

Simulating the Measurement of the Electron Beam Emittance at AWAKE

Patrick Chin

Supervisor: Prof Matthew Wing

Department of Physics and Astronomy
University College London

March 12, 2017

- Scaling RF accelerators is impractical:
 - synchrotron radiation
 - cost
- Plasma wakefield acceleration is an alternative
 - Larger EM gradients (up to the GV/m scale)
 - Electrons will be accelerated in the wakefield of a proton beam
- AWAKE is a proof-of-concept




Figure: Artists impression of the AWAKE witness beam electrons (red) captured and accelerated by the plasma wakefield (blue). Credit: Alexey Petrenko / CERN. <https://www.hep.ucl.ac.uk/awake/>

Goals:

- 1 Measure the energy distribution of the beam
- 2 Determine the quality of the beam

Objective:

Investigate the effect on the emittance measurement of experimental parameters:

- mean energy and energy spread
- background photons
- emittance




Figure: 3D schematic of the spectrometer downstream of the plasma cell. Source: Deacon, Lawrence, et al. "Development of a spectrometer for proton driven plasma wakefield accelerated electrons at AWAKE." (2015): WEPWA045.


To simulate the shape of the beam on the screen the following transport matrix \mathcal{M} is applied to the input beam matrix σ_0

$$\sigma_1 = \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} \beta & -\alpha \\ -\alpha & \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{M} \sigma_0 \mathcal{M}^T, \quad \epsilon = \sqrt{\det \sigma}, \quad \beta\gamma - \alpha^2 = 1, \quad \tan 2\varphi = \frac{2\alpha}{\gamma - \beta}$$


$$\mathcal{M} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{drift}} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_1 & \frac{\sin \varphi_1}{\sqrt{k_1}} \\ -\sqrt{k_1} \sin \varphi_1 & \cos \varphi_1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{vertically focusing quadrupole}} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & g_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{gap}} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \cosh \varphi_2 & \frac{\sinh \varphi_2}{\sqrt{|k_2|}} \\ -\sqrt{k_2} \sinh \varphi_2 & \cosh \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{horizontally focusing quadrupole}} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & g_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{gap}}$$

where quadrupole strength k_1 and k_2 and drift distance to screen d are functions of energy. From this we are able to derive a function $\sigma_y(x; \beta, \gamma, \epsilon)$ where


$$\sigma_y = \sqrt{\sigma_{1,11}} = \sqrt{\epsilon\beta} \quad \text{rms beam envelope}$$



\bar{E}	1.3 GeV
σ_E	0.4 GeV
ϵ	1×10^{-6} mrad
bg factor	1





\bar{E}	1.3 GeV
σ_E	0.013 GeV
ϵ	1×10^{-6} mrad
bg factor	1



\bar{E}	1.3 GeV
σ_E	0.4 GeV
ϵ	1×10^{-6} mrad
bg factor	7000



- Black line: the function $\sigma_y(x; \beta, \gamma, \epsilon)$
- Black points: measured rms of the vertical beam spread for each column of pixels
- Blue line: the function $\sigma_y(x; \beta, \gamma, \epsilon)$ fitted to the points

The effect of the energy spread of the electron beam on the simulated emittance measurement.





For lower energy spreads, the electron beam is spread much smaller across the screen.

The effect of background photons on the simulated emittance measurement.



The number of photons per bin is expected to be in the order of magnitude of 1×10^{-2} .

The effect of the emittance on the simulated emittance measurement.



For large emittances, many of the electrons completely miss the screen so we arrive at a lower value for the transverse momenta of the beam.

- Shown how the energy spread, background and emittance, affect the emittance measurement.
- Graphs are quite specific and are only accurate when keeping certain parameters constant.
- Give a general idea as to how the emittance measurement should behave with respect to each parameter.
- This should help

Thanks for listening!