

# Kosu: A Decentralized Relay Protocol for Smart Contract Based Financial Primitives

Liam Kovatch, Henry Harder  
<https://kosu.io>

5 June 2018 (Revised April 15, 2019)

## Abstract

We motivate Kosu: a decentralized network and protocol that encourages the curation of a consistent, high-quality, and verifiable public order book. The Kosu network is an independent bonded proof-of-stake blockchain built on Tendermint Consensus. The lightweight state application is responsible for enforcing a simple access control rule-set and order booking procedure. The network utilizes a shared security model, wherein all staking and token-based mechanics happen on the Ethereum main-network. The model provides unidirectional communication and checkpoints between the two networks by leveraging Tendermint to provide finality for specific Ethereum-based state changes. Individuals intending to post orders to the Kosu network are required to bond tokens for the duration they wish to have access. The poster bonding mechanism creates a market for the allocation of network resources throughput and an implicit incentive to contribute quality liquidity to the network. Kosu validators are selected via a token curated registry system on Ethereum. This registry system allows both the inflation rate as well as the number of active validators to be market driven and determined by the network's stakeholders. The network is highly generalizable, supporting orders of arbitrary maker-taker based virtual settlement systems. In its entirety, the proposed system effectively curates a decentralized order book that serves as a liquidity aggregation primitive for second layer systems. Kosu will be free to use and open source, reducing barriers to entry for both developers and market agents. // TODO: review and finalize

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Overview</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Specification</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Orders . . . . .	5
3.1.1	SubContract interface . . . . .	5
3.1.2	Order message format . . . . .	5
3.2	Ethereum Contract System . . . . .	6
3.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	6
3.2.2	Permission model . . . . .	6
3.2.3	Architecture . . . . .	6
3.2.4	Treasury . . . . .	6
3.2.5	Poster bonding . . . . .	6
3.2.6	Validator token-curated registry . . . . .	6
3.3	Tendermint Network . . . . .	6
3.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	6
3.3.2	Architecture . . . . .	7
3.3.3	Ethereum peg-zone . . . . .	7
3.3.4	Poster access control . . . . .	7
3.3.5	State model . . . . .	7
3.3.6	Transaction types . . . . .	7
3.3.7	Validator curation . . . . .	7
3.4	Incentive Models . . . . .	7
3.4.1	Sybil tolerance . . . . .	7
3.4.2	Validator elections . . . . .	7
3.4.3	Validator rewards . . . . .	7
<b>4</b>	<b>Token Distribution</b>	<b>8</b>
4.1	Overview . . . . .	8
4.2	Mechanics . . . . .	8
4.3	Ether to Kosu . . . . .	8
4.4	Kosu to Ether . . . . .	8
<b>5</b>	<b>Future Work</b>	<b>9</b>

# 1 Introduction

Historically, financial markets have been relatively siloed. To date, centralized entities control significant entry points and accounting systems, limiting access beyond a small set of privileged participants. The recent invention of blockchains, decentralized ledger systems, is now challenging this trusted paradigm. Ethereum, a public blockchain with a built-in Turing-complete programming language, provides developers an immutable and verifiable computation platform for scripts known as “smart-contracts”. These scripts can encode arbitrary, deterministic state transition functions, providing a powerful primitive for more complex ledger based application systems.

Since the network’s genesis in 2014, developers have leveraged smart contracts for a wide range of novel protocol systems. Perhaps the most adopted technical standard for smart contracts is the ERC-20 token implementation. The standard defines a common token interface allowing for the creation of a diverse set of Ethereum-based assets. These tokens often serve as a critical crypto economic primitive providing core utility for protocol systems also deployed on Ethereum. As such, a system of exchange is a key requisite in a mature token-dependent contract ecosystem.

In early 2017, the 0x Project published a whitepaper defining a maker-taker based exchange protocol in which a pipeline of publicly accessible Ethereum smart contracts facilitate the wallet-to-wallet settlement of funds. In this model, order broadcast and discovery happen off-chain. Independent entities known as relayers are responsible for sourcing and maintaining liquidity in the form of independent collections of signed order messages. This hybrid architecture drastically improved the efficiency of existing DEX systems while also providing a critical open exchange primitive with high extensibility. Since the first deployment of the 0x protocol, a significant number have adopted it as a common piece of infrastructure. To date, the team continues to push the limits on scalability, efficiency and incentivization for DEX systems.

Virtual settlement systems, specifically decentralized exchanges (DEXs), are a relatively accessible use case for blockchains; a direct evolution from the original digital currency use-case. While conceptually apparent, the architecture of DEXs is a complex and evolving subject. The scalability restraints of blockchain systems combined with the complexities of an asynchronous discrete time system limit their compatibility with optimally efficient continuous time order books and settlement systems. As such, alternative DEX architectures have also captured considerable market share. These systems include dutch auctions and automated market making systems. While immediately compelling for some use cases, the evolution towards hybrid continuous time order books is likely inevitable. These systems, though currently constrained, likely represent the most scalable and efficient DEX structure.

The Kosu network is designed within the 0x-motivated ecosystem, providing a decentralized aggregation primitive for arbitrary limit orders. More specifically, the Kosu network aims to provide a highly extensible decentralized complement to independent centralized aggregators, or relayers. The set of orders maintained on the Kosu network should represent a high-value subset of the compatible order universe.

## 2 Overview

Kosu is chiefly composed of two interdependent systems that together implement the protocol's core functionality. The Kosu contract system supports incentive mechanisms, validator governance, and sybil tolerance for the Kosu blockchain, which supports base-layer order book functionality for exchange and liquidity systems built atop it.

The first system is the Kosu blockchain, a collection of globally distributed nodes that collectively maintain and update the network's shared order book<sup>1</sup>, and maintain a one-way Peg with the Ethereum blockchain. The network supports basic order booking functionality, access control for user accounts, and cryptographic proofs of the existence of state contents, including the set of orders comprising the "order book". Validators<sup>2</sup> secure the network by voting on state changes to an Ethereum contract system, processing orders, and reaching consensus with other validators on the canonical chain and the overall application state.

The second and closely related component is the Kosu protocol smart-contract system. These contracts are deployed to Ethereum and implement Kosu's native token and incentive mechanism, support access control and sybil tolerance for posters, and allow stakeholders to curate the Kosu network's validator set.

Kosu validators run full Ethereum nodes and are responsible for submitting special attestation transactions to specific state changes to the Kosu contract system. The Kosu networks knowledge about the state of the contract system is limited to only what is strictly necessary, such as maintaining a registry of users allowed to post orders to the network (posters).

Posters who wish to leverage the network's decentralized order booking features can gain write access to the network by bonding any amount of Kosu tokens in a specific contract. After their bond transaction is confirmed on Ethereum, validators update the networks shared state to reflect the bonded balance change of the new poster. The same mechanism allows posters to adjust the amount of tokens they have bonded, or withdraw entirely from the system at any time.

At deterministic intervals based on the height of the Ethereum blockchain, Kosu validators compute a simple account-limit mapping based on in-state data that allocates network throughput proportionally to posters who have Kosu tokens bonded at the height a new interval starts. These intervals are called rebalance periods and allow the continuous process of balance updates on the Ethereum Poster Registry as a result of bonding/unbonding by posters to be mapped to discrete periods of bandwidth limiting in a deterministic manner. At the beginning of each new rebalance period, validators must reach consensus on the parameterization of the upcoming period. The starting and ending Ethereum block heights of the period, and the total number of orders to be accepted from posters during that time is determined prior to allowing posters write access for the period.

During normal network operations between rebalance periods, validators accept and process incoming order transactions from posters that have been gossiped to them through full nodes, while concurrently submitting regular attestations (called witness transactions) to other validators on the network to ensure the state of the Kosu Ethereum contract system is accurately tracked.

The validity of Order transactions is based solely on the signature of the poster that submitted the order to the network, and some basic data structure requirements that enable signature recovery. If a valid signature is included in the incoming Order and the recovered address matches that of a poster account with a non-zero remaining limit for the current rebalance period, validators will accept the order and include it in a block and decrement the posters bandwidth allocation limit for that period. Otherwise, the order is rejected.

The Kosu network uses a bonded proof-of-stake security model wherein validators lock (also called bonding) tokens in a contract proportional to the amount of vote poer // TODO, rephrase  
... DESCRIBE ORDER BOOK AND QUERY MODEL, PROOFS ETC

<sup>1</sup>The Kosu order book is not a conventional limit order book, but rather a hash of specific recent orders from posters with active bandwidth allocation bonded tokens. The structure described in the (ORDER BOOK // TODO) section allows for a proof to be generated about the validity of a set of individual orders at a given Kosu block height. See more here // TODO: link

<sup>2</sup> The networks full nodes provide access to the order book and provide an interface for users to submit orders, laying the foundation for a wide array of applications.

## 3 Specification

### 3.1 Orders

The Kosu protocol defines a simple and extensible data structure to represent signed order messages on the network. The primary purpose of the defined order schematic is to allow signature recovery for the verification of poster bandwidth allocations, during the network’s order verification process.

The order format described below is also designed to allow the “wrapping” of already existing hybrid-decentralized order message formats (such as 0x and Dharma) for relay on the Kosu network, and settlement through a system of generalizable forwarding contracts.

Usage of the forwarding contract system is strictly optional, and unrelated to the core protocol in the sense that no state is shared between the protocol contract system, and settlement contracts.

#### 3.1.1 SubContract interface

Kosu can act as an order message aggregator and/or transport layer for a variety of types of on-chain exchange systems. To achieve this, a simple and extensible contract-based interface is defined that allows a common order message format to be used for a variety of Ethereum settlement pipelines that leverage hybrid decentralized exchange architecture. // link to definition of this term

All current SubContract implementations are in Solidity and designed for use with Ethereum, however, any language and blockchain that supports contract-like settlement implementations that satisfy the interface above, and the correct signature scheme, can be used with Kosu.

Method name	Returns	Params.	Description
makerArguments	string	-	Returns a JSON string containing all the fields required for maker orders.
takerArguments	string	-	Returns a JSON string containing all the fields a taker must supply when filling a maker order.
isValid	boolean	makerData	Checks whether a maker order is valid and fillable based on the SubContract’s validation implementation.
amountRemaining	uint256	makerData	For settlement types that support it, this method can return information that allows partial fills
participate	boolean	makerData, takerData	The main settlement logic implementation for Sub-Contracts, which triggers execution of a trade by the taker submitting the maker data and their counter-party information.

**Table 1:** Describes the SubContract interface and method signatures in a language-independent manner.

#### 3.1.2 Order message format

A simple order format is defined based on the SubContract interface, and the requirements for signature verification... // TODO

Field name	Type	Required	Description
subContract	string	yes	The deployed address of the target SubContract settlement implementation. Defines expected arguments.
maker	string	yes	The address of the party that signed the maker order. Usually indicates the beneficiary of settled funds.
makerArguments	array	no	An array of equal length to the number of <b>makerArguments</b> containing objects that define the name and data-type for each argument.
takerArguments	array	no	Similar to <b>makerArguments</b> , it defines the values and data-types required for settlement. Not required for maker orders.
makerValues	object	yes	A hash-map data structure that contains the parameters necessary for a valid maker order of the target settlement type.
makerSignature	object	no	An optional field that can be used to include a signature from the maker. May also be included in <b>makerValues</b> .
posterSignature	object	yes	Stores the signature resulting from a poster entity signing a hash of the maker order values. Used to verify poster has bonded tokens.

**Table 2:** Generically describes the data structure that represents an order message.

## 3.2 Ethereum Contract System

### 3.2.1 Introduction

The Kosu Ethereum contract system...

### 3.2.2 Permission model

Access control within...

### 3.2.3 Architecture

The contract system uses a modular...

### 3.2.4 Treasury

The Kosu treasury contract

### 3.2.5 Poster bonding

Posters can...

### 3.2.6 Validator token-curated registry

The validator set can...

## 3.3 Tendermint Network

### 3.3.1 Introduction

Tendermint caddn...

### **3.3.2 Architecture**

Architecture can...

### **3.3.3 Ethereum peg-zone**

Communication between blockchains with differing types of finality guarantees requires the usage of a peg-zone. In Kosus case, the peg-zone facilitates a small sub-set of Ethereum's state to be represented on the Tendermint network. Generalized and two-way peg-zones require complex construction and security assumptions, so Kosu uses a simple architecture for this peg-zone that is specific to a subset of state changes in the Kosu contract system. The usage of a one-way peg allows Kosu to leverage the security and uptime of the Ethereum network for critical extra-protocol actions, such as the transfer and exchange of KOSU tokens, and validator set curation.

// TODO ADD DIAGRAM, specify implementation/full-cycle action

### **3.3.4 Poster access control**

Posters can...2

### **3.3.5 State model**

State can...

### **3.3.6 Transaction types**

Transaction can...

### **3.3.7 Validator curation**

Validators can...

## **3.4 Incentive Models**

### **3.4.1 Sybil tolerance**

Poster bonding is the sybil tolerance mechanism used...

### **3.4.2 Validator elections**

During curation processes, voters can...

### **3.4.3 Validator rewards**

Validators can specify a reward in Kosu tokens... // cover burn case

## **4 Token Distribution**

### **4.1 Overview**

A continuous...

### **4.2 Mechanics**

Such a model...

### **4.3 Ether to Kosu**

ETH to KOSU...

### **4.4 Kosu to Ether**

KOSU to ETH...



## 5 Future Work