Self & Peer Evaluations

Stat 184 Final Project

Aiden Shindel

2024-12-17

Team Member 1

Who are you evaluating?

Self Evalution

Evaluation Areas

1. Intellectual Contributions.

Understanding the project, doing the research, evaluating and interpreting data, creative efforts and problem solving insight, providing intellectual leadership for group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Working on the tables, coming up with table ideas, and executing these ideas.

2. Team Support.

Enthusiasm, commitment to project, cooperation, respect for other persons' ideas, willingness to compromise as appropriate, receptiveness to constructive feedback.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Making sure to keep a good manner in when I speak at all times. Respecting the insights of Patrick and his ideas of the neural network.

3. Communication Contributions.

Effectiveness of communication within team, quality of written work, contributions toward creation of presentation and other written work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Kept in contact at all times and was ready to respond to the team chat.

4. Time Contributions.

Keeping team on task, meeting deadlines, following through on plans, attendance at team meetings, does equal share of work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Every meeting I showed up to a provided my best work, when asked if I could meet in short times notice I made time for it. I did my part of the slides and writing.

5. Overall / Other Contributions

Score any additional contributions, general remarks, or overall impression of this person as a member of the group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: See above.

Total Score (out of 50): 50

Team Member 2

Who are you evaluating?

Jordan Brophy

Evaluation Areas

1. Intellectual Contributions.

Understanding the project, doing the research, evaluating and interpreting data, creative efforts and problem solving insight, providing intellectual leadership for group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: He worked on the logistic regression and chi-squared. Which takes plenty of understanding about the project to carry out.

2. Team Support.

Enthusiam, commitment to project, cooperation, respect for other persons' ideas, willingness to compromise as appropriate, receptiveness to constructive feedback.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Very friendly guy and showed up to meetings on time and ready to work on the project.

3. Communication Contributions.

Effectiveness of communication within team, quality of written work, contributions toward creation of presentation and other written work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Kept in contact at all times and was ready to respond to the team chat.

4. Time Contributions.

Keeping team on task, meeting deadlines, following through on plans, attendance at team meetings, does equal share of work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: He worked a great deal on the written portion and the slides.

5. Overall / Other Contributions

Score any additional contributions, general remarks, or overall impression of this person as a member of the group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Great guy to work with, I would pick him as a teammate again.

Total Score (out of 50): 50

Team Member 3

Who are you evaluating?

Patrick Erickson

Evaluation Areas

1. Intellectual Contributions.

Understanding the project, doing the research, evaluating and interpreting data, creative efforts and problem solving insight, providing intellectual leadership for group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: He came up with the overall idea of the project. The neural network was all his idea and it makes the project look super cool.

2. Team Support.

Enthusiasm, commitment to project, cooperation, respect for other persons' ideas, willingness to compromise as appropriate, receptiveness to constructive feedback.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: He is a great guy to interact with, very friendly and open to any ideas or insights.

3. Communication Contributions.

Effectiveness of communication within team, quality of written work, contributions toward creation of presentation and other written work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: The written portions of his part of the doc is written very well and he seems to know what he's talking about. Did his part of the slides.

4. Time Contributions.

Keeping team on task, meeting deadlines, following through on plans, attendance at team meetings, does equal share of work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Provided plenty of time to the project and attended every meeting.

5. Overall / Other Contributions

Score any additional contributions, general remarks, or overall impression of this person as a member of the group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: See Above.

Total Score (out of 50): 50