

Self & Peer Evaluations

Stat 184 Final Project

Your Name Here

2024-12-17

Team Member 1

Who are you evaluating?

Aiden Shindel

Evaluation Areas

1. Intellectual Contributions.

Did research on kable, provided R code and tables, made contributions to the bibliography and did research to find our sources on everything that was not neural networks

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Did half of the bibliography, configured bibTEX, put them in the correct format, created our kable table, provided valuable insights on logistical regression. Provided the correlation heatmap with correct coloring for proper contrast and ensured we stuck to Tufte's and Kosslyn's ideals.

2. Team Support.

Extremely well communicative, eager to work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Was extremely cooperative and made multiple efforts to contribute to the project, asked many times for what was needed and completed it in a timely manner

3. Communication Contributions.

Effectiveness of communication within team, quality of written work, contributions toward creation of presentation and other written work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

See above

4. Time Contributions.

Keeping team on task, meeting deadlines, following through on plans, attendance at team meetings, does equal share of work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Consistently on track to finish project, ensured that the project met strict deadlines.

5. Overall / Other Contributions

Score any additional contributions, general remarks, or overall impression of this person as a member of the group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Overall enthusiastic, provided key insights and helped me understand logistic regression.

Total Score (out of 50): 50

Team Member 2

Who are you evaluating?

Jordan Brophy

Evaluation Areas

1. Intellectual Contributions.

R Proficiency.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

extremely talented and knowledgeable in ggplot, helped construct and help us understand how to display certain visual representations in the most effective manner

2. Team Support.

Enthusiasm, commitment to project, cooperation, respect for other persons' ideas, willingness to compromise as appropriate, receptiveness to constructive feedback.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Was enthusiastic when it came to making plots, graphs, etc.

3. Communication Contributions.

Effectiveness of communication within team, quality of written work, contributions toward creation of presentation and other written work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Communicative and easy to reach, was easy to plan around with an extremely versatile schedule

4. Time Contributions.

Keeping team on task, meeting deadlines, following through on plans, attendance at team meetings, does equal share of work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Was very cooperative at mentions of deadlines, swiftly and effectively contributed accordingly

5. Overall / Other Contributions

Score any additional contributions, general remarks, or overall impression of this person as a member of the group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Provided valuable insights about R.

Total Score (out of 50): 50

Team Member 3

Who are you evaluating?

Full name of team member you are evaluating, or say "self-evaluation"

Evaluation Areas

1. Intellectual Contributions.

Understanding the project, doing the research, evaluating and interpreting data, creative efforts and problem solving insight, providing intellectual leadership for group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Constructed the neural network, model comparisons, regression testing, outside research from other professors.

2. Team Support.

Enthusiasm, commitment to project, cooperation, respect for other persons' ideas, willingness to compromise as appropriate, receptiveness to constructive feedback.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Took hours out of my time to create a professional product, asked numerous sources to establish credibility, learned more on my own time to be able to contribute effectively to neural networks.

3. Communication Contributions.

Effectiveness of communication within team, quality of written work, contributions toward creation of presentation and other written work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

In addition to github, formulated a messaging group to ensure seamless communication of the group.

4. Time Contributions.

Keeping team on task, meeting deadlines, following through on plans, attendance at team meetings, does equal share of work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

See above

5. Overall / Other Contributions

Score any additional contributions, general remarks, or overall impression of this person as a member of the group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Went above and beyond to not only include EDA, but logistic regression and neural networks as well, and came to a complex conclusion after testing complex topics.

Total Score (out of 50): 50