

a6-Ritvika-Xia Review - High Fidelity

balaraj-bhanu

October 30, 2017

- Details
- Execution
- Report review
- Code review
- Final Thoughts

Details

Commit Info

There is one commit after the Friday 2:00 pm deadline. As the report at the time of deadline was incomplete, we are choosing the last commit after deadline.

Commit-id : 493fbe8b75b307c78410902245ff3d19142f936b

```
commit 493fbe8b75b307c78410902245ff3d19142f936b
Author: ritvikareddy18 <ritvikareddy18@ccs.neu.edu>
Date:   Fri Oct 27 15:11:13 2017 -0400
```

Report

```
commit b3aa32e9ee2d1a82bad7f1c84f68ce38089ec52a
Author: ritvikareddy18 <ritvikareddy18@ccs.neu.edu>
Date:   Fri Oct 27 13:55:03 2017 -0400
```

Time counter

Review

a6-Ritvika-Xia

Reviewer

Balaraj, Bhanu

Execution

- Code runs as mentioned in the `readme` and `Makefile`

Report review

- Report is generated on the subset of the million songs data, it would be interesting to see the report on the entire dataset.
- Report is well formatted and provides detailed information with graphs comparing execution time.

- Report does not mention Distinct artists, distinct albums, distinct songs.
- No information is given on Top 5 Familiar Artists, Top 5 Hottest Genre, Top 5 Hottest Artists, Top 5 Popular Keys in the report.
- The most common words include non-alphabetic characters. You can use stripping or character checking to ignore non letter characters.

##	Word	Count
## 1	VERSION)	716
## 2	ME	341
## 3	(ALBUM	321
## 4	LOVE	309
## 5	MY	292

- System specifications are not provided.

Code review

- The authors could have directly written the code in `a6-ritvika-xia` than `a6-ritvika-xia/assignment6`. Dir `assignment6` seems redundant, `pdpmr-f17/a6-ritvika` should have been base dir than `pdpmr-f17/a6-ritvika/assignment6`.
- There is a file with name `untitled.scala` in the `src` which is not used anywhere. Could have been removed.
- The code is well written and easy to understand.
- The following code comments can be removed.

```
// val fw = new FileWriter("output/common words")
// for(i <- top5Common){
//     fw.append(i._1)
//     fw.append(",")
//     fw.append(i._2.toString)
//     fw.append("\n")
// }
// fw.close()
```

- Since the method functionality is same for all three below functions. `Any` could have been used to make it more generic.

```
def makeCSVLONG(thingsToPrint:List[(String,Long)],fileName:String):Unit ={
    val name = "output/"+fileName
    val fw = new FileWriter(name)
    for(i <- thingsToPrint){
        fw.append(i._1)
        fw.append(",")
        fw.append(i._2.toString)
        fw.append("\n")
    }
    fw.close()
}

def makeCSVInt(thingsToPrint:List[(String,Int)],fileName:String):Unit ={
    val name = "output/"+fileName
    val fw = new FileWriter(name)
    for(i <- thingsToPrint){
        fw.append(i._1)
        fw.append(",")
        fw.append(i._2.toString)
        fw.append("\n")
    }
    fw.close()
}

def makeCSVDouble(thingsToPrint:List[(String,Double)],fileName:String):Unit ={
    val name = "output/"+fileName
    val fw = new FileWriter(name)
    for(i <- thingsToPrint){
        fw.append(i._1)
        fw.append(",")
        fw.append(i._2.toString)
        fw.append("\n")
    }
    fw.close()
}
```

Can be replaced with

```
def makeCSVAny(thingsToPrint:List[(String,Any)],fileName:String):Unit ={
    val name = "output/"+fileName
    val fw = new FileWriter(name)
    for(i <- thingsToPrint){
        fw.append(i._1)
        fw.append(",")
        fw.append(i._2.toString)
        fw.append("\n")
    }
    fw.close()
}
```

- For calculating most common words, the code does not check if the word is a valid word or not, resulting in words with additional characters.

```
val commonWords = songInfo.flatMap(record => record(TITLE).toUpperCase().split(" ")).  
    filter(!_.isEmpty).filter(!ignored.contains(_)).countByValue()
```

Additional check for valid characters are needed, can be done as follows.

```
val commonWords = songInfo.flatMap(record => record(TITLE).toUpperCase().split(" ")).  
    filter(!_.isEmpty).filter(!ignored.contains(_) || _ => _.isLetter).countByValue()
```

Final Thoughts

- Code readable and easy to understand.
- As the approach is different we can't compare the results, but code seems to work.