

# 2018W1 Student Evaluation of Teaching Report for COSC 111 L04 - COMP PROG I (Parsa Rajabi)

Project Title: University of British Columbia Course Evaluation Okanagan 2018 Winter

Course Audience: **35** Responses Received: **12** Response Ratio: **34.29%** 

**Report Comments** 

# Percent Favourable Rating

This is the percentage of respondents who rated the instructor a 4 or 5 (Agree or Strongly Agree).

# **Interpolated Median**

The data collected for Student Evaluations of Teaching (SEoT) are ordinal in nature, with a natural order (from 1 to 5). While the mean may be used as a measure of central tendency for such data, it is not an appropriate or accurate representation of SEoT data (cf. Stark & Freishtat, 2014). The usual measure of central tendency for ordinal data is the median. As a result, we have been reporting the mean and the median for the last several years. Because students more often provide higher ratings (4 or 5), the median is consistently higher than the mean. After considerable thought and data modeling, we now believe that the interpolated median is the best representation of the data, since it takes the frequency distribution into account. Consider the following example:

Frequency Distribution		
Response for UMI	Class 1	Class 2
5 = Strongly agree	13	4
4 = Agree	26	43
3 = Neither agree nor disagree	35	32
2 = Disagree	20	11
1 = Strongly disagree	6	10
Mean	3.2	3.2
Median	3.0	3.0
Interpolated Median	3.2	3.4
Percent Favourable Rating	39%	47%

In this example, the two classes have identical mean (3.2) and median (3.0). However, the instructor in class 2 received 47% favourable (4-5) ratings, compared to 39% for the instructor in class 1. While both have a Median of 3, the Interpolated median values of (3.2 and 3.4), much better reflects the distribution of the scores above and below the median. Furthermore, the interpolated median is better correlated with percent favourable rating; such that an interpolated median of 3.5 on a Likert scale of 1 to 5, corresponds to 50% favourable rating.



Creation Date: Thursday, February 14, 2019

# **Dispersion Index**

The dispersion Index is a measure of variability suitable for ordinal data (Rampichini, Grilli & Petrucci 2004). This dispersion index has values between zero and 1. A zero dispersion index indicates that all students in the section gave the same rating to the instructor. An index value of 1.0 is obtained when the class splits evenly between the two extreme values (Strongly Disagree & Strongly Agree), a very rare occurrence. In SEoT data at UBC, the index rarely exceeds 0.85, and mostly for evaluations not meeting the minimum recommended response rate.

## Recommended minimum response rates

Class Size	Recommended Minimum Response Rates based on 80% confidence & ± 10% margin
< 10	75%
11 - 19	65%
20 - 34	55%
35 - 49	40%
50 - 74	35%
75 - 99	25%
100 - 149	20%
150 - 299	15%
300 - 499	10%
> 500	5%

## **Statistics**

#### For statistical purposes only, please indicate whether you are taking this course as





Invited Count	Response Count	Response Rate
35	9	25.71%

### **Teaching Assistant Effectiveness**

#### The TA treats students with respect.





Invited Count	Response Count	Response Rate	Favourable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Interpolated Median	Dispersion Index - Ordinal
35	12	34.29%	100.00%	4.58	0.51	4.64	0.24

#### The TA communicates clearly and effectively.





Invited Count	Response Count	Response Rate	Favourable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Interpolated Median	Dispersion Index - Ordinal
35	12	34.29%	100.00%	4.67	0.49	4.75	0.22

#### The TA facilitates discussion of the course material and course concepts.





Invited Count	Response Count	Response Rate	Favourable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Interpolated Median	Dispersion Index - Ordinal
35	12	34.29%	100.00%	4.67	0.49	4.75	0.22

#### The TA helps me better understand course content.





Invited Count	Response Count	Response Rate	Favourable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Interpolated Median	Dispersion Index - Ordinal
35	12	34.29%	100.00%	4.58	0.51	4.64	0.24

#### The TA responds effectively to questions.





Invited Count	Response Count	Response Rate	Favourable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Interpolated Median	Dispersion Index - Ordinal
35	12	34.29%	91.67%	4.58	0.67	4.75	0.30

#### The TA is well prepared.





Invited Count	Response Count	Response Rate	Favourable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Interpolated Median	Dispersion Index - Ordinal
35	12	34.29%	100.00%	4.58	0.51	4.64	0.24

#### The TA has appropriate knowledge of the subject.





Invited Count	Response Count	Response Rate	Favourable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Interpolated Median	Dispersion Index - Ordinal
35	12	34.29%	100.00%	4.67	0.49	4.75	0.22

#### The TA is available to discuss matters outside of class time.





Invited Count	Response Count	Response Rate	Favourable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Interpolated Median	Dispersion Index - Ordinal
35	9	25.71%	66.67%	4.00	0.87	4.00	0.44

#### The TA provides helpful feedback on student work.





Invited Count	Response Count	Response Rate	Favourable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Interpolated Median	Dispersion Index - Ordinal
35	12	34.29%	91.67%	4.42	0.67	4.50	0.33

#### The TA marks assignments fairly.





Invited Count	Response Count	Response Rate	Favourable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Interpolated Median	Dispersion Index - Ordinal
35	9	25.71%	100.00%	4.78	0.44	4.86	0.17

#### The TA returns assignments in a timely manner.





Invited Count	Response Count	Response Rate	Favourable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Interpolated Median	Dispersion Index - Ordinal
35	8	22.86%	100.00%	4.63	0.52	4.70	0.23

#### Overall, the TA is effective in helping students learn.





Invited Count	Response Count	Response Rate	Favourable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Interpolated Median	Dispersion Index - Ordinal
35	12	34.29%	100.00%	4.58	0.51	4.64	0.24

## **Open Ended Feedback**

#### What has the Teaching Assistant done well?

omments	
e was very prepared in class. Went through the content efficiently .	
arsa is well–prepared. He is confident about his knowledge and his confidence is reflected in the way he teaches and pr edback.	ovides
verything Parsa does is incredibly helpful. Getting through Exercises would be difficult at times because we had the Monor and haven't learnt anything for the weekly exercise yet. Parsa would do everything he could to teach what you needed to co e exercise and prepare you for the lectures, midterms, and final.	day lab mplete
elped us solve problems by ourselves.	
ne TA was so patient with me even when I was so frustrated I wanted to put a fist through my screen. Repeating things an wording them as many times as I needed for me to get it. (He didn't mark our assignments I believe so that is why I said oplicable.)	nd not
e's very helpful in the lab when we have any questions.	
arsa went above and beyond the call of duty in this lab. Not only was he extremely effective at explaining course concepts ontext of the exercises/assignments, he also showed myself and other students more effective ways of approaching a pronventions in the programming world, as well as encouraged student engagement with the QSCU. One of the best TAs I'v ad!	in the oblem, /e ever
dded extra review sessions and really knows Java well.	
ecause of my previous knowledge on the subject, I was able to do the labs on my own time. The TA was very flexible abouu ubmissions and did not require that I attend a lab at 6:30 pm.	ut

#### How could the Teaching Assistant improve?

#### Comments

Parsa is at his best. Couldn't be any better.

#### Nothing

Regularly do a quick tutorial of what to do at the beginning of the lab.

Kicking the class ahead of us out faster from the room, they would be in there until either the SECOND our class started (not at 6:20 but our start time of 6:30) or 5 minutes after our class started.

Be better at replying emails, perhaps.

Become a prof, Parsa is an extremely talented educator.

Maybe go over more of the questions in the labs instead of just putting them up after.

N/A