

Contribution Title^{*}

First Author^{1[0000–1111–2222–3333]}, Second Author^{2,3[1111–2222–3333–4444]}, and
Third Author^{3[2222–3333–4444–5555]}

¹ Princeton University, Princeton NJ 08544, USA

² Springer Heidelberg, Tiergartenstr. 17, 69121 Heidelberg, Germany

lncs@springer.com

<http://www.springer.com/gp/computer-science/lncs>

³ ABC Institute, Rupert-Karls-University Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
{abc,lncs}@uni-heidelberg.de

Abstract. The abstract should briefly summarize the contents of the paper in 150–250 words.

Keywords: First keyword · Second keyword · Another keyword.

1 Introduction

2 Related Work

2.1 Pedestrian Navigation and Traffic Safety Tasks

2.2 Scenario Description Languages and Task Specification

2.3 Human-in-the-Loop Simulation and Virtual Reality

2.4 Large Language Models for Structured Scenario Generation

3 Method

3.1 Pedestrian Navigation Task Taxonomy

Scope and Inclusion Criteria This work targets the generation of pedestrian navigation scenarios for human-controlled users in XR-based simulation. The pedestrian itself is not behaviorally simulated; instead, the scenario specifies navigation objectives, constraints, triggers, and evaluation conditions, while execution and decision-making are performed by the user.

We define a pedestrian navigation task as an atomic interaction unit that satisfies the following criteria:

- **Intentionality:** the task encodes a clear navigation objective or decision the user must perform.

^{*} Supported by organization x.

- **Environmental grounding:** the task is defined with respect to explicit spatial, traffic, or semantic elements of the environment.
- **Evaluability:** the task admits objective success and failure conditions observable by the simulator.
- **Composability:** the task can be combined sequentially or hierarchically with other tasks to form longer scenarios.
- **Scenario expressibility:** the task can be represented declaratively using OpenSCENARIO-style constructs (e.g., goals, constraints, triggers).

Tasks that differ only in low-level motion execution are excluded, as locomotion is performed by the user and not modeled by the system.

Task Taxonomy Table 1 summarizes the resulting pedestrian navigation task taxonomy, including task definitions and corresponding success and failure conditions.

4 Implementation

5 Evaluation

6 Conclusion

Acknowledgements

References

| Task | Description | Example Scenario | Success / Failure |
|-----------------------------|--|--|---|
| Point-to-point | Reach a target location via walkable areas | Walk from building entrance to bus stop | Arrive within tolerance / enter restricted area |
| Crosswalk-based | Cross a road using a designated crosswalk | Cross a two-lane street at a marked crosswalk | Stay within crosswalk / leave crosswalk |
| Multi-step routes | Complete ordered sequence of sub-goals | Sidewalk → crosswalk → bus stop | All steps in order / skipped or reordered step |
| Traffic-aware crossing | Cross only when a safe traffic gap exists | Wait for vehicles to pass before crossing | Gap above threshold / unsafe gap |
| Constrained path navigation | Navigate using permitted paths only | Follow sidewalk around a fenced area | Compliant arrival / forbidden shortcut |
| Environmental constrained | Avoid static or semantic obstacles | Navigate around construction barriers | No restricted-zone entry / collision or violation |
| Triggered navigation | Begin movement after an event trigger | Start walking when pedestrian light turns green | Move after trigger / premature movement |
| Tolerance-based navigation | Reach target with positional precision | Stand at a bus stop marker | Within tolerance region / outside bounds |
| Dynamic navigation | Adapt path due to dynamic obstacles | Re-route when another pedestrian blocks the path | Successful adaptation / deadlock |
| Speed adaptation | Adapt walking speed to context | Slow down because of a slower entity in front | Speed within limits / speed violation |

Table 1. Pedestrian navigation task taxonomy with representative example scenarios and evaluation criteria.