

Lecture 1

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space. Without any additional structure or information, we may define the Lebesgue integral $\int_X f d\mu$ for f an $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ measurable function $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$.

We only have a few examples without any work.

Example 0.1. • For any set X , we can define the counting measure on $\mathcal{A} = 2^X$, which gives $\mu(A) = |A|$. If $X = \mathbb{N}$, then a measurable function is just a sequence (f_n) , and $\int_X f d\mu = \sum f_n$

- We can also define the Dirac mass δ_p for a fixed $p \in X$ by

$$\delta_p(E) = \begin{cases} 1 & p \in E \\ 0 & p \notin E \end{cases}$$

We have $\int_X f d\delta_p = f(p)$

To get another example of a measure we need to do some work.

Problem: We want a measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n such that, for a rectangle,

$$\mu([a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n]) = |a_1 - b_1| \cdots |a_n - b_n|$$

Once it is defined on all rectangles, it is defined on the minimal σ -algebra containing them, which is the Borel σ -algebra. In other words, this condition will completely specify a measure on the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$

If $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, or a general metric space, or even a general topological space, then $\mathcal{B}(X)$ denotes the σ -algebra generated by the open subsets of X .

Problem:

Suppose we have a distribution function $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, meaning F is monotone, positive, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = 0, \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 1$, and continuous from the right. We want a Borel measure μ such that $F(t) = \mu((-\infty, t])$. Such a measure, denoted by λ_F , is called a Lebesgues-Stieltjes measure.

The corresponding integral is called a Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral.

If F is smooth, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi d\lambda_F = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dF(x)$.

The measure we want on \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by λ^n .

The Carathéodory Construction

Suppose we have an outer measure $\gamma : 2^X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$. This means $\gamma(\emptyset) = 0$, $A \subset B \implies \gamma(A) \leq \gamma(B)$ (monotone), and $\gamma(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma(E_i)$ (subadditive).

We can define a set S to be γ -measurable if for every testing set T , $\gamma(T) = \gamma(S \cap T) + \gamma(S^c \cap T)$.

Theorem 0.1. (*Carathéodory Extension Theorem*)

1. $\gamma(N) = 0 \implies N$ is measurable.
2. The set of measurable sets forms a σ -algebra Γ .
3. γ restricted to Γ forms a measure.

“Nothing in the above theorem can guarantee you that Γ is not trivial, i.e. $\Gamma = \{\emptyset, X\}$. Nevertheless, this is a very useful guy” - Dennis.

Definition 0.1. (Lebesgue outer measure on \mathbb{R}^n)

Let R be a rectangle in \mathbb{R}^n , that is $R = \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i]$. We have $\text{Vol}(R) = |a_1 - b_1| \cdots |a_n - b_n|$. For any $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, we define

$$\mu^*(E) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{Vol}(R_j) \mid E \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} R_j \right\}$$

Proposition 1. μ^* is an outer measure on \mathbb{R}^n such that $\mu^*(R) = \text{Vol}(R)$ for all rectangles R .

Proof. The first and second axioms are trivial, so we will just prove the subadditivity. Let E be some set. By definition, for any ε , there is some cover R_j by rectangles such that

$$-\varepsilon + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{Vol}(R_j) \leq \mu^*(E) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{Vol}(R_j)$$

meaning that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{Vol}(R_j) \leq \mu^*(E) + \varepsilon$. So for each E_k , there is a sequence R_j^k which covers E_k , such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{Vol}(R_j^k) \leq \mu^*(E_k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}$.

So $\{R_j^k\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ forms a cover of $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{Vol}(R_j^k) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\mu^*(E_k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_k) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

This is true for any positive ε . Taking the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ gives the result. ■

Now, fix a rectangle R . Note that R itself forms a cover of R , so by the definition, $\mu^*(R) \leq \text{Vol}(R)$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we can take an almost-optimal cover (R_j) such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{Vol}(R_j) \leq \text{Vol}(R) + \varepsilon$. We can rig it such that $|\text{Vol}(R_j) - \text{Vol}(R)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}$. Because $R \subset \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} R_j$, and R_j is an open cover, by compactness of R there is a finite subcover, and the volume of R is less than or equal to the sum of the volumes of these finitely many R_j . So the volume of R is less than or equal to $\mu^*(R) + 2\varepsilon$. So $\text{Vol}(R) = \mu^*(R)$.

Proposition 2. *Every rectangle R in \mathbb{R}^n is Carathéodory measurable).*

Proof. I missed this lol. Apparently Dennis denotes \mathcal{M}_{λ^*} by \mathcal{L}^n . ■

Definition 0.2. A set is said to be G_{δ} if it is the countable intersection of open sets. A set is said to be F_{σ} if it is the countable union of closed sets.

Theorem 0.2. 1. *For all $E \in \mathcal{L}^n$, $\lambda^N(E) = \inf\{\lambda^n(O) \mid \text{open } O \supseteq E\}$.*

2. *$E \in \mathcal{L}^n$ if and only if $E = H \setminus Z$, where H is G_{δ} , and $\lambda^*(Z) = 0$.*

3. *$E \in \mathcal{L}^n$ if and only if $E = H \cup Z$, where H is F_{σ} and $\lambda^*(Z) = 0$.*

4. $\lambda^n(E) = \sup\{\lambda^n(C) \mid \text{closed } C \subseteq E\}$

Proof. It suffices to prove the first statement, as the others will follow by passing to a complement. ■

Definition 0.3. Suppose X is a metric space. A measure on X is a Radon measure if it is Borel (meaning defined on a σ -algebra containing Borel sets), and for any Borel E , $\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(O) \mid \text{open } O \supseteq E\}$, and for any compact $C \subseteq X$, $\mu(C) < \infty$.

Theorem 0.3. *(Riesz)*

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact. Let $C(X)$ denote the vector space of all continuous functions on X . This admits a norm $\|f\|_{C(X)} = \sup_X |f|$, making it a Banach space.

Define $C^(X) = \{\phi : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \phi \text{ is linear and continuous}\}$.*

For all $\phi \in C^(X)$, there exists a Radon measure $\mu = \mu_+$, and a function $M : X \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ which is Borel, such that*

$$\phi(f) = \int_X f(x)M(x) d\mu(x)$$

for all $f \in C(X)$.

Proof. ■

Lecture 2, 1/17/23

Note: This is the first lecture with Davit. Davit will always use μ to refer to an outer measure, not a measure. The book will be “Measure theory and fine properties of functions.” According to Davit, this is the correct book to be using.

Definition 0.4. Let X be a nonempty set. A mapping $\mu : 2^X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is called a measure if it satisfies the following 2 properties.

1. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$.
2. (Countable subadditivity and monotonicity) If $A, A_1, A_2, \dots \subseteq X$ and $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ then $\mu(A) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$

Remark. From the second definition, we can automatically get monotonicity, i.e. if $A \subseteq B$, then $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$. This is because, as written, the second definition is a statement not just about $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, but about any subset of it. Indeed, let $A = A$, $A_1 = B$, and $A_k = \emptyset$ for $k \geq 2$. Then we have $\mu(A) \leq \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \mu(A \cup B)$.

We will write “ μ is a measure on X ” to mean that μ satisfies the above definition (that is, μ is an outer measure).

Definition 0.5. Let X be a nonempty set and let μ be a measure on X . For a fixed set $C \subseteq X$, define the restriction measure $\nu = \mu|_C$ by $\nu(A) = \mu|_A(A) = \mu(A \cap C)$.

Remark. It is easy to prove that $\mu|_C$ is a measure on X .

Definition 0.6. (Carathéodory’s condition). Let X be a nonempty set and let μ be a measure on X . A subset $A \subseteq X$ is called μ -measurable if, for all subset $B \subseteq X$, we have

$$\mu(B) = \mu(B \cap A) + \mu(B \setminus A)$$

Remark. X and \emptyset are easily seen to be μ -measurable.

Theorem 0.4. (Carathéodory extension theorem)

The collection of μ -measurable sets on a set X is a σ -algebra.

Theorem 0.5. Let X be a nonempty set and let μ be a measure on X . Then the following holds:

1. \emptyset and X are μ -measurable.
2. $A \subseteq X$ is μ -measurable if and only if $X \setminus A$ is μ -measurable.
3. If $A \subseteq X$ is such that $\mu(A) = 0$, then A is μ -measurable.
4. Let $C \subseteq X$. Then anything which is μ -measurable is $\mu|_C$ -measurable.

Remark. A measure is also finitely subadditive, which says that if $A \subseteq A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$, then $\mu(A) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i)$. So, to check that $\mu(B) = \mu(B \cap A) + \mu(B \setminus A)$, it will suffice to check

$$\mu(B) \geq \mu(B \cap A) + \mu(B \setminus A)$$

Proof. Part 1 is obvious.

Suppose that A is μ -measurable. Then $\mu(B \cap A) = \mu(B \setminus A^c)$ and $\mu(B \cap A^c) = \mu(B \setminus A)$ so $\mu(B \cap A) + \mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B \cap A^c) + \mu(B \setminus A^c)$. So A is μ -measurable if and only if A^c is.

Suppose that $\mu(A) = 0$. Then $\mu(B \cap A) \leq \mu(A), \mu(B)$, so $\mu(B \cap A) = 0$ for any $B \subseteq X$. Now, $B \setminus A \subseteq B$, so by monotonicity $\mu(B \setminus A) \leq \mu(B)$. So $\mu(B \cap A) + \mu(B \setminus A) \leq \mu(B)$ for all $B \subseteq X$, so we are done.

Let A be μ -measurable. Then for any $B \subseteq X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(B) &= \mu|_C(B) = \mu(B \cap C) \\ &= \mu((B \cap C) \cap A) + \mu((B \cap C) \setminus A) \\ &= \nu(B \cap A) + \mu((B \setminus A) \cap C) \\ &= \nu(B \cap A) + \nu(B \setminus A) \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 0.6. Let X be a nonempty set and let μ be a measure on X .

Assume $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \subseteq X$ are μ -measurable. Then

1. $\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_k$ are also μ -measurable.
2. If the A_i are disjoint, then $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i)$

Proof. We prove part 2 first. Because each A_i is measurable,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k) &= \mu((\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k) \cap A_n) + \mu((\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k) \setminus A_n) \\ &= \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_k) + \mu(A_n) = \dots = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(A_k) \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove part 1. Let $A, B \subseteq X$ be μ -measurable and disjoint. Then for any $C \subseteq X$, $\mu(C) = \mu(C \cap A) + \mu(C \setminus A)$, and similarly for B . This is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(C) &= \mu(C \cap A) + \mu((C \setminus A) \cap B) + \mu(C \setminus A \setminus B) \\ &= \mu(C \cap A) + \mu(C \cap B) + \mu(C \setminus (A \cup B)) + \mu(C \cap (A \cup B)) (?) \\ &= \mu(C \cap (A \cup B) \cap A) + \mu(C \cap (A \cup B) \setminus A) \\ &= \mu(C \cap A) + \mu(C \cap B) \\ &= \mu(C \cap (A \cup B)) + \mu(C \setminus (A \cup B)) \end{aligned}$$

So $A \cup B$ is μ -measurable. (I got a bit lost in the arithmetic, sorry)

Next, if $A, B \subseteq X$ are μ -measurable, then $A \cap B$ is μ -measurable.