The Suśrutasaṃhitā on the Plastic Surgery of the Ears and Nose: The Nepalese Recension

Jason Birch and Dominik Wujastyk and others

Draft of 7th October 2021 © Jason Birch, Dominik Wujastyk, Andrey Klebanov, Madhu Parameswaran, Madhusudan Rimal, Deepro Chakraborty, Harshal Bhatt, Devyani Shenoy, Vandana Lele.

Contents

Introduction
Aim of the Article
Importance of SS.1.16 in the History of Medicine
Torn ear lobes
Rhinoplasty
The Sanskrit Text
The Nepalese Version
The Printed Editions
The Vulgate
The sources of the 1915 edition
The sources of the 1931 edition
The sources of the 1938 edition
Evaluation
The 1939 edition
Evaluation

Differences Between the Nepalese Version and the Vulgate	13
The Edition	14
Manuscripts	14
Features of the manuscript transmission	14
	14
Chart of characters	
Editorial Principles	14
The Translation	15
Sūtrasthāna, adhyāya 16	15
Abbreviations	23
Index of Manuscripts	25
References	26
Glossary	38

Introduction

Aim of the Article

Importance of SS.1.16 in the History of Medicine

Simple forms of surgery have a long history in South Asia. In works datable to at least 1200 BCE we learn how a reed was used as a catheter to cure urine retention.¹ Cauterization too was used to prevent wounds from bleeding. The <code>Brāhmaṇa</code> literature of the early first millennium BCE contains more detailed descriptions of animal butchery in the context of religious sacrifice that involved the enumeration of internal organs and bones.² This exemplifies an early Sanskrit vocabulary for internal parts of bodies, but it is not the same as medical dissection, whose methods and purpose is quite different.³ With <code>The Compendium of Suśruta</code> (<code>Suśrutasaṃhitā</code>) we find ourselves in the presence of something quite different and more developed, in which the body was studied specifically for medical and surgical purposes.⁴ The <code>Compendium</code> gives us a historical window onto a school of professionalised surgical practice which existed almost two millennia ago, and which in its day was perhaps the most advanced school of surgery in the world.

The Compendium of Suśruta describes how a surgeon should be trained and how various operations should be done. There are descriptions of ophthalmic couching (the dislodging of the lens of the eye), perineal lithotomy (cutting for stone in the bladder), the removal of arrows and splinters, suturing, the examination of dead human bodies for the study of anatomy, and other procedures.⁵ The author of *The Compendium* claimed that surgery is the most ancient and most efficacious of the eight branches of medical knowledge (1.1.15–19 (Su 1938: 4)). Discussion with contemporary surgeons has convinced me that many details in the descriptions could only have been written by a practising surgeon: it is certain that elaborate surgical techniques were a reality in the author's circle.

¹ Zysk 1985: 70-71.

² Malamoud 1996.

Keith (1908) pointed out that the enumeration of the bones in the Brāhmaṇas was derived from correspondences with the numbering of various verse forms, not from anatomical observation.

⁴ Zysk 1986.

Wilson 1823; Mukhopādhyāya 1913; Deshpande 2000; Wujastyk 2003; Narayana and Thrigulla 2011 and many other studies.

I have argued elsewhere that in spite of Suśruta's elaborate descriptions, there is little historical evidence to show that these practices persisted beyond the time of the composition of *The Compendium*.⁶ A few references to surgery found in Sanskrit literature between the fourth to the eighth centuries CE were collected by P. V. Sharma.⁷ But the stereotypical nature of most of these references and the paucity of real detail, suggest that the practice of surgery was rare in this period.

There is some evidence, however, that although surgery ceased to be part of the professional practice of traditional physicians of the *vaidya* castes, it migrated to practitioners of the 'barber-surgeon' type. As such, it was no longer supported by the underpinning of Sanskrit literary tradition, and so it is harder to find historical data about the practice. Sircar (1987) discussed some epigraphical evidence for the heritage and migrations of the 'Ambaṣṭha' caste, who appear to have functioned as barber-surgeons in South India and later migrated to Bengal. There is also evidence from the eighteenth century of the practice of smallpox inoculation by traditional 'ṭīkādars.' And some other surgical techniques which sound similar to those described in *The Compendium*, for example for removing ulcers, were observed in the same period.9

While the theoretical aspects of surgery continued to appear in those medical textbooks that tried to be comprehensive, in practice those who applied the surgical techniques seem to have been increasingly isolated from mainstream of āyurvedic practice. It may be that as the caste system grew in rigidity through the first millennium ce, taboos concerning physical contact became almost insurmountable and *vaidya*s seeking to enhance their status may have resisted therapies that involved intimate physical contact with the patient, or cutting into the body. On the other hand, against this hypothesis it may be argued that the examination of the pulse and urine gained in popularity, as did massage therapies.

An example of this process may be the famous ophthalmic operation of couching for cataract, which is first described in *The Compendium*. A description of this operation survives in the ninth-century *Kalyānakāraka*

⁶ Wujastyk 1993.

⁷ P. V. Sharma 1972: 74–8.

⁸ Coult 1731; Holwell 1767.

⁹ Baber 1996: 79.

¹⁰ Well outlined by Majno 1975: 378–9.

composed in eastern India by the Jaina author Ugrāditya.¹¹. This procedure, or one very similar to it, also reached China, through transmission on the routes taken by traders and Buddhist pilgrims.¹² But by the beginning of the twentieth century it was described by Elliot (1918) as long having been carried out by traditional practitioners of the barber-surgeon type rather than by physicians trained in the Sanskrit texts.

By the seventeenth century, foreign visitors to India began to remark on how surgery was virtually non-existent in India. The French traveller Tavernier, for example, reported in 1684 that once when the King of Golconda had a headache and his physicians prescribed that blood should be let in four places under his tongue, nobody could be found to do it, 'for the Natives of the Country understand nothing of Chirurgery'. ¹³

Torn ear lobes

Suśruta's description of the repair of torn ear lobes is again unique for its time. Majno noted that 'through the habit of stretching their earlobes, the Indians became masters in a branch of surgery that Europe ignored for another two thousand years'. The different types of mutilated ear lobe which the *Suśrutasaṃhitā* describes are not always easy to understand from the Sanskrit: the illustrations supplied in Majno's text help visualization. 15

Rhinoplasty

One of the best-known surgical techniques associated with *The Compendium of Suśruta* is rhinoplasty, the repair or rebuilding of a severed nose. I described the history of this operation elsewhere and translated the Sanskrit passage from the vulgate edition of the *Suśrutasaṃhitā*. This fascinating technique is certainly old in South Asia, having been witnessed by travellers from the seventeenth century onwards. Many witnesses, including

¹¹ Meulenbeld 1984: 67, n. 76; HIML: IIA, 151 ff; Pārśvanātha Śāstrī 1940: 366–368, 375–378.

¹² Unschuld 1984: 132–48; Deshpande 1999; 2000.

¹³ Tavernier 1684: 1.2.103; see also Sleeman 1893: 1.130.

¹⁴ Majno 1975: 291.

¹⁵ Majno 1975: 290–291; reproduced with permission in Wujastyk 2003: 92–93.

Wujastyk 2003: 67–70, 99–100. See also HIML: IB, 327–328, note 186 for further literature and interesting reflections.

¹⁷ Manucci 1907–8: ii.301.

the most famous, Cruso and Findlay,¹⁸ describe an operation that differs from *The Compendium* in that it takes the grafting skin from the forehead, not the cheek. But the nineteenth-century account of Thorburn is especially interesting, since the technique follows *The Compendium* exactly in taking flesh from the cheek, not the forehead.¹⁹

As noted by Meulenbeld, none of the known commentators, Jejjaṭa, Gayadāsa, Cakrapāṇi or Dalhaṇa, explained the technique in any detail beyond lexical glosses.²⁰ This suggests that the commentators did not in fact know the technique at first-hand. Perhaps by the late first millennium, the technique had moved into the professional competence of barber-surgeons? On the other hand, perhaps the influence was in the other direction, and a technique known to practitioners elsewhere in South Asia in the late first millennium was written into the text of *The Compendium*. The description consists of only five verses and they are written in the Upendravajrā metre, which is different from the rest of the chapter. The description's appearance at the very end of the chapter, its terseness, its ornate metre, and the paucity of the commentators' treatment could all be taken as pointing in this direction.

¹⁸ Longmate 1794: 883, 891 f.

¹⁹ Thorburn 1876: 352–3.

²⁰ HIML: IB, 328. Dalhaṇa also noted that a rather different version of the text, cast in śloka metre, was also known to him from other sources (1.16.27–31 (Su 1938: 81a)). Dalhaṇa's variant bears a resemblance to the description of the operation given in printed editions of the *Aṣṭāngahṛdayasaṃhitā* (Ah Utt.18.59–65 (Ah 1939: 841)).

The Sanskrit Text

The Nepalese Version

The Printed Editions

The careful survey of printed editions of the *Suśrutasaṃhitā* by Meulenbeld lists no fewer than 44 entries.²¹ These range from the first edition by Madhusūdana Gupta (1835) to editions in the 1970s. The number of reprints and editions since that time might almost double that number. Translations begin with Hessler's Latin translation in 1844 and continue up to the present in scores of publications in many languages.²²

The Vulgate

The great ayurvedic scholar Yādavaśarman Trivikrama Acārya produced three successive editions of the *Suśrutasaṃhitā* with the commentary of Þalhaṇa, in 1915, 1931 and 1938. These editions, especially the last, are generally considered the most scholarly and reliable editions of the work, and have been constantly reprinted up to the present day.²³ We refer to the last of these editions as "the vulgate."

The 1915 edition was based on three manuscripts. The 1931 edition used another seven manuscripts plus two printed editions. For his final 1938 edition, Ācārya used a further three manuscripts.²⁴ These sources are described as follow, with an overview in Table 1.

The sources of the 1915 edition

- 1 Calcutta, Royal Asiatic Society. Covers the *sūtra*, *nidāna*, *śārīra and kalpa sthānas*.
- 2 Jaipur, Pandit Gaṅgādharabhaṭṭaśarman, lecturer at the Royal Sanskrit University. Covers the *cikitsāsthāna* and the *uttaratantra*.

²¹ HIML: IIB, 311-314.

²² E.g., HIML: IIB, 314–315; Zysk 1984.

²³ See also the study of these editions by Klebanov (2021a: §1.2) and Wujastyk (2013: 143–144).

The following account of the sources is paraphrased from Y. T. Ācārya and N. R. Ācārya's own account of his sources (Su 1938: 22).

3 Bundi, my great friend the royal physician Pam. Śrīprasādaśarman Covers the *uttaratantra*.

The sources of the 1931 edition

- 1 Vārāṇasī, professor of literature, the great Gaurīnāthapāṭhaka. With the *Nibandhasangraha*. Covers the *nidānasthāna* and *uttaratantra*.
- 2 Ahmedabad. My friend Sva. Vā. Vaidya Raṇachoḍalāla Motīlālaśarman. With the *Nibandhasaṅgraha*. Covers the śārīrasthāna.
- 3 From the personal library of my great friend Sva. Vā. Vaidya Murārajīśarman. Extremely old. No commentary. Covers the *śārīrasthāna*.
- 4 Puṇe, BORI library. With the *Nibandhasaṅgraha*. Covers the śārīra-sthāna.²⁵
- 5 Puṇe, BORI library. With the *Nibandhasaṅgraha*. Complete. With some damaged folia.
- 6 Bombay, Asiatic Society. Incomplete.²⁶
- 7 Varanasi, the private library of Vaidya Tryambakaśāstrī. Covers the *cikitsāsthāna*. The variant readings of this MS were compiled by Prof.
- 8 A printed edition together with the commentary *Suśrutasandīpana-bhāṣya* by Professor Hārāṇacandra Cakravārtti. Complete work. This is the 1910 Calcutta edition numbered "t" by Meulenbeld (HIML: IB, 312).²⁷
- 9 A printed edition of the first 43 chapters of the *sūtrasthāna*, printed in Bengali script, with the commentaries *Bhānumatī*, *Nibandhasaṅgraha*, edited by Vijayaratnasena and Niśikāntasena. This is the 1886 Calcutta edition numbered "g" by Meulenbeld (HIML: IB, 311).²⁸

The sources of the 1938 edition

1 Gwalior, from the library of my great friend Pam. Rāmeśvaraśāstrin Śukla. Covers the *sūtra*, *nidāna*, *śārīra*, *cikitsā and kalpasthānas*.

²⁵ Not one of the three MSS of the śārīrasthāna described in H. D. Sharma 1939.

²⁶ Possibly MS Mumbai AS B.I.3 or MS Mumbai AS B.D.109 (Velankar 1925–30: v. 1, # 212 and 213). But both these have the *Nibandhasaṅgraha*. The first covers only the śārīrasthāna; the second may be complete, but Velankar calls it only "disorderly."

²⁷ Bhaṭṭācārya 1910-7.

²⁸ Sena et al. 1886-93.

Table 1: The sources of Yādavaśarman T. Ācārya's three editions: manuscript coverage (\bullet) and print coverage (\circ) .

edition		1915	5					1931	L					1938	8
source	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	2	3
sthāna															
$s\bar{u}.$	•								?		0	0 ²⁹	•		
ni.	•			•					?		0		•		•
śā.	•								?		0				•
ci.									?	lacktriangle	0				● ³⁰
ka.	•								?		0				
utt.		•	•					•	?		0				

- 2 Bikaner, from the library of the Royal Palace, supplied by Pam. Candraśekharaśāstrin. Contains the commentary *Nyāyacandrikāpañjikāvyākhyā* by Gayadāsa. Covers the *nidānasthāna*. This is almost certainly MS Bikaner Anup 4390.³¹
- 3 Kathmandu, located in the private library of the Royal Guru Hemarāja Śarman. An extremely old palm-leaf manuscript. Readings from this MS were compiled by Paṃ Nityānandaśarman Jośī and sent to Ācārya. Covers from the beginning of the work to the end of the ninth chapter of the *cikitsāsthāna*. The siglum for this manuscript in footnotes was ता for तालपत्रपुस्तके.

Evaluation

Estimates show that there are approximately 230 extant manuscript witnesses for the *Suśrutasaṃhitā*.³² Many of these manuscripts cover only one or more or its chapters. Nevertheless, this is an order of magnitude more evidence than was considered by Ācārya for his vulgate editions.

²⁹ Covers chapters 1–43 only.

³⁰ Covers chapters 1–9 only.

³¹ See Dominik Wujastyk, "MS Bīkāner AnupLib 4390." Pandit. http://panditproject.org/entity/108068/manuscript.

³² This figure is arrived at by summing the MSS mentioned in NCC and in the NGMCP. The real figure could be many scores higher.

While the descriptions provided by Acārya of his source materials seems at first to be moderately comprehensive, Table 1 reveals the underlying paucity of textual sources for these editions. At first, it appears that fifteen manuscripts were consulted. However, we quickly see that two of the sources were other people's printed editions, and one of those covered less than a quarter of the work (no. 9 of 1931). That reduces the manuscript base to 13 manuscripts. Acārya does not appear to have seen two of the manuscripts at all, having been sent collations prepared for him by others (7 of 1931 and 3 of 1938). Thus, Acārya's final edition was based on the personal consultation of eleven partial manuscripts. One of them remains unidentified (6 of 1931). Only a single manuscript covers the whole of the *Suśrutasaṃhitā*, no. 5 of the 1931 edition. Manuscript 1 of 1938 is the next most complete, but it omits the uttaratantra, which comprises a third of the work. Manuscript 1 of the 1915 edition is third in size, but it still omits both of the longest chapters, and thus offers less than half the work. For the rest, the evidence is spotty, with each part of the work being supported by only between four and eight manuscripts, excluding the printed editions.

Two sources stand out for their historical importance. The first is no. 3 of 1931, which Ācārya calls "extremely old." It covered the śārīrasthāna only, and unfortunately we know nothing of the later history of this manuscript. The second is no. 3 of 1938, which is one of the important Nepalese manuscripts being considered in the present project. Ācārya's remarks and references to Hemarājaśarman's introduction to the *Kāśyapasaṃhitā* allow us to identify this manuscript as MS Kathmandu NAK 5-333.³³ But that manuscript covers the whole work, not just up to the ninth chapter of the *cikitsāsthāna* as Y. T. Ācārya and N. R. Ācārya stated.³⁴ Perhaps the editors only received collations for this portion of the manuscript and did not know that it was a witness for the whole work.

The 1939 edition

In 1939, Yādavaśarman Trivikrama Acārya and Nandakiśora Śarman coedited an edition of the *sūtrasthāna* of the *Suśrutasaṃhitā* that was published by the Swami Laxmi Ram ayurvedic centre in Jaipur, and printed at the

³³ Su 1938: 22; Hemarāja Śarman 1938: 56–57. Discussed by Klebanov (2021*a*: §1.1, 2.3). See also HIML: IIB, 25–41; Wujastyk 2003: 161–169.

³⁴ Su 1938: 22.

famous Nirṇayasāgara Press in Mumbai (see Fig. 1).³⁵ The text was edited on the basis of the following sources.

For the Bhanumatī

- 1. A printed edition. Covered the *Bhānumatī* up to chapter Su.sū.40. The siglum was Ḥ for *mudrita*.³⁶
- 2. A manuscript in the India Office Library library provided through the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute in Pune.³⁷ This manuscript covered the *Bhānumatī* b up to the end of the *sūtrasthāna*. The siglum was ह for हस्तलिखित.³⁸

For the Suśrutasamhitā

- 1. A palm leaf manuscript from Hemarājaśarman's personal library.³⁹ The siglum was না for নাडपत्र.
- 2. His own published edition. The siglum was ड for डल्हणसंमतः पाठः. 40
- 3. Hārāṇacandra Cakravarti's published edition with his own commentary. 41 The siglum was हा.
- Su 1939. The description of the sources below is based on Yādavaśarman T. Ācārya's remarks in his introduction (pp. 3–4). See also the remarks on this edition by Klebanov (2021*b*:7). On the Swami Laxmi Ram centre, see Hofer 2007
- 36 Sena et al. 1886–93. The manuscript on which this edition was based is probably in the library of the Calcutta Sanskrit College, and described in H. Sastri and Gui 1895–1917: v. X.1, which is not available to me. See also HIML: IB, 495, n. 57 for mention of this manuscript. The reference at Rama Rao et al. 2005: 217 to CSCL accession number 97 in Bengali script may be this manuscript.
- 37 At this time, manuscripts from Britain were routinely lent to scholars in India and vice versa.
- 38 Wujastyk 2021 MS London BL H. T. Colebrooke 908 (PanditProject #109978, consulted on July 03, 2021).
- 39 I.e., MS Kathmandu NAK 5-333.
- 40 Su 1938. It is noteworthy that Ācārya refers to his 1938 edition as representing "the Dalhana recension."
- 41 Bhaṭṭācārya 1910-7.

चरके—''लाभोपायो हि शस्तानां रसावीनां रसायनम्'' (च.चि. अ. १ पा. १)। पारिशेष्याद्वाजीकरणतन्त्रम् । अवाजिनं वाजीकुर्वेन्ति येन तद्वाजीकरणम् । 'अन्येषामिष दृश्यते' (पा. अ. ६।३।१३७) इति वीर्घलम् । येन वाऽत्यर्थं स्त्रीषु व्यज्यते तद्वाजी-करणम् । तद्वकं चरके—''येन नारीषु सामध्यं वाजिवल्लमते नरः । वजेचाप्यधिकं येन वाजीकरणमेव तत्'' (च.चि. अ. २, पा. ४) इति ॥ ५॥

अथास्य प्रत्येकाङ्गलक्षणसमासः—तत्र, शल्यं नाम विविधत्णकाष्टपाषाणपांशुलोहलोष्टास्थिवालनलपूर्यास्वावदुष्टवणान्तर्गर्भशाल्योद्धरणाथ षष्ट्या विधानैः, यन्त्रश्रास्थ्रसाराग्निप्रणिधानवणविनिश्चयार्थं चः शालाक्यं नामोध्वेजन्नगतानां श्रवणनयनवद्नन्नाणादिसंश्रितानां व्याधीनामुपश्मनार्थः, कायचिकित्सा नाम सेवाङ्मसंश्रितानां व्याधीनां ज्वररक्तपित्तशोषोन्मादापसारक्रष्टमेहातिसारादीनामुपश्मनार्थः, भूतविद्या नाम देवासुरगन्धवेयक्षरक्षःपितृपिशाचनागम्बाद्यपस्प्रचेतसां
शान्तिकर्मविष्ठहरणादिश्रहोपश्मनार्थः, कौमारभृत्यं नाम कुमारमरणधात्रीक्षीरदोपसंशोधनार्थं दुएस्तन्यग्रहसमुत्थिनां च व्याधीनामुपशमनार्थमः, अगदतन्त्रं नाम सप्कीटल्लामूषकादिद्प्रविषव्यक्षनार्थं
विविधविपसंशोगोपश्मनार्थं चः, रसायनतन्त्रं नाम वयःस्थापनमार्थुमेधावलकरणं रोगापहरणसमर्थं चः वाजीकरणतन्त्रं नामाहण्दुएक्षीणशुकरेतसामाप्यायनप्रसादोपचयजनननिसित्तं प्रहर्षणजननार्थं च ॥ ६॥

शस्याङ्गविशेषात्र् शातुं प्रतिलक्षणं संक्षेपेणाह्—अथास्यस्यादि । एकमेकमङ्गं प्रति लक्ष-णानां समासः संक्षेपः प्रत्यकाङ्गलक्षणसमासः । तृणादीनां, तथा दुष्टवणस्य, तथाऽन्त-र्गत(भेशस्य)स्य उद्धरणार्थमिति प्रत्येकमुद्धरणशब्दः संवध्यते । दुष्टवणस्यान्तस्तृणाद्या-हरणार्थमित्यन्ये । षष्ट्या विधानिरिति द्विवणीयोक्तैरपतर्पणावै रक्षाविधानान्तैः; इत्थंभूतलक्षणे तृतीया । जतु प्रीवामूलं, जतुण उद्धवमूद्ध्वेजतु । प्राणादीत्यादिप्रहणान्छिरःकपालादिप्रह-णम् । उत्तरतन्त्रे प्रतिपादितकमप्राप्त्या ज्वरानन्तरमतीसारः पठितः, तस्यान्ते पाठोऽतिसा-रस्य सर्वाङ्गीणदोपार्व्यवाद्, अन्येपामि तन्मध्यपाठेन सर्वाङ्गीणदोषार्व्यवप्रतिपादना-व्यतिकमं वदन्ति । शान्तिकमं बिष्टहरणादिना प्रहाणां देवादीनामुपशमो यस्तदर्थः, यदि वा ग्रहृणं ग्रहो देवानामावेशस्तदुपशमार्थम् । दुष्टस्तन्यमहसमुत्यितानामिति दुष्टस्तन्यन

Figure 1: A page of the 1939 $Bh\bar{a}numat\bar{\iota}$ edition, showing the variant readings in the footnotes.

१ 'सर्वशरीरावस्थितार्नी क्यांचीनामुपश्चमकरणार्थ , ज्वरश्चोफग्रुक्मरक्तिपत्तोन्मादापसार-प्रमेहातीसारादीनां च' इति ता. । २ 'देवदानव' इति ता. । १ 'विनायकनागश्रहोप-सप्टचेतसां' इति ता. । ४ 'विषयवेगोपश्चमनार्थ' इति ता. । ५ 'श्चर्काप्यायन' इति ता. । ६ 'स्णादीनां श्वर्यान्तानां' इति मु. ७ 'अन्ये तु तस्यान्ते पाठेन' इति पा० । ८ 'श्वरणाद्व' इति मु. ।

Evaluation

The main innovation of this publication was to present the only surviving part of the commentary on the *Suśrutasaṃhitā* by the great eleventh-century medical scholar Cakrapāṇidatta, namely the *Bhānumatī*.⁴² A secondary purpose was to present the text of the *sūtrasthāna* as read in MS Kathmandu NAK 5-333, that had recently been brought to the editors' attention. In their judgement, the Kathmandu manuscript presented a text that was closer to what Cakrapāṇidatta had before him than the text according to Dalhaṇa. This was the first *Suśrutasaṃhitā* edition in which Ācārya used sigla to identify the sources from which variant readings were reported, so while it has limitations, it for the first time enables us to get some idea of origins of the text (see Figure 1).

Ācārya noted in his introduction that the manuscripts containing the Palhaṇa's commentary all came together with the root-text of the *Suśrutasaṃhitā*, and thus the main *Suśrutasaṃhitā* text reflected the readings chosen by Palhaṇa. But the manuscripts of the *Bhānumatī* contained the commentary alone, without the root-text, and had many explanations based on different readings of the root-text than those of Palhaṇa. In many of these cases it was hard to know what the text that Cakrapāṇidatta had before him. But Ācārya noted that Cakrapāṇidatta had a text before him that had much in common with the text of the Nepalese manuscript.⁴³

There is compelling evidence that Cakrapāṇidattas's *Bhānumatī* commentary once covered the whole text of the *Suśrutasaṇhitā*.⁴⁴ The loss of the rest of the work ranks amongst the greatest disasters in Āyurvedic literature. Remarkably, the whole *Bhānumatī* may still have existed in the early twentieth century. In 1903, Palmyr Cordier reported being privately informed of a complete copy of the work in a personal manuscript collection in Benares.⁴⁵

Differences Between the Nepalese Version and the Vulgate

As exemplified by SS.1.16.

⁴² HIML: IA, 374–375 and IB, 495–496.

⁴³ Su 1939: 3–4. See discussion by Klebanov (2021*b*: 7).

⁴⁴ HIML: IA, 375.

⁴⁵ Cordier 1903: 332.

The Edition

Manuscripts

Features of the manuscript transmission

Palaeographical features

- śrita for śṛta.
- yātri for yātr (Su.ka.1.63)
- punarṇṇavā (Su.ka.1.61)
- ś and s in KL 699.
- b and v in KL 699 and NAK 5-333.
- cha and ccha
- line-fillers
- n for n (punarnnavā)

Chart of characters

[[[Put a chart from QuickPalaeographer here.]]]

Editorial Principles

The Translation

Sūtrasthāna, adhyāya 16

- 1 Now we shall expound the method for piercing the ear. 46
- 2 One may pierce a child's ears for the purpose of preserving and decorating. On renowned days, half days, hours and constellations during the first half of the sixth or seventh lunar month, the boy who has received a benediction (*kṛtamaṅgala*), blessings pronounced (*svastivācana*)⁴⁷ should be placed on the lap of a wet-nurse.⁴⁸ Then, while pacifying him and having pulled his ear with the left hand, the physician should use his right hand to pierce the ear straight through at a naturally occurring
- The topic of piercing the ear (kaṛnavyadha) is not discussed in the Carakasaṃhitā (HIML: IB, 326, n. 175), but it is mentioned in some texts that followed the Suśruta-saṃhitā, such as the Kaśāpyasaṃhitā (HIML: IIA, 30). Also, the instrument for piercing the ear is described in the Aṣṭāngahṛdayasūtra 1.26.26 (kunt-1902). In the versions of the text known to Dalhaṇa (Su 1938: 76) and Cakrapāṇidatta (Su 1939: 125), the heading of this chapter is karṇavyadhabandhavidhi ('the method of piercing and joining the ear'), instead of the Nepalese version's karṇavyadhavidhi. The topic of karṇabandha is discussed in passages 17–20 of the Nepalese version. However, it appears that only subsequent redactors reflected its importance by including it in chapter headings. The Nepalese version also omits the opening remark on Dhanvantari that appears in subsequent versions. For a discussion of the frame story in the Nepalese version, see Birch et al. 2021. Dalhaṇa (Su 1938: 76) and Cakrapāṇidatta (Su 1939: 125) state that only the ears of healthy people should be pierced, and they quote Bhoja to affirm this: 'When piercing the ears of children who are free of disease at these times, their ear flaps and apertures, as well as limbs, increase' (for the Sanskrit, see Su 1938: 76).
- 47 The syntax here is unclear. The expression *svastivācana* may have been a gloss inserted into the text at an earlier period to clarify *maṅgala*. But as it stands, it is not syntactically connected to the rest of the sentence. In the versions of 1.16.3 known to Cakrapāṇidatta (Su 1939: 126) and Dalhaṇa (Su 1938: 76), the words are united in a compound that reads more naturally.
- 48 The versions of 1.16.3 known to Cakrapāṇidatta (Su 1939: 126) and Dalhaṇa (Su 1938: 76) have the additional compound kumāradharāṅke ('on the lap of one who holds the child') after dhātryaṅke. The gender of kumāradhara is made clear by Dalhaṇa's gloss 'a man who holds the child'. Also, both versions add bālakrīḍanakaiḥ pralobhya ('having enticed with children's toys') to indicate that the child should be enticed with toys to stay on the assistant's lap. According to Dalhaṇa on 1.16.3 (Su 1938: 76), the toys include replica elephants, horses, bulls and parrots. Dalhaṇa further mentions that others read bhakṣyaviśeṣair vā ('or by special treats') before bālakrīḍanakaiḥ.

- cleft.⁴⁹ For a boy, do the right ear first; for a girl, do the left one. Use a needle on a thin ear; an awl $(\bar{a}r\bar{a})$ on a thick one.⁵⁰
- If there is excess blood or pain one should know that it was pierced in the wrong place. The absence of side-effects is a sign that it has been pierced in the right place.⁵¹
- In this context, if an ignorant person accidentally pierces a duct (*sirā*) there will be fever, burning, swelling (*śvayathu*), pain, lumps (*granthi*), paralysis of the nape of the neck (*manyāstambhā*), convulsions (*apatānaka*), headache or sharp pain in the ear.⁵²
- 5 Having removed the wick (*varti*) in the hole because of the aggravation of humours or a culpable piercing,⁵³ one should smear it with a paste of the roots of barley, liquorice, Indian madder (*mañjiṣṭhā*), and the castor oil tree (*gandharvahasta*), thickened with honey and ghee. When it has healed well, one should pierce it again.

ADD PRIMARY REF)

- The versions of 1.16.3 of Cakrapāṇidatta (Su 1939: 126) and Palhaṇa (Su 1938: 76) add ādityakarāvabhāsite to clarify that this naturally occurring cleft is illuminated by sunshine
- 50 Dalhaṇa on 1.16.3 (Su 1938: 76) clarifies that the awl is a shoe-maker's knife for piercing leather.
- 51 At this point, MS Kathmandu KL 699 is missing a folio, so the rest of this chapter is constructed on the basis of witnesses MS Kathmandu NAK 5-333 and MS Kathmandu NAK 1-1079.
- This passage is significantly augmented in 1.16.4 of Cakrapāṇidatta's version (Su 1939:126) and 1.16.5 of Palhaṇa's (Su 1938:77) to outline the specific problems caused by piercing three ducts called kālikā, marmikā and lohitikā. In fact, the order of the problems mentioned in the Nepalese version has been retained in the other versions and divided between each duct. Cakrapāṇidatta's commentary on 1.16.4 (Su 1939:126) cites several verses attributed to Bhoja on the problems caused by piercing these three ducts in the ear flap: 'Lohitikā, marmikā and the black ones are the ducts situated in the earflaps. Listen in due order to the problems that arise when they are pierced. Paralysis of the nape of the neck and convulsions, or sharp pain arise from piercing lohitikā. Pain and lumps are thought to arise from piercing marmikā. Piercing kālikā gives rise to swelling, fever and burning.'
- In addition to these reasons, 1.16.5 of Cakrapāṇidatta's version (Su 1939: 126–127) and 1.16.6 of Palhaṇa's (Su 1938: 77) add kliṣṭajihmāpraśastasūcīvyadhāt ('because of piercing with a painful, crooked and unrecommended needle') and gāḍhataravartitvāt ('because of a wick that is too thick'). Palhaṇa was aware of the reading in the Nepalese version because he notes in his commentary on 1.16.6 (Su 1938: 77) that some read 'because of the accummulation of humours' rather than 'because of piercing with a painful, crooked and unrecommended needle or because of a wick that is too thick.' On the meaning of samudāya, see ?? and Meulenbeld 1992: 1–5.

- 6 One should treat the properly-pierced ear by sprinkling it with raw sesame oil. After every three days one should apply a thicker wick (*varti*) and sprinkle oil right on it.⁵⁴
- 7 Once the ear is free from humours or side-effects, one should loosen it with a light dilator (*pravardhanaka*) in order to enlarge it.⁵⁵
- 8 A person's ear enlarged in this way can split in two, either as a result of the humours⁵⁶ or a blow. Listen to me about the joins (*sandhāna*) it can have.
- 9 Here, there are, in brief, fifteen ways of mending the ear flap.⁵⁷ They are as follows: Rim-join (nemīsandhānakaḥ), Lotus-splittable (utpalabhedyaka), Dried Flesh (vallūraka), Fastening (āsaṅgima), Cheek-ear (gaṇḍakarṇa), Take away (āhārya), Ready-Split (nirvedhima), Multi-joins (vyāyojima), Door-hinge (kapāṭasandhika), Half door-hinge (ardhakapāṭasandhika), Compressed (saṃkṣipta), Reduced-ear (hīna-karṇa), Creeper-ear (vallīkarṇa), Stick-ear (yaṣṭīkarṇa), and Crow's lip (kākauṣṭha).⁵⁸

In this context, among these,

"Rim-join" (*nemīsandhānaka*): both flaps are wide, long, and equal.

"Lotus-splittable" (*utpalabhedyaka*): both flaps are round, long, and equal.

"Dried flesh" (*vallūraka*): both flaps are short, round, and equal.

"Fastening" (āsaṅgima): one flap is longer on the inside.

The manuscripts support the reading *sthūlatarīm* that is either a non-standard form or a scribal error.

Cakrapāṇidatta on 1.16.6 (Su 1939: 127) and Palhaṇa on 1.16.8 (Su 1938: 77) point out that the dilator can be made of wood, such as that of the prickly chaff flower ($ap\bar{a}marga$), the neem tree (nimba) and the cotton plant ($k\bar{a}rp\bar{a}sa$). Palhaṇa adds that it can also be made of lead ($s\bar{s}saka$) and should have the shape of the datura flower ($dhatt\bar{u}-rapuṣpa$).

Dalhaṇa on 1.16.9 (Su 1938:77) notes that the word doṣa here can refer to either a humour, such as wind $(v\bar{a}ta)$, as we have understood it, or a disease generated from a humour.

The Nepalese version uses the word *sandhāna* to refer to joining a split in an ear flap, which is consistent with the terminology in the verse cited above (8). However, 1.16.10 of Palhaṇa's version (Su 1938: 77) uses the term *bandha* here and at the very beginning of the chapter (i.e., 1.16.1) to introduce the topic of repairing the ear.

⁵⁸ For an artist's impression of these different kinds of joins in the ear flap, see Majno 1975: 290 (reproduced as Figure 3.2 in Wujastyk 2003: 154).

- "Cheek-ear" (gandakarna): one flap is longer on the outside. 59
- "Take-away" ($\bar{a}h\bar{a}rya$): the flaps are missing, in fact, on both sides.
- "Ready-split" (*nirvedhima*): the flaps are like a dais (*pīṭha*).
- "Multi-joins" (*vyāyojima*): one flap is small, the other thick, one flap is equal, the other unequal.
- "Door-hinge" (*kapāṭasandhika*): the flap on the inside is long, the other is small.
- "Half door-hinge" (ardhakapāṭasandhika): the flap on the outside is long, the other is small.

These ten options (*vikalpa*) for joins (*sandhi*) of the ear should be bound. They can mostly be explained as resembling their names. The five from compressed (*saṃkṣipta*) on are incurable. Among these, "compressed" has a dry ear canal and the other flap is small. "Reduced ear" has flaps that have no base and have wasted flesh on their edges. "Creeper-ear" has flaps that are thin and uneven. "Stick-ear" has lumpy (*granthita*) flesh and the flaps are stretched thin and have stiff (*stabdha*) ducts (*sirā*). "Crow-lip" has a flap without flesh with compressed (*saṃkṣipta*) tips and little blood. Even when they are bound up, they do not heal because they are hot, inflamed, suppurating (*srāva*), or swollen. 2

- For an artist's impression of this join, see Majno 1975: 291 (reproduced as Figure 3.3 in Wujastyk 2003: 155).
- 60 Cakrapāṇidatta on 1.16.9–13 (Su 1939: 128–129) and Dalhaṇa on 1.16.10 (Su 1938: 77–78) provide examples of how the names of these joins describe their shapes. For example, the rim-join (nemīṣandhānaka) is similar to the join of the rim of a wheel (cakradhārā).
- 61 Dalhaṇa on 1.16.10 (Su 1938:77–78) mentions that some do not read the statement that only five are incurable, and they understand the causes of unsuccessful joins given below (i.e., heat, inflammation, suppuration and swelling) as also pertaining to the first ten when they do heal.
- 62 The version of 1.16.11–13 known to Dalhaṇa (Su 1938:78) has four verses (śloka) at this point that are not in the Nepalese manuscripts. The additional verses iterate the types of joins required for ear flaps that are missing, elongated, thick, wide, etc. All four verses were probably absent in the version of the *Suśrutasaṃhitā* known to Cakrapāṇidatta. He cites the verses separately in his commentary, the *Bhānumatī* (Su 1939: 128–129), introducing each one as 'some people read' (ke cit paṭhanti). How-

A person wishing to perform any of these joins should therefore gather together the supplies prepared according to the recommendations of the 'Preparatory Supplies' chapter. And in particular, he should gather decanted liquor (surāmaṇḍa), milk, water, fermented rice-water (dhānyāmla), and powdered earthenware crockery (kapālacūrṇa). Next, he should prepare the woman or man, who have had the ends of their hair tied up, have eaten lightly, and are firmly supported by qualified attendants.

Then, he should ready the bindings (bandha) and carry out the procedure with cutting (chedya), splitting (bhedya), scarification (lekhya), or piercing (vyadhana). Then, he should examine the blood of the ear to know whether it is tainted (duṣṭa) or not. If it is tainted by wind, the ear should be bathed with fermented rice-water (dhānyāmla) and water; if tainted by choler, then cold water and milk should be used; if tainted by phlegm, then decanted liquor (surāmaṇḍa) and water should be used, and then he should scarify it again.

Then, arranging the join in the ear so that it is neither proud, depressed, nor uneven, one should make the join. Having seen that the bloood has stopped, one should anoint it with honey and ghee, bandage each ear with cotton (picu) and gauze (prota), and bind it up with a thread, neither too tightly nor too loosely. Then, the earthenware powder should be sprinkled on, and medical advice ($\bar{a}c\bar{a}rika$) given. And he should supplement with food as taught in the 'Two Wound' chapter.⁶⁵

- One should avoid rubbing, sleeping during the day, exercise, overeating, sex, getting hot by a fire, or the effort of speaking.
- One should not make a join when the blood is too pure, too copious, or too thin.⁶⁶ For when the ear is tainted by wind, then it is obstruc-

ever, in Trikamajī Ācārya's edition of the *Sūtrasthāna* of the *Bhānumatī*, the root text is largely identical to the one commented on by Dalhaṇa (Su 1938), even in instances like this where Cakrapāṇidatta's commentary indicates that he was reading a different version of the *Suśrutasaṃhitā*.

⁶³ Suśrutasaṃhitā 1.5 (Su 1938: 18–23).

⁶⁴ The term *kapālacūrṇa* is unusual. Dalhaṇa (Su 1938: 79) defines it as the powder of fragments of fresh earthen pots and Cakrapāṇidatta (Su 1939: 129) as the powder of earthenware vessels.

⁶⁵ Suśrutasamhitā 4.1 (Su 1938: 396–408).

^{66 1.16.17} of Dalhaṇa's version (Su 1938: 79) reads "impure" for the Nepalese "too pure," which would appear to make better medical sense. Emending the text to nāśuddha- for nātiśuddha- in the Nepalese recension would yield the same meaning as the Dalhaṇa's

- ted by blood (raktabaddha), unhealed and will peel. When tainted with choler, is becomes pinched ($g\bar{a}dha$), septic ($p\bar{a}ka$) and red. When tainted by phlegm, it will be stiff (stabdha) and itchy. It has excessively copious suppuration ($sr\bar{a}va$) and is sopha ($puffed\ up$). It has it has a small amount of wasted ($ks\bar{i}na$) flesh and it will not grow.
- When the ear is properly healed and there are no complications, one may very gradually start to expand it. Otherwise, it may be inflamed (saṃrambha), burning, septic or painful. It may even split open again.
- Now, massage for the healthy ear, in order to enlarge it. One should gather as much as one can the following: a monitor lizard ($godh\bar{a}$, scavenging (pratuda) and seed-eating (viskira) birds, and creatures that live in marshes or water,⁶⁸ fat, marrow, milk, and sesame oil, and white mustard oil.⁶⁹ Then cook the oil with an admixture ($prativ\bar{a}pa$) of the following: purple calotropis (arka, white calotropis (alarka, country mallow ($bal\bar{a}$, 'strong Indian mallow' ($atibal\bar{a}$, country sarsaparilla ($anant\bar{a}$ beggarweed ($vid\bar{a}ri$, liquorice (madhuka), hornwort ($jalas\bar{u}ka \rightarrow jalan\bar{u}k\bar{a}^{70}$), items having the 'sweet' savour ($madhuravarga^{71}$) and 'milk flower' ($payasy\bar{a} \rightarrow vid\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}^{72}$). This

version.

⁶⁷ In his edition of *Suśrutasaṃhitā*, Ācārya (Su 1938: 79 n. 1) includes in parentheses the following treatment for these conditions, which according to a footnote is not found in the palm-leaf manuscript he used: 'One should sprinkle it with raw sesame oil for three days and one should renew the cotton bandage after three days' (āmatailena trirātraṃ pariṣecayet trirātrāc ca picuṃ parivartayet).

⁶⁸ For such classifications, see Zimmermann (1999) and Smith (1994).

^{69 1.16.19} of Dalhaṇa's version (Su 1938: 79) includes ghee (sarpis). However, Dalhaṇa's remarks on 1.16.19 and Cakrapāṇidatta's on 1.16.18 (Su 1939: 130) indicate that they knew a version of this recipe (perhaps, similar to the Nepalese) that does not have ghee. Dalhaṇa also notes that others simply read four oils, beginning with fat and without milk, whereas Cakrapāṇidatta says some read that it is made with four oils and milk.

⁷⁰ Ceratophyllum demersum, L. This name is not certain. In fact, Dalhana on 1.16.19 (Su 1938: 79) notes that some people interpret it as a poisonous, hairy, air-breathing, underwater creature.

⁷¹ The items which exemplify the 'sweet' savour (*madhuravarga*) are enumerated at SS.1.42.11.

⁷² Pueraria tuberosa (Willd.) DC. The version of 1.16.19 known to Dalhaṇa (Su 1938: 79) adds several ingredients to this admixture, including apāmārga, aśvagandhā, kṣīraśuklā, madhuravarga and payasyā. Also, it has vidārigandhā instead of vidāri. When commenting on 1.16.19, Dalhaṇa (Su 1938: 79) notes that some do not read madhuravarga and payasyā. Therefore, there were probably other versions of this recipe with fewer in-

- should then be deposited in a well-protected spot.
- The wise man who has been sweated should rub the massaged (*mardita*) ear with it. Then it will be free of complications, and will enlarge properly and be strong.⁷³
- 16 Ears which do not enlarge even when sweated and oiled, should be scarified at the edge of the hole (apāṅga), but not outside it.⁷⁴
- In this tradition, experts know countless repairs to ears. So a physician who is very intent (*suniviṣṭa*) on working in this way may repair (*yojayed*) them.⁷⁵
- 18 If an ear has grown hair, has a nice hole, a firm join, and is strong and even, well-healed, and free from pain, then one can enlarge it slowly.⁷⁶
- Now I shall describe the proper method of repairing a severed nose. First, take from the trees a leaf the same size as the man's nose and hang it on him.
- Next, having cut a slice of flesh (*vadhra*)⁷⁷ with the same measurements off the cheek, the end of the nose is then scarified.⁷⁸ Then the diligent

gredients, as seen in the Nepalese version.

- 73 For these aims (i.e., healing and enlarging the ear), the text known to Dalhana (Su 1938:79) has an additional verse and a half describing an ointment for rubbing the ear (*udvartana*) and sesame oil (*taila*) cooked with various medicines for massage. Cakrapāṇidatta (Su 1939: 131) does not comment on these verses, nor verse 15 of the Nepalese version, and so the version of the *Suśrutasaṃhitā* known to him may not have included them.
- 74 Dalhaṇa's version of 1.16.23 adds another hemistich that states more explicitly that the scarification should not be done on the outside of hole as it will cause derangement.
- After verse 17, the 1938 edition of Ācārya (Su 1938:80) has in parentheses nineteen verses on diseases of the ear lobes, treatments and complications. It is possible that these verses were in some of the witnesses used by Ācārya to construct the text as they occur in other manuscripts, such as MS Hyderabad Osmania 137-3 (b). However, Cakrapāṇidatta (Su 1939:132) and Palhaṇa (Su 1938:80) state that some read about the diseases of the ear lobes in this chapter whereas others read about them in the chapter on various treatments (*miśrakacikitsa*) (SS 5.25), which does indeed begin with a discussion of the disease *paripoṭa*. Palhaṇa goes on to say that some believe that these verses were not composed by sages and, therefore, do not read them.
- 76 The order of verses 17 and 18 are reversed in Dalhana's version (Su 1938: 80).
- 77 The version of 1.16.28b known to Dalhaṇa (Su 1938:81) reads bound, connected (*baddham*) instead of slice of flesh (*vadhra*). This is a critical variant from the surgical point of view. If the slice remains connected, it will have a continuing blood supply. This is one of the effective techniques that so astonished surgeons witnessing a similar operation in Pune in the eighteenth century (see Wujastyk 2003:67–70).
- 78 Or 1.16.20 could be mean, '... off the cheek, it is fixed to the end of the nose, which

(*apramatta*) physician, should quickly put it back together so that it is well joined (*sādhubaddha*).

Having carefully observed that it has been well sown up, two tubes should be fixed in place.⁷⁹ Then, having lifted them up,⁸⁰ the powder of sappanwood (*pattāṅga*),⁸¹ liquorice and Indian barberry.⁸² should be applied to it.



- The wound should be covered properly with cotton (picu) and should be moistened repeatedly with sesame oil. Ghee should be given to the man to drink. His digestion being complete, he should be oiled and purged in accordance with the instructions specific to him.⁸³
- And once healed and really come together, what is left of that slice of flesh (vadhra) should then be trimmed. If it is reduced ($h\bar{\imath}na$), however, one should make an effort to stretch it, and one should make its overgrown flesh smooth.⁸⁴

has been scarified.' Unfortunately, the Sanskrit of the Nepalese version is not unambiguous on the important point of whether or not the flap of grafted skin remains connected to its original site on the cheek. However, Dalhaṇa (Su 1938: 81) clarifies the meaning of the vulgate here by stating that one should supply the word 'flesh' when reading 'connected,' thus indicating that he understood the flesh to be connected to the face.

- 79 Dalhaṇa notes that the two tubes should be made of reed (nala) or the stalk of the leaf of castor oil plant (eraṇḍapatranāla) (on 1.16.21 (Su 1938: 81)). They should not be made of lead or betel nut because the weight will cause them to slip down.
- 80 The Sanskrit term *unnāmayitvā* in 1.16.21 is non-Pāninian.
- 81 Caesalpinia sappan, L. For pattāṅga there are manuscript variants pattrāṅga (MS Kathmandu NAK 5-333) and pattaṅga (MS Kathmandu NAK 1-1079). Also, MS Kathmandu KL 699 (f. 14r:1) has pattrāṅga in a verse in 1.14 (cf. 1.14.36 (Su 1938: 66)). The text known to Dalhaṇa has pataṅga (1.16.29 (Su 1938: 81)) and this term is propagated in modern dictionaries.
- 82 Berberis aristata, DC. Dalhana understands it as elixir salve (rasāñjana) (Su 1938: 81).
- 83 The expression *svayathopadeśa* is ungrammatical but supported in all available witnesses.
- Palhaṇa accepts a verse following this, which points out that the procedure for joining the nose is similar to that of joining the lips without fusing the ducts (Su 1938: 81). He notes that earlier teachers did not think this statement on the nose and lips was made by sages, but includes it because it was accepted by Jejjaṭa, Gayadāsa and others. However, Cakrapāṇidatta does not comment on this additional verse, which suggests that either he did not know of it or was not inclined to accept it (Su 1939: 133).

Abbreviations

Ah 1939 Kuṃṭe, Aṇṇā Moreśvara, Navare, Kṛṣṇaśāstrī, and Parādkar,

Hariśāstrī (1939) (eds.), श्रीमद्वाग्भटविरचितम् अष्टाङ्गहृदयम्, श्रीमद-रुणदत्तविरचितया सर्वाङ्गसुन्दराख्यया व्याख्यया, हेमाद्रिप्रणीतया आयुर्वेदर-सायनाह्वया टीकया च समुल्लसितम् = The Astāngahṛidaya (6th edn., Muṃbayyām: Nirṇayasāgara Press), ark:/13960/t3tt6967d.

Anup Anup Sanskrit Library (n.d.).

AS Asiatic Society (n.d.).

As 1980 Ațhavale, Anamta Dāmodara (1980) (ed.), Aṣṭāṅgasaṅgrahaḥ.

Śrīmad Vṛddhavāgbhaṭaviracitaḥ Induvyākhyāsahitaḥ (Puṇe: Maheśa Anaṃta Āṭhavale, Śrīmad Ātreya Prakāśanam), ark:/

13960/t9773bb9z.

Bhela 1921 Mookerjee, Ashutosh and Ananta Krishna Shastri, Ved-

antabisharad (1921) (eds.), *The Bhela Samhita. Sanskrit Text* (Calcutta: University of Calcutta), ark:/13960/t3sv3157j; Based on two copies made of the Thanjavur codex unicus (MS Thanjavur TMSSML 10773, Burnell 1880: 63–4, P. P. S.

Sastri 1933: #11085).

Bhela 2000 Krishnamurthy, K. H. (2000), Bhela-saṃhitā. Text with English

Translation, Commentary and Critical Notes (Haridas Ayurveda

Series, 8; Varanasi: Chaukhambha Visvabharati).

BL British Library (n.d.).

Ca. 1941 Ācārya, Yādavaśarma Trivikrama (1941) (ed.), महर्षिणा पुनर्व-

सुनोपदिष्टा, तच्छिष्येणाग्निवेशेन प्रणीता, चरकदृढबलाभ्यां प्रतिसंस्कृता च-रकसंहिता, श्रीचक्रपाणिदत्तविरचितया आयुर्वेददीपिकाव्याख्यया संवलिता (3rd edn., Mumbayyāṃ: Nirnaya Sagara Press), url, accessed

01/01/2018.

HIML Meulenbeld, Gerrit Jan (1999–2002), A History of Indian

Medical Literature, 5 vols. (Groningen: E. Forsten), ISBN:

9069801248.

KL *Kaiser Library* (n.d.).

NAK National Archives of Kathmandu (n.d.).

- NCC Raghavan, V. et al. (1949–), New Catalogus Catalogorum, an Alphabetical Register of Sanskrit and Allied Works and Authors, 39 vols. (Madras University Sanskrit Series; Madras: University of Madras); v.1: revised edition, 1968.
- NGMCP (2014), 'Nepal-german Manuscript Cataloguing Project. Online Title List and Descriptive Catalogue', Universität Hamburg and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, URL.
- RORI Rajasthan Oriental Research Institute (n.d.).
- Su 1889 Bhaṭṭācāryya, Jīvānanda Vidyāsāgara (1889) (ed.), सृश्रुतः. सूत्र-निदान-शारीर-चिकित्सा-कल्पोत्तर-तन्त्र-किल्पत आयुर्वेद. भगवता धन्वन्तरिणोपदिष्टः सृश्रुतनामधेयेन तच्छिष्येण विरचितः (3rd edn., Calcutta: Saratī Press), ark:/13960/t1nh6j09c; HIML:IB, 311, edition b.
- Ācārya, Yādavaśarma Trivikrama (1915) (ed.), सुश्रुतसंहिता, सु-श्रुतेन विरचिता, वैद्यवरश्रीडल्हणाचार्यविरचितया निबन्धसंग्रहाख्यव्याख्यया समुल्लसिता, आचार्योपाह्वेन त्रिविक्रमात्मजेन यादवशर्मणा संशोधिता = The Sushrutasamhita of Sushruta, the Nibandhasangraha Commentary of Shri Dalhaṇāchārya (Mumbayyāṃ: Nirṇayasāgaramudrāyantrālaye), ark:/13960/t3sv0mt50, accessed 29/07/2020; HIML: IB, 312 edition *v.
- Ācārya, Yādavaśarma Trivikrama (1931) (ed.), सुश्रुत-संहिता, वैद्यवरश्रीडल्हणाचार्यविरचितया निबन्धसंग्रहाख्यव्याख्यया समुष्लसिता, महर्षिणा सुश्रुतेन विरचिता, सूत्र-निदान-शारीर-चिकित्सा-कल्पस्थानोत्तरतन्त्रात्मकः. आचार्योपाह्वेन त्रिविक्रमात्मजेन यादवशर्मणा संशोधिता = The Sushrutasaṃhitā of Sushruta with the Nibandhasangraha Commentary of Shree Dalhaṇāchārya (2nd edn., Mumbayyāṃ: Pāṇḍuraṅga Jāvajī at the Nirṇayasāgaramudrāyantrālaye), ark:/13960/t9j41sg94, accessed 09/06/2020; HIML: IB, 312 edition *v.
- Su 1938 Ācārya, Yādavaśarma Trivikrama and Ācārya, Nārāyaṇa Rāma (1938) (eds.), श्रीडल्हणाचार्यविरचितया निबन्धसंग्रहाख्य-व्याख्यया निदानस्थानस्य श्रीगयदासाचार्यविरचितया न्यायचन्द्रिकाख्यप- ञ्जिकाव्याख्यया च समुल्लसिता महर्षिणा सुश्रुतेन विरचिता सुश्रुतसंहिता (3rd edn., Bombay: Nirṇayasāgara Press), ark:/13960/t09x0sk1h; HIML: IB, 313, edition cc ('the vulgate').

Su 1938² Ācārya, Yādavaśarma Trivikrama and Ācārya, Nārāyaṇa Rāma (2004) (eds.), महर्षिणा सुश्रुतेन विरचिता सुश्रुतसंहिता, श्रीडल्हणाचार्यविरचितया निबन्धसंग्रहाख्यव्याख्यया निदानस्थानस्य श्रीग्यदासाचार्यविरचितया न्यायचन्द्रिकाख्यपञ्जिकाव्याख्यया च समुल्लसिता (Vārāṇasī: Caukhambhā Kṛṣṇadāsa Akādamī); Reprint of the third, 1938 edition (Su 1938).

Su 1939 Ācārya, Yādavaśarma Trivikrama and Śarman, Nandakiśora (1939) (eds.), सुश्रुतसंहितायाः सूत्रस्थानम्. श्रीचक्रपाणिदत्तविरचितया भानुमतीव्याख्याया समेतम् = Sushrut-sañhitā (sūtra Sthān) with Bhānumatī Commentary by Chakrapāṇi Datta with Introduction by Gaṇanāth Sen (Śrīsvāmi Lakṣmīrāma Nidhi Granthamālā = Shrī Swāmī Lakshmī Rām Trust Series, 1; [Jaipur]: Śyāmasundara Śarman), ark:/13960/t54g0d12m; Printed at the Nirṇayasāgara Press, Bombay.

Su 1945 Ācārya, Yādavaśarma Trivikramācārya and Ācārya, Nārāyaṇa Rāma (1945) (eds.), महर्षिणा सुश्रुतेन विरचिता सुश्रुतसंहिता (मूलमात्रा). पाठान्तर-परिशिष्टादिभिः संविलता = the Suśrutasaṃhitā of Suśruta with Various Readings, Notes and Appendix etc. (Mumbāi: Nirṇayasāgarākhyamudraṇālaye), url.

TMSSML Tanjore Maharaja Serfoji Saraswati Mahal Library (n.d.).

Viṣṇudh. Śarman, Madhusūdana and Śarman, Mādhavaprasāda (1912) (eds.), विष्णुधर्मोत्तरपुराणम् = [Viṣṇudharmottarapurāṇa] (Mumbai: Khemarāja Śrīkṛṣṇadāsa at the Śrīveṅkaṭeśvara Steam Press), ark:/13960/t6qz6fr23; Lithograph format. Edited on the basis of a manuscript belonging to the astrologer Śudhākaraśarman of the Varanasi Sanskrit Pāṭhaśālā.

Index of Manuscripts

The numbers after the comma refer to pages in this document.

Bikaner Anup 4390 9 Kathmandu NAK 1-1079 16, 22

Kathmandu KL 699 16, 22 Kathmandu NAK 5-333 10f, 13, 16, 22

London BL H. T. Colebrooke 908 11

Mumbai AS B.I.38

Mumbai AS B.D.109 8

Thanjavur TMSSML 10773 23

References

- Ācārya, Yādavaśarma Trivikrama (1941) (ed.), महर्षिणा पुनर्वसुनोपदिष्टा, तिच्छि-ष्येणाग्निवेशेन प्रणीता, चरकदृढबलाभ्यां प्रतिसंस्कृता चरकसंहिता, श्रीचक्रपाणिदत्तिवि-रचितया आयुर्वेददीपिकाव्याख्यया संविलता (3rd edn., Mumbayyāṃ: Nirnaya Sagara Press), URL, accessed 01/01/2018.
- Ācārya, Yādavaśarma Trivikramācārya and Ācārya, Nārāyaṇa Rāma (1945) (eds.), महर्षिणा सुश्रुतेन विरचिता सुश्रुतसंहिता (मूलमात्रा). पाठान्तर-परिशिष्टादिभिः संवलिता = the Suśrutasaṃhitā of Suśruta with Various Readings, Notes and Appendix etc. (Mumbāi: Nirṇayasāgarākhyamudraṇālaye),
- Adriaensen, Rob C. R., Barkhuis, Roelf, and Ruijters, Jean-Louis (1984), 'An English Translation of Suśrutasaṃhitā, Nidānasthāna 1, 1–39, Together with Gayadāsa's Nyāyacandrikā', in Gerrit Jan Meulenbeld (ed.), *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Priorities in the Study of Indian Medicine* (Groningen: Forsten), 277–310.
- Apte, Vaman Shivaram (1992), *The Practical Sanskrit-English Dictionary* (Kyoto: Rinsen Book Company), ISBN: 4-653-00038-7; Reprinted from **gode-apte**.
- Baber, Zaheer (1996), *The Science of Empire: Scientific Knowledge, Civilization, and Colonial Rule in India* (Albany: State University of New York Press).
- Bausi, Alessandro et al. (2015), *Comparative Oriental Manuscript Studies. An Introduction* (Hamburg: Tredition). DOI: 10.5281/ZENODO.46784.
- Bendall, Cecil (1883), Catalogue of the Buddhist Sanskrit, Manuscripts in The, University Library, Cambridge: With Introductory Notices and Illustrations of the Palaeography and Chronology of Nepal and Bengal (Cambridge: University Press), ark:/13960/t03x8vz7b.

- Bhaṭṭācārya, Candrakānta (1910–7) (ed.), सुश्रुतसंहिता प्रथमखण्डम् सूत्रस्थानात्मकम्...हाराणचन्द्रचक्रवर्तिकविराजविरचितसुश्रुतार्थसन्दीपनभाष्य- समेतम्...चन्द्रकान्त भट्टाचार्य्य-प्रमुखैः संशोधितम् = [The Suśrutasaṃhitā with the Commentary Suśrutārthasandīpanabhāṣya by Hārāṇacandra Cakravarti] (Kalikātā: Satya Press); Edition "t" in HIML: IB, 312.
- Birch, Jason et al. (2021), 'Further Insight into the Role of Dhanvantari, the Physician to the Gods, in the Suśrutasaṃhitā', *Academia Letters*. DOI: 10.20935/AL2992, URL, accessed 04/10/2021.
- Breton, P. (1826), 'On the Native Mode of Couching', *Transactions of the Medical and Physical Society of Calcutta*, 2: 341–82, ark:/13960/t3dz8nn5t, URL, accessed 02/06/2021.
- Bronkhorst, Johannes (2021), 'Patañjali's Āryāvarta = Śuṅga realm?', *Academia Letters*. DOI: 10.20935/al291; Article 291.
- Bronner, Yigal (2021) (ed.), 'The Pandit Project' (30 Sept.), URL.
- Burghart, Marjorie (2016), 'The TEI Critical Apparatus Toolbox: Empowering Textual Scholars through Display, Control, and Comparison Features', *Journal of the Text Encoding Initiative*, 10/Issue 10. DOI: 10.4000/jtei.1520, URL, accessed 12/12/2017.
- —— (2017), 'Textual Variants', in Marjorie Burghart, James Cummings, and Elena Pierazzo (eds.), *Digital Editing of Medieval Texts: A Textbook* (DEMM), URL, accessed 04/07/2021.
- Burnell, Arthur Coke (1880), *A Classified Index to the Sanskrit Mss. in the Palace at Tanjore* (London: Trübner), ark:/13960/t4xh86j61; Bhelasamhitā described on pp. 67 ff.
- Carpue, J. C. (1816), An Account of Two Successful Operations for Restoring a Lost Nose from the Integuements of the Forehead...Including Descriptions of the Indian and Italian Methods (London: Longman et al.), ark:/13960/t2q57fn42, accessed 20/03/2019.
- Cone, Margaret (2001), *A Dictionary of Pāli* (Oxford: The Pali Text Society), ISBN: 0 86013 394 x.
- Cordier, P. (1903), 'Récentes découvertes de mss. médicaux sanscrits dans l'Inde (1898–1902)', *Muséon, Nouvelle Série*, 4: 321–52, ark:/13960/t26b2j457, accessed 02/01/2020; Reprinted in Roşu 1989: 539–70.

- Coult, Ro. (1731), 'An Account of the Diseases of Bengall', in *Indian Science* and *Technology in the Eighteenth Century* (Impex India), 141 f., 276.
- Crawford, D. G. (1930), *Roll of the Indian Medical Service*, 1615–1930 (London, Calcutta, Simla: Thacker).
- Das, Rahul Peter (2003), The Origin of the Life of a Human Being. Conception and the Female According to Ancient Indian Medical and Sexological Literature (Indian Medical Tradition; Delhi: Motilal Banarsidas), ISBN: 81-208-1998-5.
- Dave, K. N. (1985), *Birds in Sanskrit Literature* (Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass), ISBN: 0-89581-676-8, ark:/13960/t2c94cv80.
- Deshpande, Vijaya (1999), 'Indian Influences on Early Chinese Ophthal-mology: Glaucoma As a Case Study', *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies*, 62: 306–22. DOI: 10.1017/S0041977X00016724.
- —— (2000), 'Ophthalmic Surgery: A Chapter in the History of Sino-indian Medical Contacts', *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies*, 63/3: 370–88, ISSN: 0041-977X. DOI: 10.1017/s0041977x00008454.
- Elliot, Robert Henry (1918), *The Indian Operation of Couching for Cataract: Incorporating the Hunterian Lectures Delivered before the Royal College of Surgeons of England on February 19 and 21, 1917* (London: H. K. Lewis).
- Emeneau, M. B. (1969), 'Sanskrit Syntactic Particles "kila, khalu, nūnam", *Indo-Iranian Journal*, 11/4: 241–68.
- Falk, Harry (1991), 'Silver, Lead and Zinc in Early Indian Literature', *South Asian Studies*, 7/1: 111–7. DOI: 10.1080/02666030.1991.9628430.
- Fan, Ka Wai (2005), 'Couching for Cataract and Sino-indian Medical Exchange Fromthe Sixth to the Twelfth Century Ad', *Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology*: 188–90. DOI: 10.1111/j.1442-9071.2005.00978.x; Unaware of Deshpande 1999; 2000.
- Gaṇapatiśāstrī, T. (1920–5), Āryamañjuśrīmūlakalpaḥ (Trivandrum Sanskrit Series, 70; Anantaśayane: Rājakīyamudraṇayantrālaye), ark:/13960/t4pk5sj0j.
- Gombrich, Richard (1979), "He cooks softly: dverbs in Sanskrit grammar, Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies, 42/2 (June): 244–56. DOI: 10.1017/s0041977x0014580x.

- Gupta, Sri Madhusudana (1835–6) (ed.), Āyur-veda-prakāśa [also Called Suśruta-saṃhitā] by Suśruta. the Suśruta, or System of Medicine, Taught by Dhanwantari, and Composed by His Disciple Suśruta, 2 vols. (Calcutta: Education Press and Baptist Mission Press), ark:/13960/t6841qw6x.
- Harimoto, Kengo (2011), 'In Search of the Oldest Nepalese Manuscript', *Rivista degli Studi Orientali*, 84/1–4: 85–106, ISSN: 0392-4866, URL, accessed 08/09/2019.
- —— (2014), 'Nepalese Manuscripts of the Suśrutasaṃhitā', *Journal of Indian and Buddhist Studies* (*Indogaku Bukkyogaku Kenkyu*), 62/3: 23–29 (1087-1093). DOI: 10.4259/ibk.62.3_1087, URL, accessed 08/09/2019.
- —— (pre-published), '[Preliminary Edition of the Nepalese MSS of the Su-śrutasaṃhitā, adhyāyas 1.1–3, 6.4]'; Unpublished document dated 2010.
- Hayashi, Takao (2017), 'The Units of Time in Ancient and Medieval India', *History of Science in South Asia*, 5/1: 1–116. DOI: 10.18732/h2ht0h.
- Hemarāja Śarman (1938) (ed.), काश्यपसंहिता (वृद्धजीवकीयं तन्त्रं वा) महर्षिणा मा-रीचकश्यपेनोपदिष्टा ... हेमराजशर्मणा लिखितेन विस्तृतेन उपोद्धातेन सहिता ... सत्यपाल भिषगा कृतया विद्योतिनी हिन्दीव्याख्यया ... समुल्लसिता (1st edn., Mumba: Nirṇayasāgara Press), URL, accessed 02/02/2018.
- Hendley, T. Holbein (1895), A Medico-topographical Account of Jeypore, Based on the Experience of Twenty Years' Service As a Residency Surgeon and Thirteen As Superintendent of Dispensaries at Jeypore, Rajputana (Calcutta: Calcutta Central Press Company).
- Hessler, Franciscus (1844–55), Suśrutas Ayurvédas: Id Est Medicinae Systema a Venerabili D'hanvantare Demonstratum a Susruta Discipulo Compositum; Nunc Primum Ex Sanskrita in Latinum Sermonem Vertit, Introductionem, Annotationes Et Rerum Indice Franciscus Hessler (Erlangen: Ferdinandum Enke), URL, accessed 04/11/2017.
- Hoernle, A. F. Rudolf (1893–1912) (ed.), *The Bower Manuscript: Facsimile Leaves, Nagari Transcript, Romanised Transliteration and English Translation with Notes* (New Imperial Series, 22; Calcutta: Government of India and under the patronage of the Bengali Government, Archaeological Survey of India), ark:/13960/t05z1bg4q.
- —— (1907), Studies in the Medicine of Ancient India: Osteology or the Bones of the Human Body (Oxford: Clarendon Press).

- Hofer, Theresia (2007), 'Swami Laxmi Ram's Ayurvedic Pharmacy in Jaipur, India', *Wellcome History*, 34: 2–3, URL, accessed 01/07/2021.
- Holwell, J. Z. (1767), An Account of the Manner of Inoculating for the Small Pox in the East Indies With...Observations on The...Mode of Treating That Disease in Those Parts (London: T. Becket & P. A. de Hondt), ark:/13960/t3ws9h63c.
- Jack, David Morton (1884), 'A Thesis on Cataract in India: Its Pathology and Treatment', Wellcome Library, London, MS.3007, URL, accessed 02/06/2021.
- Jośī, Veṇīmādhavaśāstrī and Jośī, Nārāyaṇa Harī (1968), Āyurvedīya Mahākośaḥ arthāt Āyurvedīya Śabdakośaḥ Saṃskṛta—Saṃskṛta (Muṃbaī: Mahārāṣṭra Rājya Sāhityta āṇi Saṃskṛti Maṃḍaḷa), url.
- Keith, Arthur Berriedale (1908), review of A. F. Rudolf Hoernle (1907), Studies in the Medicine of Ancient India: Osteology or the Bones of the Human Body (Oxford: Clarendon Press), in Zeitschrift Der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, 1/62: 134–9, URL, accessed 17/04/2021.
- Klebanov, Andrey (2021*a*), 'On the Textual History of the Suśrutasaṃhitā (1): A Study of Three Nepalese Manuscripts', to be published in *eJIM: Electronic Journal of Indian Medicine*, URL, accessed 09/09/2019.
- —— (2021*b*), 'On the Textual History of the Suśrutasaṃhitā, (2): An Anonymous Commentary and its Identified Citations', in Toke Lindegaard Knudsen, Jacob Schmidt-Madsen, and Sara Speyer (eds.), *Body and Cosmos: Studies in Early Indian Medical and Astral Sciences in Honor of Kenneth G. Zysk* (Leiden, Boston: Brill), 110–39.
- Kuist, James M. (1982), *The Nichols File of The Gentleman's Magazine* (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press), ISBN: 0-299-08480-9, ark:/13960/t53g2ct2z.
- Leffler, Christopher T. et al. (2020), 'The History of Cataract Surgery: From Couching to Phacoemulsification', *Annals of Translational Medicine*, 8/22: 1551–97, ISSN: 2305-5847. DOI: 10.21037/atm-2019-rcs-04, URL, accessed 02/11/2020.
- Lienhard, Siegfried (1978), 'On the Meaning and Use of the Word Indragopa', *Indologica taurinensia*, 6: 177–88, URL, accessed 06/02/2021; The indragopa is a 'red velvet mite'.

- Longmate, Barak (1794), 'A Curious Chirurgical Operation', *The Gentle-man's Magazine and Historical Chronicle*, 64.4 (Oct.): 883, 891, 892; I am grateful to the late John Symons of the Wellcome Library who identified the author 'B. L.' as the journalist Barak Longmate. See also Kuist 1982.
- Majno, Guido (1975), *The Healing Hand. Man and Wound in the Ancient World* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press), URL, accessed 26/08/2021.
- Malamoud, Charles (1996), 'Paths of the Knife: Carving up the Victim in Vedic Sacrifice', in *Cooking the World: Ritual and Thought in Ancient India. Translated from the French by David White* (Delhi, Bombay, etc.: Oxford University Press), 169–80.
- Manucci, Niccolò (1907–8), Storia Do Mogor or, Mogul India, 1653–1708 by Niccolao Manucci, Venetian; Translated with Introduction and Notes, by William Irvine (The Indian Texts Series; London: J. Murray), URL, accessed 04/10/2021.
- Masai, François (1950), 'Principes et conventions de l'édition diplomatique', *Scriptorium*, 4: 177–93. DOI: 10.3406/scrip.1950.2294.
- Meulenbeld, Gerrit Jan (1974), *The Mādhavanidāna and Its Chief Commentary: Chapters 1–10. Introduction, Translation, and Notes* (Leiden: Brill), ISBN: 978-90-04-03892-9; Meulenbeld provided a supplement to his 1974 listing of plant identities as an appendix in Das 2003.
- —— (1984), 'The Surveying of Sanskrit Medical Literature', in id. (ed.), *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Priorities in the Study of Indian Medicine* (Groningen: Forsten), 37–56.
- —— (1992), 'The Characteristics of a Doṣa', Journal of the European \bar{A} yurvedic Society, 2/1: 1–5, URL, accessed 31/08/2021.
- (2008), The Mādhavanidāna with "Madhukośa," the Commentary by Vijayarakṣita and Śrīkaṇṭhadatta (Ch. 1-10). Introduction, Translation, and Notes (Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass); Meulenbeld provided a supplement to his 1974 listing of plant identities as an appendix in Das 2003.
- —— (2011), 'The Relationships between Doṣas and Dūṣyas: A Study on the Meaning(s) of the Root Murch-/mūrch', *eJournal of Indian Medicine*, 4/2: 35–135, URL, accessed 13/10/2017.

- Miles, M. (1999), 'Personal Communication', Mar.; Letter of 4 March.
- Moureau, Sébastien. (2015), 'The Apparatus Criticus', in Alessandro Bausi et al. (eds.), *Comparative Oriental Manuscript Studies: An Introduction* (Hamburg: Tredition), 348–52, ISBN: 978-3-7323-1768-4, URL, accessed 04/07/2021.
- Mukhopādhyāya, Girindranāth (1913), The Surgical Instruments of the Hindus, with a Comparative Study of the Surgical Instruments of the Greek, Roman, Arab, and the Modern Eouropean (sic) Surgeons (Calcutta: Calcutta University), ark: 13960/t1zd2pq29, accessed 29/01/2018; Vol.2: ark:/13960/t9r25qd8m. Reprinted as a single volume, New Delhi, 1987.
- Nadkarni, K. M. (1954), Dr. K. M. Nadkarni's Indian Materia Medica, with Ayurvedic, Unani-tibbi, Siddha, Allopathic, Homeopathic, Naturopathic & Home Remedies, Appendices & Indexes ... in Two Volumes, ed. A. K. Nadkarni, 2 vols. (3 ed., revised by A. K. Nadkarni, Bombay: Popular Prakashan), ark:/13960/t6rz4h160.
- (1982), Dr. K. M. Nadkarni's Indian Materia Medica, with Ayurvedic, Unani-tibbi, Siddha, Allopathic, Homeopathic, Naturopathic & Home Remedies, Appendices & Indexes ... in Two Volumes, ed. A. K. Nadkarni, 2 vols. (3 ed., revised and enlarged by A. K. Nadkarni, Bombay: Popular Prakashan), ISBN: 8171541429, URL.
- Narayana, Ala and Thrigulla, Saketh Ram (2011), 'Tangible Evidences of Surgical Practice in Ancient India', *Journal of Indian Medical Heritage*, 16: 1–18, URL, accessed 02/06/2021.
- NGMCP (2014), 'Nepal-german Manuscript Cataloguing Project. Online Title List and Descriptive Catalogue', Universität Hamburg and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, URL.
- Oberlies, Thomas (2003), *A Grammar of Epic Sanskrit* (Indian Philology and South Asian Studies, 5; Berlin: De Gruyter), ISBN: 9783110144482. DOI: 10.1515/9783110899344.
- Osbaldeston, Tess Anne and Wood, R. P. A. (2000), Dioscorides. De Materia Medica. Being an Herbal with Many Other Medicinal Materials Written in Greek in the First Century of the Common Era. a New Indexed Version in Modern English [Introductory Notes by R. P. Wood] (Johannesburg: IBIDIS Press), ISBN: 0-620-23435-0, URL.

- Pandey, Anshuman (2012), 'Proposal to Encode the Newar Script in ISO/IEC 10646', URL.
- Pārśvanātha Śāstrī, Vardhamāna (1940) (ed.), उग्रादित्याचार्यकृत कल्याणकारक (राष्ट्रभाषानुवादसिहत) = The Kalyāṇa-kārakam of Ugrādityacharya, Edited with Introduction, Translation, Notes, Indexes and Dictionary (Sakhārāma Nemacaṃda Graṃthamālā, 129; Solāpura: Seṭha Goviṃdajī Rāvajī Dośī), ark:/13960/t2q617g4d.
- Pass, Gregory (2003), Descriptive Cataloging of Ancient, Medieval, Renaissance, and Early Modern Manuscripts (Chicago: American Library Association), ISBN: 0-8389-8218-2, URL.
- Preisendanz, Karin (2007), 'The Initiation of the Medical Student in Early Classical Āyurveda: Caraka's Treatment in Context', in Birgit Kellner et al. (eds.), *Pramāṇakīrtiḥ. Papers Dedicated to Ernst Steinkellner on the Occasion of His 70th Birthday. Part 2*, ii, 2 vols. (Wiener Studien zur Tibetologie und Buddhismuskunde, 70.2; Wien: Arbeitskreis für Tibetische Und Buddhistische Studien Universität Wien), 629–68, ISBN: 9783902501097, URL.
- Price, Kenneth M. (2013), 'Electronic Scholarly Editions', in Ray Siemens and Susan Schreibman (eds.), *A Companion to Digital Literary Studies* (Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd), 434–50. DOI: 10.1002/9781405177504.ch24, URL, accessed 04/07/2021.
- Rai, Saurav Kumar (2019), 'Invoking 'Hindu' Ayurveda: Communalisation of the Late Colonial Ayurvedic Discourse', *The Indian Economic & Social History Review*, 56/4: 411–26. DOI: 10.1177/0019464619873820; Online first.
- Rama Rao, B. et al. (2005), Sanskrit Medical Manuscripts in India (New Delhi: Central Council for Research in Ayurveda & Siddha), ark:/13960/t88h7763b.
- Rhys Davids, Thomas William and Stede, William (1921–5), *The Pali Text Society's Pali-English Dictionary* (London: The Pali Text Society), URL.
- Roşu, Arion (1989), *Un demi-siècle de recherches āyurvédiques. Gustave Liétard et Palmyr Cordier: Travaux sur l'histoire de la médecine indienne* (Paris: Institut de Civilisation Indienne).

- Sastri, Hrishikesh and Gui, Siva Chandra (1895–1917), A Descriptive Catalogue of Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Library of Calcutta Sanskrit College (Calcutta: Baptist Mission Press).
- Sastri, P. P. S. (1933), A Descriptive Catalogue of the Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Tanjore Maharaja Serfoji's Sarasvati Mahal Library Tanjore: Natya, Sangita, Kamasastra, Vaidya & Jyotisa, nos. 10650 11737 (Srirangam: Sri Vani Vilas Press), ark:/13960/t3nw8bc12.
- Scott, H. (1817), 'Some Remarks on the Arts of India, with Miscellaneous Observations on Various Subjects', *Journal of Science and the Arts*, 2: 67–72, ill. after 133, ark:/13960/t9870jt4g; Breton 1826: 358–363 cites Scott's description of cataract couching.
- Sena, Gaṅgāprasād et al. (1886–93) (eds.), सुश्रुतसंहिता...दल्लनाचार्य्य-कृत-निवन्ध-संग्रह, चक्रपाणिदत्त-कृत-भानुमती-टीका...वङ्गानुवाद...इरेजि प्रतिशब्द (Calcutta: Maṇirāma Press); Edition "g" in HIML: IB, 311.
- Sharma, Har Dutt (1939), Descriptive Catalogue of the Government Collections of Manuscripts Deposited at the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Vol. XVI, Part I, Vaidyaka (Descriptive Catalogue of Manuscripts in the Government Manuscripts Library; Pune: Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute), ark:/13960/t0ms6rc70, accessed 23/10/2019.
- Sharma, Priya Vrat (1972), *Indian Medicine in the Classical Age* (Varanasi: Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series Office).
- —— (1982), *Dalhaṇa and his Comments on Drugs* (Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal).
- —— (1999–2001), Suśruta-Saṃhitā, with English Translation of Text and Dalhaṇa's Commentary Alongwith (sic) Critical Notes, 3 vols. (Haridas Ayurveda Series, 9; Varanasi: Chaukhambha Visvabharati).
- Shastri, R. Shama (1920) (ed.), बोधायनगृह्यसूत्रम् *The Bodhāyana Grihyasutra* (Mysore: University of Mysore), ark:/13960/t2t492622.
- Singh, Thakur Balwant and Chunekar, K. C. (1972), Glossary of Vegetable Drugs in Brhattrayī (Varanasi: Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series Office).
- Sircar, Dinesh Chandra (1987), '6. Rākshaskhāli (Sundarban) Plate; Śaka 1118', *Epigraphia Indica* (1953–54), 30: 42–3.
- Sivarajan, V. V. and Balachandran, Indira (1994), *Ayurvedic Drugs and Their Plant Sources* (New Delhi, Bombay, Calcutta: Oxford & IBH Publishing).

- Sleeman, W. H. (1893), Rambles and Recollections of an Indian Official (London: Constable), ark:/13960/t22c4bx7w, accessed 14/03/2018; V. 2 at http://n2t.net/ark:/13960/t2s52bq7w.
- Smith, Brian K. (1994), Classifying the Universe: The Ancient Indian Varṇa System and the Origins of Caste (New York, Oxford: Oxford University Press), ISBN: 0-19-508498-5.
- Spink, M. S. and Lewis, G. L. (1973) (eds.), *Albucasis on Surgery and Instruments: A Definitive Edition of the Arabic Text with English Translation and Commentary* (London: Wellcome Institute of the History of Medicine).
- Srikantha Murthy, K. R. (2000–2), *Illustrated Suśruta Saṃhitā: Text, English Translation, Notes, Appendices and Index* (Jaikrishnadas Ayurveda Series, 102; 1st edn., Varanasi: Chaukhambha Orientalia).
- Suvedī, K. S. and Tīvārī, N. (2000) (eds.), Sauśrutanighaṇṭuḥ: granthādau vistṛtena granthavaiśiṣṭyaprakāśakenopodghātena avasāne ca dravyāṇām anekabhāṣānām āvalī- paryāyasaṅgrahābhyāṃ samalaṅkrtaḥ Suśrutas-aṃhitāyāṃ prayuktānām auṣadhadravyāṇāṃ paryāya-guṇakarmavarṇātmako pūrvagranthaḥ (Belajhuṇḍī, Dāṅ: Mahendrasaṃskrūtaviśvavidyālayaḥ).
- Tavernier, Jean-Baptiste (1684), *Collections of Travels through Turky* (sic), *into Persia, and the East-Indies* (London: M. Pitt).
- The Unicode Consortium (1991–2020), 'The Unicode Standard 13.0, NewaRange: 11400–1147F', URL, accessed 20/07/2021.
- Thorburn, S. S. (1876), *Bannu; or Our Afghan Frontier* (London: Trübner & Co.), URL, accessed 10/09/2019; Reprinted Lahore: Niaz Ahmad, 1978.
- Unschuld, Paul Ulrich (1984), *Medicine in China: A History of Ideas* (Berkeley: University of California Press), ISBN: 0520050231.
- Velankar, H. D (1925–30), Descriptive Catalogue of the Sanskṛta and Prākṛta Manuscripts in the Library of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society (Bombay: Royal Asiatic Society, Bombay), ark:/13960/t53g00h0n; Biswas #0115.
- Warrier, P. K., Nambiar, V. P. K., and Ramankutty, C. (1994–6) (eds.), *Indian Medicinal Plants: A Compendium of 500 Species. Vaidyaratnam P. S. Varier's Arya Vaidya Sala, Kottakal* (Madras: Orient Longman).

- Watt, George (1889–96), A Dictionary of the Economic Products of India (Calcutta: Dept. Revenue and Agriculture, Government of India), URL, accessed 28/04/2021.
- Watt, George (1908), The Commercial Products of India, Being an Abridgement of "the Dictionary of the Economic Products of India" (London: John Murray), ark:/13960/t9t14xh3x.
- Whitney, William Dwight (1885), *The Roots, Verb-forms, and Primary De-rivatives of the Sanskrit Language. A Supplement to his Sanskrit Grammar* (Leipzig: Breitkopf and Härtel), ark:/13960/t3qv3p906.
- Wilson, H. H. (1823), 'On the Medical and Surgical Sciences of the Hindus', *The Oriental Magazine and Calcutta Review*, 1: 207–12, 349–56, URL.
- Wren, R. C. (1956), *Potter's New Cyclopaedia of Botanical Drugs and Preparations*, ed. R. W. Wren (Rustington, Sussex: Health Science Press), ark:/13960/t14n65c9g.
- Wujastyk, Dagmar (2012), Well-mannered Medicine: Medical Ethics and Etiquette in Classical Ayurveda (New York: Oxford University Press). DOI: 10.1093/acprof:0so/9780199856268.001.0001.
- —— (2019), 'Iron Tonics: Tracing the Development from Classical to Iatrochemical Formulations in Ayurveda', *HIMALAYA*, the Journal of the Association for Nepal and Himalayan Studies, 39/1, ISSN: 2471-3716, URL, accessed 23/07/2019.
- Wujastyk, Dominik (1993), 'Indian Medicine', in W. F. Bynum and Roy Porter (eds.), *Companion Encyclopedia of the History of Medicine*, i (London: Routledge), chap. 33, 755–78, ISBN: 0-415-04771-4, URL.
- —— (2003), The Roots of Ayurveda: Selections from Sanskrit Medical Writings (Penguin Classics; 3rd edn., London, New York, etc.: Penguin Group), ISBN: 0-140-44824-1.
- —— (2004), 'Agni and Soma: A Universal Classification', *Studia Asiatica: International Journal for Asian Studies*, IV–V, ed. Eugen Ciurtin: 347–70, ISSN: 1582–9111, URL.
- —— (2013), 'New Manuscript Evidence for the Textual and Cultural History of Early Classical Indian Medicine', in *Medical Texts and Manuscripts in Indian Cultural History*, ed. Dominik Wujastyk, Anthony Cerulli, and Karin Preisendanz (New Delhi: Manohar), 141–57, URL.

- —— (2021), 'MS London BL H. T. Colebrooke 908', URL.
- Zimmermann, F. (1983), 'Suśrutasamhita. Essay review.', *Bulletin of the History of Medicine*, 57/2: 291–3, ISSN: 00075140, URL.
- Zimmermann, Francis (1999), *The Jungle and the Aroma of Meats* (2nd edn., Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass), ISBN: 8120816188.
- Zysk, Kenneth G. (1984), 'An Annotated Bibliography of Translations into Western Languages of Principle Sanskrit Medical Treatises', *Clio Medica*, 19/3–4: 281–91.
- —— (1985), Religious Healing in the Veda: With Translations and Annotations of Medical Hymns from the Rgveda and the Atharvaveda and Renderings from the Corresponding Ritual Texts (Transactions of the American Philosophical Society; Philadelphia: American Philosophical Society), ISBN: 0871697572.
- —— (1986), 'The Evolution of Anatomical Knowledge in Ancient India with Special Reference to Cross-cultural Influences', *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, 106: 687–705. DOI: 10.2307/603532.
- —— (2000), Asceticism and Healing in Ancient India: Medicine in the Buddhist Monastery (Indian Medical Tradition; 2nd edn., Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass); First published 1991. Reprint of 1998 edition.

Glossary

= -=:1	1 1	. 1 6 (1 1 1.
ācārika	cheek-ear	edge of the hole
medical advice 19	gaṇḍakarṇa 17	apāṅga 21
admixture	compressed	elixir salve
prativāpa 20	saṃkṣipṭa 18	rasāñjana 22
āhārya	compressed	eraṇḍapatranāla 💮 🧼
take away 17	saṃkṣipta 17	stalk of the leaf of
apāmarga	convulsions	castor oil plant 22
prickly chaff flower	apatānaka 16	
17	cotton	fastening
apāṅga	ріси 19	āsaṅgima 17
edge of the hole 21	cotton plant	fermented rice-water
apatānaka	kārpāsa 17	dhānyāmla 19
convulsions 16	creeper-ear	
apramatta	vallīkarņa 17	gāḍha
diligent 22	crow's lip	pinched 20
ārā	kākauṣṭha 17	gaṇḍakarṇa
awl 16	cutting	cheek-ear 17
ardhakapāṭasandhika	chedya 19	gandharvahasta
half door-hinge 17	energii = y	castor oil tree 16
āsangima	dais	gauze
fastening 17	pīṭha 18	prota 19
awl	datura flower	ghee
ārā 16	dhattūrapuṣpa 17	sarpis 20
uru 10	decanted liquor	granthi
baddham	surāmaṇḍa 19	lumps 16
bound, connected	dhānyāmla	granthita
21	fermented	lumpy 18
bandha	rice-water 19	rumpy 10
bindings 19	dhattūrapuṣpa	half door-hinge
bhedya	datura flower 17	ardhakapāṭasandhika
splitting 19	dilator	• •
-	pravardhanaka 17	1 7 hīna
bindings bandha 19	diligent	reduced 22
	apramatta 22	
blessings pronounced	door-hinge	hīnakarṇa
svastivācana 15	<u>e</u>	reduced-ear 17
bound, connected	kapāṭasandhika 17 dried flesh	
baddham 21	vallūraka 17	indian madder
cakradhārā	•	mañjiṣṭḥā 16
	duct	inflamed
rim of a wheel 18	sirā 16	saṃrambha 20
castor oil tree	ducts	1.1
gandharvahasta 16	sirā 18	joins
chedya	dusta	sandhāna 17
cutting 19	tainted 19	sandhi 18

kākauṣṭha	vyāyojima 17	scavenging 20
crow's lip 17		pravardhanaka
kapālacūrņa	nala	dilator 17
powdered	reed 22	prickly chaff flower
earthenware	neem tree	apāmarga 17
crockery 19	nimba 1 7	prota
kapāṭasandhika	nemīsandhānaka	gauze 19
door-hinge 17	rim-join 18	puffed up
karnavyadha	nemīsandhānakaḥ	sopha 20
piercing the ear 15	rim-join 17	1
kārpāsa	nimba	raktabaddha
cotton plant 17	neem tree 17	obstructed by
kṛtamaṅgala	nirvedhima	blood 20
received a	ready-split 17	rasāñjana
benediction 15	, i	elixir salve 22
kṣīṇa	obstructed by blood	ready-split
wasted 20	raktabaddha 20	nirvedhima 17
	ointment for rubbing	received a benediction
lead	the ear	kṛtamaṅgala 15
sīsaka 17	udvartana 21	reduced
lekhya	options	hīna 22
scarification 19	vikalpa 18	reduced-ear
lotus-splittable		hīnakarṇa 17
utpalabhedyaka 17	pāka	reed
lumps	septic 20	nala 22
granthi 16	paralysis of the nape of	rim of a wheel
lumpy	the neck	cakradhārā 18
granthita 18	manyāstambhā 16	rim-join
8	pattāṅga	nemīsandhānaka 18
mañjiṣṭhā	sappanwood 22	rim-join
indian madder 16	picu	nemīsandhānakaḥ 17
manyāstambhā	cotton 19	. ,
paralysis of the	piercing the ear	sādhubaddha
nape of the neck 16	kaṛnavyadha 15	well joined 22
mardita	piercing	saṃkṣipta
massaged 21	vyadhana 19	compressed 18
massaged	pinched	compressed 17
mardita 21	gāḍha 20	saṃrambha
may repair	pīṭha	inflamed 20
yojayed 21	dais 18	sandhāna
medical advice	powdered earthenware	joins 17
ācārika 19	crockery	sandhi
miśrakacikitsa	kapālacūrņa 19	joins 18
various treatments	prativāpa	sappanwood
21	admixture 20	pattāṅga 22
multi-joine	nratuda	carnic

ghee 20	stabdha 18, 20	creeper-ear 17
scarification	sunivișța	vallūraka
lekhya 19	very intent 21	dried flesh 17
scavenging	suppurating	various treatments
pratuda 20	srāva 18	miśrakacikitsa 21
seed-eating	suppuration	varti
vișkira 20	srāva 20	wick 16f
septic	surāmaṇḍa	vāta
рāка 2 0	decanted liquor 19	wind 17
sesame oil	svastivācana	very intent
taila 21	blessings	sunivișța 21
sirā	pronounced 15	vikalpa
duct 16	śvayathu	options 18
ducts 18	swelling 16	vișkira
sīsaka	swelling	seed-eating 20
lead 17	śvayathu 16	vyadhana
slice of flesh		piercing 19
vadhra 21f	taila	vyāyojima
śopha	sesame oil 21	multi-joins 17
puffed up 20	tainted	
splitting	duṣṭa 19	wasted
bhedya 19	take away	kṣīṇa 20
srāva	āhārya 17	well joined
suppurating 18		sādhubaddha 22
suppuration 20	udvartana	wick
stabdha	ointment for	varti 16f
stiff 18, 20	rubbing the ear 21	wind
stalk of the leaf of castor	utpalabhedyaka	vāta 17
oil plant	lotus-splittable 17	cum 1/
eraṇḍapatranāla 22	iotus spiituote 1/	yaṣṭīkarṇa
stick-ear	vadhra	stick-ear 17
yaştīkarņa 17	slice of flesh 21f	yojayed
yuşirkurin 17 stiff	vallīkarņa	may repair 21
Still	Ошики пи	Iliay Tepan 21
	40	
	4∨	