

Objective: To gain experience with a simple, recursive, divide-and-conquer implementation and how to develop faster solutions using an iterative dynamic programming implementation

To start the lab: Download and unzip the lab6.zip file from eLearning.

Part A: We've seen several recursive "divide-and-conquer" algorithms: fibonacci and coin-change problem. The general idea of divide-and-conquer algorithms is:

- dividing the original problem it into small problem(s) (e.g., fib(n-1) and fib(n-2))
- solving the smaller problem(s) recursively
- combine the solution(s) to smaller problem(s) to solve the original problem (e.g., return fib(n-1) + fib(n-2))

Mathematics has several simple recursively defined functions. For example, the *factorial* function can be recursively defined as:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} n! &= n * (n - 1)! && \text{for } n \geq 1, \text{ and} \\ 0! &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Implement a recursive `factorial(n)` function using this recursive definition and test it with several small examples (e.g., $3! = 3*2! = 3*2*1! = 3*2*1*0! = 3*2*1*1 = 6$, and $5! = 5*4*3*2*1*1 = 120$).

In Discrete Structures (CS 1800) you used (or will use) the binomial coefficient formula:

$$(2) \quad C(n, k) = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$$

to calculate the number of combinations of "n choose k," i.e., the number of ways to choose k objects from n objects. For example, when calculating the number of unique 5-card hands from a standard 52-card deck (e.g., $C(52, 5)$) we need to calculate $52! / 5! 47! = 2,598,960$.

Implement the function `C(n, k)` using formula (2) above and your recursive factorial function.

Part B: One problem with using formula (2) in most languages is that $n!$ grows very fast and overflows the integer representation before you can do the division to bring the value back to a value that can be represented. (NOTE: Python does not suffer from this problem, but lets pretend that it does.)

For example, when calculating $C(52, 5)$ we need to calculate $52! / 5! 47!$. However, the value of

$52! = 80,658,175,170,943,878,571,660,636,856,403,766,975,289,505,440,883,277,824,000,000,000,000$ is much, much bigger than can fit into a 64-bit integer representation. Fortunately, another way to view $C(52, 5)$ is recursively by splitting the problem into two smaller problems by focusing on:

- the hands containing a specific card, say the ace of clubs, and
- the hands that do not contain the ace of clubs.

For those hands that do contain the ace of clubs, we need to choose 4 more cards from the remaining 51 cards, i.e., $C(51, 4)$. For those hands that do not contain the ace of clubs, we need to choose 5 cards from the remaining 51 cards, i.e., $C(51, 5)$. Therefore, $C(52, 5) = C(51, 4) + C(51, 5)$.

In general, (NOTE: **When implementing your recursive code, be sure to use DC for the recursive calls**)

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} C(n, k) &= C(n - 1, k - 1) + C(n - 1, k) && \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq (n - 1), \text{ and} \\ C(n, k) &= 1 && \text{for } k = 0 \text{ or } k = n \end{aligned}$$

Implement the recursive "divide-and-conquer" binomial coefficient function using equation (3). Call your function **DC(n, k)** for "divide-and-conquer". Notice the difference in run-time between calculating the binomial coefficient using $C(24, 12)$ vs. $DC(24, 12)$, $C(26, 13)$ vs. $DC(26, 13)$, and $C(28, 14)$ vs. $DC(28, 14)$.

Part C: Much of the slowness of your "divide-and-conquer" binomial coefficient function, `DC(n, k)`, is due to redundant calculations performed due to the recursive calls. For example, the recursive calls associated with $DC(5, 3) = 10$ would be:

