# Class 23

## April 18, 2024

Last class we talked about the division theorem. Recall: Let F be a field and  $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$  with  $g(x) \neq 0$ . Then there exists unique  $g(x), r(x) \in F[x]$  such that

$$f(x) = q(x) g(x) + r(x)$$

and such that r(x) = 0 or  $\deg(r(x)) < \deg(g(x))$ . We have a few direct consequences of this theorem.

## Cor 1

Let F be a field. Then f(a) is the remainder term in

$$\frac{f\left(x\right)}{\left(x-a\right)}$$

## $\mathbf{pf}$

By the division theorem:

$$f(x) = q(x) g(x) + r(x)$$

$$f(x) = q(x)(x - a) + r(x)$$

for some q(x), r(x). Suppose we plug in x = a. Then

$$f(a) = q(x)(a-a) + r(a) = r(a)$$

but as deg(g(x)) = 1, then deg(r(x)) = 0 and r(x) = r(a) = f(a).

## Cor 2

Let F be a field and  $f(x) \in F[x]$ . Then a is a zero of f(x) if and only if (x-a) is a factor of f(x).

### pf

First, suppose f(a) = 0. Then by **Cor 1**,

$$r\left(x\right) = f\left(a\right) = 0$$

So

$$f(x) = q(x)(x-a) + 0 = q(x)(x-a)$$

Now, suppose (x-a) is a factor of f(x). Then

$$f(x) = q(x)(x - a)$$

so

$$f(a) = q(a)(a - a) = 0$$

## Reducibility

Let D be an integral domain. A polynomial  $f(x) \in D[x]$  is said to be irreducible over D if  $\forall g(x), h(x) \in D[x]$  such that

$$f(x) = g(x) h(x)$$

either g(x) or h(x) is a unit in D[x]. If D[x] is not irreducible, it is said to be reducible. In particular, if D is a field, then  $\deg(g(x))$ ,  $\deg(h(x)) < \deg(f(x))$ .

## $\mathbf{Ex} \ \mathbf{1}$

Consider the polynomial  $f(x) = 2x^2 + 8$  in various integral domains. Let  $D_1 = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $D_2 = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $D_3 = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $D_4 = \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$f(x) = 2(x^2 + 4)$$

As 2 is not a unit in  $D_1$ , then f(x) is reducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . As 2 is a unit in  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , then we cannot make any conclusions about reducibility in these fields. In we allow factoring over complex numbers, then

$$f(x) = 2(x+2i)(x-2i)$$

As the unique (up to units) factorization of f(x). As  $2i \in \mathbb{C}$ , then f(x) is reducible over  $\mathbb{C}$ , but is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$  since there is not a further factorization over the reals or rationals.

#### Theorem

Let F be a field, and let  $f(x) \in F[x]$ . If  $\deg(f(x)) \ge 2$  and f(a) = 0 for some  $a \in F$ , then f(x) is reducible over F.

## $\mathbf{pf}$

By Cor 2, (x-a) is a factor of f(x). Thus

$$f(x) = q(x)(x - a)$$

for some  $q(x) \in F[x]$ . For polynomials of degree 4 or higher, we can find reducible polynomials with no roots in F. For example, consider  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ . Then

$$f(x) = x^4 + 2x^2 + 1 = (x^2 + 1)(x^2 + 1)$$

but the roots of f(x) are  $\pm i$ , so f(x) has no roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## $\mathbf{Ex} \ \mathbf{2}$

Determine if  $2x^2 + 2$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{Z}_5, \mathbb{Z}_7, \mathbb{Z}_{11}$ . For  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ ,

$$2x^2 + 2 = 0$$

$$2x^2 = 2x^2 + 2 + 3 = 0 + 3 = 3$$

and as  $2^{-1} = 3$  when working mod 5, then

$$3 * 2x^2 = x^2 = 3 * 3 = 4$$

and as  $2^2 = 4 \pmod{5}$ , then  $2x^2 + 2$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ . For  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ ,

$$2x^2 + 2 = 0$$

$$2x^2 = 2x^2 + 2 + 5 = 0 + 5 = 5$$

and as  $2^{-1} = 4$  when working mod 7, then

$$4 * 2x^2 = x^2 = 4 * 5 = 6$$

If we try each number in  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ , we see

$$0^2 = 0$$

$$1^2 = 1$$

$$2^2 = 4$$

$$3^2 = 2$$

$$4^2 = 2$$

$$5^2 = 4$$

$$6^2 = 1$$

None of them satisfy the equation, so there are no roots for this polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ . As the polynomial is degree 2, then the polynomial cannot be reduced.

For  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ ,

$$2x^2 + 2 = 0$$

$$2x^2 = 2x^2 + 2 + 9 = 0 + 9 = 9$$

and as  $2^{-1} = 6$  when working mod 11, then

$$6 * 2x^2 = x^2 = 6 * 9 = 3$$

If we try each number in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ , we see

$$0^2 = 0$$

$$1^2 = 1$$

$$2^2 = 4$$

$$3^2 = 9$$

$$4^2 = 5$$

$$5^2 = 3$$

$$6^2 = 3$$

$$7^2 = 5$$

$$8^2 = 9$$

$$9^2 = 4$$

$$10^2 = 1$$

None of them satisfy the equation, so there are no roots for this polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ . As the polynomial is degree 2, then the polynomial cannot be reduced.

### Fraction Fields

Recall the definition of the rational numbers. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b \neq 0$ . Then  $\frac{a}{b}$  is a rational number. Two rational numbers  $\frac{a}{b}$ ,  $\frac{c}{d}$  are equal if

$$ad = bc$$

We define sums of rational numbers as

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad + bc}{bd}$$

and products of rational numbers as

$$\frac{a}{b} * \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd}$$

If we replace  $\mathbb{Z}$  with any integral domain, we can get a field.

#### Def

Let D be an integral domain. Then  $\operatorname{Frac}(D) = \left\{\frac{a}{b}|a,b\in D,b\neq 0\right\}$  with  $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$  if ad = bc. This is called the fraction field of D. Let's prove this is a field!

#### $\mathbf{Pf}$

First, let's show we have closure under addition and multiplication. Let  $\frac{a}{b}$ ,  $\frac{c}{d}$ ,  $\frac{e}{f} \in \text{Frac}(D)$ . Then

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad + bc}{bd}$$

then  $bd \neq 0$ , and  $ad + bc, bd \in D$ , so  $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} \in \text{Frac }(D)$ . Similarly for multiplication:

$$\frac{a}{b} * \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd}$$

and as  $bd \neq 0$  and  $ac, bd \in D$ , then

$$\frac{ac}{bd} \in \operatorname{Frac}\left(D\right)$$

Now that we now we have closure under multiplication and addition, we can show the field axioms. First, additive identity. Consider  $\frac{0}{b} \in \text{Frac}(D)$  where  $b \neq 0$ . Then

$$\frac{0}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{0d + bc}{bd} = \frac{bc}{bd} = \frac{c}{d}$$

as bdc = bdc (This is the reduction rule. We will assume this for the rest of the proof). In particular, note b is arbitrary as

$$\frac{0}{b} = \frac{0}{c} = 0$$

since

$$0b = 0 = 0c$$

For the additive inverse:

$$-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \frac{-a}{b}$$

as

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{-a}{b} = \frac{ab - ab}{b^2} = \frac{0}{b^2} = 0$$

Now for associativity of addition:

$$\frac{a}{b} + \left(\frac{c}{d} + \frac{e}{f}\right) = \frac{a}{b} + \left(\frac{cf + de}{df}\right) = \frac{adf + bcf + bde}{bdf} = \left(\frac{ad + bc}{bd}\right) + \frac{e}{f} = \left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}\right) + \frac{e}{f}$$

And for commutativity of addition:

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad + bc}{bd} = \frac{cb + da}{db} = \frac{c}{d} + \frac{a}{b}$$

Associativity of multiplication:

$$\left(\frac{a}{b} * \frac{c}{d}\right) * \frac{e}{f} = \left(\frac{ac}{bd}\right) * \frac{e}{f} = \frac{ace}{bdf} = \frac{a}{b} * \left(\frac{ce}{df}\right) = \frac{a}{b} * \left(\frac{c}{d} * \frac{e}{f}\right)$$

Distributive laws:

Note, if the left distributive law is shown and Frac(D) is commutative, then we do not need to show the right distributive law.

$$\frac{a}{b} * \left(\frac{c}{d} + \frac{e}{f}\right) = \frac{a}{b} * \left(\frac{cf + de}{df}\right) = \frac{acf + ade}{bdf}$$

As

$$\frac{a+b}{c} = \frac{(a+b)c}{c^2} = \frac{ac+bc}{c^2} = \frac{a}{c} + \frac{b}{c}$$

then we can split up the fraction at the numerator as

$$\frac{acf + ade}{bdf} = \frac{acf}{bdf} + \frac{ade}{bdf} = \frac{ac}{bd} + \frac{ae}{bf} = \frac{a}{b} * \frac{c}{d} + \frac{a}{b} * \frac{e}{f}$$

For commutativity under multiplication:

$$\frac{a}{b} * \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd} = \frac{ca}{db} = \frac{c}{d} * \frac{a}{b}$$

Unity:

$$\frac{1}{1} \in \operatorname{Frac}(D)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{1} * \frac{a}{b} = \frac{1a}{1b} = \frac{a}{b}$$

Units

Suppose  $\frac{a}{b} \neq 0$ . Then  $a, b \neq 0$ , thus  $\frac{b}{a} \in \text{Frac}(D)$  and

$$\frac{a}{b} * \frac{b}{a} = \frac{ab}{ba} = \frac{1}{1}$$

as

$$ab1 = ab = ba = 1ba$$

and thus, Frac(D) is a field.

## Properties

In particular, if D = F[x] for some field, we can get rational expressions of polynomials. This is why our cancellation laws work when working with rational expressions of polynomials. We have a few nice properties of rational expressions. Let  $\frac{a}{b}$ ,  $\frac{c}{d} \in \text{Frac}(D)$ . Then

1. 
$$\frac{a}{1} * \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{d}$$

$$2. \left( \left( \frac{a}{b} \right)^{-1} \right)^{-1} = \frac{a}{b}$$

- 3. If F is a field,  $\operatorname{Frac}(F) \approx F$
- 4. The relation  $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$  if ad = bc is an equivalence relation.
- 5. D is isomorphic to a subring of Frac (D)
- 6. Frac  $(\mathbb{Z}[i]) \approx \mathbb{Q}[i]$
- 7. Frac  $(\mathbb{Z}[x]) \approx \mathbb{Q}[x]$

These are given without proof. Try proving them for practice!