


Survey Results for 2023-09 Faculty Evaluation Business and Computing

Included Response Periods:	2023-09 CIS (NOV 15)
Compare Results By:	Course
Course/Organizations Included:	CIS-100-AB4


Overall Summary

Total Survey Recipients: 26

Response Rate for 2023-09 CIS (NOV 15)



Question Response Summary



Detailed Question Results

Part I

1. The instructor's effectiveness in teaching the subject matter in this course has been:

VERY GOOD


GOOD

FAIR

POOR

VERY POOR

CIS-100-AB4
Instructors: [Parsa Rajabi](#)



OVERALL AVERAGE: 71%

(0%)



(45-55%)



(100%)



Average Score: 71%

2. The instructor's efforts toward creating a good learning atmosphere in the classroom and/or online environment has been:

VERY GOOD


GOOD

FAIR

POOR

VERY POOR

CIS-100-AB4
Instructors: [Parsa Rajabi](#)



OVERALL AVERAGE: 74%

(0%)



(45-55%)



(100%)



Average Score: 74%

3. The instructor's ability to treat all students in the class with respect has been:

VERY GOOD

GOOD

FAIR

POOR

VERY POOR

CIS-100-AB4
Instructors: [Parsa Rajabi](#)



OVERALL AVERAGE: 74%

(0%)



(45-55%)



(100%)



Average Score: 74%

4. The instructor's ability to use class time effectively has been:

VERY GOOD


GOOD

FAIR

POOR

VERY POOR

CIS-100-AB4
Instructors: [Parsa Rajabi](#)



OVERALL AVERAGE: 75%

(0%)



(45-55%)



(100%)



Average Score: 75%

5. The instructor made the objectives and expectations of the course clear, (course outlines, evaluation methods, exam dates, etc.):

VERY GOOD


GOOD

FAIR

POOR

VERY POOR

CIS-100-AB4
Instructors: [Parsa Rajabi](#)



OVERALL AVERAGE: 76%

(0%)



(45-55%)



(100%)



Average Score: 76%

6. The instructor's knowledge of the subject matter of this course is:

VERY GOOD


GOOD

FAIR

POOR

VERY POOR

CIS-100-AB4
Instructors: [Parsa Rajabi](#)



OVERALL AVERAGE: 81%

(0%)



(45-55%)



(100%)



Average Score: 81%

7. Considering the nature of the subject matter in this course, the ability of the instructor to stimulate interest in or appreciation of the course content is:

VERY GOOD


GOOD

FAIR

POOR

VERY POOR

CIS-100-AB4
Instructors: [Parsa Rajabi](#)



OVERALL AVERAGE: 68%

(0%)



(45-55%)



(100%)

8. The instructor's ability to answer questions about the course content and methods has been:

VERY GOOD


GOOD

FAIR

POOR

VERY POOR

CIS-100-AB4
Instructors: [Parsa Rajabi](#)



OVERALL AVERAGE: 76%

(0%)



(45-55%)



(100%)

9. The instructor is accessible for help or consultation outside class time has been:

VERY GOOD


GOOD

FAIR

POOR

VERY POOR

CIS-100-AB4
Instructors: [Parsa Rajabi](#)



OVERALL AVERAGE: 71%

(0%)



(45-55%)



(100%)

10. As an aid to learning course material, the instructor's written or verbal comments on student work has been:

VERY GOOD


GOOD

FAIR

POOR

VERY POOR

CIS-100-AB4
Instructors: [Parsa Rajabi](#)



OVERALL AVERAGE: 69%

(0%)



(45-55%)



(100%)



Average Score: 69%

11. The degree to which the instructor is approachable to discuss course related matter is:

VERY GOOD


GOOD

FAIR

POOR

VERY POOR

CIS-100-AB4
Instructors: [Parsa Rajabi](#)



OVERALL AVERAGE: 76%

(0%)



(45-55%)



(100%)



Average Score: 76%

12. Your overall rating of the instructor is:

VERY GOOD


GOOD

FAIR

POOR

VERY POOR

CIS-100-AB4
Instructors: [Parsa Rajabi](#)



OVERALL AVERAGE: 72%

(0%)



(45-55%)



(100%)



Average Score: 72%

Part II: Comment Section

13. Please comment on the instructional strengths of the instructor, particularly for questions above which you rated as good or very good. (950 character limit)

Comments: Overall (9)

Ability to ask how we are coping and adjust anything where needed

Does demonstrations in class which are very helpful. Instructor has been very understanding and accomodating toward the class as a whole regarding the difficulties students have been having with the software we use in class. Class time is used well and due dates for assignments are straightforward. Everyone in class is treated fairly and with respect.

Effective of getting to the point of task ahead

He is considerate of our time outside of class and inside. He gives us manageable time periods on assignments. His strongest strength would be hearing our feedback seeing the fairness and struggles of his students and gives the opportunity for students to succeed in his class with effort and dedication to complete the course.

Intrested in hearing students feedback and wants to implement what they suggest to create a better space for students to do well in this class

The instructor is good, he is clearly trying his best and very good at teaching, He is very inclusive in regards to student opinions and is good at explaining new concepts to students without judgement.

The downfalls of this course are not the instructor- however the course is very conent heavy- my only complaint is the lack of opportunity on the assignment part of the in person QUIZ's- the assignments have zero chance for click-error. On Cengage, they assignments are muh more difficult than the Assignment Tests on cengage.

The professor was really friendly and helpful towards the whole semester that is something I was looking for a long time. Totally blessed to be honest that I took this course.

Willing to adjust course schedule and listens to feedback.

overall, the intructor is good in field of teaching and helpful also in every matter.

14. What suggestions for instructional improvement can you make to the instructor, particularly for the questions above which you rated fair, poor, or very poor? (950 character limit)

Comments: Overall (7)

Maybe our professor could have done better with the test format but overall it was pretty good, I would say far better than the other professors

No complaints :)

Nothing, I've enjoyed every class and feel that he is more than fair with assignments and tests

Should understand the situation of students as everyone is not same like cheaters or liars.

Slow down when doing demos

The course content is dry so I understand that it's hard to stimulate interest but the walk through of the Microsoft products is so hard to concentrate on for 2 or 3 hours at a time. Maybe think of some interesting examples? I'm not sure how to make such monotonous material interesting.

The instructor achieved a full mark in his improvements, I don't see anything that needs any immediate improvements.

15. Additional Comments (950 character limit):

Comments: Overall (4)

Instructor was good overall but in beginning seemed condescending towards students.

This isn't so much a problem with the instructor as a problem with the course content- the cengage platform is awful. Please change it for the sanity of future students.

To no fault of the instructor, the class seems to struggle with the software for the course, including myself. The software is very picky and frustrating to use, whether at home or in class. For instance, during quizzes, one misclick can end up in you receiving the wrong answer. There was one assignment where I followed the textbook and softwares instruction directly but the software marked a step as incorrect. Maybe some revision with the software for future CIS100 classes could help.

overall the experience with instructor Parsa Rajabi in this semester is good and enjoyable.