic Evaluation with Inclusivity Focus<br>Inclusive Design Review<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Assistive Technologies |
| 25.2 | Familiarity Flexibility    | Familiarity Flexibility is the recognition that users' knowledge, experience, and skills, such as language proficiency, typing ability, familiarity with technology and similar applications, and expertise in relevant app domains, inform their interaction with a new product or service. Highlighting the flexibility of familiarity implies that the UX design caters to users with varying experience or familiarity with the platform or product. | Refined  | [82], [78], [86] | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>ALL:<br>User testing (familiarity flexibility-focused)  |
| 25.3 | User Needs And Preferences | Users' specific requirements and desires regarding the features, functionalities, and content of a product or service are needed to inform the design to deliver a satisfying and goal-oriented user experience.   | Proposed | -                | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>user needs and preferences surveys<br>Interview<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Focus groups<br>Field Studies<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>User Persona<br>ALL:<br>User testing              |

|      |                         |  |          |                             |  |
|------|-------------------------|--|----------|-----------------------------|--|
| 25.4 | Cultural Sensitivity    | Cultural Sensitivity emphasizes acknowledging and accommodating diverse cultural backgrounds within the app. It considers factors such as traditions, beliefs, values, and social norms that shape user experiences and expectations.  | Proposed | -                           | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Surveys<br>Interview<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Observation<br>Focus groups<br>Field Studies<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>User Persona<br>Cultural Sensitivity Review<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with International Users   |
| 26   | Quality Of Interaction  | The quality of interaction in a mobile app's UX refers to how smoothly and effectively users can communicate with and achieve their goals within the app.  | Proposed | -                           | -  |
| 26.1 | Flexibility             | Flexibility in mobile app UX encompasses the app's capacity to adapt to a diverse range of user needs, preferences, and device variations, extending beyond core functionalities to accommodate unforeseen situations or environments encountered by users. It includes customizable options and adaptive layouts to provide personalized experiences, enhancing UX. | Refined  | [9], [78], [84], [90], [85] | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Physiological Measurement:<br>Eye Tracking<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Contextual Inquiry<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Heuristic Evaluation<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Varied Devices & Scenarios  |
| 26.2 | User-Centric Adaptation | User-centric adaptation attribute combines personalization, adaptability, and customization. It dynamically adjusts the app to fit individual user preferences and the current context (location, device, time) for a tailored and flexible user experience.   | Proposed | -                           | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Contextual user Surveys<br>Contextual interviews<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Contextual inquiry and observation<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Heuristic evaluation with adaptation focus<br>Review of personalization algorithms<br>Performance monitoring and optimization<br>ALL:<br>User testing with personalization scenarios<br>Automated evaluation (User behavior analysis) |

|        |                 |  |          |   |  |
|--------|-----------------|--|----------|---|--|
| 26.2.1 | Personalization | The process of tailoring the app experience to the individual user. This is achieved by leveraging data and information about the user to create a more relevant, engaging, and efficient interaction. | Proposed | - | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Focus group<br>Contextual Inquiry<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>Adaptability Metrics Analysis<br>ALL:<br>Context-Aware User Testing<br>Automated evaluation (User Behavior Tracking)   |
| 26.2.2 | Adaptability    | An app's ability to automatically adjust its behavior and interface based on the user's current context (location, device, time) without requiring explicit user input.                                | Proposed | - | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Focus group<br>Contextual Inquiry<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>Adaptability Metrics Analysis<br>ALL:<br>Context-Aware User Testing<br>Automated evaluation (User Behavior Tracking)   |
| 26.2.3 | Customizability | An app's ability to be modified by the user to suit their individual preferences and work styles.  | Proposed | - | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Focus group<br>Contextual Inquiry<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Customizability Heuristic evaluation<br>Cognitive Walkthrough<br>Customizability Metrics Analysis<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Customization Tasks<br>Automated evaluation (User Behavior Tracking) |
| 26.3   | Relevant Output | Refers to the app's ability to provide users with output that is directly pertinent to their current tasks, goals, or queries.   | Proposed | - | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Interview<br>Think aloud<br>Analyzing user reviews<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Focus group<br>Contextual Inquiry<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Heuristic evaluation<br>Cognitive Walkthrough  |



|      |                  |   |          |            |   |
|------|------------------|---|----------|------------|---|
|      |                  |   |          |            | Code review<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Task Scenarios<br>Automated evaluation (Error Logging & Analytics)   |
| 27   | Context Of Use   | Refers to the comprehensive understanding and consideration of the circumstances, environment, and user conditions in which individuals interact with mobile devices and applications.  | Proposed | -          | -   |
| 27.1 | Social Context   | Refers to the influence of surrounding individuals on the user's interaction with the device or application. It encompasses factors such as the user's relationships, conversations, presence, and behavior, and how these elements affect the user's actions and decisions.  | Refined  | [111]      | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Interviews with Diverse Users<br>Think Aloud<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Ethnography<br>Contextual Inquiry in Social Settings<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Contextual Scenarios<br>Automated Evaluation (User Behavior Analysis with Social Context)   |
| 27.2 | Temporal Context | Refers to the social environment in which users engage with a mobile application. It considers whether the user is alone or interacting with others and how this setting affects their interaction. It also examines how social dynamics influence the user's behavior and usage patterns. For instance, it explores whether users need to be discreet while using certain app features in public settings. | Refined  | [112], [2] | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Interviews with Diverse Users<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Contextual Inquiry Across Different Times<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>App Analytics with Time-Based Analysis<br>ALL:<br>User Testing with Varied Time Constraints<br>Automated Evaluation (User Behavior Analysis Across Different Times)<br>Longitudinal Studies |
| 27.3 | Task Context     | Refers to the specific goal the user is trying to achieve with the app, including the actions they undertake and the information required for task completion. It encompasses the user's goals, intentions, and specific actions while using the mobile app, aiding designers to prioritize features, optimize workflows, and develop interfaces that facilitate efficient task completion.                 | Refined  | [113]      | Self-Reported Measurement:<br>Questionnaire<br>Task completion surveys<br>Think aloud<br>Observational Measurement:<br>Contextual inquiry and observation<br>Expert Evaluation:<br>Task flow analysis<br>Heatmaps with task context<br>Cognitive walkthrough<br>ALL:<br>User testing with task scenarios<br>Automated evaluation (User behavior analysis)   |

|      |                     |   |          |       |  |
|------|---------------------|---|----------|-------|--|
| 27.4 | Application Context | Refer to the current state and functionalities of a mobile app that is available to the user at a specific moment. It encompasses the information exchanged between the user and the device, including user input (taps, swipes, text input), app output (displayed information, sounds, vibrations), the current screen or view within the app, and the app's internal state (data, settings, progress).   | Proposed | -     | <p>Self-Reported Measurement:<br/>Questionnaire</p> <p>Observational Measurement:<br/>Contextual inquiry and observation</p> <p>Expert Evaluation:<br/>App state monitoring<br/>Context Modeling<br/>State management review<br/>Visual cues for context</p> <p>ALL:<br/>User testing (Error injection)<br/>User testing with contextual scenarios<br/>Automated evaluation (User behavior analysis)<br/>Accessibility testing</p>   |
| 27.5 | Cognitive Context   | Cognitive context refers to the user's cognitive abilities, habits, and attitudes that influence their interaction with a system or product. It includes factors such as memory, attention, perception, problem-solving skills, learning preferences, prior experiences with technology, and emotional state. Understanding the cognitive context helps designers create interfaces that align with users' mental models, accommodate different learning styles, and support efficient information processing, ultimately enhancing UX. | Refined  | [111] | <p>Self-Reported Measurement:<br/>Questionnaire<br/>Interview</p> <p>Physiological Measurement:<br/>Eye tracking and attention analysis</p> <p>Observational Measurement:<br/>Contextual inquiry and observation</p> <p>Expert Evaluation:<br/>Cognitive walkthrough<br/>Cognitive load analysis<br/>Heuristics evaluation for cognitive accessibility</p> <p>ALL:<br/>User testing (Error rate analysis)<br/>User testing with diverse user groups<br/>Automated evaluation (Time spent on tasks)<br/>Accessibility testing</p> |

---

<sup>i</sup> The resource column contains the references that were used to refine and adopt the definitions.

<sup>ii</sup> The (ALL) keyword is presented because the method used could be classified into any of the four method categories depending on the type of procedure and data collected during the evaluation.