

Machine Learning Final Assignment

Student Name: Patrick Farmer Student Number: 20331828

Date: 30-11-2024



Trinity College Dublin
Coláiste na Tríonóide, Baile Átha Cliath
The University of Dublin

Part 1

Introduction

This Assignment aims to generate nursery rhyme rhythms given a simplified input dataset. The dataset has characters that represent different notes. Each note will be played for 0.5 seconds, if the same character appears a couple of times in a row it will be played for longer. The project is built upon the GPT used in lab9 with some addition and changes made to improve the model's performance for this task. When the initial model was used the output already sounded perfectly feasible to my ear, for that reason during this lab measurable metrics will be used to evaluate the model performance. Due to the prediction being quite simple a number of different approaches were taken and the results across each will be compared.

The first of which approaches was to simply adjust the hyperparameters to fit the dataset better. The second approach was to first train the model on the pitch only and remove rhythm, then after that fine tune the model on the full dataset which included rhythm. The third and final approach that was used and produced acceptable results was to train a model on the pitch and rhythm separately and then combine the two models to generate the final output.

Data Preprocessing and feature engineering

There was a couple forms of data augmentation applied to the dataset overall for every method. The first of which was a simple noise generator which would for two in every 10 characters replace the character with a random character from the dataset. The second form of data augmentation was to stretch and shrink the patterns in the dataset. This works by first finding the common patterns in the dataset and when one occurs it will be stretched or shrunk by a random amount within some threshold. This was done to emphasise the patterns (rhythms) in the dataset.

For some of the methods additional pre-requisite steps were required. For the method to train the model on pitch only first the dataset was preprocessed to remove all timing data. This was done by simply collapsing all sequential occurrences of the same character into one. The model was then first trained on this dataset and was trained secondly on the full dataset.

For the method to train the model on pitch and rhythm separately the dataset was split into two datasets, the first which contained only pitch was processed as mentioned above and the dataset was used again here. The second dataset that contained only rhythm was preprocessed in the same script. It would replace the characters with numbers representing the length of the note. Models were then trained on both of these datasets. The separate models were very accurate for their tasks as the task was simpler than the combined task. They were then combined together to generate the final output by rebuilding the data in the same way it was split. This is effectively a multiplication of the datasets. i.e.:

```
timing = "1113111  
pitch = "CDEFGAB"  
output = "CDEFFFGAB"
```

Machine Learning methodology

The first change that was made was a change to the loss function. A combination of two loss functions was used. A weighted sum of the cosine embedding loss and the cross entropy loss. The cosine embedding loss minimises the difference between the sequence generated and the target sequence which captures the rhythm as a whole. The cross entropy loss is more specifically for the timing to capture the beat for the rhythm. The weighting is weighted 70% cosine loss and 30% cross entropy loss.

Temperature was also added to increase the creativity of the model. Temperature adjusts the smoothness of the probability distribution. A higher temperature will make the model more creative but less accurate. It is implemented by dividing the logits by the temperature before applying the softmax

function. To improve the accuracy the temperature was reduced to 0.6 but if the the task for the model required more diversity a higher temperature could be used.

The Hyperparameters were adjusted to fit the dataset better. The model as a whole was made much more simple as the task is a lot repetitive and structured than the previous task the gpt.py was used for. Three different sets of hyperparameters were used to compare against each other.

The **first set of hyperparameters** is a very light weight set of parameters, the model uses only 490 parameters. The hyperparameters are as follows:

```
batch_size = 64      # The batch size was kept large as it keeps the convergence stable
block_size = 4       # The block size was reduced to 4 as the patterns are very localised. This wo
max_iters = 20       # The max iterations was reduced to 20 as the model converged very quickly
eval_interval = 2    # The evaluation interval was reduced to 2 as the model converged quickly
learning_rate = 1e-4 # The learning rate was kept low as the model is simple
eval_iters = 1       # The evaluation iterations was reduced to 1 as the model converged quickly
n_embd = 8           # The number of embeddings was kept very low as there was not overly complex
n_head = 1           # The number of heads was kept low as there was not overly complex relationships
n_layer = 1          # The number of layers was kept small as the dataset was simple and could eas
dropout = 0.1        # The dropout was set low as there was a large amount of data and the model w
```

The **second set of hyperparameters** was slightly more complex and allowed for the same heavy localisation focus but allowed more complex patterns and relationships to be captured. The model still had a reasonably small parameter count at 20,000. The model was also run for a few more iterations. The hyperparameters are as follows:

```
batch_size = 64      # The batch size was kept large as it keeps the convergence stable
block_size = 4       # The block size was kept at 4 to mirror the local focus in the first model
max_iters = 100      # The max iterations was increased to 100 as the model was more complex
eval_interval = 10   # The evaluation interval was increased to 10 as the model was more complex
learning_rate = 1e-4 # The learning rate was kept low as the model is still relatively simple
eval_iters = 10      # The evaluation iterations was increased to 10 as the model was more complex
n_embd = 32          # The number of embeddings was increased to 32 to capture more complex patter
n_head = 4           # The number of heads was increased to 4 to capture more complex relationships
n_layer = 1          # The number of layers was kept small as the dataset was simple and could eas
dropout = 0.1        # The dropout was set low as there was a large amount of data and the model w
```

The **third set of hyperparameters** was the most complex, it tested how the model would perform if it was allowed to capture bigger patterns in addition to more complex patterns. This model has a parameter count of 40,000. The hyperparameters are as follows:

```
batch_size = 64      # The batch size was kept large as it keeps the convergence stable
block_size = 32      # The block size was increased to 32 to capture larger patterns
max_iters = 400      # The max iterations was increased to 400 as the model was more complex
eval_interval = 40   # The evaluation interval was increased to 40 as the model was more complex
learning_rate = 1e-4 # The learning rate was kept low as the model is still relatively simple
eval_iters = 40      # The evaluation iterations was increased to 40 as the model was more complex
n_embd = 32          # The number of embeddings was increased to 32 to capture more complex patter
n_head = 4           # The number of heads was increased to 4 to capture more complex relationships
n_layer = 2          # The number of layers was increased to 2 to capture more complex patterns
dropout = 0.1        # The dropout was set low as there was a large amount of data and the model w
```

Evaluation

Part 2

What is an ROC curve? How can it be used to evaluate the performance of a classifier compared with a baseline classifier? Why would you use an ROC curve instead of a classification accuracy metric?

Give two examples of situations where a linear regression would give inaccurate predictions. Explain your reasoning and what possible solutions you would adopt in each situation.

The term ‘kernel’ has different meanings in SVM and CNN models. Explain the two different meanings. Discuss why and when the use of SVM kernels and CNN kernels is useful, as well as mentioning different types of kernels.

In k-fold cross-validation, a dataset is resampled multiple times. What is the idea behind this resampling i.e. why does resampling allow us to evaluate the generalisation performance of a machine learning mode. Give a small example to illustrate. Discuss when it is and it is not appropriate to use k-fold cross-validation.

Sequential pitch, timing, combined and resultant vocabulary adjustment Cosine similarity loss Added temperature for creativity Reduced block size from text generation as context is more localised Larger batch sizes to encourage faster convergence Convergence mux faster so heavily decreased iterations and evaluation intervals Reduced dropout as rhythms are less complex Sounded too similar to input so increased temperature and added noise to improve generalisation Added pattern stretch and shrink to improve generalisation and torch cat all datasets together Added 1D convolution layers before Transformer blocks to extract local rhythm patterns Evaluation Separation perhaps more useful if more complex rhythms