

Self & Peer Evaluations

Stat 184 Final Project

Jordan Brophy

2024-12-17

Team Member 1

Who are you evaluating?

Self Evaluation

Evaluation Areas

1. Intellectual Contributions.

Understanding the project, doing the research, evaluating and interpreting data, creative efforts and problem solving insight, providing intellectual leadership for group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Talked consistently about what our project was trying to achieve and made suggestions.

2. Team Support.

Enthusiasm, commitment to project, cooperation, respect for other persons' ideas, willingness to compromise as appropriate, receptiveness to constructive feedback.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Worked with others as they wanted to incorporate some of there own work.

3. Communication Contributions.

Effectiveness of communication within team, quality of written work, contributions toward creation of presentation and other written work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Consistently talked with group members about progression of the project.

4. Time Contributions.

Keeping team on task, meeting deadlines, following through on plans, attendance at team meetings, does equal share of work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Presented a projected that made sense and was clearly outlined. Gonna have the whole project finished on time as well.

5. Overall / Other Contributions

Score any additional contributions, general remarks, or overall impression of this person as a member of the group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Myself

Total Score (out of 50): 50

Team Member 2

Who are you evaluating?

Aiden Shindel

Evaluation Areas

1. Intellectual Contributions.

Understanding the project, doing the research, evaluating and interpreting data, creative efforts and problem solving insight, providing intellectual leadership for group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Worked hard on the project with everyone else.

2. Team Support.

Enthusiasm, commitment to project, cooperation, respect for other persons' ideas, willingness to compromise as appropriate, receptiveness to constructive feedback.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Was very going with every idea we all presented and helped us all complete it.

3. Communication Contributions.

Effectiveness of communication within team, quality of written work, contributions toward creation of presentation and other written work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Easily contactable.

4. Time Contributions.

Keeping team on task, meeting deadlines, following through on plans, attendance at team meetings, does equal share of work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Always did his parts on time.

5. Overall / Other Contributions

Score any additional contributions, general remarks, or overall impression of this person as a member of the group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Cool guy that worked hard on this project.

Total Score (out of 50): 50

Team Member 3

Who are you evaluating?

Patrick Erickson

Evaluation Areas

1. Intellectual Contributions.

Understanding the project, doing the research, evaluating and interpreting data, creative efforts and problem solving insight, providing intellectual leadership for group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Was the leader of this project and took on a lot of the work. A great worker and very smart.

2. Team Support.

Enthusiasm, commitment to project, cooperation, respect for other persons' ideas, willingness to compromise as appropriate, receptiveness to constructive feedback.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Always told us about his ideas and where he was taking his neural network ideas.

3. Communication Contributions.

Effectiveness of communication within team, quality of written work, contributions toward creation of presentation and other written work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Always communicating in the group chat and very informative.

4. Time Contributions.

Keeping team on task, meeting deadlines, following through on plans, attendance at team meetings, does equal share of work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Project was done on time

5. Overall / Other Contributions

Score any additional contributions, general remarks, or overall impression of this person as a member of the group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Great to work with.

Total Score (out of 50): 50