

-> 25/Jan/24

- HackerRank Problem Solving (Basic) 90%
- Find min max array method that returns an array, checks edge cases
- Triplet Sum (Three Sum).

Brute force Approach >>

```

for i = 0; i < n-2; i++
    for j = i+1; j < n-1; j++
        if (arr[i] + arr[j] == target) {
            for k = j+1; k < n; k++
                if (arr[i] + arr[j] + arr[k] == target)
                    count++
        }
    }
}

```

else continue;

else continue;

return count;

Brute force $O(n^2)$

only won't
possible
if
value
(3 sum)

- Find the unique number in given array where all other elem are twice.

Bit manipulation: XOR

int ans = arr[0] // Edge Case not to handle as atleast 1 elem

for (int i = 1; i < arr.length; i++) {
 ans ^= arr[i];
} return ans;

$O(n)$
Complexity

→ Return Second largest integer in array
[(Assume length is atleast 2) as it
always exists]

edge case handler

```
public static int getSecondMax(int[] arr)
```

```
    int max = Integer.MIN_VALUE;  
    int secondMax = Integer.MIN_VALUE;
```

```
    for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {  
        if (arr[i] > max) {  
            max =  
                secondMax = max;  
            max = arr[i];  
        } else if (arr[i] > secondMax) {  
            secondMax = arr[i];  
        }  
    }  
    return secondMax;
```

→ Return the first value that is repeating
in array. if all unique return -1.

- Brute force $O(n^2)$

```
    for (int i = 0; i < arr.length - 1; i++)  
        for (int j = i + 1; j < arr.length; j++)  
            if (arr[i] == arr[j])  
                return arr[i];  
    return -1;
```

even if
nothing
still
fine
cause
This
will be
false

→ In place: It means you must not create the new array but only swap or update the original array directly.

→ Swap two variables a and b without temporary variable.

a = 5 // only for primitive
b = 3

$a = a + b; 8$
 $b = a - b; 5$
 $a = a - b; 3$

issue for large values, problem of overflow / underflow.

→ Reverse an Array (in place)

n = arr.length
if n = 0 → return (edge case)
for i = 0 i < n/2
swap(arr[i], arr[n-i-1])

→ Rotate an array by k index position (k can be greater than n)

0 1 2 3 4
 [10, 20, 30, 40, 50]
 k = 2 → [40, 50, 10, 20, 30]
 k = 9 → $9 - 1 \cdot n = 9 - 1 \cdot 5 = 4$ [20, 30, 40, 50, 10]

1. Create new array
2. for(i) i = n-1 i < k i--
3. for(j) j = 0 j < n-k j++
return newArr where k=2

→ Rotate Array without extra space!
 ($k > n$) possible

$7 - 4 = 3$

→ [10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70]
 $k = 11 \rightarrow k = 11 \% 7 = 4$
 [40, 50, 60, 70, 10, 20, 30]

$n - k$ th elem + index $\frac{k \cdot n}{11 \cdot 7}$
 $7 - 4 + 2 \cdot 7$
 $5 \cdot 7 \rightarrow 5$ (index of original array)

$n - k$ th elem + index $\cdot n$
 $7 - 4 + 4 \cdot 7 = 0$

Soln works
 and reduce from 2
 loop to one But still
 need extra array space!

- Without Space Approach >>

(end exclusive)

1. Reverse entire array (0, n)
2. Reverse first part (0, k)
3. Reverse second part (k, n)

reverse func (int arr, int start, int end)
 end--; // exclusion
 while (start < end)
 {
 int temp = arr[start];
 arr[start] = arr[end];
 arr[end] = temp;
 }
 return arr;

if $k = 0$
 return arr

[Frequency Array]

→ Suppose you have an array of length n . You have to find an elem.
(Linear Search)

But] Inputs are 10 million. Majority of them might repeat but they are 10 million queries!

Will you use linear search of $O(n)$ complexity to traverse 10 million times?

All values in array are 0 to 10^5 at max. So, create an array of length 10^5 . It is called frequency array.

```
for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {
    freq[arr[i]]++;
}
```

Input → 23

$freq[23] > 0$ then return true
else false.

Disadvantage: It cannot return index of the item though like linear search