

**1. Discuss at least three distinct choices you made in your literature review with regard to its content (what you wrote) and its language (how you wrote it) to effectively tailor the writing for your disciplinary audience.**

I talked about significant events regarding data breaches to describe the magnitude of the issues in AI/security field. Given that my target audience was mostly common tech users and smaller tech companies, it was important to keep everything in simple terms and concise to make sure everyone would understand.

I provided thorough explanations regarding solutions and actions being taken (laws and policies) to prevent cybercrimes and misuse of AI. I gave examples of laws such as CCPA and GDPR to show current actions being taken place as evidence for the readers.

I also discussed research studies relating to targeted advertising to explain how companies use user data to personalize user experience. This is important to include because it exemplifies a common use of AI in the management/utilization of meta-data.

**2. Discuss at least three distinct choices you made in your literature review to meet genre expectations, to what extent you found these expectations challenging to meet, and what strategies you used to overcome these challenges.**

Knowing the literature review should be developed in an inductive structure through a tight web that will first discern and, the various studies and ideas build up the narrative. That's a task hard to carry out if we consider the complexity and depth of a subject like data privacy regarding AI. I have categorically analyzed data breaches, new technologies, laws, and regulations for each sub-section. This helped me move logically from one issue to the next as well as link various pieces of research to build up a comprehensive argument.

To make the review as comprehensive as possible and not overwhelming the reader, I kept a balance between detailed analysis and summary insight, primarily basing my arguments by utilizing direct quotations and paraphrased points from primary sources. This allowed for depth while keeping the content accessible.

There is an essential challenge to balance the need for a critically engaged dialogue with sources in such a manner that the subject is reasonably balanced, especially with complex topics that can lead to multiple conclusions. I have critically analyzed and discussed the implications of each study, together with strengths, possible biases, or limitations of both the key expectations in academic literature reviews.

**3. Discuss what individuals or organizations hold influence over the resolution suggested by your literature review, and what other resolutions either have been proposed (and/or attempted) in the past or could be proposed in the future.**

Organizations and Governments that legislate and enforce data protection laws such as the European Union (EU) hold significant influence over the implementation of recommendations from the literature review. Additionally, all magnitudes of Tech companies also influence how effectively data privacy measures are implemented since they are at the forefront of this field and the future. In the past, solutions such as enhancements in legal frameworks like the GDPR have promoted technological solutions such as stronger encryption technologies. In the future, development of international standards and laws should be put in place regarding AI utilization and security measures of all companies and individuals globally.