Management Plan for ASPA No. 166, Port-Martin, Adélie Land.  
  
Proposal to extend the existing plan

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ZSPA 166, Port-Martin, Adélie Land | |
| **1. Is a new ASPA proposed?** | No |
| **2. Is a new ASMA proposed?** | No |
| **3. Does the proposal relate to an existing ASPA or ASMA?** | Yes |
| *First designation:* | Historic Site 46, Recommendation XIII-16 (Brussels, 1985) |
| *First adoption of management plan:* | Measure 1 (2006) |
| *Revisions to the management plan:* | N/A |
| *Current management plan:* | Measure 1 (2006) |
| *Extension of expiry dates of management plan:* | Proposal to extend for a period of 5 years (2026) the Management Plan adopted in 2006 and renewed in identical terms in 2011 and 2016 |
| *Renamed and renumbered by Decision 1 (2002) as:* | N/A |
| *Other relevant measures:* | None |
| **4. If the proposal contains a revision of an existing management plan, please indicate the types of amendment made to it:** | |
| *(i) Major or minor?* | N/A |
| *(ii) Any changes to boundaries or coordinates?* | N/A |
| *(iii) Any changes to the maps? If yes, are the changes in the captions only or also in the graphics?* | N/A |
| *(iv) Any changes to the description of the Area relevant to identifying its location or boundaries?* | N/A |
| *(v) Any changes that affect any other ASPA, ASMA or HSM located within this area or adjacent to it? In particular, please explain any merger with, incorporation of or abolition of any existing area or site.* | N/A |
| *(vi) Other - brief summary of other types of changes, indicating the paragraphs of the management plan in which they are located.* | N/A |
| **5. If a new ASPA or ASMA is proposed, does it contain a marine area?** | N/A |
| **6. If yes, does the proposal require the prior approval of CCAMLR in accordance with Decision 9 (2005)?** | N/A |
| **7. If yes, has the prior approval of CCAMLR been obtained?**  *If yes, please provide the reference to the relevant paragraph of the CCAMLR Final Report here.* | N/A |
| **8. If the proposal relates to an ASPA, what is the primary reason for the new designation (i.e., which section under Article 3.2 of Annex V)?** | N/A |
| **9. Have you identified the main Environmental Domain represented by the ASPA/ASMA (refer to the document *Environmental Domains Analysis for the Antarctic Continent* appended to Resolution 3 [2008])?**  *If yes, specify the main Environmental Domain here.* | N/A |
| **10. Have you identified the main Antarctic Conservation Biogeographic Region represented by the ASPA/ASMA (refer to the *Antarctic Conservation Biogeographic Regions* document appended to Resolution 6 [2012])?**  *If yes, specify the main Antarctic Conservation Biogeographic Region here.* | N/A |
| **11. If relevant, have you identified any Antarctic Important Bird Areas (Resolution 5 [2015]) represented by the ASPA/ASMA (Refer to the *Important Bird Areas in Antarctica 2015 Summary*, appended to ATCM XXXVIII - IP 27 and the full report available at:** [**http://www.era.gs/resources/iba/**](http://www.era.gs/resources/iba/)**)?**  *If yes, specify the Important Bird Area(s) here*. | N/A |

**Management Plan for the Antarctic Specially Protected Area No. 166, Port-Martin, Adélie Land  
  
Proposal to extend the existing plan**

***Summary***

France has carried out a five-year review of the Management Plan for the Antarctic Specially Protected Area (ASPA) No. 166, Port-Martin, in Adélie Land. This review suggests renewing the Management Plan without changes for a period of 5 years.

***Introduction***

In accordance with paragraph 3 of Article 6 of Annex V to the Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty, France carried out a five-year review of the Management Plan for ASPA 166, Port-Martin, in Adélie Land.

The isolated nature of the site, as well as the protection status it has enjoyed since 1985 (as an HMS, subsequently as an ASPA), have allowed it to remain in a state of conservation very similar to that of January 1952, as it was left by the team that occupied it following the fire which devastated it.

The main aim of the initial Management Plan which accompanied the classification of Port-Martin as an ASPA in 2006 was to ensure the protection of the site against any form of anthropogenic degradation in order to preserve the major archaeological interest of the site. Port-Martin is indeed a remarkable example of a post-war Antarctic base.

This archaeological research could not be carried out over the period covered by the previous Management Plan, in particular because of the logistical constraints imposed by its isolated location. However, the interest of this research remains unchanged.

The scheduling of an archaeological mission during the 2021/2022 season is under discussion. It is imperative to preserve the site from any disturbance in order to allow this research to be carried out and to define more precisely the most appropriate methods of preserving artefacts. Access to the site must remain subject to authorization, and be restricted only to activities in accordance with the Management Plan.

France proposes that the Management Plan adopted by Measure 1 (2006) and renewed in 2011 and 2016 be extended for a new period of 5 years.

***Summary of information on the current Management Plan***

See the table above.

***Recommendations***

France recommends that the CEP XXIII approves the request for extension of the Management Plan for ASPA 166, Port-Martin, Adélie Land, for a period of 5 years, and submits this to the ATCM XLIII.