CMPT 295 Assignment 8 (2%)

Submit your solutions by Friday, March 29, 2019 10am. Remember, when appropriate, to justify your answers.

1. [6 marks] Latency and Throughput Bounds

A superscalar with four function units can perform integer multiplication and floating point multiplication with computation times (measured in clock cycles) as follows:

	Latency	Issue	Capacity
mul	2	1	2
fmul	4	4	2

For the purposes of the calculations, assume that the cycle time is 500 ps, i.e., an equivalent scalar machine would run at a peak rate of 2 GIPS.

(a) [2 marks] In the case of mul, calculations can be issued every clock cycle (I = 1), but they take 2 clock cycles to complete (L = 2). Does it make sense that the issue time is strictly less than the latency? Why or why not?

Would it make sense if the issue time was strictly greater than the latency? Why or why not?

- (b) [2 marks] Compute the latency bound and throughput bound for mul and fmul. Express your answers in cycles per instruction (CPI) and GIPS.
- (c) [1 mark] Consider a program that does nothing but a long sequence of multiplication instructions. If there are no data dependencies among the multiplications, i.e., they could be run in any order with the maximum degree of parallelism, how quickly could multiplications be performed? Express your answer using GIPS. Give one answer for integer mul and one for floating-point fmul.
- (d) [1 mark] If the program instead contained one long critical path, i.e., one linear data dependent sequence of multiplications like:

how quickly could multiplications be performed? Again, give two answers: one for mul, and one for mul.

over...

2. [14 marks] Code Optimization

There are many transformations you can do to your code to achieve a faster executable. The one that is stressed the most throughout your Computing Science degree is to choose/design the best algorithm. Here "best" refers to the asymptotic behaviour of its running time as the input size N gets large.

The big-O notation is employed to compare algorithms in a way that is independent of the characteristics of both the machine and the programming language. E.g., A O(N) algorithm scales linearly, i.e., doubling the input size will result in doubling in the running time, but a $O(N^2)$ algorithm scales quadratically, i.e., doubling the input size will result in quadrupling the running time.

The virtue of big-O notation is that any lower ordered effects or leading constants are ignored. But that is also the shortcoming of the big-O. As a programmer, by paying careful attention to architecture, memory references, critical paths, and so forth, you can often transform your code and reduce leading constants by 20% or more. These sorts of optimizations can often make the difference between a completely usable product and something that, well, ..., isn't.

You are going to try your hand at optimization. The base code contains a function compute_ranks() that does some data analysis on a list of final grades. Its six arguments are:

- float F[] an array of grades (input);
- int N the number of grades (input);
- int R[] an array of ranks with values from 1...N, where a rank of 1 is awarded to the highest grade and a rank of N to the lowest (output);
- float *avg a pointer to the average of all the grades (output);
- float *passing_avg a pointer to the average of all the grades greater than or equal to 50.0 (output); and
- int *num_passed a pointer to the number of grades greater than or equal to 50.0 (output).

Your code will be judged on the following:

- (a) [-14 marks] Correctness: If you introduce a bug, you will receive a mark of 0 for this question.
- (b) [3 marks] Reducing Memory Aliasing: There are several examples of memory aliasing in the function. Some of them may cause unintentional bugs. You will reduce the occurrences of memory aliasing to zero. This should but might not decrease the overall running time.
- (c) [6 marks] Loop Efficiency: The current layout of the loops is inefficient. Use some of the techniques suggested in class and in your textbook to reduce the work.
- (d) [5 marks + 2 BONUS] Running Time: There are more optimizations possible than just these. Your code will be benchmarked by the marker. If your code achieves a cycle time that is less than X, then you will receive 5/5. What is X, you might ask? Since optimization is usually an open ended problem, X is going to be kept secret.

To properly reward the most motivated among you, the 20 best solutions below X will be awarded 2 BONUS marks.

For your reference, the benchmark of the base code on cpu-csil3.csil.sfu.ca is 7800 cycles; on the computers in ASB 9840, it is 4100 cycles. Use "./x < input" to test. A basic set of optimizations yields benchmarking numbers of 6100 and 2400 respectively. You should consider X to be strictly less than these numbers.

Submit your code to CourSys. Print a hardcopy of your code (handwritten will *not* be accepted) and annotate your code with an explanation of the reduction in memory aliasing (part (b)) and gains in loop efficiency (part (c)).