Form-3
PROJECT WEEKLY STATUS MATRIX (FOR PROJECT MENTORS)

NAME OF STUDENT – 1	Param Soni			
NAME OF PROJECT	Work Planner			
OTHER TEAM MEMBERS	2. Monit Agarwal	3. Rishabh Jain	4.	

WEEK (TO-FROM)	WORKING ON MODULE	PROGRESS ACHIEVED	COMMENTS	MARKS (X / 10)
1-5 Jan	Supabase	Initialized Supabase	Avg.	
7-12 Jan	Auth & Role Config.	Set Access Policies JWT	Good	
14-19 Jan	API for	Searched Api needed		
21-26 Jan	logic	Tasked Approval logic		
28-1 feb	API Testing	Tested Api Hitting	Good.	
4-9 feb	Supabase	initiated supabase		
11-16 feb	Approval logic	Manager Approval	Good	
18-23 feb	Access	Setting Access Policies		
25-1 Mar	Rest API	fetching API's		
4-9 mar	API for Project	Developed Endpoint	Avg	
11-16 mar	Supabase	initiated supabase		
18-23 mar	API testing	Connected UI	Good	
25-30 Mar	Real-time	Verified sync		

TOTAL WEEKS	MODULE COMPLETED (YES / NO)	OVERALL PROGRESS (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	OVERALL COMMENT (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	PERCENTAGE MARKS ESTIMATE

LAB COORDINATOR's remarks & Signature_____

NOTE: 1. This form is to be maintained in a file by lab coordinators for student – 1 of the team to track his / her progress.

2. Lab coordinators must cross check and evaluate the PROGRESS ACHIEVED + it's DOCUMENTATION by student against the work done by student and note their own comments about student's performance.

3. The lab coordinator must evaluate student's work for every lab from a score of 10 points.

4. The lab coordinator must compute average of these points at the end of semester to draw an estimate of the PERCENT MARKS to be awarded to the student for his / her performance.

5. The lab coordinator must IMMEDIATELY CONTACT MENTOR FACULTY of student in case of POOR PERFORMANCE or 2 CONTINUOUS ABSENCE from lab.

6. In case of absence, 00 / 10 MARKS will be awarded if the mentioned work is not presented in next lab by student.

Form-3
PROJECT WEEKLY STATUS MATRIX (FOR PROJECT MENTORS)

NAME OF STUDENT – 1	Param Soni			
NAME OF PROJECT	Work Planner			
OTHER TEAM MEMBERS	2. Mohit Agarwal	3. Rishabh Jain	4.	

WEEK (TO-FROM)	WORKING ON MODULE	PROGRESS ACHIEVED	COMMENTS	MARKS (X / 10)
1-6 April	Supabase Real time	Verify sync	Good ☆	
8-13 April	Subase API testing	Connected UI	Avg ☆	
15-20 April	Database Modelling	Setup SQL scheme	Avg >	
22-27 April	Supabase Real-time	Verified live sync	Good ☆	

TOTAL WEEKS	MODULE COMPLETED (YES / NO)	OVERALL PROGRESS (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	OVERALL COMMENT (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	PERCENTAGE MARKS ESTIMATE

LAB COORDINATOR's remarks & Signature_____

NOTE:
1. This form is to be maintained in a file by lab coordinators for student – 1 of the team to track his / her progress.
2. Lab coordinators must cross check and evaluate the PROGRESS ACHIEVED + it's DOCUMENTATION by student against the work done by student and note their own comments about student's performance.
3. The lab coordinator must evaluate student's work for every lab from a score of 10 points.
4. The lab coordinator must compute average of these points at the end of semester to draw an estimate of the PERCENT MARKS to be awarded to the student for his / her performance.
5. The lab coordinator must IMMEDIATELY CONTACT MENTOR FACULTY of student in case of POOR PERFORMANCE or 2 CONTINUOUS ABSENCE from lab.
6. In case of absence, 00 / 10 MARKS will be awarded if the mentioned work is not presented in next lab by student.

Form-3
PROJECT WEEKLY STATUS MATRIX (FOR PROJECT MENTORS)

NAME OF STUDENT – 2		Monit Agarwal		
NAME OF PROJECT		Work Planner		
OTHER TEAM MEMBERS	1. Param Soni	3. Rishabh Jain		4.

WEEK (TO-FROM)	WORKING ON MODULE	PROGRESS ACHIEVED	COMMENTS	MARKS (X / 10)
1-5 Jan	React Component	Designed team member	} Good	
7-12 Jan	Routing	Setting routes		
14-19 Jan	Task	Build task creation	} Avg.	
21-26 Jan	API	Connected UI		
28-1 Feb	Responsive	Implemented		
4-9 Feb	Design	Tailwind	} Good	
11-16 Feb	React	Designed		
18-23	Component	manager	} Good	
18-23 Feb	Routing	Routed Manager		
25-1 Mar	Task	Build Task creation		
4-9 Mar	Data Binding	Connect UI & React	} Avg.	
11-6 Mar	Responsive Design	Implement Tailwind	} Avg.	

TOTAL WEEKS	MODULE COMPLETED (YES / NO)	OVERALL PROGRESS (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	OVERALL COMMENT (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	PERCENTAGE MARKS ESTIMATE

LAB COORDINATOR's remarks & Signature _____

NOTE: 1. This form is to be maintained in a file by lab coordinators for student – 2 of the team to track his / her progress.
2. Lab coordinators must cross check and evaluate the PROGRESS ACHIEVED + it's DOCUMENTATION by student against the work done by student and note their own comments about student's performance.
3. The lab coordinator must evaluate student's work for every lab from a score of 10 points.
4. The lab coordinator must compute average of these points at the end of semester to draw an estimate of the PERCENT MARKS to be awarded to the student for his / her performance.
5. The lab coordinator must IMMEDIATELY CONTACT MENTOR FACULTY of student in case of POOR PERFORMANCE or 2 CONTINUOUS ABSENCE from lab.
6. In case of absence, 00 / 10 MARKS will be awarded if the mentioned work is not presented in next lab by student.

Form-3
PROJECT WEEKLY STATUS MATRIX (FOR PROJECT MENTORS)

NAME OF STUDENT – 2				
NAME OF PROJECT	Mohit Agarwal			
OTHER TEAM MEMBERS	work Planner			
	1. Param Soni	3. Rishabh Jain	4.	

WEEK (TO-FROM)	WORKING ON MODULE	PROGRESS ACHIEVED	COMMENTS	MARKS (X / 10)
18-23 Mor	React + Vite setup	Structure Vite Setup	} Good	
25-30 Mas	Routing with React	Implement Dynamic	} Good	
1-6 April	State Management	use hooks & modular		
8-13 April	React + Vite setup	Structure Vite Setup	} Avg.	
15-20 April	Routing with React	Implement dynamic representation	} Avg →	
22-27 Apr	Static Management	Modular component	} Good	

TOTAL WEEKS	MODULE COMPLETED (YES / NO)	OVERALL PROGRESS (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	OVERALL COMMENT (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	PERCENTAGE MARKS ESTIMATE

LAB COORDINATOR's remarks & Signature _____

NOTE:
1. This form is to be maintained in a file by lab coordinators for student – 2 of the team to track his / her progress.
2. Lab coordinators must cross check and evaluate the PROGRESS ACHIEVED + it's DOCUMENTATION by student against the work done by student and note their own comments about student's performance.
3. The lab coordinator must evaluate student's work for every lab from a score of 10 points.
4. The lab coordinator must compute average of these points at the end of semester to draw an estimate of the PERCENT MARKS to be awarded to the student for his / her performance.
5. The lab coordinator must IMMEDIATELY CONTACT MENTOR FACULTY of student in case of POOR PERFORMANCE or 2 CONTINUOUS ABSENCE from lab.
6. In case of absence, 00 / 10 MARKS will be awarded if the mentioned work is not presented in next lab by student.

vivo V21
May 10, 2025, 11:45

Form-3
PROJECT WEEKLY STATUS MATRIX (FOR PROJECT MENTORS)

NAME OF STUDENT – 3				
NAME OF PROJECT	Rishabh Jain			
OTHER TEAM MEMBERS	Work Planner			
	1. Param Jain	2. Monit Agarwal	4.	

WEEK (TO-FROM)	WORKING ON MODULE	PROGRESS ACHIEVED	COMMENTS	MARKS (X / 10)
9-9 Mar	Mobile Responsive	Mahe. I+UI friendly	} Good	
11-6 Mar	Team Members	Enhance. Team member		
18-23 Mar	Adding Initials	Added Initials in Team	} Good	
25-30 Mar	Login	Restarted Login		
1-6 Apr	Home	Enhance UI	} Avg 1	
8-13 Apr	Checked Navigated	Navigated the Pages		
15-20 Ap	Tested	Tested UI	} Avg 2	
22-27 Ap	Implement changes	Enhance changes		

TOTAL WEEKS	MODULE COMPLETED (YES / NO)	OVERALL PROGRESS (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	OVERALL COMMENT (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	PERCENTAGE MARKS ESTIMATE

LAB COORDINATOR's remarks & Signature _____

NOTE: 1. This form is to be maintained in a file by lab coordinators for student – 3 of the team to track his / her progress.

2. Lab coordinators must cross check and evaluate the PROGRESS ACHIEVED + it's DOCUMENTATION by student against the work done by student and note their own comments about student's performance.

3. The lab coordinator must evaluate student's work for every lab from a score of 10 points.

4. The lab coordinator must compute average of these points at the end of semester to draw an estimate of the PERCENT MARKS to be awarded to the student for his / her performance.

5. The lab coordinator must IMMEDIATELY CONTACT MENTOR FACULTY of student in case of POOR PERFORMANCE or 2 CONTINUOUS ABSENCE from lab.

6. In case of absence, 00 / 10 MARKS will be awarded if the mentioned work is not presented in next lab by student.

Form-3
PROJECT WEEKLY STATUS MATRIX (FOR PROJECT MENTORS)

NAME OF STUDENT – 3					
NAME OF PROJECT		Rishabh Jain			
OTHER TEAM MEMBERS		Work Planner			
		1. Param Jain	2. Mohit Agarwal	4.	

WEEK (TO-FROM)	WORKING ON MODULE	PROGRESS ACHIEVED	COMMENTS		MARKS (X / 10)
1-5 Jan	Tailwind CSS Style	Designed layout	Avg		
7-12 Jan	UI Enhance	Enhance the UI			
14-19 Jan	Document	Prepare status	Good		
24-26 Jan	Team Module	Designed the team UI	Good		
28-1 feb	Task Management	Implemented the Task			
4-9 feb	Approval	Initiated approval	Avg		
11-16 feb	Dashboard	Created dashboard			
18-23 feb	Search & filter	Searching facility			
25-1 Mar	UI Components	UI filters	Good		

TOTAL WEEKS	MODULE COMPLETED (YES / NO)	OVERALL PROGRESS (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	OVERALL COMMENT (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	PERCENTAGE MARKS ESTIMATE

LAB COORDINATOR's remarks & Signature _____

NOTE: 1. This form is to be maintained in a file by lab coordinators for student – 3 of the team to track his / her progress.

2. Lab coordinators must cross check and evaluate the PROGRESS ACHIEVED + it's DOCUMENTATION by student against the work done by student and note their own comments about student's performance.

3. The lab coordinator must evaluate student's work for every lab from a score of 10 points.

4. The lab coordinator must compute average of these points at the end of semester to draw an estimate of the PERCENT MARKS to be awarded to the student for his / her performance.

5. The lab coordinator must IMMEDIATELY CONTACT MENTOR FACULTY of student in case of POOR PERFORMANCE or 2 CONTINUOUS ABSENCE from lab.

6. In case of absence, 00 / 10 MARKS will be awarded if the mentioned work is not presented in next lab by student.

Form-3
PROJECT WEEKLY STATUS MATRIX (FOR PROJECT MENTORS)

NAME OF STUDENT – 1	Param Soni			
NAME OF PROJECT	Work Planner			
OTHER TEAM MEMBERS	2. Mohit Agarwal	3. Rishabh Jain	4.	

WEEK (TO-FROM)	WORKING ON MODULE	PROGRESS ACHIEVED	COMMENTS	MARKS (X / 10)
4 -10 Nov	Documentation	Outlined & designed the documentation	Identified the required info" about the project	9
11- 17 Nov	UML Diagram	Designed the UML Diagrams	Designed the required UML diagrams for SRS	
18 - 24 Nov	SRS_Design	Documented the SRS	Documented the SRS and designed it	
25 - 30 Nov	Database	Designed the database Schema for project	Identified the Database Schema and Structure	

TOTAL WEEKS	MODULE COMPLETED (YES / NO)	OVERALL PROGRESS (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	OVERALL COMMENT (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	PERCENTAGE MARKS ESTIMATE

LAB COORDINATOR's remarks & Signature_____

NOTE:
1. This form is to be maintained in a file by lab coordinators for student – 1 of the team to track his / her progress.
2. Lab coordinators must cross check and evaluate the PROGRESS ACHIEVED + it's DOCUMENTATION by student against the work done by student and note their own comments about student's performance.
3. The lab coordinator must evaluate student's work for every lab from a score of 10 points.
4. The lab coordinator must compute average of these points at the end of semester to draw an estimate of the PERCENT MARKS to be awarded to the student for his / her performance.
5. The lab coordinator must IMMEDIATELY CONTACT MENTOR FACULTY of student in case of POO PERFORMANCE or 2 CONTINUOUS ABSENCE from lab.
6. In case of absence, 00 / 10 MARKS will be awarded if the mentioned work is not presented in next lab student.

Form-3
PROJECT WEEKLY STATUS MATRIX (FOR PROJECT MENTORS)

NAME OF STUDENT – 2		Mohit Agarwal			
NAME OF PROJECT		Work Planner			
OTHER TEAM MEMBERS		1. Mohit Agarwal	3. Param Soni	4.	

WEEK (TO-FROM)	WORKING ON MODULE	PROGRESS ACHIEVED	COMMENTS	MARKS (X / 10)
4-10 Nov	Documentation	Outlined the structure of documentation	Identified project requirements & guidelines of documentation	
11-17 Nov	Kits	Prepared Student & Project Kit for the project	Designed and prepared the Student & project kit.	
18-24 Nov	UI Planning	Planned the UI for the project	Identified the requirements of project interface.	
24-30 Nov	UI Design	Designed the user interface of the project	Implemented the proposed user interface of the project.	

TOTAL WEEKS	MODULE COMPLETED (YES / NO)	OVERALL PROGRESS (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	OVERALL COMMENT (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	PERCENTAGE MARKS ESTIMATE

LAB COORDINATOR's remarks & Signature _____

NOTE: 1. This form is to be maintained in a file by lab coordinators for student – 2 of the team to track his / her progress.
2. Lab coordinators must cross check and evaluate the PROGRESS ACHIEVED + it's DOCUMENTATION by student against the work done by student and note their own comments about student's performance.
3. The lab coordinator must evaluate student's work for every lab from a score of 10 points.
4. The lab coordinator must compute average of these points at the end of semester to draw an estimate of the PERCENT MARKS to be awarded to the student for his / her performance.
5. The lab coordinator must IMMEDIATELY CONTACT MENTOR FACULTY of student in case of POOR PERFORMANCE or 2 CONTINUOUS ABSENCE from lab.
6. In case of absence, 00 / 10 MARKS will be awarded if the mentioned work is not presented in next lab by student.

Form-3
PROJECT WEEKLY STATUS MATRIX (FOR PROJECT MENTORS)

NAME OF STUDENT – 3	Rishabh Jain		
NAME OF PROJECT	WORK Planner		
OTHER TEAM MEMBERS	1. Param Soni	2. Mohit agarwal	4.

WEEK (TO-FROM)	WORKING ON MODULE	PROGRESS ACHIEVED	COMMENTS	MARKS (X / 10)
11-17 Nov	Kits	Prepared student Kit and project Kit for the project.	Designed and prepared the student Kit and project Kit	1
18-24 Nov	Planning	planned the UI for the project.	Identified the requir-ments of the project interface.	

TOTAL WEEKS	MODULE COMPLETED (YES / NO)	OVERALL PROGRESS (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	OVERALL COMMENT (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	PERCENTAGE MARKS ESTIMATE

LAB COORDINATOR's remarks & Signature _____

NOTE: 1. This form is to be maintained in a file by lab coordinators for student – 3 of the team to track his / her progress.

2. Lab coordinators must cross check and evaluate the PROGRESS ACHIEVED + it's DOCUMENTATION by studen against the work done by student and note their own comments about student's performance.

3. The lab coordinator must evaluate student's work for every lab from a score of 10 points.

4. The lab coordinator must compute average of these points at the end of semester to draw an estimate of the PERCENT MARKS to be awarded to the student for his / her performance.

5. The lab coordinator must IMMEDIATELY CONTACT MENTOR FACULTY of student in case of POO PERFORMANCE or 2 CONTINUOUS ABSENCE from lab.

6. In case of absence, 00 / 10 MARKS will be awarded if the mentioned work is not presented in next lab b student.

MAJOR / MINOR PROJECT TRACK BIFURCATION GUIDELINES

The projects to be undertaken in MINOR and MAJOR project schemes are expected to belong to one or more tracks suggested ahead. These project tracks have been structured as follows:

TRACK	TRACK DETAILS	SAMPLE PROJECT TYPES
RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS (Innovation)	1. Projects involving proposal of any INNOVATIVE ELEMENT (theorem, formula, algorithm, procedure, design etc.) will be considered under this track. 2. A detailed and well published work, i.e. research paper in a journal / conference, (preferably in SKIT research journal or NCETCE conference) will be expected at the end of such 7th semester.	1. Cloud based applications involving resource managing / scheduling algorithm. 2. Big data applications for reporting o prediction of results 3. Machine learning application fo summarizing / scanning data values.
CONSULTANCY PROJECTS (Fetched from Industry by FACULTY or STUDENTS)	1. Projects achieved from industrial / commercial organizations to be developed as a solution of their problem / requirement will be considered as consultancy projects. 2. Only project proposals accompanied by well documented consultancy agreement by such industrial / commercial organizations carrying their authorized signatures and seal will be considered under this track. 3. Any kind of financial payment / remuneration / honorarium drawn from the client organization by the team members must be placed in knowledge of concerned project mentor, lab coordinator and HOD.	1. Contract for developing Android ap for a business organization 2. Contract for developing a web base ERP solution for an organization 3. Contract for developing an automatio unit (hardware & software) for manufacturing plant. 4. Contract for developing a schedulin software for a mechanical / electrica setup.
STARTUP PROJECTS (Self-Business Initiative)	1. Projects involving solutions (software / hardware / web application / mobile app etc.) for a proposed business startup will be considered as startup projects. 2. Only project proposals accompanied by well documented business plan certified by an expert (academic / industrial / commercial person or organization) will be considered under this track. 3. Any kind of financial information required / planned for setup must be placed in knowledge of concerned project mentor, lab coordinator and HOD.	1. Developing an Android app for a chai of dealers joining a common busines domain (Groffers, Flipkart) 2. Developing a cloud based fil management system (Evernote) 3. Developing a search recommendation application for hotel and restaurants (Zomato)
PROJECT POOL (Listed by IBM / INFOSYS)	1. Projects available in project pool prescribed by Infosys & IBM will be considered as industry projects.	1. Library Management System 2. Online Resume Builder 3. Prison Management System
HARDWARE (EMBEDDED PROJECTS)	1. Projects involving any hardware component (electrical or electronic circuit / mechanical apparatus etc.) will be considered as hardware based project. 2. Any requirement (fabrication / assembly etc.) for hardware projects should be placed in knowledge of concerned project mentor, lab coordinator and HOD for provision of adequate support and guidance.	1. Android based control or automatio unit for home appliances 2. RFID based token collection attendance management system