

**Marvin Ertl: 920494256**

**Parth Laturia: 920360697**

**Raj Patel: 961146448**

# HW5\_PartII\_Vatic\_SolarWindLoss

December 8, 2025

## 1 Part II (Vatic)

```
[1]: from vatic.engines import Simulator
      from vatic.data.loaders import load_input, RtsLoader
      from vatic.engines import Simulator

      import pandas as pd
      import numpy as np

      from pathlib import Path
      import dill as pickle
      import os
      from datetime import datetime
      import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

      import warnings
      warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
```

```
[2]: grid_name = "Texas-7k"
      RUC_MIPGAPS = {grid_name: 0.01}
      SCED_HORIZONS = {grid_name: 4}
      grid = grid_name #For Texas, put 'Texas-7k' or 'Texas-7k_2030'
      num_days = 1
      init_state_file = None

      def get_input_for_simulation(date):
          start_date = date #For Texas pick a date in 2018
          template, gen_data, load_data = load_input(grid, start_date, □
          ↪num_days=num_days, init_state_file=init_state_file)
          return template, gen_data, load_data, start_date
```

```
[3]: CO2_data = pd.read_csv("emissions_CO2.csv")
      dates = ["2018-01-19", "2018-07-19"]
      scenario_index_to_name = {1: "baseline", 2: "cloudy", 3: "wind_equivalent"}
```

### 1.0.1 Baseline Simulation

```
[7]: siml = Simulator(template, gen_data, load_data, None,
                     pd.to_datetime(start_date).date(), 1, solver='gurobi',
                     solver_options={}, run_lmps=False, mipgap=RUC_MIPGAPS[grid],
                     load_shed_penalty = 1e4, reserve_shortfall_penalty = 1e3,
                     reserve_factor=0.05, output_detail=3,
                     prescient_sced_forecasts=True, ruc_prescience_hour=0,
                     ruc_execution_hour=16, ruc_every_hours=24,
                     ruc_horizon=48, sced_horizon=SCED_HORIZONS[grid],
                     lmp_shortfall_costs=False,
                     enforce_sced_shutdown_ramrate=False,
                     no_startup_shutdown_curves=False,
                     init_ruc_file=None, verbosity=0,
                     output_max_decimals=4, create_plots=False,
                     renew_costs=None, save_to_csv=False,
                     last_conditions_file=None)
report_dfs = siml.simulate()
```

WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using `__getitem__` to return a set value from its (ordered) position is deprecated. Please use `at()` (deprecated in 6.1, will be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-test/lib/python3.11/site-packages/vatic/models/params.py:1022)

WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using `__getitem__` to return a set value from its (ordered) position is deprecated. Please use `at()` (deprecated in 6.1, will be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-test/lib/python3.11/site-

packages/egret/model\_library/unit\_commitment/startup\_costs.py:126)

WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using `__getitem__` to return a set value from its (ordered) position is deprecated. Please use `at()` (deprecated in 6.1, will be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-test/lib/python3.11/site-

packages/egret/model\_library/unit\_commitment/startup\_costs.py:127)

WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using `__getitem__` to return a set value from its (ordered) position is deprecated. Please use `at()` (deprecated in 6.1, will be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-test/lib/python3.11/site-

packages/egret/model\_library/unit\_commitment/startup\_costs.py:130)

Calculating PTDF Matrix Factorization

WARNING: DEPRECATED: The `quicksum(linear=...)` argument is deprecated and ignored. (deprecated in 6.6.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-test/lib/python3.11/site-

packages/egret/model\_library/unit\_commitment/uc\_utils.py:100)

WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using `__getitem__` to return a set value from its (ordered) position is deprecated. Please use `at()` (deprecated in 6.1, will be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-test/lib/python3.11/site-

packages/egret/model\_library/unit\_commitment/power\_vars.py:63)

```

WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using __getitem__ to return a set value from its
(ordered) position is deprecated. Please use at() (deprecated in 6.1, will
be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-
test/lib/python3.11/site-
packages/egret/model_library/unit_commitment/power_vars.py:66)
WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using __getitem__ to return a set value from its
(ordered) position is deprecated. Please use at() (deprecated in 6.1, will
be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-
test/lib/python3.11/site-
packages/egret/model_library/unit_commitment/power_vars.py:58)
WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using __getitem__ to return a set value from its
(ordered) position is deprecated. Please use at() (deprecated in 6.1, will
be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-
test/lib/python3.11/site-
packages/egret/model_library/unit_commitment/power_vars.py:54)
WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using __getitem__ to return a set value from its
(ordered) position is deprecated. Please use at() (deprecated in 6.1, will
be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-
test/lib/python3.11/site-
packages/egret/model_library/unit_commitment/startup_costs.py:198)
WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using __getitem__ to return a set value from its
(ordered) position is deprecated. Please use at() (deprecated in 6.1, will
be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-
test/lib/python3.11/site-
packages/egret/model_library/unit_commitment/startup_costs.py:199)
WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using __getitem__ to return a set value from its
(ordered) position is deprecated. Please use at() (deprecated in 6.1, will
be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-
test/lib/python3.11/site-
packages/egret/model_library/unit_commitment/startup_costs.py:239)
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored

```

```
[8]: import pickle
with open("report_dfs.pkl", "wb") as f:
    pickle.dump(report_dfs, f)
```

```
[39]: thermal_detail = report_dfs["thermal_detail"].reset_index()
thermal_detail_co2 = pd.merge(thermal_detail, co2_data, left_on = "Generator", ↴
    right_on = "GEN UID", how = "inner")
# Dispatch assumed to be in MWh
thermal_detail_co2["CO2_Total"] = ↴
    thermal_detail_co2["Dispatch"]*thermal_detail_co2["CO2 Emissions Lbs/MWh"]
thermal_detail_co2["CO2_Total"].sum()
```

```
[39]: np.float64(1063377974.6755733)
```

### 1.0.2 Matching solar loss with equivalent wind loss

```
[4]: def get_actual_energy_columns(df, etype):
    output = []
    for col in df.columns:
        if(etype in col[1] and col[0] == "actl"):
            output.append(col)
    return output

def get_p_value(df):
    solar_cols = get_actual_energy_columns(df, 'Solar')
    wind_cols = get_actual_energy_columns(df, 'Wind')
    solar_sum = sum(df[solar_cols].sum(axis=1))
    wind_sum = sum(df[wind_cols].sum(axis=1))
    print(solar_sum, wind_sum)
    p = (solar_sum * 0.75) / wind_sum
    return p

def change_generation_data(gen_data, scenario):
    if(scenario == 2): # cloudy day
        solar_cols = get_actual_energy_columns(gen_data, "Solar")
        gen_data[solar_cols] *= 0.25
    elif(scenario == 3): # wind equivalent
        wind_cols = get_actual_energy_columns(gen_data, "Wind")
        p = get_p_value(gen_data)
        print("p value", p)
        gen_data[wind_cols] *= (1-p)
    return gen_data

def convert_lbs_to_metric_tons(x):
    """
    Converts a value in lbs to metric tons
    """
    return x/2204.6223
```

```
[5]: for date in dates:
    template, gen_data, load_data, start_date = get_input_for_simulation(date)
    for scenario in [1, 2, 3]:
        gen_data_modified = change_generation_data(gen_data, scenario)
        scenario_name = scenario_index_to_name[scenario]
        print(date, scenario_name, "Started!")
        siml = Simulator(template, gen_data_modified, load_data, None,
                        pd.to_datetime(start_date).date(), 1, solver='gurobi',
                        solver_options={}, run_lmmps=False, mipgap=RUC_MIPGAPS[grid],
                        load_shed_penalty = 1e4, reserve_shortfall_penalty = 1e3,
```

```

    reserve_factor=0.05, output_detail=3,
    prescient_sced_forecasts=True, ruc_prescience_hour=0,
    ruc_execution_hour=16, ruc_every_hours=24,
    ruc_horizon=48, sced_horizon=SCED_HORIZONS[grid],
    lmp_shortfall_costs=False,
    enforce_sced_shutdown_ramrate=False,
    no_startup_shutdown_curves=False,
    init_ruc_file=None, verbosity=0,
    output_max_decimals=4, create_plots=False,
    renew_costs=None, save_to_csv=False,
    last_conditions_file=None,)

# Computation
report_dfs = siml.simulate()
with open(f"report_dfs_{date}_{scenario_name}.pkl", "wb") as f:
    pickle.dump(report_dfs, f)
print(date, scenario_name, "Finished!")
print("")

```

31324.076762651617 505316.56833711837  
 p value 0.04649176188562154  
 2018-01-19 wind\_equivalent Started!  
 WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using `__getitem__` to return a set value from its  
 (ordered) position is deprecated. Please use `at()` (deprecated in 6.1, will  
 be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-  
 test/lib/python3.11/site-packages/vatic/models/params.py:1022)  
 WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using `__getitem__` to return a set value from its  
 (ordered) position is deprecated. Please use `at()` (deprecated in 6.1, will  
 be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-  
 test/lib/python3.11/site-  
 packages/egret/model\_library/unit\_commitment/startup\_costs.py:126)  
 WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using `__getitem__` to return a set value from its  
 (ordered) position is deprecated. Please use `at()` (deprecated in 6.1, will  
 be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-  
 test/lib/python3.11/site-  
 packages/egret/model\_library/unit\_commitment/startup\_costs.py:127)  
 WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using `__getitem__` to return a set value from its  
 (ordered) position is deprecated. Please use `at()` (deprecated in 6.1, will  
 be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-  
 test/lib/python3.11/site-  
 packages/egret/model\_library/unit\_commitment/startup\_costs.py:130)  
 Calculating PTDF Matrix Factorization  
 WARNING: DEPRECATED: The `quicksum(linear=...)` argument is deprecated and  
 ignored. (deprecated in 6.6.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-  
 test/lib/python3.11/site-  
 packages/egret/model\_library/unit\_commitment/uc\_utils.py:100)  
 WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using `__getitem__` to return a set value from its  
 (ordered) position is deprecated. Please use `at()` (deprecated in 6.1, will  
 be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-

```
test/lib/python3.11/site-
packages/egret/model_library/unit_commitment/power_vars.py:63)
WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using __getitem__ to return a set value from its
(ordered) position is deprecated. Please use at() (deprecated in 6.1, will
be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-
test/lib/python3.11/site-
packages/egret/model_library/unit_commitment/power_vars.py:66)
WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using __getitem__ to return a set value from its
(ordered) position is deprecated. Please use at() (deprecated in 6.1, will
be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-
test/lib/python3.11/site-
packages/egret/model_library/unit_commitment/power_vars.py:58)
WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using __getitem__ to return a set value from its
(ordered) position is deprecated. Please use at() (deprecated in 6.1, will
be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-
test/lib/python3.11/site-
packages/egret/model_library/unit_commitment/power_vars.py:54)
WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using __getitem__ to return a set value from its
(ordered) position is deprecated. Please use at() (deprecated in 6.1, will
be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-
test/lib/python3.11/site-
packages/egret/model_library/unit_commitment/startup_costs.py:198)
WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using __getitem__ to return a set value from its
(ordered) position is deprecated. Please use at() (deprecated in 6.1, will
be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-
test/lib/python3.11/site-
packages/egret/model_library/unit_commitment/startup_costs.py:199)
WARNING: DEPRECATED: Using __getitem__ to return a set value from its
(ordered) position is deprecated. Please use at() (deprecated in 6.1, will
be removed in (or after) 7.0) (called from /home/pl7830/.conda/envs/vatic-
test/lib/python3.11/site-
packages/egret/model_library/unit_commitment/startup_costs.py:239)
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
2018-01-19 wind_equivalent Finished!
```

```
50785.63020434008 391233.68739672063
p value 0.09735670490622052
2018-07-19 wind_equivalent Started!
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
```

```

Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Warning for adding constraints: zero or small (< 1e-13) coefficients, ignored
Truncating shutdown_curve longer than scaled minimum down time 1 for generator
50304_AllOther_GEN2
Truncating shutdown_curve longer than scaled minimum down time 1 for generator
50304_AllOther_GEN2
Truncating shutdown_curve longer than scaled minimum down time 1 for generator
50304_AllOther_GEN2
Truncating shutdown_curve longer than scaled minimum down time 1 for generator
50304_AllOther_GEN2
2018-07-19 wind_equivalent Finished!

```

```
[5]: # Computing CO2 Emissions
CO2_df = pd.DataFrame(columns = ["date", "scenario_name", "CO2 Emissions (in\u2192MT)"])
row = 0
for date in dates:
    for scenario in [1, 2, 3]:
        scenario_name = scenario_index_to_name[scenario]
        # Fetching the stored pickle files
        with open(f"report_dfs_{date}_{scenario_name}.pkl", "rb") as f:
            report_dfs = pickle.load(f)
        # Extracting thermal details
        thermal_detail = report_dfs["thermal_detail"].reset_index()
        thermal_detail_CO2 = pd.merge(thermal_detail, CO2_data, left_on = \u2192"Generator", right_on = "GEN UID", how = "inner")
        # Dispatch assumed to be in MWh
        thermal_detail_CO2["CO2_Total"] = \u2192thermal_detail_CO2["Dispatch"]*thermal_detail_CO2["CO2 Emissions Lbs/MWh"]
        CO2_total = thermal_detail_CO2["CO2_Total"].sum()
        CO2_total = convert_lbs_to_metric_tons(CO2_total)
        print(date, scenario_name, CO2_total)
        CO2_df.loc[row] = [date, scenario_name, CO2_total]
        row += 1
        print("")
```

2018-01-19 baseline 482340.20615484717

2018-01-19 cloudy 486732.5932620959

2018-01-19 wind\_equivalent 487034.04493126826

```

2018-07-19 baseline 677450.4814349589

2018-07-19 cloudy 684518.494041032

2018-07-19 wind_equivalent 686277.0734749862

```

```
[6]: # temp = CO2_df.set_index(["date", "scenario_name"])
CO2_df["base_emissions"] = 0
for date in dates:
    val = CO2_df[(CO2_df["date"] == date) & (CO2_df["scenario_name"] == "baseline")]["CO2 Emissions (in MT)"].iloc[0]
    CO2_df.loc[CO2_df["date"] == date, "base_emissions"] = val
CO2_df["pct_change"] = (CO2_df["CO2 Emissions (in MT)"] - CO2_df["base_emissions"])*100/CO2_df["base_emissions"]
CO2_df
```

```
[6]:      date scenario_name CO2 Emissions (in MT) base_emissions \
0 2018-01-19      baseline        482340.206155 482340.206155
1 2018-01-19       cloudy        486732.593262 482340.206155
2 2018-01-19  wind_equivalent        487034.044931 482340.206155
3 2018-07-19      baseline        677450.481435 677450.481435
4 2018-07-19       cloudy        684518.494041 677450.481435
5 2018-07-19  wind_equivalent        686277.073475 677450.481435

pct_change
0    0.000000
1    0.910641
2    0.973139
3    0.000000
4    1.043325
5    1.302913
```

As seen, CO2 emissions increase in both cases when the solar or the wind generation is curtailed. Further, the increase is more in case of wind curtailment (0.97% vs 0.91%, 1.30% vs 1.04%) as compared to solar curtailment, indicating that wind saves more CO2 from being emitted while fulfilling the demand, i.e. while not being in a shortfall shock situation. (Note that generation was only changed on the “actual” columns.) Since the wind and sun shortfall are matched in MWh space summed across the day, the fact that the corresponding pct change in CO2 emissions is higher for wind could be driven by, for instance, the fact that covering a solar generation shortfall is less carbon intense than covering a wind generation shortfall of the same energy amount due to the different hours of the day in which they would occur. A shortfall in solar would affect the grid predominantly while the sun is shining, and during these hours the backup generation in case of a shock may be less carbon-intensive. A wind shortfall, in contrast would affect the grid uniformly throughout the day and night, and

**at night the backup generation to cover a negative shock in wind may be more carbon intensive.**

# HW5\_20251208\_222500\_demand\_shock

December 8, 2025

## 1 Part II (Vatic)

```
[1]: from vatic.engines import Simulator
      from vatic.data.loaders import load_input, RtsLoader
      from vatic.engines import Simulator

      import pandas as pd
      import numpy as np

      from pathlib import Path
      import dill as pickle
      import os
      from datetime import datetime
      import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

      import warnings
      warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
```

```
[61]: import datetime
       import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
       import pickle
```

```
[3]: grid_name = "Texas-7k"
      RUC_MIPGAPS = {grid_name: 0.01}
      SCED_HORIZONS = {grid_name: 4}
      grid = grid_name #For Texas, put 'Texas-7k' or 'Texas-7k_2030'
      num_days = 1
      init_state_file = None
```

```
[63]: def get_input_for_simulation(date):
        """
        Returns the files, objects to serve as an input to the simulator
        Args:
            date (str): the date for which to fetch the input data
        Returns:
            template, gen_data, load_data, start_date
        """

```

```

start_date = date #For Texas pick a date in 2018
template, gen_data, load_data = load_input(grid, start_date,
                                         num_days=num_days, init_state_file=init_state_file)
return template, gen_data, load_data, start_date

def get_actual_energy_columns(df, etype):
    """
    Returns the column names of energy: etype that are actual columns
    Args:
        df (pd.DataFrame): the dataframe containing columns to be filtered
        etype (string): the type of energy source required
    Returns:
        a list of required column names (list[str])
    """
    output = []
    for col in df.columns:
        if(etype in col[1] and col[0] == "actl"):
            output.append(col)
    return output

def get_p_value(df):
    """
    Computes the p-value for which change in wind energy equals 75% reduction
    of solar energy
    Args:
        df (pd.DataFrame): the dataframe containing wind and solar data
    Returns:
        p (float): the p-value for which change in wind energy equals 75%
    change in solar energy
    """
    solar_cols = get_actual_energy_columns(df, 'Solar')
    wind_cols = get_actual_energy_columns(df, 'Wind')
    solar_sum = sum(df[solar_cols].sum(axis=1))
    wind_sum = sum(df[wind_cols].sum(axis=1))
    print(solar_sum, wind_sum)
    p = (solar_sum * 0.75) / wind_sum
    return p

def change_generation_data(gen_data, scenario):
    """
    Changes the generation data based on the scenario to be studied
    Args:
        gen_data (pd.DataFrame): dataframe containing the generation data
        scenario (int, in [1, 2, 3]): scenario number (must be 1 or 2 or 3)
    Returns:
        the generation data modified as per scenario (pd.DataFrame)
    """

```

```

if(scenario == 2): # cloudy day
    solar_cols = get_actual_energy_columns(gen_data, "Solar")
    gen_data[solar_cols] *= 0.25
elif(scenario == 3): # wind equivalent
    wind_cols = get_actual_energy_columns(gen_data, "Wind")
    p = get_p_value(gen_data)
    print("p value", p)
    gen_data[wind_cols] *= (1-p)
return gen_data

def convert_lbs_to_metric_tons(x):
    """
    Converts a value in lbs to metric tons
    Args:
        x (float): quantity in lbs
    Returns:
        quantity in metric tons (float)
    """
    return x/2204.6223

def change_load_data(load_data):
    """
    Increases the demand (through load_data) between 10:00 to 18:00 hours by 40%
    Args:
        load_data (pd.DataFrame): load data
    Returns:
        modified load data (pd.DataFrame)
    """
    load_data_input = load_data.copy()
    v = load_data_input.index
    # Filtering on the July date
    v2 = v[v.date == pd.to_datetime("2018-07-19").date()]
    # Filtering on the times to be updated
    v3 = v2[(v2.time >= datetime.time(10)) & (v2.time <= datetime.time(18))]
    load_data_input.loc[v3, "actl"] = 1.4 * load_data_input.loc[v3, "actl"].
    ↪values
    return load_data_input

def get_total_CO2_emitted(report_dfs):
    """
    Computes the total CO2 emitted during the entire day (using thermal detail ↪
    ↪dataframe in report dfs and CO2 emissions data for each generator)
    Args:
        report_dfs (dict[str, pd.DataFrame]): the master data object
    Returns:
        the total CO2 emitted (float)
    """

```

```

# Extracting thermal details
thermal_detail = report_dfs["thermal_detail"].reset_index()
thermal_detail_CO2 = pd.merge(thermal_detail, CO2_data, left_on =
    "Generator", right_on = "GEN UID", how = "inner")
# Dispatch assumed to be in MWh
thermal_detail_CO2["CO2_Total"] = thermal_detail_CO2["Dispatch"]*thermal_detail_CO2["CO2 Emissions Lbs/MWh"]
CO2_total = thermal_detail_CO2["CO2_Total"].sum()
CO2_total = convert_lbs_to_metric_tons(CO2_total)
return CO2_total

```

```
[5]: CO2_data = pd.read_csv("emissions_CO2.csv")
dates = ["2018-01-19", "2018-07-19"]
scenario_index_to_name = {1: "baseline", 2: "cloudy", 3: "wind_equivalent"}
```

### 1.0.1 Summer Daytime Demand Shock

```
[ ]: date = "2018-07-19"
template, gen_data, load_data, start_date = get_input_for_simulation(date)
load_data_modified = change_load_data(load_data)
siml = Simulator(template, gen_data, load_data_modified, None,
                  pd.to_datetime(start_date).date(), 1, solver='gurobi',
                  solver_options={}, run_lmps=False, mipgap=RUC_MIPGAPS[grid],
                  load_shed_penalty = 1e4, reserve_shortfall_penalty = 1e3,
                  reserve_factor=0.05, output_detail=3,
                  prescient_sced_forecasts=True, ruc_prescience_hour=0,
                  ruc_execution_hour=16, ruc_every_hours=24,
                  ruc_horizon=48, sced_horizon=SCED_HORIZONS[grid],
                  lmp_shortfall_costs=False,
                  enforce_sced_shutdown_ramprate=False,
                  no_startup_shutdown_curves=False,
                  init_ruc_file=None, verbosity=0,
                  output_max_decimals=4, create_plots=False,
                  renew_costs=None, save_to_csv=False,
                  last_conditions_file=None,
report_dfs = siml.simulate()

# Storing output
with open(f"report_dfs_{date}_demand_shock.pkl", "wb") as f:
    pickle.dump(report_dfs, f)
```

```
[23]: with open(f"report_dfs_{date}_demand_shock.pkl", "rb") as f:
    report_dfs_ds = pickle.load(f)

with open(f"report_dfs_{date}_baseline.pkl", "rb") as f:
    report_dfs_bl = pickle.load(f)
```

## CO2 Emission Analysis

```
[24]: co2_baseline = get_total_CO2_emitted(report_dfs_bl)
co2_shock = get_total_CO2_emitted(report_dfs_ds)
print("CO2 Emission during demand shock:", co2_shock)
print("CO2 Emission during baseline:", co2_baseline)
```

CO2 Emission during demand shock: 719156.190608769  
CO2 Emission during baseline: 677450.4814349589

## Total Thermal Dispatch, by Technology

```
[45]: print("Under Demand Shock:")
data_ds = report_dfs_ds["thermal_detail"].copy()
data_ds["Tech"] = data_ds.reset_index()["Generator"].str.split("_").
    transform(lambda x: x[1]).values
data_ds.groupby("Tech")["Dispatch"].sum().sort_values(ascending = False).
    round(2)
```

Under Demand Shock:

```
[45]: Tech
NaturalGasFiredCombinedCycle      623610.25
ConventionalSteamCoal            284203.92
NaturalGasSteamTurbine           152954.22
Nuclear                          111339.38
NaturalGasFiredCombustionTurbine  65581.39
NaturalGasInternalCombustionEngine 3525.32
OtherGases                        3221.43
AllOther                          1871.23
Wood/WoodWasteBiomass             1287.45
Name: Dispatch, dtype: float64
```

```
[46]: print("For Baseline:")
data_bl = report_dfs_bl["thermal_detail"].copy()
data_bl["Tech"] = data_bl.reset_index()["Generator"].str.split("_").
    transform(lambda x: x[1]).values
data_bl.groupby("Tech")["Dispatch"].sum().sort_values(ascending = False).
    round(2)
```

For Baseline:

```
[46]: Tech
NaturalGasFiredCombinedCycle      619197.45
ConventionalSteamCoal            265605.25
NaturalGasSteamTurbine           124505.32
Nuclear                          106637.38
NaturalGasFiredCombustionTurbine  61762.96
NaturalGasInternalCombustionEngine 3395.75
OtherGases                        3221.43
```

```
AllOther 1778.14  
Wood/WoodWasteBiomass 1249.96  
Name: Dispatch, dtype: float64
```

### Headroom Analysis, by Tech

```
[47]: print("Under Demand Shock:")  
data_ds.groupby("Tech")["Headroom"].sum().sort_values(ascending = False).  
    round(2)
```

Under Demand Shock:

```
[47]: Tech  
NaturalGas Fired CombinedCycle 41944.03  
NaturalGas SteamTurbine 24872.46  
ConventionalSteamCoal 3947.69  
NaturalGas Fired CombustionTurbine 3218.16  
AllOther 185.02  
NaturalGas InternalCombustionEngine 183.52  
Wood/WoodWasteBiomass 175.98  
OtherGases -0.00  
Nuclear -0.00  
Name: Headroom, dtype: float64
```

```
[48]: print("For Baseline:")  
data_bs.groupby("Tech")["Headroom"].sum().sort_values(ascending = False).  
    round(2)
```


For Baseline:

```
[48]: Tech  
NaturalGas Fired CombinedCycle 43836.01  
NaturalGas SteamTurbine 39132.30  
ConventionalSteamCoal 11278.96  
NaturalGas Fired CombustionTurbine 6059.70  
Nuclear 2625.64  
NaturalGas InternalCombustionEngine 306.84  
AllOther 245.89  
Wood/WoodWasteBiomass 213.47  
OtherGases -0.00  
Name: Headroom, dtype: float64
```

### Price Analysis

```
[62]: plt.plot(report_dfs_ds['hourly_summary']['Price'].values, label = "DemandShock")  
plt.plot(report_dfs_bs['hourly_summary']['Price'].values, label = "Baseline")  
plt.legend()  
plt.xlabel("Hour of the day")  
plt.ylabel("Price")
```

```
plt.title("Price curve")
plt.show()
```



## Observations

- Dispatch is increasing under the demand shock (which is expected since the higher the demand, the more dispatch that has to take place); Also note that dispatch increased across all tech (except OtherGases)
- As expected, the headroom decreased under the demand shock since a part of the original headroom had to be used to fulfil the demand shock!
- Nuclear has a lot of headroom in baseline scenario but falls during the demand shock!
- The relative order of the technologies, (ordered by their dispatch or their headroom) remains more or less the same irrespective of whether the scenario is a baseline or that of a demand shock!
- Surprisingly, the electricity price fell even though the load was increased by 40% between 10:00 and 18:00 on July 19, 2018. By comparing the dispatch and headroom results in the baseline and shocked cases, we observe that the shock changed the set of generators that were dispatched. The new mix might have brought cheaper units to the margin, which determines the market price. In particular, natural gas steam turbines ramped up significantly, with dispatch increasing by about 28,449 MWh. We also see that nuclear generation was pushed to its full capacity after the demand shock, and these units typically have lower costs.

# A5\_Intensity

December 8, 2025

## 0.0.1 Question 2

[ ]:

```
[9]: import numpy as np
from scipy.sparse import eye, kron, lil_matrix
from scipy.integrate import solve_ivp
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from mpl_toolkits.mplot3d import Axes3D
import pandas as pd

# SECTION 1: HJB SOLVER

def price(s, x, s_eps=1e-12):
    """
    Price function  $P(s, x) = \sqrt{x / \max(s, s_{\text{eps}})} - 1.$ 
    """
    return np.sqrt(x / np.maximum(s, s_eps)) - 1.0

def build_dx_operator(J, I):
    """
    Build sparse Ax operator corresponding to forward difference in x.
    """
    Dx = lil_matrix((J + 1, J + 1))
    for jj in range(J):
        Dx[jj, jj] = -1.0
        Dx[jj, jj + 1] = 1.0
    Dx = Dx.tocsr()

    Is = eye(I + 1, format="csr")
    Ax = kron(Dx, Is, format="csr")
    return Ax

def build_ds_operators(delta_vec, ds, I, J):
    """
    Build the list of As operators, one for each technology.
    """
```

```

"""
dtech = len(delta_vec)
As_list = []

for t in range(dtech):
    delta = delta_vec[t]
    k = int(round(delta / ds)) # stride in s
    Ds = lil_matrix((I + 1, I + 1))

    if k > 0:
        # forward difference in s
        last_row = I - k + 1
        if last_row >= 1:
            for ii in range(last_row):
                Ds[ii, ii] = -1.0
                Ds[ii, ii + k] = 1.0
    elif k < 0:
        # backward difference in s (for negative delta)
        kk = -k
        first_row = kk
        if first_row <= I:
            for ii in range(first_row, I + 1):
                Ds[ii, ii] = -1.0
                Ds[ii, ii + k] = 1.0
    else:
        # k == 0 (no change in s) - nothing to do
        pass

    Ds = Ds.tocsr()
    Ix = eye(J + 1, format="csr")
    As = kron(Ix, Ds, format="csr")
    As_list.append(As)

return As_list


def multi_rhs(t, V, As_list, Ax, Rvec, r, lambdaX, beta_vec, rho_vec, expo_vec):
    """
    Right-hand side of the HJB ODE system  $dV/dt = \dots$ 
    """
    V = V.reshape(-1, 1)
    Ntech = len(As_list)
    Phi_sum = np.zeros_like(V)

    for j in range(Ntech):
        AsV = As_list[j].dot(V) # discrete  $\Delta^s_j V$ 
        tmp = AsV - rho_vec[j]

```

```

# Optimal _j:  $(\Delta^s_j V - \phi_j)^{1/(\phi_j - 1)} +$ 
lam = np.zeros_like(tmp)
mask = tmp > 0.0
lam[mask] = np.power(tmp[mask], expo_vec[j])

Phi_j = np.zeros_like(tmp)
if np.any(mask):
    lam_pos = lam[mask]
    z_pos = AsV[mask]
    beta_j = beta_vec[j]

    # Hamiltonian term:  $z - C()$ , with  $C() = \frac{\phi_j}{\beta_j} + \frac{\rho_j}{\lambda_j}$ 
    Phi_j[mask] = (
        lam_pos * z_pos
        - (1.0 / beta_j) * np.power(lam_pos, beta_j)
        - rho_vec[j] * lam_pos
    )

Phi_sum += Phi_j

# HJB ODE in time, backward direction
dV = -Phi_sum - lambdaX * (Ax.dot(V)) - Rvec.reshape(-1, 1) + r * V
return dV.ravel()

def solve_hjb(
    T,
    r,
    lambdaX,
    dx,
    ds,
    s_min,
    I,
    J,
    delta_vec,
    beta_vec,
    rho_vec,
    tech_names=None,
    s_eps=1e-12,
    verbose=True,
):
    """
    Solve the HJB equation and return V(0,s,x) and *_j(0,s,x).
    """
    if verbose:
        print(f"Solving HJB: T={T}, r={r}, _X={lambdaX}")

```

```

print(f"Grid: I={I}, J={J}, ds={ds}, dx={dx}")

# Grids
S = s_min + ds * np.arange(I + 1)
X = dx * np.arange(J + 1)
Sgrid, Xgrid = np.meshgrid(S, X, indexing="ij")

dtech = len(delta_vec)
if tech_names is None:
    tech_names = [f"Tech_{i+1}" for i in range(dtech)]

# Sanity checks
k_stride = np.round(delta_vec / ds).astype(int)
if np.any(np.abs(k_stride * ds - delta_vec) > 1e-12):
    raise ValueError("Each delta_vec[j] must be integer multiple of ds.")
if np.any(beta_vec <= 1.0):
    raise ValueError("All beta_vec[j] must be > 1.")

# exponent 1/(-1) used in *
expo_vec = 1.0 / (beta_vec - 1.0)

# Instantaneous reward
Rmat = Sgrid * price(Sgrid, Xgrid, s_eps=s_eps)
Rvec = Rmat.reshape(-1, order="F")
N = Rvec.size

# Operators
Ax = build_dx_operator(J, I)
As_list = build_ds_operators(delta_vec, ds, I, J)

# RHS for ODE solver
def rhs(t, V):
    return multi_rhs(
        t, V, As_list, Ax, Rvec, r, lambdaX, beta_vec, rho_vec, expo_vec
    )

# Terminal condition: V(T) = 0
V_T = np.zeros(N)

if verbose:
    print("Integrating HJB backward in time...")
sol = solve_ivp(
    rhs,
    t_span=(T, 0.0),
    y0=V_T,
    method="RK45",
    rtol=1e-6,

```

```

        atol=1e-9,
    )

    if not sol.success:
        raise RuntimeError(f"ODE solve failed: {sol.message}")
    if verbose:
        print("Integration successful")

#  $V(0)$ 
V0_vec = sol.y[:, -1]
V0 = V0_vec.reshape((I + 1, J + 1), order="F")

# Compute  $\star_j(0, s, x)$  from  $V_0$ 
LambdaStar0_j = np.zeros((I + 1, J + 1, dtech))

for idx_tech in range(dtech):
    k = k_stride[idx_tech]
    Dsv0 = np.zeros_like(V0)

    # finite differences in  $s$  depending on stride sign
    if k > 0:
        last_row = I - k + 1
        if last_row >= 1:
            Dsv0[0:last_row, :] = V0[k:k + last_row, :] - V0[0:last_row, :]
    elif k < 0:
        kk = -k
        first_row = kk
        if first_row <= I:
            Dsv0[first_row:I + 1, :] = (
                V0[0:I + 1 - kk, :] - V0[first_row:I + 1, :]
            )

    tmp = Dsv0 - rho_vec[idx_tech]
    lam = np.zeros_like(tmp)
    mask = tmp > 0.0
    lam[mask] = np.power(tmp[mask], expo_vec[idx_tech])

    LambdaStar0_j[:, :, idx_tech] = lam

return {
    "S": S,
    "X": X,
    "Sgrid": Sgrid,
    "Xgrid": Xgrid,
    "V0": V0,
    "LambdaStar0_j": LambdaStar0_j,
    "tech_names": tech_names,
}
```

```
}
```

```
# SECTION 2: Questions
```

```
def run_part2():
    # Base Case Parameters
    T = 5.0
    r = 0.02
    lambdaX = 4.0
    I, J = 50, 50
    s_min = 0.1
    ds, dx = 1.0, 1.0

    # Technologies A, B, C, D
    delta_vec = np.array([3.0, 2.0, 5.0, -1.0])
    beta_vec = np.array([2.0, 2.0, 2.0, 2.0])
    rho_vec = np.array([0.2, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2])
    tech_names = ["A", "B", "C", "D"]

    # Solve Base Case
    res = solve_hjb(
        T, r, lambdaX, dx, ds, s_min, I, J,
        delta_vec, beta_vec, rho_vec, tech_names
    )

    S, X, V0 = res["S"], res["X"], res["V0"]
    Sgrid, Xgrid = res["Sgrid"], res["Xgrid"]
    L_star = res["LambdaStar0_j"]

# Question 2: Value function surface + slices

# 3D surface plot of V(0,s,x)
fig = plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
ax = fig.add_subplot(111, projection="3d")
surf = ax.plot_surface(Sgrid, Xgrid, V0, edgecolor="none", cmap='viridis')
ax.set_title("Value Function V(0, s, x)")
ax.set_xlabel("Supply s")
ax.set_ylabel("Demand x")
ax.set_zlabel("V(0,s,x)")
fig.colorbar(surf, shrink=0.5, aspect=5)
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()

# 3b. Slices: V vs s for fixed x (for Q2a + curvature in s)
idx_x10 = np.abs(X - 10).argmin()
```

```

idx_x30 = np.abs(X - 30).argmin()
x_val_10 = X[idx_x10]
x_val_30 = X[idx_x30]

V_slice_x10 = V0[:, idx_x10]    # all s, x 10
V_slice_x30 = V0[:, idx_x30]    # all s, x 30

plt.figure(figsize=(7, 4))
plt.plot(S, V_slice_x10, label=f"x {x_val_10:.1f}")
plt.plot(S, V_slice_x30, label=f"x {x_val_30:.1f}")
plt.xlabel("Capacity s")
plt.ylabel(r"V(0,s,x)")
plt.title("Slices of V(0,s,x) vs s (fixed x)")
plt.legend()
plt.grid(True)
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()

# 3c. Slices: V vs x for fixed s (for Q2b + curvature in x)
idx_s10 = np.abs(S - 10).argmin()
idx_s20 = np.abs(S - 20).argmin()
s_val_10 = S[idx_s10]
s_val_20 = S[idx_s20]

V_slice_s10 = V0[idx_s10, :]    # all x, s 10
V_slice_s20 = V0[idx_s20, :]    # all x, s 20

plt.figure(figsize=(7, 4))
plt.plot(X, V_slice_s10, label=f"s {s_val_10:.1f}")
plt.plot(X, V_slice_s20, label=f"s {s_val_20:.1f}")
plt.xlabel("Demand x")
plt.ylabel(r"V(0,s,x)")
plt.title("Slices of V(0,s,x) vs x (fixed s)")
plt.legend()
plt.grid(True)
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()

# Question 3: Cross-sections of optimal intensities *_j

fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(14, 5))

# x 10
ax_1 = axes[0]
for i, name in enumerate(tech_names):
    ax_1.plot(S, L_star[:, idx_x10, i], label=f"Tech {name}")
ax_1.set_title(f"Optimal Intensities at x {x_val_10:.1f}")

```

```

ax_l.set_xlabel("Capacity s")
ax_l.set_ylabel(r"Intensity $\lambda_j^*(0,s,x)$")
ax_l.legend()
ax_l.grid(True)

# x 30
ax_r = axes[1]
for i, name in enumerate(tech_names):
    ax_r.plot(S, L_star[:, idx_x30, i], label=f"Tech {name}")
ax_r.set_title(fr"Optimal Intensities at x {x_val_30:.1f}")
ax_r.set_xlabel("Capacity s")
ax_r.set_ylabel(r"Intensity $\lambda_j^*(0,s,x)$")
ax_r.legend()
ax_r.grid(True)

plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()

# Policy Implications & Subsidy Design

print("\n--- Policy Analysis ---")

# Define scenarios for Technology A
scenarios = {
    "Baseline": {"rho_A": 0.2, "beta_A": 2.0},
    "Subsidize rho": {"rho_A": 0.1, "beta_A": 2.0},
    "Subsidize beta": {"rho_A": 0.2, "beta_A": 1.6},
    "Subsidize both": {"rho_A": 0.15, "beta_A": 1.8},
}

results_policy = {}

# Solve HJB under each policy scenario
for name, params in scenarios.items():
    r_vec_new = rho_vec.copy()
    b_vec_new = beta_vec.copy()
    r_vec_new[0] = params["rho_A"] # Tech A index 0
    b_vec_new[0] = params["beta_A"]

    print(f"Solving scenario: {name}")
    sol = solve_hjb(
        T, r, lambdaX, dx, ds, s_min, I, J,
        delta_vec, b_vec_new, r_vec_new, tech_names,
        verbose=False
    )
    results_policy[name] = sol

```

```

# 5a. 3D surfaces of *_A(0,s,x) for each scenario
for name, sol in results_policy.items():
    lamA = sol["LambdaStar0_j"][:, :, 0] # Tech A
    Sg = sol["Sgrid"]
    Xg = sol["Xgrid"]

    fig = plt.figure(figsize=(9, 6))
    ax3d = fig.add_subplot(111, projection="3d")
    surf = ax3d.plot_surface(Sg, Xg, lamA, edgecolor="none", cmap='viridis')
    ax3d.set_title(rf"Tech A intensity $\lambda_A*(0,s,x) - {name}")
    ax3d.set_xlabel("Supply s")
    ax3d.set_ylabel("Demand x")
    ax3d.set_zlabel(r"$\lambda_A*$")
    fig.colorbar(surf, shrink=0.5, aspect=5)
    plt.tight_layout()
    plt.show()

# 5b. Cross-section of *_A vs s at x = 30 for all scenarios
fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(10, 6))
for name, sol in results_policy.items():
    lam_A = sol["LambdaStar0_j"][:, idx_x30, 0] # Tech A
    ax.plot(S, lam_A, label=name)

    ax.set_title(fr"Tech A intensity $\lambda_A*(0,s,x)$ at x = {x_val_30:.1f}")
    ax.set_xlabel("Capacity s")
    ax.set_ylabel(r"Intensity $\lambda_A*$")
    ax.legend()
    ax.grid(True)
    plt.tight_layout()
    plt.show()

# 5c. Pointwise effectiveness table at a selected state (s,x)
idx_s_test = np.abs(S - 5).argmin()
idx_x_test = idx_x30

table_data = []
base_val = results_policy["Baseline"]["LambdaStar0_j"][idx_s_test, ↵
idx_x_test, 0]

for name, sol in results_policy.items():
    val = sol["LambdaStar0_j"][idx_s_test, idx_x_test, 0]
    if abs(base_val) > 1e-8:
        pct_change = (val - base_val) / base_val * 100.0
        pct_str = f"{pct_change:.1f}%"
    else:
        pct_str = "NA"

```

```

    table_data.append(
        {
            "Scenario": name,
            "Lambda_A": f"{val:.4f}",
            "Abs Change": f"{val - base_val:.4f}",
            "% Change": pct_str,
        }
    )
)

df = pd.DataFrame(table_data)
print(
    f"\nPolicy effectiveness at s={S[idx_s_test]:.1f}, x={X[idx_x_test]:.
    ↪1f}"
)
print(df.to_string(index=False))

# 5c. Pointwise effectiveness table at selected states
# We compare three distinct regimes:
# 1. Early Aggressive Growth (s=1.0): Expect lambda > 1
# 2. Moderate Expansion (s=5.0): Expect lambda < 1
# 3. Near Saturation (s=9.0): Expect lambda to be small
test_points = [
    {"s": 1.0, "x": 30.0},
    {"s": 5.0, "x": 30.0},
    {"s": 9.0, "x": 30.0}
]
all_table_data = []

for pt in test_points:
    s_val = pt["s"]
    x_val = pt["x"]

    idx_s_test = np.abs(S - s_val).argmin()
    idx_x_test = np.abs(X - x_val).argmin()

    base_val = results_policy["Baseline"]["LambdaStar0_j"][idx_s_test, ↪
    ↪idx_x_test, 0]

    for name, sol in results_policy.items():
        val = sol["LambdaStar0_j"][idx_s_test, idx_x_test, 0]

        if abs(base_val) > 1e-8:
            pct_change = (val - base_val) / base_val * 100.0
            pct_str = f"{pct_change:.1f}%"
        else:
            pct_str = "NA"

```

```

all_table_data.append(
{
    "State": f"(s={S[idx_s_test]:.1f}, x={X[idx_x_test]:.0f})",
    "Scenario": name,
    "Lambda_A": f"{val:.4f}",
    "Abs Change": f"{val - base_val:.4f}",
    "% Change": pct_str,
}
)


df = pd.DataFrame(all_table_data)
print("\n--- Pointwise Subsidy Effectiveness Comparison ---")
print(df.to_string(index=False))

if __name__ == "__main__":
    run_part2()


```



Solving HJB: T=5.0, r=0.02, \_X=4.0  
Grid: I=50, J=50, ds=1.0, dx=1.0  
Integrating HJB backward in time...  
Integration successful

Value Function  $V(0, s, x)$



Slices of  $V(0,s,x)$  vs  $s$  (fixed  $x$ )





--- Policy Analysis ---


Solving scenario: Baseline

Solving scenario: Subsidize rho


Solving scenario: Subsidize beta

Solving scenario: Subsidize both


Tech A intensity  $\lambda_A^*(0, s, x)$  - Baseline




Tech A intensity  $\lambda_A^*(0, s, x)$  – Subsidize rho




Tech A intensity  $\lambda_A^*(0, s, x)$  – Subsidize beta



Tech A intensity  $\lambda_A^*(0, s, x)$  – Subsidize both





Policy effectiveness at  $s=5.1$ ,  $x=30.0$

Scenario	Lambda_A	Abs Change	% Change
Baseline	0.4145	0.0000	0.0%
Subsidize rho	0.4962	0.0817	19.7%
Subsidize beta	0.2603	-0.1542	-37.2%
Subsidize both	0.3893	-0.0252	-6.1%

--- Pointwise Subsidy Effectiveness Comparison ---

State	Scenario	Lambda_A	Abs Change	% Change
( $s=1.1$ , $x=30$ )	Baseline	1.3205	0.0000	0.0%
( $s=1.1$ , $x=30$ )	Subsidize rho	1.3918	0.0713	5.4%
( $s=1.1$ , $x=30$ )	Subsidize beta	1.6151	0.2947	22.3%
( $s=1.1$ , $x=30$ )	Subsidize both	1.4705	0.1500	11.4%
( $s=5.1$ , $x=30$ )	Baseline	0.4145	0.0000	0.0%
( $s=5.1$ , $x=30$ )	Subsidize rho	0.4962	0.0817	19.7%
( $s=5.1$ , $x=30$ )	Subsidize beta	0.2603	-0.1542	-37.2%
( $s=5.1$ , $x=30$ )	Subsidize both	0.3893	-0.0252	-6.1%
( $s=9.1$ , $x=30$ )	Baseline	0.0000	0.0000	NA
( $s=9.1$ , $x=30$ )	Subsidize rho	0.0000	0.0000	NA
( $s=9.1$ , $x=30$ )	Subsidize beta	0.0000	0.0000	NA
( $s=9.1$ , $x=30$ )	Subsidize both	0.0000	0.0000	NA

## 0.0.2 Base Case Part 2

(a)

- The value function  $V(0, s, x)$  is non-monotone with respect to capacity  $s$ . For a fixed level of demand  $x$ , the value initially increases as capacity grows from zero, reaches a distinct peak (the optimal capacity level), and subsequently decreases. At very high levels of capacity relative to demand (e.g.,  $s = 50$  when  $x = 10$ ), the value actually becomes negative.
- Value of Additional Capacity: This indicates that the value of additional capacity is positive only when capacity is scarce. Once the capacity exceeds the optimal level for the current demand, the marginal value of additional capacity becomes negative. Adding supply beyond this point depresses the market price ( $P$ ) so severely that the revenue loss on existing units outweighs the volume gain from the new unit.b)

(b)

- The value function  $V(0, s, x)$  is strictly increasing with respect to demand  $x$ . Regardless of the current capacity level, an upward shift in demand always results in a higher firm value.

(c)

- We observe concavity in both dimensions: In  $s$  (Supply): The curve is concave (inverted U-shape), showing strong diminishing returns that eventually turn negative. In  $x$  (Demand): The curve is concave (increasing at a decreasing rate), reflecting the square-root relationship in the price function ( $P \propto \sqrt{x}$ ).
- Economically, the curvature in capacity ( $s$ ) illustrates market saturation. In this market, the firm is large enough that its capacity decisions affect the spot price. When  $s$  is low, capacity is scarce, prices are high, and the first few units of capacity generate immense value. As  $s$  increases, the spot price falls. Eventually, the revenue gained from selling one more unit is less than the revenue lost due to the price drop across all units. This creates a natural “limit” to profitable expansion, even if investment costs were zero. The negative values at high  $s$  imply that maintaining excessive capacity can be distinctively unprofitable (likely due to fixed operating costs or prices dropping below variable costs).

[ ]:

### 0.0.3 Base Case Part 3

(a)

- At  $x \approx 10$ , which technologies are deployed first? So, for that, at low levels of capacity ( $s \approx 0$ ), Technology C is deployed with the highest intensity, followed by Technology A, and then Technology B.
- All technologies share identical cost structures ( $\rho = 0.2, \beta = 2.0$ ), but they differ in their capital impact  $\delta$ . Technology C has the highest impact parameter ( $\delta_C = 5.0$ ), meaning it adds the most capacity per unit of investment intensity. Technology B has the lowest ( $\delta_B = 2.0$ ).
- The firm behaves efficiently by prioritizing the technology that offers the highest marginal product of capacity for the marginal cost incurred. Therefore, the biggest mover (Tech C) is always utilized most aggressively when capacity is scarce.

(b)

- At  $x \approx 30$ , how does the ordering of technologies change, if at all? Are higher- $\delta$  technologies favored at higher demand levels? So, the rank ordering of technologies does not change. At  $x \approx 30$ , we still observe the hierarchy Tech C > Tech A > Tech B in the investment region. Expansion of Investment Region: While the ordering remains the same, the range over which these technologies are used expands significantly. At  $x \approx 10$ , investment stops around  $s \approx 4$ . At  $x \approx 30$ , investment continues until  $s \approx 9$ . Higher- $\delta$  technologies are consistently favored regardless of the demand level. Whether demand is low ( $x = 10$ ) or high ( $x = 30$ ), the firm always prefers the most efficient technology (Tech C) to close the gap between current capacity and target capacity. High demand does not change the relative preference between technologies, it simply increases the total volume of investment required, scaling up the intensities of all productive technologies while maintaining their relative efficiency ranking.

[ ]:

#### 0.0.4 Policy Implication Part 2

$\rho$  - Lowering the fixed/linear cost proves to be consistently effective across different investment regimes. At the aggressive early stage ( $s = 1.1$ ), it increases intensity by 5.4%, and at the moderate stage ( $s = 5.1$ ), it increases it by 19.7%. This subsidy works uniformly by raising the net marginal benefit of every unit of investment, regardless of the current scale.

$\beta$  - This subsidy displays a regime-dependent behavior. In the Rapid Growth phase ( $s = 1.1$ ): Where baseline intensity is high ( $\lambda > 1$ ), reducing convexity is the most powerful policy, boosting intensity by 22.3%. It effectively rewards mega-projects by reducing the penalty for scaling up intensity. In the Moderate phase ( $s = 5.1$ ): The intensity drops by 37.2%. Because  $\lambda < 1$  in this region, the change in the exponent dominates, shifting effort away from this maintenance phase back toward the start of the horizon.

*both* - This scenario ( $\rho = 0.15, \beta = 1.8$ ) illustrates the interaction between the two levers. It functions as a middle ground policy. At  $s = 1.1$ , it successfully boosts investment (+11.4%), though not as aggressively as the pure convexity subsidy. At  $s = 5.1$ , it significantly mitigates the downsides of the  $\beta$  subsidy. While the pure  $\beta$ -subsidy caused a steep 37.2% drop in intensity, the combined approach limits this drop to just 6.1%.

So, a balanced policy portfolio helps avoid the boom-bust nature of purely targeting convexity. It captures some of the early-stage acceleration benefits while using the linear subsidy ( $\rho$ ) to maintain a floor for investment incentives during the moderate expansion phase. (All lines have flattened out to 0 by  $s = 9$ . This confirms that once capacity is sufficient ( $s > 8$ ), investment stops regardless of the subsidy.)

[ ]:

#### 0.0.5 Policy Implication Part 3

- Reducing  $\rho$  (Linear Subsidy): This scenario closely resembles Investment Tax Credits or Feed-in Tariffs. These policies essentially pay a fixed amount per unit of capacity or energy, directly lowering the effective linear cost ( $\rho$ ) or increasing the linear revenue. They are effective at pushing projects over the profitability hurdle across a wide range of conditions.

- Reducing  $\beta$  (Convexity Subsidy): This scenario behaves like policies that reduce soft costs (permitting, interconnection, supply chains). Our results at  $s = 1.1$  demonstrate that these policies are uniquely suited for jump-starting an industry. They allow developers to build massive capacity quickly (the green spike in the plot). However, they are less effective at sustaining smaller, marginal investments later in the lifecycle ( $s = 5.1$ ).
- So, most real-world policies (like the US Inflation Reduction Act's tax credits) behave more like reducing  $\rho$ . They provide linear financial incentives that lower the base cost of entry. However, as the renewable transition demands faster build-outs (scaling from GW to TW), policymakers are increasingly looking at  $\beta$ -reducing policies to allow for the massive surges in capacity seen in the green line of our plots. So, while  $\rho$ -subsidies (like the Inflation Reduction Act's tax credits) provide a broad baseline of support, policymakers aiming for extremely rapid decarbonization (GW to TW scale) must also target  $\beta$  reductions to unlock the high-intensity build rates seen in the early states of our model.

[ ]: