

# Protocol for the Examination of Resection Specimens From Patients With Primary Carcinoma of the Vagina

**Version:** Vagina Resection 4.2.0.0 **Protocol Posting Date:** August 2019

CAP Laboratory Accreditation Program Protocol Required Use Date: May 2020

Includes pTNM requirements from the 8th Edition, AJCC Staging Manual, and 2018 FIGO Cancer Report

For accreditation purposes, this protocol should be used for the following procedures AND tumor types:

Procedure	Description
Resection	Includes vaginectomy
Tumor Type	Description
Carcinoma	Includes squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma and variants, carcinosarcoma, neuroendocrine carcinoma, and mixed epithelial – neuroendocrine tumors

This protocol is NOT required for accreditation purposes for the following:

Procedure	
Biopsy (consider Vagina Biopsy protocol)	
Primary resection specimen with no residual cancer (eg, following neoadjuvant therapy)	
Cytologic specimens	

The following tumor types should NOT be reported using this protocol:

Tumor Type
Lymphoma (consider the Hodgkin or non-Hodgkin Lymphoma protocols)
Sarcoma (consider the Soft Tissue protocol)

# **Authors**

Saeid Movahedi-Lankarani, MD\*; Uma Krishnamurti, MD, PhD\*; Debra A. Bell, MD; George G. Birdsong, MD; Charles V. Biscotti, MD; Christopher N. Chapman Jr, MD; Dina H. Kandil, MD; Veronica Klepeis, MD, PhD; Anthony G. Montag, MD; Christopher N. Otis, MD

With guidance from the CAP Cancer and CAP Pathology Electronic Reporting Committees.

<sup>\*</sup> Denotes primary authors. All other contributing authors are listed alphabetically.

### **Accreditation Requirements**

This protocol can be utilized for a variety of procedures and tumor types for clinical care purposes. For accreditation purposes, only the definitive primary cancer resection specimen is required to have the core and conditional data elements reported in a synoptic format.

- <u>Core data elements</u> are required in reports to adequately describe appropriate malignancies. For accreditation purposes, essential data elements must be reported in all instances, even if the response is "not applicable" or "cannot be determined."
- <u>Conditional data elements</u> are only required to be reported if applicable as delineated in the protocol. For
  instance, the total number of lymph nodes examined must be reported, but only if nodes are present in the
  specimen.
- Optional data elements are identified with "+" and although not required for CAP accreditation purposes, may be considered for reporting as determined by local practice standards.

The use of this protocol is not required for recurrent tumors or for metastatic tumors that are resected at a different time than the primary tumor. Use of this protocol is also not required for pathology reviews performed at a second institution (ie, secondary consultation, second opinion, or review of outside case at second institution).

# **Synoptic Reporting**

All core and conditionally required data elements outlined on the surgical case summary from this cancer protocol must be displayed in synoptic report format. Synoptic format is defined as:

- Data element: followed by its answer (response), outline format without the paired "Data element: Response" format is NOT considered synoptic.
- The data element should be represented in the report as it is listed in the case summary. The response for any data element may be modified from those listed in the case summary, including "Cannot be determined" if appropriate.
- Each diagnostic parameter pair (Data element: Response) is listed on a separate line or in a tabular format to achieve visual separation. The following exceptions are allowed to be listed on one line:
  - o Anatomic site or specimen, laterality, and procedure
  - o Pathologic Stage Classification (pTNM) elements
  - o Negative margins, as long as all negative margins are specifically enumerated where applicable
- The synoptic portion of the report can appear in the diagnosis section of the pathology report, at the end of
  the report or in a separate section, but all Data element: Responses must be listed together in one location
  Organizations and pathologists may choose to list the required elements in any order, use additional methods in
  order to enhance or achieve visual separation, or add optional items within the synoptic report. The report may
  have required elements in a summary format elsewhere in the report IN ADDITION TO but not as replacement for
  the synoptic report ie, all required elements must be in the synoptic portion of the report in the format defined
  above.

# **Summary of Changes**

# Version 4.2.0.0

Resection and biopsy case summaries separated into discrete cancer protocols

# The following was modified:

Updated FIGO 2018

# **Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary**

Protocol posting date: August 2019
VAGINA: Resection
Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.
Procedure (Note A)  Excision  Partial vaginectomy  Radical vaginectomy  Other (specify):  Not specified
Tumor Site (select all that apply)  Vagina, upper third  Vagina, middle third  Vagina, lower third  Vagina, further delineation not specified
Tumor Size  Greatest dimension (centimeters): cm + Additional dimensions (centimeters): x cm Cannot be determined (explain):
Histologic Type (Note B)  Squamous cell carcinoma, NOS  Squamous cell carcinoma, keratinizing  Squamous cell carcinoma, nonkeratinizing  Squamous cell carcinoma, basaloid  Squamous cell carcinoma, verrucous  Squamous cell carcinoma, warty  Squamous cell carcinoma, papillary  Adenocarcinoma, NOS  Mucinous carcinoma, NOS  Endometrioid carcinoma  Clear cell carcinoma  Mesonephric carcinoma  Adenosquamous carcinoma  Adenosquamous carcinoma  Adenoid basal carcinoma  Small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma  Large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma  Undifferentiated carcinoma  Carcinosarcoma  Other histologic type not listed (specify):  Carcinoma, type cannot be determined
Note: Microinvasive/superficial invasive carcinoma is not currently a recognized entity in the vagina, and thus the term is not used.
Histologic Grade (Note C)  G1: Well differentiated  G2: Moderately differentiated  G3: Poorly differentiated  G4: Undifferentiated

<sup>+</sup> Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required for accreditation purposes. These optional elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Other (specify):
GX: Cannot be assessed
Not applicable
Site(s) Involved by Direct Tumor Extension (select all that apply)  Note: Any organ not selected is either not involved or was not submitted.  None identified (confined to vaginal wall)  Paravaginal tissues  Pelvic sidewall  Vagina, lower third  Bladder mucosa  Rectal mucosa  Site(s) beyond the true pelvis (specify):  Other organs/tissue (specify):  Cannot be determined (explain):  Not applicable
Manada
Margins  Note: Reporting high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (VAIN 2-3) is not required if margin is involved by invasive carcinoma.
Peripheral Margin (select all that apply) Cannot be assessed (explain):
Uninvolved by invasive carcinoma
+ Distance of invasive carcinoma from margin (millimeters): mm
+ Specify location:
Involved by invasive carcinoma
Specify location(s), if possible:
Uninvolved by intraepithelial neoplasia
Involved by high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (VAIN 2-3) + Specify location(s):
Openy location(3).
Deep Margin (select all that apply)
Cannot be assessed (explain):
Uninvolved by invasive carcinoma
+ Distance of invasive carcinoma from margin (millimeters): mm
+ Specify location:
Involved by invasive carcinoma
Specify location(s), if possible: Uninvolved by intraepithelial neoplasia
Involved by intraepithelial reoplasia Involved by high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (VAIN 2-3)
+ Specify location(s):
Lymphovascular Invasion
Not identified
Present Cannot be determined (explain):
Odimot be determined (explain).
Regional Lymph Nodes
Note: For upper two-thirds of the vagina, the following are considered regional lymph nodes: pelvic, parametrial, obturator, internal iliac (hypogastric), external iliac, common iliac, sacral, presacral, and para-aortic. For lower third of the vagina, the following are considered regional lymph nodes: inguinal and femoral. Any involved nonregional nodes should be categorized as metastases (pM1) and commented on in the distant metastasis section. Presence of isolated tumor cells no greater than 0.2 mm in regional lymph node(s) is considered NO (i+).
No lymph nodes submitted or found

<sup>+</sup> Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required for accreditation purposes. These optional elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Lymph Node Examination (required only if lymph nodes are present in the specimen)
All lymph nodes negative for tumor cells
Positive for tumor cells (select all that apply)
Number of Nodes with Metastasis (excludes isolated tumor cells):
Number of Nodes with Metastasis (excludes isolated turnor cells):  Number of Nodes with Isolated Tumor Cells (0.2 mm or less) (if applicable):
Number cannot be determined (explain):
Note: Reporting the number of lymph nodes with isolated tumor cells is required only in the absence of metastasis greater than 0.2 mm in other lymph nodes.
+ Nodal Site(s) with Tumor Cells (specify):
+ Additional Lymph Node Findings (select all that apply)
+ None identified
+ Extranodal extension
+ Fixed/ulcerated nodes
+ Other (specify):
+ Cannot be determined
Number of Lymph Nodes Examined:
Number cannot be determined (explain):
+ Specify Site(s):
- Openy one(3)
Pathologic Stage Classification (pTNM, AJCC 8 <sup>th</sup> Edition) (Note D)
Note: Reporting of pT, pN, and (when applicable) pM categories is based on information available to the pathologist at the time the report is issued. Only the applicable T, N, or M category is required for reporting; their definitions need not be included in
the report is issued. Only the applicable 1, N, or M category is required for reporting, their definitions need not be included in the report. The categories (with modifiers when applicable) can be listed on 1 line or more than 1 line.
and reports. The dategories (war meaning when applicable) dan be listed on Tilline of more than Tilline.
TNM Descriptors (required only if applicable) (select all that apply)
m (multiple primary tumors)
r (recurrent)
r (recurrent)
y (posttreatment)
Primary Tumor (pT)
pTX: Primary tumor cannot be assessed
pT0: No evidence of primary tumor
pT1: Tumor confined to the vagina
pT1a: Tumor confined to the vagina, measuring ≤2.0 cm
pT1b: Tumor confined to the vagina, measuring >2.0 cm
pT1s: Tumor invading paravaginal tissues but not to pelvic sidewall
pT2: Tumor invading paravaginal tissues but not to pelvic wall, measuring ≤2.0 cm
pT2b: Tumor invading paravaginal tissues but not to pelvic wall, measuring =2.0 cm
pT3: Tumor extending to the pelvic sidewall <sup>#</sup> and/or causing hydronephrosis or nonfunctioning kidney
pT3. Tumor invading to the pelvic sidewall and/or causing hydronephrosis of horitunctioning kidney  pT4: Tumor invading the mucosa of the bladder or rectum and/or extending beyond the true pelvis (bullous
edema is not sufficient evidence to classify a tumor as T4)
·
# Pelvic sidewall is defined as the muscle, fascia, neurovascular structures, or skeletal portions of the bony pelvis. On rectal examination, there should be no cancer-free space between the tumor and pelvic sidewall. Tumor causing hydronephrosis or

nonfunctioning kidney is an indirect indication of pelvic sidewall involvement.

<sup>+</sup> Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required for accreditation purposes. These optional elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN)
pNX: Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
pN0: No regional lymph node metastasis
pN0(i+): Isolated tumor cells in regional lymph node(s) no greater than 0.2 mm
pN1: Pelvic or inguinal lymph node metastasis
<u> </u>
Distant Metastasis (pM) (required only if confirmed pathologically in this case)
pM1: Distant metastasis
Specify Site(s), if known:
+ FIGO Stage (2018 FIGO Cancer Report)
+ I: Carcinoma is limited to the vaginal wall. It has not spread to nearby lymph nodes (N0) or to distant
sites (M0)
+ II: Carcinoma has involved the para-vaginal tissue but has not extended to the pelvic wall. It has not
spread to nearby lymph nodes (N0) or to distant sites (M0)
+ III: Carcinoma has extended to the pelvic wall and/or involving the lower third of the vagina and/or causing
hydronephrosis or nonfunctioning kidney or T1-T3 tumor that has also spread to nearby lymph nodes
in the pelvis or groin (inguinal) area (N1) but not distant sites
+ IV: Carcinoma has extended beyond the true pelvis or has involved the mucosa of the bladder or rectum
(bullous edema as such does not permit a case to be allotted to stage IV)
+ IVA: Tumor invades bladder and/or rectal mucosa and/or direct extension beyond the true pelvis. It might or
might not have spread to lymph nodes in the pelvis or groin (inguinal area) (Any N). It has not spread
to distant sites (M0)
+ IVB: Spread to distant organs (M1). It can be any size and might or might not have grown into nearby
structures or organs (Any T) It might or might not have spread to nearby lymph nodes (Any N)
Additional Dath denis Findings (select all that apply) (Nets F)
+ Additional Pathologic Findings (select all that apply) (Note E)
+ None identified
+ Low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (VAIN 1)
+ High-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (VAIN 2-3)
+ Condyloma acuminatum
+ Adenocarcinoma in situ (AIS)
+ Atypical adenosis
+ Other (specify):
Commental
+ Comment(s)

<sup>+</sup> Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required for accreditation purposes. These optional elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

# **Explanatory Notes**

#### A. Procedure

# Prenatal DES Exposure

Prenatal exposure to diethylstilbestrol (DES) or related synthetic drugs was relatively common in the United States and other countries until 1971, when its relation to clear cell adenocarcinomas of the vagina and cervix led to proscription of these drugs by the Food and Drug Administration. From the 1970s to the turn of the 21st century, most patients with clear cell adenocarcinoma of the vagina had a history of DES exposure. As this cohort ages, the diagnosis has been less common, and most women with this diagnosis currently have no DES exposure history. Furthermore, it has been reported that these patients have significantly worse outcomes than do patients with history of DES exposure and patients with squamous cell carcinoma. A bimodal age peak for DES-related carcinoma has, however, been recently reported, and therefore a history of this type of prenatal drug exposure should alert the pathologist to the possible presence of those tumors and associated lesions. <sup>3,4</sup>

Ectropion (erosion, eversion) of the cervix, which is characterized by the appearance of glandular (columnar) epithelium outside the external os of the cervix, is seen in approximately 90% of women exposed to DES in utero (but is often seen in nonexposed women as well). Approximately one-third of patients exposed to DES have 1 or more gross structural abnormalities of the cervix.<sup>1,4</sup>

The fallopian tubes are abnormal in some women exposed to DES in the form of hypoplasia or defects demonstrated on hysterosalpingographic examination.<sup>4</sup>

#### References

- 1. Herbst AL, Ulfelder H, Poskanzer DC. Adenocarcinoma of the vagina: association of maternal stilbestrol therapy with tumor appearance in young women. *N Engl J Med.* 1971;284:878-881.
- 2. Frank SJ, Deavers MT, Jhingran A, et al. Primary adenocarcinoma of the vagina not associated with diethylstilbestrol (DES) exposure. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2007;105:470-474.
- 3. Hanselaar A, van Loosbroek M, Schuurbiers O, et al. Clear cell adenocarcinoma of the vagina and cervix: an update of the central Netherlands registry showing twin age incidence peaks. *Cancer.* 1997;79:2229-2236.
- 4. Kaufman RH, Noller K, Adam E, et al. Upper genital tract abnormalities and pregnancy outcome in diethylstilbestrol-exposed progeny. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1984;148:973-984.

### **Prior Tumors and Operations**

A history of dysplasia, carcinoma in situ, or invasive carcinoma of the cervix, as well as knowledge of the tumor's microscopic features, may be essential in the determination whether a subsequent vaginal tumor is a recurrent or new tumor. Also, a history of a carcinoma higher in the female genital tract may influence the interpretation of a neoplasm that is detected in a specimen from the vagina. Prior pathology slides and reports should be obtained and reviewed if a review is deemed essential by the clinician or pathologist for optimal pathologic evaluation of the present specimen.

# Clinical Findings and DES Exposure

Naked-eye examination, colposcopy, and iodine staining of the cervix and vagina may disclose a variety of changes highly suspicious of prenatal DES exposure, such as cervical hypoplasia, pseudopolyp, or coxcomb deformity, and vaginal adenosis or ridge, any of which should alert the pathologist to look carefully for DES changes.<sup>1</sup>

#### References

1. Kaufman RH, Noller K, Adam E, et al. Upper genital tract abnormalities and pregnancy outcome in diethylstilbestrol-exposed progeny. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1984;148:973-984.

# **B.** Histologic Type

The World Health Organization (WHO) classification and nomenclature of vaginal tumors is recommended because of its wide acceptance. The most common subtype is squamous cell carcinoma. However, when such tumor simultaneously involves the cervix or the vulva and the vagina, the tumor is considered to originate from the

cervix or vulva, with secondary extension to the vagina. Also, when an adenocarcinoma is present in the vagina, it is important to keep in mind that many of those tumors represent secondary involvement either by direct extension or metastases, more commonly from the endometrium, colorectal, ovary, vulva, urethra, and urinary bladder. Although not included in the current WHO classification, primary intestinal type adenocarcinoma has recently been described in the vagina.<sup>2</sup> These tumors usually arise in a background of benign adenomatous lesion. Awareness of this new subtype is necessary to avoid misdiagnosis of a metastatic colorectal adenocarcinoma.<sup>2-4</sup>

#### WHO Classification1

# **Precancerous Lesions and Carcinomas of the Vagina (Modified)**

# **Epithelial Tumors**

Squamous tumors and precursors

Squamous intraepithelial lesions (SIL) Vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia (VAIN)

Low-grade SIL (LSIL) VAIN 1
High-grade SIL (HSIL) VAIN 2-3

Squamous cell carcinoma, not otherwise specified

Keratinizing

Nonkeratinizing

Papillary

Basaloid

Verrucous

Wartv

Glandular tumors

Clear cell carcinoma

Endometrioid adenocarcinoma

Mucinous adenocarcinoma

Mesonephric adenocarcinoma

High-grade neuroendocrine carcinoma

Small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma

Large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma

Other epithelial tumors

Adenosquamous carcinoma

Adenoid basal carcinoma

Undifferentiated carcinoma

## References

- 1. Kurman RJ, Carcangiu ML, Harrington CS, Young RH, eds. *WHO Classification of Tumors of the Female Reproductive Organs*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press; 2014. World Health Organization Classification of Tumors. 4th edition
- 2. Tjalma WA, Colpaert CG. Primary vaginal adenocarcinoma of intestinal type arising from a tubulovillous adenoma. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2006;16:1461-1465.
- 3. Mudhar HS, Smith JH, Tidy J. Primary vaginal adenocarcinoma of intestinal type arising from an adenoma: case report and review of the literature. *Int J Gynecol Pathol*. 2001;20:204-209.
- 4. Ditto A, Martinelli F, Carcangiu ML, et al. Incidental diagnosis of primary vaginal adenocarcinoma of intestinal type: a case report and review of the literature. *Int J Gynecol Pathol*. 2007;26:490-493.

# C. Histologic Grade

No specific grading system for vaginal cancers is recommended. For the sake of uniformity, however, it is suggested that 3 grades be used, as shown below, with grades 1 to 3 assigned to carcinomas showing squamous or glandular differentiation.

Grade X Cannot be assessed

Grade 1	Well differentiated
Grade 2	Moderately differentiated
Grade 3	Poorly differentiated
Grade 4	Undifferentiated

# D. Pathologic Stage Classification

The TNM staging system for vaginal cancer endorsed by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the International Union against Cancer (UICC),<sup>1, 2</sup> and the parallel system formulated by the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO)<sup>3,4</sup> are recommended.

By AJCC/UICC convention, the designation "T" refers to a primary tumor that has not been previously treated. The symbol "p" refers to the pathologic classification of the TNM, as opposed to the clinical classification, and is based on gross and microscopic examination. pT entails a resection of the primary tumor or biopsy adequate to evaluate the highest pT category, pN entails removal of nodes adequate to validate lymph node metastasis, and pM implies microscopic examination of distant lesions. Clinical classification (cTNM) is usually carried out by the referring physician before treatment during initial evaluation of the patient or when pathologic classification is not possible.

Pathologic staging is usually performed after surgical resection of the primary tumor. Pathologic staging depends on pathologic documentation of the anatomic extent of disease, whether or not the primary tumor has been completely removed. If a biopsied tumor is not resected for any reason (eg, when technically unfeasible) and if the highest T and N categories or the M1 category of the tumor can be confirmed microscopically, the criteria for pathologic classification and staging have been satisfied without total removal of the primary cancer.

# **TNM Descriptors**

For identification of special cases of TNM or pTNM classifications, the "m" suffix and "y," "r," and "a" prefixes are used. Although they do not affect the stage grouping, they indicate cases needing separate analysis.

<u>The "m" suffix</u> indicates the presence of multiple primary tumors in a single site and is recorded in parentheses: pT(m)NM.

<u>The "y" prefix</u> indicates those cases in which classification is performed during or following initial multimodality therapy (ie, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or both chemotherapy and radiation therapy). The cTNM or pTNM category is identified by a "y" prefix. The ycTNM or ypTNM categorizes the extent of tumor actually present at the time of that examination. The "y" categorization is not an estimate of tumor prior to multimodality therapy (ie, before initiation of neoadjuvant therapy).

<u>The "r" prefix</u> indicates a recurrent tumor when staged after a documented disease-free interval, and is identified by the "r" prefix: rTNM.

The "a" prefix designates the stage determined at autopsy: aTNM.

# **Additional Descriptors**

#### T Category Considerations

# Lymphovascular Invasion (LVI)

LVI indicates whether microscopic lymphovascular invasion is identified. LVI includes lymphatic invasion, vascular invasion, or lymphovascular invasion. By AJCC/UICC convention, LVI does not affect the T category indicating local extent of tumor unless specifically included in the definition of a T category.

# N Category Considerations

Isolated tumor cells (ITCs) are single cells or small clusters of cells not more than 0.2 mm in greatest dimension. Lymph nodes or distant sites with ITCs found by either histologic examination (eg, immunohistochemical evaluation for cytokeratin) or nonmorphological techniques (eg, flow cytometry, DNA analysis, polymerase chain reaction [PCR] amplification of a specific tumor marker) should be so identified. There is currently no guidance in

the literature as to how these patients should be coded; until more data are available, they should be coded as "N0(i+)" with a comment noting how the cells were identified.

# TNM and FIGO Staging Systems for Vaginal Carcinoma

# **Primary Tumor (T)**

TNM	FIGO	
Category	Stage	<u>Definition</u>
TX	()	Primary tumor cannot be assessed
T0	()	No evidence of primary tumor
Tis	0	Carcinoma in situ
T1	I	Tumor confined to vaginal wall
T2	II	Tumor invades paravaginal tissues but not the pelvic sidewall#
Т3	Ш	Tumor extends to pelvic sidewall# and/or causing hydronephrosis or nonfunctioning kidney
T4	IVA	Tumor invades mucosa of bladder or rectum and/or extends beyond the true pelvis (bullous edema is not sufficient to classify a tumor as T4)
(M1)	IVB	Distant metastasis (excludes peritoneal metastasis)

<sup>\*</sup> Pelvic sidewall is defined as muscle, fascia, neurovascular structures, or skeletal portions of the bony pelvis.

Tumor causing hydronephrosis or nonfunctioning kidney is an indirect indication of pelvic sidewall involvement.

# Regional Lymph Nodes (N): TNM

NX Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed

NO No regional lymph node metastasis

N1 Pelvic or inguinal lymph node metastasis

# **Distant Metastasis (M): TNM**

M0 No distant metastasis M1 Distant metastasis

# References

- Amin MB, Edge SB, Greene FL, et al, eds. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. 8th ed. New York, NY: Springer; 2017.
- 2. Brierley JD, Gospodarowicz M, Wittekind Ch, eds. *TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors. 8th ed.* Oxford, UK: Wiley; 2016.
- 3. Oncology FCoG. Current FIGO staging for cancer of the vagina, fallopian tube, ovary, and gestational trophoblastic neoplasia. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2009;105(1):3-4.
- 4. FIGO Cancer Report. Cancer of the vagina. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2018; 143 (Suppl 2);14-21.

# E. Other Lesions

Squamous dysplasia or carcinoma in situ, adenocarcinoma in situ, or atypical adenosis, particularly if such changes are at the resection margin, may increase the frequency of recurrent tumor. Also, few cases of primary invasive carcinoma of vagina have been reported to occur in association with severe vaginal prolapse.<sup>1-3</sup>

#### References

- 1. lavazzo C, Vorgias G, Vecchini G, et al. Vaginal carcinoma in a completely prolapsed uterus: a case report. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2007;275:503-505.
- 2. Batista TP, Morais JA, Reis TJ, et al. A rare case of invasive vaginal carcinoma associated with vaginal prolapse. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2009;280(5):845-848.
- 3. Gupta N, Mittal S, Dalmia S, et al. A rare case of primary invasive carcinoma of vagina associated with irreducible third degree uterovaginal prolapse. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2007; 276:563-4.