CAI Assignment 2

Group ID: ADL Group 132

Group Members Name with Student ID:

- 1. PARIKH VEDANT ASHISH ALPA 2023AA05369
- 2. KANSARA HARSH BHARAT BHAVINI 2023AA05351

Documents:

- Jio Financial Services Limited Annual Report 2022-2023 (196 pages)
- Jio Financial Services Limited Annual Report 2023-2024 (233 pages)

```
! pip install \ langchain \ langchain-community \ faiss-cpu \ sentence-transformers \ rank-bm25 \ transformers \ streamlit \ pypdf \ llm\_guard
```

```
Downloading python_dotenv-1.0.1-py3-none-any.whl (19 kB) Downloading typing_inspect-0.9.0-py3-none-any.whl (8.8 kB)
Downloading tldextract-5.1.3-py3-none-any.whl (104 kB)
                                                                      - 104.9/104.9 kB 11.3 MB/s eta 0:00:00
Downloading unidiff-0.7.5-py2.py3-none-any.whl (14 kB)
Downloading mypy_extensions-1.0.0-py3-none-any.whl (4.7 kB)
Downloading requests_file-2.1.0-py2.py3-none-any.whl (4.2 kB)
Building wheels for collected packages: fuzzysearch
   Building wheel for fuzzysearch (setup.py) ... done
Created wheel for fuzzysearch: filename=fuzzysearch-0.7.3-py3-none-any.whl size=21203 sha256=fe15f12c628764d20489783cf23e06e2452836c8f440d43063962d152070d7
    Stored in directory: /root/.cache/pip/wheels/be/ad/2e/bd664c4b01e5535ee4387d8a491311f61467a43627597684a7
 Successfully built fuzzysearch
Installing collected packages: unidiff, phonenumbers, watchdog, structlog, regex, rank-bm25, python-dotenv, pypdf, pycryptodome, oldest-supported-numpy, nvid
Attempting uninstall: regex
       Found existing installation: regex 2024.11.6
       Uninstalling regex-2024.11.6:
Successfully uninstalled regex-2024.11.6
   Attempting uninstall: nvidia-nvjitlink-cu12 Found existing installation: nvidia-nvjitlink-cu12 12.5.82
    Uninstalling nvidia-nvjitlink-cu12-12.5.82:
Successfully uninstalled nvidia-nvjitlink-cu12-12.5.82
Attempting uninstall: nvidia-curand-cu12
       Found existing installation: nvidia-curand-cu12 10.3.6.82 Uninstalling nvidia-curand-cu12-10.3.6.82:
          Successfully uninstalled nvidia-curand-cu12-10.3.6.82
   Attempting uninstall: nvidia-cufft-cu12
Found existing installation: nvidia-cufft-cu12 11.2.3.61
       Uninstalling nvidia-cufft-cu12-11.2.3.61:
    Successfully uninstalled nvidia-cufft-cu12-11.2.3.61
Attempting uninstall: nvidia-cuda-runtime-cu12
Found existing installation: nvidia-cuda-runtime-cu12 12.5.82
    Uninstalling nvidia-cuda-runtime-cu12-12.5.82:
Successfully uninstalled nvidia-cuda-runtime-cu12-12.5.82
Attempting uninstall: nvidia-cuda-nvrtc-cu12
       Found existing installation: nvidia-cuda-nvrtc-cu12 12.5.82 Uninstalling nvidia-cuda-nvrtc-cu12-12.5.82:
          Successfully uninstalled nvidia-cuda-nvrtc-cu12-12.5.82
   Attempting uninstall: nvidia-cuda-cupti-cu12 Found existing installation: nvidia-cuda-cupti-cu12 12.5.82
   Uninstalling nvidia-cuda-cupti-cu12-12.5.82:
Successfully uninstalled nvidia-cuda-cupti-cu12-12.5.82
Attempting uninstall: nvidia-cublas-cu12
       Found existing installation: nvidia-cublas-cu12 12.5.3.2 Uninstalling nvidia-cublas-cu12-12.5.3.2: Successfully uninstalled nvidia-cublas-cu12-12.5.3.2
    Attempting uninstall: nvidia-cusparse-cu12 Found existing installation: nvidia-cusparse-cu12 12.5.1.3 Uninstalling nvidia-cusparse-cu12-12.5.1.3:
   Successfully uninstalled nvidia-cusparse-cu12-12.5.1.3 Attempting uninstall: nvidia-cudnn-cu12
       Found existing installation: nvidia-cudnn-cu12 9.3.0.75
       Uninstalling nvidia-cudnn-cu12-9.3.0.75:
Successfully uninstalled nvidia-cudnn-cu12-9.3.0.75
    Attempting uninstall: nvidia-cusolver-cu12
       Found existing installation: nvidia-cusolver-cu12 11.6.3.83 Uninstalling nvidia-cusolver-cu12-11.6.3.83:
Successfully uninstalled nvidia-cusolver-cu12-11.6.3.83
Successfully installed bc-detect-secrets-1.5.15 dataclasses-json-0.6.7 faiss-cpu-1.10.0 faker-27.4.0 fuzzysearch-0.7.3 httpx-sse-0.4.0 json-repair-0.28.4 lan
```

1. Data Collection & Preprocessing

```
import os
from google.colab import drive

# Mount Google Drive
drive.mount('/content/drive')

# Define the path to your folder in Google Drive
folder_path = '/content/drive/MyDrive/financial_statements'

# Check if the folder exists
if os.path.exists(folder_path):
    print(f"Folder '{folder_path}' found.")
else:
    print(f"Error: Folder '{folder_path}' not found in your Google Drive.")
```

```
Load & Process PDFs
from langchain.document_loaders import PyPDFLoader
from \ langchain.text\_splitter \ import \ RecursiveCharacterTextSplitter
from google.colab import drive
drive.mount('/content/drive',force_remount=True)
# Load multiple PDFs
def load_pdfs_with_langchain(pdf_folder):
     documents = []
     for pdf_file in os.listdir(pdf_folder):
           if pdf_file.endswith(".pdf"):
                loader = PyPDFLoader(os.path.join(pdf_folder, pdf_file))
                documents.extend(loader.load())
     return documents
# Process PDFs
pdf_folder = "/content/drive/MyDrive/financial_statements"
docs = load_pdfs_with_langchain(pdf_folder)

→ Mounted at /content/drive

    2. Basic RAG Implementation

2.1 Convert financial documents into text chunks.
# Text Chunking
text_splitter = RecursiveCharacterTextSplitter(chunk_size=512, chunk_overlap=200)
chunks = text_splitter.split_documents(docs)
# Extract text from LangChain document objects
chunks\_text = [chunk.page\_content \ for \ chunk \ in \ chunks]
2.2 Embed using a pre-trained model
    • using sentence-transformers/all-MiniLM-L6-v2
from \ langehain. embeddings \ import \ Hugging Face Embeddings
import faiss
import numpy as np
from rank_bm25 import BM250kapi
# Load embedding model
\verb|embedding_model| = \verb|HuggingFaceEmbeddings(model_name="sentence-transformers/all-MiniLM-L6-v2")| \\
# Compute embeddings
chunk_embeddings = embedding_model.embed_documents(chunks_text)
chunk_embeddings = np.array(chunk_embeddings)
      <ipython-input-6-7349afffce2c>:7: LangChainDeprecationWarning: The class `HuggingFaceEmbeddings` was deprecated in LangChain 0.2.2 and will be removed in 1.0
      embedding_model = HuggingFaceEmbeddings(model_name="sentence-transformers/all-MiniLM-L6-v2"
/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/huggingface_hub/utils/_auth.py:94: UserWarning:
      The secret `HF_TOKEN` does not exist in your Colab secrets. To authenticate with the Hugging Face Hub, create a token in your settings tab (<a href="https://huggingface.co/settings/tokens">https://huggingface.co/settings/tokens</a>), set it as secret in your Google Cola You will be able to reuse this secret in all of your notebooks. Please note that authentication is recommended but still optional to access public models or datasets.
         warnings.warn(
      modules.json: 100%
                                                                        349/349 [00:00<00:00, 19.2kB/s]
      config_sentence_transformers.json: 100%
                                                                                           116/116 [00:00<00:00, 7.10kB/s]
      README.md: 100%
                                                                        10.5k/10.5k [00:00<00:00, 877kB/s]
                                                                                   53.0/53.0 [00:00<00:00, 5.53kB/s]
      sentence_bert_config.json: 100%
      config.json: 100%
                                                                      612/612 [00:00<00:00, 54.7kB/s]
      model.safetensors: 100%
                                                                            90.9M/90.9M [00:00<00:00, 188MB/s]
      tokenizer config.json: 100%
                                                                               350/350 [00:00<00:00, 30.3kB/s]
      vocab.txt: 100%
                                                                    232k/232k [00:00<00:00, 3.62MB/s]
      tokenizer.json: 100%
                                                                        466k/466k [00:00<00:00, 6.82MB/s]
                                                                                  112/112 [00:00<00:00, 10.8kB/s]
      special tokens map.json: 100%
      config.json: 100%
                                                                      190/190 [00:00<00:00, 18.4kB/s]
```

2.3 Store and retrieve using a basic vector database

· Using FAISS vector db

Mounted at /content/drive

'/content/drive/MyDrive/financial_statements' found.

```
# Create FAISS index
embedding_dim = chunk_embeddings.shape[1]
faiss_index = faiss.IndexFlatL2(embedding_dim)
faiss index.add(chunk embeddings)
```

```
def basic_chunk_retrival(query: str, top_k=3):
     # Embedding-Based Retrieval
     query_embedding = np.array([embedding_model.embed_query(query)])
     _, faiss_top_indices = faiss_index.search(query_embedding, top_k)
     # Merge Results
     retrieved_chunks = [chunks_text[i] for i in set(faiss_top_indices[0])]
     return retrieved_chunks
Demo of basic retrival
temp_chunks = basic_chunk_retrival("Operational Revenue")
for i, chunk in enumerate(temp_chunks):
  print(f"\nChunk {i}: {chunk}")
     Chunk 0: is accounted when the Group's right to
      receive the dividend is established.
l Other revenue from operations
      The Group recognises revenue from
      contracts with customers (other than financial assets to which Ind AS 109
     'Financial instruments' is applicable) based
on a comprehensive assessment model as
set out in Ind AS 115 'Revenue from contracts
      with customers. Revenue is measured
      at the transaction price allocated to the
     performance obligation in accordance with
     Chunk 1: Revenue from rendering of services is recognised over time by measuring the progress towards complete satisfaction of performance obligations at the reporting period.
     Revenue is measured at the amount of consideration which the company expects to be
     entitled to in exchange for transferring distinct goods or services to a customer as
      specified in the contract, excluding amounts collected on behalf of third parties (for
     Chunk 2: The CODM is responsible for allocating resources and
     assessing the performance of the operating segments
     of the Group.
      The accounting policies adopted for segment
     reporting are in conformity with the accounting policies of the Group. Segment revenue, segment expenses, segment assets and segment liabilities have been identified to segments on the basis of
      their relationship to the operating activities of the
      segment. Revenue, expenses, assets and liabilities
3. Advanced RAG Implementation

▼ Improve retrieval by: Using BM25 for keyword-based search alongside embeddings

# BM25 Keyword Index
tokenized_chunks = [chunk.split() for chunk in chunks_text]
bm25 = BM250kapi(tokenized_chunks)
Retrieval (Hybrid: BM25 + Embeddings)
Retrieve top relevant chunks using BM25 + FAISS.
def hybrid_retrieval(query, top_k=3):
     # BM25 Retrieval
     bm25_scores = bm25.get_scores(query.split())
     bm25_top_indices = np.argsort(bm25_scores)[-top_k:]
     # Embedding-Based Retrieval
     query_embedding = np.array([embedding_model.embed_query(query)])
     scores, faiss_top_indices = faiss_index.search(query_embedding, top_k)
     # Merge Results
     retrieved_indices = set(bm25_top_indices) | set(faiss_top_indices[0])
     retrieved_chunks = [chunks_text[i] for i in retrieved_indices]
     return retrieved_chunks
Demo of hybrid retrival
temp_chunks = hybrid_retrieval("Operational Revenue")
for i, chunk in enumerate(temp_chunks):
  print(f"\nChunk {i}: {chunk}")
 Operational risk is the risk arising from inadequate or failed internal processes, people or systems, or from external events.
     The Group manages operational risks through comprehensive internal control systems and procedures laid down around various key activities in the Group viz. loan acquisition, customer service, IT operations, finance function etc. Further IT
      and operations have a dedicated compliance and control units within the function who on continuous basis review internal
     Chunk 1: The CODM is responsible for allocating resources and
     assessing the performance of the operating segments
     of the Group.
```

```
policies of the Group, Segment revenue, Segment
expenses, segment assets and segment liabilities have been identified to segments on the basis of
their relationship to the operating activities of the
segment. Revenue, expenses, assets and liabilities
Chunk 2: Revenue from rendering of services is recognised over time by measuring the progress
towards complete satisfaction of performance obligations at the reporting period
Revenue is measured at the amount of consideration which the company expects to be entitled to in exchange for transferring distinct goods or services to a customer as \frac{1}{2}
specified in the contract, excluding amounts collected on behalf of third parties (for
Chunk 3: is accounted when the Group's right to receive the dividend is established.
l Other revenue from operations
The Group recognises revenue from contracts with customers (other than financial assets to which Ind AS 109 'Financial instruments' is applicable) based on a comprehensive assessment model as
set out in Ind AS 115 'Revenue from contracts
with customers. Revenue is measured at the transaction price allocated to the
performance obligation in accordance with
Chunk 4: 1 year
More than
1 vear
Total
Trade payables 2.39 - 2.39 0.09 - 0.09
Borrowings - - - 742.77 - 742.77
Other financial liabilities 1.18 - 1.18 - - -
Total 3.57 - 3.57 742.86 - 742.86
Operational Risk
Operational risk is the risk arising from inadequate or failed internal processes, people or systems, or from external events.
The Company manages operational risks through comprehensive internal control systems and procedures laid down
Chunk 5: management process involves analysis of sources
and uses of funds and understanding of the funding markets in which the entity operates. The \ensuremath{\mathsf{ALCO}}
oversees the liquidity management framework.
l Operational Risk is the risk of loss resulting from
inadequate or failed internal processes, people, and
systems, or from external events. JFSL identifies operational risks inherent in all its activities,
processes, and systems. The Company has setup
an Operational Risk Management Committee
```

Adaptive Retrieval Technique (for my group): Chunk Merging & Adaptive Retrieval

```
\label{lem:chunk_retrieval(query, base\_top\_k=3, merge\_threshold=0.5):} \\
    # Adjust top_k dynamically based on query length and complexity
    query_length = len(query.split())
    top_k = min(base_top_k + query_length // 5, 10) # Increase top_k for longer queries
    # Step 1: BM25 Retrieval
    bm25_scores = bm25.get_scores(query.split())
    bm25_top_indices = np.argsort(bm25_scores)[-top_k:]
    # Step 2: Embedding-Based Retrieval
    query_embedding = np.array([embedding_model.embed_query(query)])
    _, faiss_top_indices = faiss_index.search(query_embedding, top_k)
    # Step 3: Merge Results
    retrieved_indices = set(bm25_top_indices) | set(faiss_top_indices[0])
    retrieved_chunks = [(i, chunks_text[i]) for i in retrieved_indices]
    # Step 4: Adaptive Chunk Merging
   merged_chunks = []
seen_indices = set()
    for idx. chunk in retrieved chunks:
        if idx in seen_indices:
            continue
        merged_chunk = chunk
        for other_idx, other_chunk in retrieved_chunks:
            if idx != other_idx and similarity(chunk, other_chunk) > merge_threshold:
                print("merging chunks..")
merged_chunk += " " + other_chunk # Merge similar chunks
                seen_indices.add(other_idx)
        merged_chunks.append(merged_chunk)
        seen_indices.add(idx)
    return merged_chunks
def similarity(text1, text2):
    # Compute cosine similarity between two text embeddings
    emb1 = embedding_model.embed_query(text1)
    emb2 = embedding_model.embed_query(text2)
    return np.dot(emb1, emb2) / (np.linalg.norm(emb1) * np.linalg.norm(emb2))
Demo of Chunk Merging & Adaptive Retrieval
temp_chunks = adaptive_chunk_retrieval("Operational Revenue")
for i, chunk in enumerate(temp_chunks):
```

print(f"\nChunk {i}: {chunk}")

```
→ merging chunks...
    merging chunks..
    merging chunks..
    merging chunks..
    Chunk 0: Operational risk
    Operational risk is the risk arising from inadequate or failed internal processes, people or systems, or from external events.
     The Group manages operational risks through comprehensive internal control systems and procedures laid down around
    various key activities in the Group viz. loan acquisition, customer service, IT operations, finance function etc. Further IT and operations have a dedicated compliance and control units within the function who on continuous basis review internal 1 year
    More than
    1 year
Total
    Trade payables 2.39 - 2.39 0.09 - 0.09

Borrowings - - 742.77 - 742.77

Other financial liabilities 1.18 - 1.18 - -
     Total 3.57 - 3.57 742.86 - 742.86
    Operational Risk
     Operational risk is the risk arising from inadequate or failed internal processes, people or systems, or from external events.
    The Company manages operational risks through comprehensive internal control systems and procedures laid down management process involves analysis of sources and uses of funds and understanding of the funding
    markets in which the entity operates. The ALCO
    oversees the liquidity management framework.
l Operational Risk is the risk of loss resulting from
     inadequate or failed internal processes, people, and
    systems, or from external events. JFSL identifies operational risks inherent in all its activities,
    processes, and systems. The Company has setup
    an Operational Risk Management Committee
    Chunk 1: The CODM is responsible for allocating resources and
    assessing the performance of the operating segments
    of the Group.
     The accounting policies adopted for segment
     reporting are in conformity with the accounting
    policies of the Group. Segment revenue, segment
    expenses, segment assets and segment liabilities have been identified to segments on the basis of
     their relationship to the operating activities of the
     segment. Revenue, expenses, assets and liabilities Revenue from rendering of services is recognised over time by measuring the progress
     towards complete satisfaction of performance obligations at the reporting period.
    Revenue is measured at the amount of consideration which the company expects to be
    entitled to in exchange for transferring distinct goods or services to a customer as
     specified in the contract, excluding amounts collected on behalf of third parties (for is accounted when the Group's right to
     receive the dividend is established.

l Other revenue from operations
     The Group recognises revenue from
    rountracts with customers (other than
financial assets to which Ind AS 109
'Financial instruments' is applicable) based
on a comprehensive assessment model as
     set out in Ind AS 115 'Revenue from contracts
     with customers. Revenue is measured
     at the transaction price allocated to the
    performance obligation in accordance with
```

4. UI Development -- Attached in pdf images and link

5. Guard Rail Implementation

Input-Side: Validate and filter user queries to prevent harmful inputs

Scanner completed

```
from llm_guard.input_scanners import PromptInjection, Toxicity
from llm_guard import scan_output, scan_prompt
from llm_guard import scan_output, scan_prompt
# Set up your llm quard scanners and filter
input scanners = [Toxicity(), PromptInjection()]
# Define a function to filter user queries
def safe_user_query(user_query: str) -> str:
   sanitized_prompt, is_valid, risk_score = scan_prompt(input_scanners, user_query, fail_fast=True)
   print(f"Is Valid: {is_valid}, Risk Score: {risk_score}")
    return sanitized_prompt, is_valid, risk_score
→ 2025-03-16 17:52:59 [debug
                                 ] Initialized classification model device=device(type='cuda', index=0) model=Model(path='unitary/unbiased-toxic-roberta', subfo
    Device set to use cuda:0
    2025-03-16 17:53:03 [debug
                                  ] Initialized classification model device=device(type='cuda', index=0) model=Model(path='protectai/deberta-v3-base-prompt-injec
    Device set to use cuda:0
Example of valid query
# Example: filter a user query
user_query = "What is the revenue of Jio?"
print(safe_user_query(user_query))
```

2025-03-16 17:53:59 [debug] No prompt injection detected highest_score=0.0 2025-03-16 17:53:59 [info] Scanner completed elapsed_time_seconds=0.036833 is_valid=True scanner=PromptInjection 2025-03-16 17:53:59 [info] Scanned prompt elapsed_time_seconds=0.098313 scores={'Toxicity': 0.0, 'PromptInjection': 0.0} Is Valid: {'Toxicity': True, 'PromptInjection': True}, Risk Score: {'Toxicity': 0.0, 'PromptInjection': 0.0} ('What is the revenue of Jio?', {'Toxicity': True, 'PromptInjection': True}, {'Toxicity': 0.0, 'PromptInjection': 0.0})

] Not toxicity found in the text results=[[{'label': 'toxicity', 'score': 0.0009619480697438121}, {'label': 'insult', 'score':

elapsed_time_seconds=0.057746 is_valid=True scanner=Toxicity

2025-03-16 17:53:59 [debug

2025-03-16 17:53:59 [debug

```
# Example: filter a user query
user_query = "Fuck you!?"
print(safe_user_query(user_query))
  2025-03-16 17:53:14 [warning | Detected toxicity in the text results=[{'label': 'toxicity', 'score': 0.9969322681427002}, {'label': 'obscene', 'score': 0.9 2025-03-16 17:53:14 [debug | Scanner completed | S
                                                                                                                                                                                 ] Scanner completed
                       2025-03-16 17:53:14 [info ] Scanned prompt
Is Valid: {'Toxicity': False}, Risk Score: {'Toxicity': 1.0}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           elapsed_time_seconds=0.055665 scores={'Toxicity': 1.0}
                        ('Fuck you!?', {'Toxicity': False}, {'Toxicity': 1.0})
```

Here we can see the Toxicity flag has been tripped and input will be blocked

Completing rest of the RAG pieces

if debug:

if debug:

if debug:

prompt = f"" Instructions:

print("\n---\n")

CONTEXT: {context}

print("\n---\n")

print("\n---\n")

print(f"Prompt:\n{prompt}")

prompt = prompt[:2000] # Restrict size

if debua:

print("\n---\n")

if retrival_technique == "basic":

print("Chunks fetched are: ")

elif retrival_technique == "hybrid":

elif retrival_technique == "adaptive":

Step 3: Generate prompt (Augmented)

for no, chunk in enumerate(retrieved_chunks): context += f"Chunk {no}:\n{chunk}\n' $print(f"Chunk {no}:\n{chunk}\n')$

You must reply using on the provided CONTEXT only.

retrieved_chunks = basic_chunk_retrival(query)

retrieved_chunks = hybrid_retrieval(query, 2)

retrieved_chunks = adaptive_chunk_retrieval(query)

```
    Loading and SLM

# Use a pipeline as a high-level helper
from transformers import pipeline
messages = [
    {"role": "user", "content": "Who are you?"},
pipe = pipeline("text-generation", model="TinyLlama/TinyLlama-1.1B-Chat-v1.0")
# pipe = pipeline("text-generation", model="Doctor-Shotgun/TinyLlama-1.1B-32k-Instruct")
pipe(messages)
content': 'I am a machine learning model that was trained on a vast dataset of human speech. I was created using advanced algorithms and artificial
     intelligence techniques to analyze and understand human speech patterns. My primary goal is to improve the accuracy and efficiency of speech recognition and
    translation systems.'}]}]

    Completing RAG Pipeline

from transformers import pipeline
slm = pipe
def generate_answer_using_rag(query: str, retrival_technique: str = "hybrid", debug=False):
    ## Safegaurd
    if debug:
     print("\n-- START --\n")
     print(f"Step 1: Filtering user query using Safegaurds\n")
    sanitized_query, is_valid, risk_score = safe_user_query(query)
    if debug:
     print(f"Risk scores: {risk_score}")
    if False in is_valid.values():
       return f"Invalid query. Risk score: {risk_score}"
       query = sanitized_query
    ## Step 2: Retrieve relevant chunks (Retrieval)
```

print(f"Step 2: Retrieve top relevant chunks to user query retrival technique: {retrival_technique}\n")

If the 'CONTEXT' does not contain the information necessary to answer the query, answer with 'I don't know' Note: Do NOT answer questions outside that are not in the 'CONTEXT'. 'CONTEXT' is your single source of truth

print(f"Step 3: Created an LLM prompt with the fetched relevant chunks added.\n")

print(f"Step 4: Now we will invoking SLM with this prompt\n")

6. Testing & Validation

Basic rag with all steps printed

 ${\tt generate_answer_using_rag("What is the Total Expenses", "basic", debug=True)}$

```
-- START --
```

charges

- - 7.94 - - 7.94

```
Step 1: Filtering user query using Safegaurds
                                       | Not toxicity found in the text results=[[{'label': 'toxicity', 'score': 0.0004098625504411757}, {'label': 'male', 'score': 0. | Scanner completed elapsed_time_seconds=0.059479 is_valid=True scanner=Toxicity | highest_score=0.0
2025-03-16 18:06:02 [debug 2025-03-16 18:06:02 [debug
2025-03-16 18:06:02 [debug
2025-03-16 18:06:02 [debug ] Scanner completed elapsed_time_seconds=0.090993 is_valid=True s elapsed_time_seconds=0.155037 scores={'Toxicit Is Valid: {'Toxicity': True, 'PromptInjection': True}, Risk Score: {'Toxicity': 0.0, 'PromptInjection': 0.0}
                                                                                    elapsed_time_seconds=0.090993 is_valid=True scanner=PromptInjection
elapsed_time_seconds=0.155037 scores={'Toxicity': 0.0, 'PromptInjection': 0.0}
Step 2: Retrieve top relevant chunks to user query retrival technique: basic
Chunks fetched are:
transferred to the Company and its subsidiaries as a result of the demerger.
Analysis of Total Expenses
The consolidated total expense, excluding employee benefits expenses and impairment, increased to ₹ 209.22 crore in
a. Staff costs of ₹ 116.04 crore, reflecting the costs of employees of the Company and its subsidiaries in FY24. At a
Chunk 1:
28. Other expenses ₹ in crore
For the year ended 31st March, 2024
For the year ended
31st March, 2023
Rent, taxes and energy costs 10.11 0.13
Selling and distribution expenses 7.41 –
Director's sitting fees 1.73 –
Commission to non-executive directors 1.17 –
Auditors fees and expenses 0.86 0.13
Legal and professional fees 46.39 1.35
Insurance expenses 0.29 -
Payment processing charges 49.73 -
Information technology expenses 40.46 -
Chunk 2:
12 Information and technology
fees
- 32.94 - - - 32.94
13 Payment processing
- 7.94 - - 7.94
14 Selling and distribution
expenses - 1.82 - - - 1.82
15 CSR expenses paid - - - 9.33 9.33 - - - 3.41 3.41
16 General expenses - 0.65 - - - 0.65
17 Payment to key
management personnel
- - - 5.38 - 5.38
- - 0.10 - 0.10
Figures in italic represents previous year's amount
Step 3: Created an LLM prompt with the fetched relevant chunks added.
Prompt:
      Instructions:
                  reply using on the provided CONTEXT only.
     If the 'CONTEXT' does not contain the information necessary to answer the query, answer with 'I don't know'
     Note: Do NOT answer questions outside that are not in the 'CONTEXT'. 'CONTEXT' is your single source of truth
     CONTEXT: Chunk 0:
transferred to the Company and its subsidiaries as a result of the demerger. Analysis of Total Expenses
The consolidated total expense, excluding employee benefits expenses and impairment, increased to ₹ 209.22 crore in
FY24, compared to ₹ 5.56 crore in FY23, primarily due to:
a. Staff costs of ₹ 116.04 crore, reflecting the costs of employees of the Company and its subsidiaries in FY24. At a
Chunk 1:
28. Other expenses ₹ in crore
For the year ended
31st March, 2024
For the year ended
31st March, 2023
Rent, taxes and energy costs 10.11 0.13
Selling and distribution expenses 7.41 - Director's sitting fees 1.73 -
Commission to non-executive directors 1.17 -
Auditors fees and expenses 0.86 0.13
Legal and professional fees 46.39 1.35
Insurance expenses 0.29 -
Payment processing charges 49.73 –
Information technology expenses 40.46 –
Chunk 2:
12 Information and technology
 fees
- 32.94 - - - 32.94
13 Payment processing
```

```
14 Selling and distribution
expenses - 1.82 - - - 1.82
15 CSR expenses paid - - - 9.33 9.33 - - - 3.41 3.41
16 General expenses - 0.65 - - - 0.65
17 Payment to key
management personnel
- - - 5.38 - 5.38
- - 0.10 - 0.10
Figures in italic represents previous year's amount
Step 4: Now we will invoking SLM with this prompt
Step 4.1: SLM's Response (this will be the final response shown to the user).
OUESTION: What is the Total Expenses
ANSWER: The Total Expenses in the given context are:
- ₹ 209.22 crore in FY24, compared to ₹ 5.56 crore in FY23, primarily due to:
     - Staff costs of ₹ 116.04 crore, reflecting the costs of employees of the Company and its subsidiaries in FY24.

    Rent, taxes, and energy costs of 10.11 crore, 0.13 crore, and 7.41 crore, respectively.
    Selling and distribution expenses of 7.41 crore, 0.13 crore, and 3.78 crore, respectively.
    Director's sitting fees of 1.73 crore, 0.13 crore, and 0.86 crore, respectively.

   - Commission to non-executive directors of 1.17 crore, 0.13 crore, and 0.86 crore, respectively. - Auditors fees and expenses of 46.39 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.
   - Legal and professional fees of 49.73 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.
   - Information technology expenses of 40.46 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.

- Payment processing charges of 32.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.

- Payment processing charges of 7.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.

- Selling and distribution expenses of 1.82 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.

- CSR expenses paid of 9.33 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.
   - General expenses of 3.41 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.
- Payment to key management personnel of 5.38 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.
```

Note: The figures in italic represent previous year's amounts.

-- END --

'The Total Expenses in the given context are:\n\n- ₹ 209.22 crore in FY24, compared to ₹ 5.56 crore in FY23, primarily due to:\n - Staff costs of ₹ 116.04 crore, reflecting the costs of employees of the Company and its subsidiaries in FY24.\n - Rent, taxes, and energy costs of 10.11 crore, 0.13 crore, and 7.41 crore, respectively.\n - Selling and distribution expenses of 7.41 crore, 0.13 crore, and 3.78 crore, respectively.\n - Director's sitting fees of 1.73 crore, 0.13 crore, and 0.86 crore, respectively.\n - Auditors fees and expenses of 46.39 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Legal and professional fees of 49.73 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Information technology expenses of 40.46 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Payment processing charges of 32.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Payment processing charges of 32.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Payment processing charges of 32.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Payment processing charges of 32.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Payment processing charges of 32.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Payment processing charges of 32.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Payment processing charges of 32.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Payment processing charges of 32.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Payment processing charges of 32.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Payment processing charges of 32.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Payment processing charges of 32.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Payment processing charges of 32.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Payment processing charges of 32.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Payment processing charges of 32.94 crore, 1.35 crore, and 0.13 crore, respectively.\n - Payment p

```
→ Run for 2. Basic RAG Implementation

generate_answer_using_rag("WHat is the Total Expenses of Jio?", "basic")
                                                            ] Not toxicity found in the text results=[[{'label': 'toxicity', 'score': 0.01275589782744646}, {'label': 'obscene', 'score': 0
 ₹ 2025-03-16 18:09:32 [debug
         2025-03-16 18:09:32 [debug
                                                               Scanner completed
                                                                                                                      elapsed_time_seconds=0.055764 is_valid=True scanner=Toxicity
         2025-03-16 18:09:32 [debug
                                                               No prompt injection detected
                                                                                                                    highest score=0.0
                                                                                                                     elapsed_time_seconds=0.038897 is_valid=True scanner=PromptInjection elapsed_time_seconds=0.097601 scores={'Toxicity': 0.0, 'PromptInjection': 0.0}
         2025-03-16 18:09:32 [debug
                                                               Scanner completed
        2025-03-16 18:09:32 [info
                                                            ] Scanned prompt
        Is Valid: {'Toxicity': True, 'PromptInjection': True}, Risk Score: {'Toxicity': 0.0, 'PromptInjection': 0.0}
        QUESTION: WHat is the Total Expenses of Jio?
ANSWER: The Total Expenses of Jio are 117.06 (4.50) in the CONTEXT.
         'The Total Expenses of Jio are 117.06 (4.50) in the CONTEXT.'

    Run for 3. Advanced RAG Implementation - hybrid retrival

generate_answer_using_rag("WHat is the Total Expenses of Jio?", "hybrid")
                                                               Not toxicity found in the text results=[[{'label': 'toxicity', 'score': 0.01275589782744646}, {'label': 'obscene', 'score': 0.01275589782744646}, {'label': 'obscene', 'score': 0.0127589782744646}, {'label': 'obscene', 'score': 0.012758978274646}, {'label': 0.012758978274646}, {'label': 0.012758978274646}, {'label': 0.012758978274646}, {'label': 0.012758978274646}, {'label': 0.012758978274646}, {'label': 0.01275
       2025-03-16 18:09:38 [debug
         2025-03-16 18:09:38 [debug
                                                               Scanner completed
        2025-03-16 18:09:38 [debug
                                                               No prompt injection detected
                                                                                                                     highest_score=0.0
                                                                                                                     elapsed_time_seconds=0.035629 is_valid=True scanner=PromptInjection elapsed_time_seconds=0.096913 scores={'Toxicity': 0.0, 'PromptInjection': 0.0}
         2025-03-16 18:09:38 [debug
                                                               Scanner completed
         2025-03-16 18:09:38 [info
                                                            ] Scanned prompt
        Is Valid: {'Toxicity': True, 'PromptInjection': True}, Risk Score: {'Toxicity': 0.0, 'PromptInjection': 0.0}
        QUESTION: WHat is the Total Expenses of Jio?
        ANSWER: The Total Expenses of Jio in FY24, as per the provided context, are ₹ 117.06 crore, which is a significant increase from the previous year's figure o
        'The Total Expenses of Jio in FY24, as per the provided context, are ₹ 117.06 crore, which is a significant increase from the previous year's figure of ₹ 5.5
        6 crore.

    Run for 3. Advanced RAG Implementation - adaptive retrival

generate_answer_using_rag("WHat is the Total Expenses of Jio?", "adaptive")
                                                            ] Not toxicity found in the text results=[[{'label': 'toxicity', 'score': 0.01275589782744646}, {'label': 'obscene', 'score': 0 | Scanner completed elapsed_time_seconds=0.054111 is_valid=True scanner=Toxicity
       2025-03-16 18:10:06 [debug
         2025-03-16 18:10:06 [debug
         2025-03-16 18:10:06 [debug
                                                               No prompt injection detected
                                                                                                                     highest_score=0.0
                                                                                                                     elapsed_time_seconds=0.03573 is_valid=True scanner=PromptInjection elapsed_time_seconds=0.091626 scores={'Toxicity': 0.0, 'PromptInjection': 0.0}
        2025-03-16 18:10:06 [debug
                                                               Scanner completed
         2025-03-16 18:10:06 [info
                                                               Scanned prompt
        Is Valid: {'Toxicity': True, 'PromptInjection': True}, Risk Score: {'Toxicity': 0.0, 'PromptInjection': 0.0}
        merging chunks...
        merging chunks..
        merging chunks..
        merging chunks..
        merging chunks.
        QUESTION: WHat is the Total Expenses of Jio?
        ANSWER: The Total Expenses of Jio in FY24 are ₹ 117.06 crore, which is the standalone total expense of the company, excluding impairment, as mentioned in the
        'The Total Expenses of Jio in FY24 are ₹ 117.06 crore, which is the standalone total expense of the company, excluding impairment, as mentioned in the given
        context.
 Double-click (or enter) to edit

    Run for 5. Guard Rail Implementation
```

generate_answer_using_rag("Fuck you jio!", "adaptive")

```
2025-03-16 18:13:24 [warning ] Detected toxicity in the text results=[{'label': 'toxicity', 'score': 0.9977078437805176}, {'label': 'insult', 'score': 0.98 2025-03-16 18:13:24 [debug ] Scanner completed elapsed_time_seconds=0.052576 is_valid=False scanner=Toxicity
```