

Objective: To experiment with searching and get a feel for the performance of hashing.

To start the lab: Download and unzip the lab7.zip file from eLearning.

Part A: Comparison of searching techniques.

- a) Open and run the timeLinearSearch.py program that times the LinearSearch algorithm imported from LinearSearch.py. Observe that it creates a list, evenList, that holds 10,000 sorted, even values (e.g., evenList = [0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., 19996, 19998]). It then times the searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 so half of the searches are successful and half are unsuccessful. How long does it take to linear search for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999?
- b) Open and run the timeBinarySearch.py program that times the binarySearch algorithm imported from binarySearch.py. How long does it take to binary search for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999?
- c) Open and run the timeListDictSearch.py program that times the ListDict dictionary ADT in list_dictionary.py. The ListDict implementation uses a single Python list for storing dictionary entries. The timeListDictSearch.py program adds the 10,000 even values (i.e., 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., 19996, 19998) to a ListDict object, and then times the searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 so half of the searches are successful and half are unsuccessful. How long does it take to search for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 in the ListDict?
- d) Open and run the timeListDictSearch.py program that times the ListDict dictionary ADT in list_dictionary2.py. The ListDict implementation uses a single Python list for storing dictionary entries, BUT does not use try-except to recover from unsuccessful search of Python list's index method. The timeListDictSearch.py program adds the 10,000 even values (i.e., 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., 19996, 19998) to a ListDict object, and then times the searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 so half of the searches are successful and half are unsuccessful. How long does it take to search for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 in the ListDict?
- e) Open and run the timeChainingDictSearch.py program that times the ChainingDict dictionary ADT in chaining_dictionary.py. The timeChainingDictSearch.py program adds the 10,000 even values (i.e., 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., 19996, 19998) to an ChainingDict with 16,384 slots (i.e., load factor of 0.61), and then times the searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 so half of the searches are successful and half are unsuccessful. How long does it take to search for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 in the ChainingDict?
- f) Explain the relative performance results of searching using linear search, binary search, a ListDict, and ChainingDict. (Think about their big-oh notations and their constants of proportionalities "c")

g) The Python for loop allows traversal of built-in data structures (strings, lists, tuple, etc) by an *iterator*. To accomplish this with *our* data structures we need to include an `__iter__` method (e.g., ListDict class from Lecture 15 in lab7/list_dictionary.py). In general an `__iter__` method, must loop down the data structure and yield each item in the data structure. See the end of UnorderedList and ListDict classes for examples of their `__iter__` methods. **Complete the `__iter__` code for the ChainingDict (lab7/chaining_dictionary.py) and OpenAddrHashDict (lab7/open_addr_hash_dictionary.py) classes.**

Part B: a) Open and run the `timeOpenAddrHashDictSearch.py` program that times the `OpenAddrHashDict` dictionary ADT in `open_addr_hash_dictionary.py`. The `timeOpenAddrHashDictSearch.py` program adds the 10,000 even values (i.e., 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., 19996, 19998) to an `OpenAddrHashDict` with 16,384 (2^{14}) slots (i.e., load factor of 0.61) using linear probing, and then times the searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 so half of the searches are successful and half are unsuccessful. How long does it take to search for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 in the `OpenAddrHashDict`?

b) Place the even values (i.e., 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., 16382, 16384, 16386, 16388, ..., 19998) in the hash table below. Value 0 is stored at home address 0, value 2 is stored at home address 2, ..., value 16,382 is stored at home address 16,382, but values 16,384 to 19,998 will have collisions. Now, think about the number of probes needed to searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999. Why does the above timing of searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 take so long with a load factor of only 0.61?

	self. table
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
16,380	
16,381	
16,382	
16,383	(last hash step % 16,384)

c) Experiment with changing the load factor of the `HashTable` by increasing the hash table size to 32,768 (2^{15}) for a load factor of 0.31, and 65,536 for a load factor of 0.15. Completing the following table:

Linear Probing	Hash Table Size (Load Factor)		
	16,384 or 2^{14} (0.61)	32,768 or 2^{15} (0.31)	65,536 or 2^{16} (0.15)
Execution time with 10,000 items in hash table (sec.)			

d) In `timeOpenAddrHashDictSearch.py` modify the construction of `evenHashTable` so it uses quadratic probing instead of linear probing (i.e., `evenHashTable = OpenAddrHashTable(2^{14} , hash, False)`). Completing the following table:

Quadratic Probing	Hash Table Size (Load Factor)		
	16,384 (0.61)	32,768 (0.31)	65,536 (0.15)
Execution time with 10,000 items in hash table (seconds)			

e) Explain why quadratic probing performs better than linear probing.

After you have answers and correct code for all parts of the lab, submit a `lab7.zip` containing your code on eLearning. If you do not get done today, then submit it by next week's lab period.

Remember to save your lab7 files for later usage on homework assignments!