

## Operational Semantics

$$e \hookrightarrow e$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{v \models \phi}{\Box : [v : b \mid \phi] \hookrightarrow v} \text{EHOLE} \quad \frac{op \bar{v} \equiv v_y}{\text{let } y = op \bar{v} \text{ in } e \hookrightarrow e[y \mapsto v_y]} \text{EAppOp} \\
\\
\frac{e_1 \hookrightarrow e'_1}{\text{let } y = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \hookrightarrow \text{let } y = e'_1 \text{ in } e_2} \text{ELete1} \quad \frac{}{\text{let } y = v \text{ in } e \hookrightarrow e[y \mapsto v]} \text{ELete2} \\
\\
\frac{}{\text{let } y = \lambda x : t. e_1 \ v_x \text{ in } e_2 \hookrightarrow \text{let } y = e_1[x \mapsto v_x] \text{ in } e_2} \text{ELetAppLam} \\
\\
\frac{}{\text{let } y = \text{fix } f : t. \lambda x : t_x. e_1 \ v_x \text{ in } e_2 \hookrightarrow \text{let } y = (\lambda f : t. e_1[x \mapsto v_x]) (\text{fix } f : t. \lambda x : t_x. e_1) \text{ in } e_2} \text{ELetAppFix} \\
\\
\frac{}{\text{match } d_i \ \bar{v}_j \text{ with } \overline{d_i \ \bar{y}_j} \rightarrow e_i \hookrightarrow e_i[\bar{y}_j \mapsto \bar{v}_j]} \text{EMatch}
\end{array}$$

Fig. 15. Small Step Operational Semantics of  $\lambda^{TG}++$ .

## A Operational Semantics

Fig. 15 give the reduction rules for  $\lambda^{TG}++$ 's small standard operational semantics.

**Well-Formedness**

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \equiv \overline{x_i: \{v: b_{x_i} \mid \phi_{x_i}\}, y_j: [v: b_{y_j} \mid \phi_{y_j}], z: (a: \tau_a \rightarrow \tau_b)} \quad (\forall x_i: b_{x_i}, \exists y_j: b_{y_j}, \forall v: b, \phi) \text{ is a Boolean predicate} \quad \forall j, \text{err} \notin \llbracket [v: b_{y_j} \mid \phi_{y_j}] \rrbracket_{\Gamma}}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} [v: b \mid \phi]} \text{ WFBASE}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: \{v: b \mid \phi\} \vdash^{\text{WF}} \tau}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} x: \{v: b \mid \phi\} \rightarrow \tau} \text{ WFBARG} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} (a: \tau_a \rightarrow \tau_b) \quad \Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} \tau}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} (a: \tau_a \rightarrow \tau_b) \rightarrow \tau} \text{ WFBRES}$$

**Subtyping**

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket [v: b \mid \phi_1] \rrbracket_{\Gamma} \subseteq \llbracket [v: b \mid \phi_2] \rrbracket_{\Gamma}}{\Gamma \vdash [v: b \mid \phi_1] <: [v: b \mid \phi_2]} \text{ SUBUBASE} \quad \frac{\begin{array}{l} \{v: b \mid \phi_1\}_{\Gamma} \subseteq \\ \{v: b \mid \phi_2\}_{\Gamma} \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \{v: b \mid \phi_1\} <: \{v: b \mid \phi_2\}} \text{ SUBOBASE}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_{21} <: \tau_{11} \quad \Gamma, x: \tau_{21} \vdash \tau_{12} <: \tau_{22}}{\Gamma \vdash x: \tau_{11} \rightarrow \tau_{12} <: x: \tau_{21} \rightarrow \tau_{22}} \text{ SUBARR}$$

**Disjunction**

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \vee \tau_2 = \tau_3}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\Gamma} \cap \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Gamma} = \llbracket \tau_3 \rrbracket_{\Gamma}}{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \vee \tau_2 = \tau_3} \text{ DISJUNCTION}$$

Fig. 16. Auxillary typing relations

**B Type System**

The full set of typing rules for  $\lambda^{TG}++$  is shown in Fig. 17.

**B.1 Subset Relation of Denotation under Type Context**

We define the subset relation between the denotation of two refinement types  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  under a type context  $\Gamma$  (written  $\llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\Gamma} \subseteq \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$ ) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\emptyset} \subseteq \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\emptyset} &\doteq \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \\ \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{x: \tau_x, \Gamma} \subseteq \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{x: \tau_x, \Gamma} &\doteq \forall v_x \in \llbracket \tau_x \rrbracket, \\ &\llbracket \tau_1 [x \mapsto v_x] \rrbracket_{\Gamma [x \mapsto v_x]} \subseteq \llbracket \tau_2 [x \mapsto v_x] \rrbracket_{\Gamma [x \mapsto v_x]} \quad \text{if } \tau \equiv \{v: b \mid \phi\} \\ \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{x: \tau_x, \Gamma} \subseteq \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{x: \tau_x, \Gamma} &\doteq \exists \hat{e}_x \in \llbracket \tau_x \rrbracket, \forall v_x, \hat{e}_x \hookrightarrow^* v_x \implies \\ &\llbracket \tau_1 [x \mapsto v_x] \rrbracket_{\Gamma [x \mapsto v_x]} \subseteq \llbracket \tau_2 [x \mapsto v_x] \rrbracket_{\Gamma [x \mapsto v_x]} \quad \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

The way we interpret the type context  $\Gamma$  here is the same as the definition of the type denotation under the type context, but we keep the denotation of  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  as the subset relation under the same interpretation of  $\Gamma$ , that is under the *same* substitution  $[x \mapsto v_x]$ . This constraint is also required by other refinement type systems, which define the denotation of the type context  $\Gamma$  as a set of substitutions, with the subset relation of the denotation of two types holding under the *same* substitution. However, our type context is more complicated, since it has both under- and overapproximate types. The denotations of these types use both existential and universal quantifiers, and cannot simply be interpreted as a set of substitutions. Thus, we define a subset relation over denotations under a type context to ensure the same substitution is applied to both types.

## Typing

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} [v : b \mid \phi]}{\Gamma \vdash \square : [v : b \mid \phi] : [v : b \mid \phi]} \text{THOLE} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} [v : b \mid \perp]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{err} : [v : b \mid \perp]} \text{TErr} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} \text{Ty}(c)}{\Gamma \vdash c : \text{Ty}(c)} \text{TConst} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} \text{Ty}(op)}{\Gamma \vdash op : \text{Ty}(op)} \text{TOp} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} [v : b \mid v = x]}{\Gamma \vdash x : [v : b \mid v = x]} \text{TVarBase} \quad \frac{\Gamma(x) = (a : \tau_a \rightarrow \tau_b) \quad \Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} a : \tau_a \rightarrow \tau_b}{\Gamma \vdash x : (a : \tau_a \rightarrow \tau_b)} \text{TVarFun} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_x \vdash e : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} x : \tau_x \rightarrow \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : [\tau_x]. e : (x : \tau_x \rightarrow \tau)} \text{TFun} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : b. \lambda f : (b \rightarrow [\tau]). e : (x : \{v : b \mid \phi\} \rightarrow f : (x : \{v : b \mid v \prec x \wedge \phi\} \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau) \quad \Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} x : \{v : b \mid \phi\} \rightarrow \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix} f : (b \rightarrow [\tau]). \lambda x : b. e : (x : \{v : b \mid \phi\} \rightarrow \tau)} \text{TFix} \\
\\
\frac{\emptyset \vdash \tau <: \tau' \quad \emptyset \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} \tau'} \text{TSUB} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau' <: \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau <: \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} \tau'} \text{TEQ} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \vee \tau_2 = \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} \tau} \text{TMerge} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_x : \tau_x \quad \Gamma, x : \tau_x \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} \tau} \text{TLetE} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash op : a_i : \{v : b_i \mid \phi_i\} \rightarrow \tau_x \quad \forall i, \Gamma \vdash v_i : [v : b_i \mid \phi_i] \quad \Gamma, x : \tau_x [a_i \mapsto v_i] \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} \tau} \text{TAppOp} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash v_1 : (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow \tau_x \quad \Gamma \vdash v_2 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma, x : \tau_x \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} \tau} \text{TAppFun} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = op \, \bar{v}_i \text{ in } e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = v_1 \, v_2 \text{ in } e : \tau} \text{TApp} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash v : \tau_v \quad \Gamma \vdash^{\text{WF}} \tau \quad \Gamma, \bar{y} : \bar{\tau}_y \vdash d_i(\bar{y}) : \tau_v}{\Gamma, \bar{y} : \bar{\tau}_y \vdash e_i : \tau} \text{TMATCH}
\end{array}$$

Fig. 17. Full Typing Rules

## C Abduction Algorithm

**Algorithm 3** lists the **Abduce** subroutine that **Repair** uses to infer  $\psi_{\text{need}}$ , the qualifier of the type we use to capture an input generator's missing coverage. The first argument,  $\Gamma$ , is the typing context of the body of the generator. The final two arguments of **Abduce** include the current and target coverage of the test input generator,  $\psi_{\text{cur}}$  and  $\psi$  respectively. Given these inputs, the goal of **Abduce** is to output the weakest formula  $\psi_{\text{need}}$  in the hypothesis space that ensures  $\Gamma \vdash [v : b \mid \phi_{\text{cur}} \vee \phi_{\text{need}}] <: [v : b \mid \phi]$ .

**Abduce** does so by adapting an existing algorithm for inferring a maximally weak specification in the context of safety verification [65] to our coverage type setting.

**Algorithm 3:** Inferring missing coverage (**Abduce**)

**Inputs** :  $\Phi$ : a set of atomic formulas,  $\Gamma$ : typing context,  $[v:b \mid \psi_{\text{cur}}]$ : current coverage,  $[v:b \mid \psi]$ : target coverage

**Output** : Formula  $\psi_{\text{need}}$  such that  $\Gamma \vdash [v:b \mid \psi_{\text{cur}} \vee \psi_{\text{need}}] <: [v:b \mid \psi]$

```

1 if  $\Gamma \vdash [v:b \mid \phi_{\text{cur}}] <: [v:b \mid \phi]$  then return  $\perp$ ;
2  $\Psi \leftarrow \bigcup_{\phi \subseteq \Phi} \{\psi \mid \psi = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \phi} \alpha \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Phi - \phi} \neg \alpha\}$ ;
3  $\Psi^- \leftarrow \{\psi \in \Psi \mid \psi_{\text{cur}} \implies \psi\}$ ;  $\Psi^? \leftarrow \Psi - \Psi^-$ ;  $\Psi^+ \leftarrow \emptyset$ ;  $\psi_{\text{need}} \leftarrow \top$ ;
4 while  $\exists \psi_? \in \Psi^?$  do
5    $\Psi^? \leftarrow \Psi^? \setminus \{\psi_?\}$ ;
6    $\psi_{\text{need}} \leftarrow \text{Learn}(\Psi^+ \cup \Psi^?, \Psi^- \cup \{\psi_?\})$ ;
7   if  $\Gamma \vdash [v:b \mid \psi_{\text{cur}} \vee \psi_{\text{need}}] <: [v:b \mid \psi]$  then
8      $\Psi^- \leftarrow \Psi^- \cup \{\psi_?\}$ ;
9   else
10     $\Psi^+ \leftarrow \Psi^+ \cup \{\psi_?\}$ ;
11     $\psi_{\text{need}} \leftarrow \text{Learn}(\Psi^+, \Psi^- \cup \Psi^?)$ ;
12    if  $\Gamma \vdash [v:b \mid \psi_{\text{cur}} \vee \psi_{\text{need}}] <: [v:b \mid \psi]$  then
13      return  $\psi_{\text{need}}$ ;
14 return  $\psi_{\text{need}}$ ;

```

This algorithm maintains three disjoint sets,  $\Psi^-$ ,  $\Psi^+$ , and  $\Psi^?$ , which cumulatively contain all conjunctions of the method predicates in  $P$  and their negations (line 2). Intuitively, each element of these sets is a formula that defines a distinct subset of the generator's outputs: the formula  $\neg \text{empty}(l) \wedge \text{hd}(l) = 1$ , for example, characterizes all nonempty lists that begin with 1. The set  $\Psi^+$  captures values that the input generator does not output but needs to,  $\Psi^-$  includes values that can safely omitted from the output of the repaired generator, and  $\Psi^?$  includes those values which have not been definitively placed into either category. **Abduce** initializes these sets by moving all values currently covered by  $\psi_{\text{cur}}$  into  $\Psi^-$  and placing the remaining elements into  $\Psi^?$  (line 3). The candidate solution maintained by **Abduce**,  $\psi_{\text{need}}$ , contains all the elements of  $\Psi^+$  and  $\Psi^?$ . The key invariant of **Abduce** is that the disjunction of  $\psi_{\text{need}}$  and  $\psi_{\text{cur}}$  is always a subtype of  $\psi$ , i.e.,  $\psi_{\text{need}}$  captures a superset of the outputs that need to be added to a generator.

The algorithm's main loop (lines 4-13) attempts to place all the members of  $\Psi^?$  into either  $\Psi^-$  or  $\Psi^+$ . Each iteration of the loop checks if it is safe to move an element of  $\Psi^?$ ,  $\psi_?$ , to  $\Psi^-$ , adding it to  $\Psi^+$  if not. The loop first uses an auxiliary function, **Learn**, to construct a candidate solution,  $\psi_{\text{need}}$ , that distinguishes  $\Psi^+$  and  $\Psi^?$  from those of  $\Psi^- \cup \{\psi_?\}$  (line 5). The loop then uses a subtype check to see whether  $\psi_{\text{need}}$  is still sufficient to complete  $\phi_{\text{cur}}$  (line 7), updating  $\Psi^-$  if so (line 9). If not,  $\psi_?$  is added to  $\Psi^+$  and we check to see if all the remaining elements of  $\Psi^?$  can be safely moved to  $\Psi^-$ , terminating if so (lines 11-13). If not, the loop continues until  $\Psi^?$  has no more elements.

**Algorithm 4:** Insert repair locations (**Localize**)

**Inputs** :  $s$ : incomplete generator,  $\Gamma$ : typing context,  $[v:b \mid \phi_{\text{need}}]$ : missing coverage  
**Output** : An updated sketch  $i'$  containing  $j$  holes and a set of typing contexts for each hole  
 $\Gamma_j \vdash \square_j : [v:b \mid \phi_j]$

```

1  match  $s$  with
2     $v \Rightarrow \text{return } (v \oplus \square : [v:b \mid \phi_{\text{need}}], \{\Gamma \vdash \square : [v:b \mid \phi_{\text{need}}]\});$ 
3     $\text{err} \Rightarrow \text{return } (\square : [v:b \mid \phi_{\text{need}}], \{\Gamma \vdash \square : [v:b \mid \phi_{\text{need}}]\});$ 
4     $\square : [v:b \mid \phi] \Rightarrow \text{return } (\square, \{\Gamma \vdash \square : [v:b \mid \phi], \Gamma \vdash \square : [v:b \mid \phi_{\text{need}}]\});$ 
5    let  $x = e_1$  in  $e_2 \Rightarrow$ 
6       $(i_2, \Gamma') \leftarrow \text{Localize}(\Gamma; x : \text{TyInfer}(\Gamma, e_1), e_2, [v:b \mid \phi_{\text{need}}]);$ 
7      return (let  $x = c_1$  in  $i_2, \Gamma'$ )
8    let  $x = \text{op } \bar{v}$  in  $e_2 \Rightarrow$ 
9       $(i_2, \Gamma') \leftarrow \text{Localize}(\Gamma; x : \text{TyInfer}(\Gamma, \text{op } \bar{v}), e_2, [v:b \mid \phi_{\text{need}}]);$ 
10     return (let  $x = \text{op } \bar{v}$  in  $i_2, \Gamma'$ )
11   let  $x = v \ v$  in  $e_2 \Rightarrow$ 
12      $(i_2, \Gamma') \leftarrow \text{Localize}(\Gamma; x : \text{TyInfer}(\Gamma, v \ v), e_2, [v:b \mid \phi_{\text{need}}]);$ 
13     return (let  $x = v \ v$  in  $i_2, \Gamma'$ )
14   match  $v$  with  $\overline{d_k \ y_k} \rightarrow e_k \Rightarrow$ 
15      $\Gamma' \leftarrow \emptyset;$ 
16     for  $m \in \{0, \dots, k\}$  do
17        $y:\{v:b \mid \phi\} \rightarrow [v:b_m \mid \psi_m] \leftarrow \text{Ty}(d_m);$ 
18        $\Gamma'_j \leftarrow y:\{v:b \mid \phi\}, a:[v:b_m \mid v = v \wedge \psi_m];$ 
19        $(i_m, \Gamma_m) \leftarrow \text{Localize}(\Gamma; \Gamma'_j, e_j, [v:b \mid \phi_{\text{need}}]);$ 
20        $\Gamma' \leftarrow \Gamma' \cup \Gamma_m;$ 
21   return (match  $v$  with  $\overline{d_k \ y_k} \rightarrow i_k, \Gamma')$ 

```

**D Localization Algorithm**

Starting from an initial typing context,  $\Gamma$ , **Localize** recurses over the AST of the input program (line 1). In the base cases, a hole is inserted and the current typing context is attached to it (lines 2-5). **Localize** replaces **err** expressions with a new hole, since errors never contribute any coverage (line 3). If there is already a hole, **Localize** adds an additional hole with the target coverage, and adds both holes to the set of repair locations. In the recursive cases,  $\Gamma$  is updated according to the typing context used for each subterm in the corresponding typing rule: the recursive call on line 10, for example, extends the input typing context with a binding for the result of  $\text{op } \bar{v}$ . When applied to a match expression, **Algorithm 4** recursively inserts holes into each branch (line 18).

E Case Study: Well-Typed Lambda Calculus Terms

Table 2. Results for the Simply Typed Lambda Calculus case study.

name	#Holes	Repair Size	#Queries	#Terms	Abduction Time(s)	Synthesis Time(s)	Total Time(s)
STLC 1	1	9	99	9	7.34	8.16	109.6
STLC 3	1	30	694	276	3.06	160.34	165.35
STLC 2	1	59	4443	1555	5.96	2760.8	2778.68