# Leveraging Quantum Entropy in Blockchain Networks: Exploring the Decentralization of BB84 Key Generation and QRNG Modules

## Paraxiom

# January 17, 2024

# Contents

1	Background		1
	1.1	Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) and BB84 Protocol	2
	1.2	Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC)	2
	1.3	Decentralization of Quantum Entropy and Blockchain Integration	3
	1.4	Advancements in Quantum Computing and Blockchain Security .	3
2	Enhancing Decentralization in BB84 QKD with Post-Quantum		
	VRF		3
	2.1	Integration of PQ-VRFs in BB84 Protocol	3
	2.2	Advantages of PQ-VRFs in Decentralized QKD	4
3	Enhancing Blockchain Security: Key State Management and		
	Translation of Quantum Keys		4
	3.1	Lifecycle Management of Quantum Keys	4
	3.2	Translating Quantum Keys for Diverse Blockchain Architectures	4
4	Integration and Operational Workflow		5
	4.1	Decentralized Oracle as a Quantum-Safe Bridge	5
	4.2	Operational Workflow with PQ-VRF Integration	5
5	Conclusion		5

# Abstract

This paper delves into the integration of quantum computing advancements in blockchain cryptography, with a dual focus: the implementation of the BB84 protocol within the Kirk network for quantum key generation, and the potential development of Decentralized Quantum Random Number Generators

(DQRNGs). A significant aspect of our approach is the incorporation of Post-Quantum Verifiable Random Functions (PQ-VRFs), as detailed in "Post-Quantum VRF and its Applications in Future-Proof Blockchain System", for enhancing the security of blockchain consensus mechanisms and random beacon generation. We highlight the pivotal role of decentralized oracles in effectively integrating quantum-derived keys into blockchain systems, thereby fortifying network security and operational efficiency. This study presents the challenges and opportunities in harmonizing quantum cryptographic methods with blockchain technologies, underlining the immediate applicability of the BB84 protocol and the prospective integration of DQRNGs and PQ-VRFs. Our aim is to offer a comprehensive perspective on augmenting blockchain infrastructure against emerging quantum threats while preserving the decentralized essence of blockchain networks.

# 1 Background

The emergence of quantum computing presents unique challenges and opportunities in the domain of cryptography, profoundly influencing blockchain protocols and systems. Traditional cryptographic algorithms, like RSA and ECDSA, are vulnerable to quantum attacks, posing significant security risks to blockchain technologies.

## 1.1 Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) and BB84 Protocol

QKD, particularly through the BB84 protocol, provides a quantum-secure method for key distribution using quantum and classical communication channels. Despite its potential, QKD scalability is limited by factors such as quantum state attenuation over distance and infrastructure complexity.

# 1.2 Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC)

Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC) offers a suite of solutions to protect cryptographic processes from the looming threat of quantum computers. It focuses on addressing the vulnerabilities posed by quantum computers to traditional cryptographic algorithms. PQC includes various quantum-resistant asymmetric key algorithms, some of which are:

- 1. Lattice-Based Cryptography: This approach relies on the hardness of lattice problems and encompasses schemes like NTRUEncrypt and Kyber.
- 2. Code-Based Cryptography: These schemes leverage error-correcting codes, making them resistant to quantum attacks. Notable examples include McEliece and BIKE.

- 3. Multivariate Polynomial Cryptography: This category features schemes such as Rainbow and HFE, which are based on the complexity of solving multivariate polynomial equations.
- 4. Hash-Based Cryptography: Post-Quantum security is achieved by using hash functions, as seen in the Merkle Signature Scheme (MSS) and the Winternitz One-Time Signature Scheme.
- 5. Isogeny-Based Cryptography: This emerging field exploits isogenies between elliptic curves to create secure cryptographic primitives. SIKE (Supersingular Isogeny Key Encapsulation) is a prominent example.
- Hash-Based Cryptographic Signatures: These schemes are derived from hash functions and are known for their quantum resistance. Notable examples include XMSS (eXtended Merkle Signature Scheme) and SPHINCS (SPHINCS-256).
- 7. Code-Based Digital Signatures: Code-based cryptography extends its protection to digital signatures with schemes like LUOV (Lizard Union of Oil and Vinegar) and CFS (Classic McEliece & Falcon & Sphinx).
- 8. Zero-Knowledge Proofs: Quantum-resistant zero-knowledge proofs, such as zk-SNARKs (Zero-Knowledge Succinct Non-Interactive Arguments of Knowledge), enhance privacy and security in blockchain and other applications.

These quantum-resistant asymmetric key algorithms play a crucial role in ensuring the continued security of digital communication and data protection in an era where quantum computers pose a significant threat to traditional cryptographic methods.

# 1.3 Decentralization of Quantum Entropy and Blockchain Integration

The inherent decentralization in blockchain necessitates decentralized quantum entropy sources. However, challenges have often led to centralized approaches. Efforts like Lacchain have made strides in distributing quantum entropy across nodes, paving the way for quantum-resistant blockchain technologies.

# 1.4 Advancements in Quantum Computing and Blockchain Security

The rapid progress in quantum computing presents a pressing need for blockchain networks to fortify their security measures. To address this challenge, we focus on two key approaches: the utilization of the BB84 protocol for quantum-resistant blockchain networks and the exploration of Decentralized Quantum Random Number Generators (DQRNGs). These strategies are augmented by the integration of Post-Quantum Verifiable Random Functions (PQ-VRFs),

which play a pivotal role in enhancing blockchain security, specifically in consensus mechanisms and the generation of random beacons. This alignment with blockchain's intrinsic decentralized nature positions us to proactively address emerging quantum threats while preserving the core principles of blockchain technology.

# 2 Enhancing Decentralization in BB84 QKD with Post-Quantum VRF

Incorporating Post-Quantum Verifiable Random Functions (PQ-VRFs) into the BB84 protocol of Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) offers a significant advancement in ensuring the security and decentralization of blockchain networks against quantum computing threats.

### 2.1 Integration of PQ-VRFs in BB84 Protocol

The process of integrating PQ-VRFs into the BB84 protocol involves:

- Nodes within the blockchain network generating PQ-VRF proofs based on random values.
- 2. Achieving network consensus to validate these PQ-VRF proofs.
- 3. Selecting node pairs for initiating the BB84 QKD process based on the validated proofs.
- 4. Proceeding with the standard BB84 protocol for quantum key distribution by the selected node pairs.

#### 2.2 Advantages of PQ-VRFs in Decentralized QKD

The use of PQ-VRFs in decentralized QKD systems offers several benefits:

- Enhanced Security: PQ-VRFs provide robust defense against quantum threats, contributing to the security of the QKD process.
- Improved Scalability: Facilitating efficient management of QKD sessions in large blockchain networks.
- Decentralization and Trust: Upholding the decentralized ethos of blockchain and enhancing trust among network participants.

This approach to integrating PQ-VRFs with the BB84 protocol presents a forward-looking strategy for blockchain-based quantum key distribution systems, aligning with the evolving landscape of quantum computing.

# 3 Enhancing Blockchain Security: Key State Management and Translation of Quantum Keys

As blockchain technology evolves, managing the state and translation of quantum keys becomes increasingly important. This section outlines innovative strategies for handling quantum keys in blockchain systems, particularly focusing on the integration of PQ-VRFs and the BB84 protocol.

### 3.1 Lifecycle Management of Quantum Keys

Key state management is essential in quantum-resistant systems. Our framework categorizes each quantum key into states like UNUSED, POSTED, or REDEEMED. This classification ensures the integrity and one-time use of each key, enhancing the security of the blockchain network.

# 3.2 Translating Quantum Keys for Diverse Blockchain Architectures

Our system includes a decentralized oracle for adapting BB84-derived quantum keys to fit various blockchain encryption schemas. This approach, integrated with PQ-VRFs, enhances the versatility and user-friendliness of our system. The oracle acts as a bridge, converting quantum-safe keys for use within traditional blockchain cryptographic frameworks, thus aligning with the quantum-resistant strategies of the future.

# 4 Integration and Operational Workflow

# 4.1 Decentralized Oracle as a Quantum-Safe Bridge

Our decentralized oracle, enhanced with PQ-VRFs, serves as a critical interface between the quantum network and classical blockchain systems. It plays a pivotal role in translating quantum cryptographic elements like QRNG outputs and BB84 keys into formats compatible with blockchain architectures, ensuring the integrity and usability of quantum-enhanced security features within the blockchain's native protocols.

#### 4.2 Operational Workflow with PQ-VRF Integration

- 1. Generation of quantum keys and random numbers using QRNGs and the BB84 protocol within the quantum network.
- 2. Application of PQ-VRFs for transparent and unbiased node selection in the quantum key generation process.

- 3. The decentralized oracle retrieves, translates, and distributes these quantum elements for blockchain encryption, ensuring their compatibility and security.
- 4. Tracking and managing the lifecycle of each key (UNUSED, POSTED, REDEEMED) for enhanced security and integrity.

## 5 Conclusion

This paper has meticulously examined the integration of the BB84 protocol within the Kirk Network as a pragmatic approach to enhance blockchain security against the looming quantum computing threat. Grounded in the fundamental principles of quantum mechanics, this approach showcases a promising synergy between quantum key distribution and blockchain technology.

While the BB84 protocol within the Kirk Network signifies a significant leap towards quantum-resistant blockchain systems, it is not without its challenges, particularly concerning integration and scalability, especially when deployed across diverse blockchain architectures.

In conclusion, our study underscores the paramount importance of continuous research and development in the realm of quantum-resistant blockchain technologies. The choice between prioritizing the implementation of the BB84 protocol or exploring the potential of DQRNG modules, or even a combination of both, will be contingent on several factors. These factors include the immediate security needs of blockchain networks, the pace of progress in quantum technology, and strategic considerations related to resource allocation and long-term objectives.