

M2

ymonbru

May 28, 2024

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Total Complexes

Definition 1.1. If $A_0^\bullet \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_n^\bullet$ is a sequence of maps (with $f_i : A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$) of complex such that the composition of two consecutive maps is 0, then let's denote $[A_0^\bullet \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_n^{\bullet-n}]$ the total complex of this double complex, defined by the following data:

- The object in degré k is $\bigoplus_{i=0}^n A_i[-i]^k$
- The differential is given by the matrix
$$\begin{pmatrix} d_{A_0} & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ f_0 & -d_{A_1} & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & f_1 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & (-1)^{n-1}d_{A_{n-1}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & f_{n-1} & (-1)^n d_{A_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. One needs to check that the matrix square is 0. Let M be this matrix and (i, j) be integers.

$$M^2[i, j] = \sum_{k=1}^n M[i, k]M[k, j] = M[i, i]M[i, j] + M[i, i-1]M[i-1, j]$$

One can distinguish four cases:

- If j is not in $\{i-2, i-1, i\}$ then the two terms are 0.
- If $j = i$, then $M^2[i, j] = ((-1)^i d_{A_i})^2 + 0 = 0$.
- If $j = i-1$ then $M^2[i, j] = 0 + (-1)^i d_{A_i} \circ f_i + (-1)^{i+1} d_{A_{i+1}} \circ f_i = 0$ because f_i is a morphism of complex.
- If $j = i-2$ then $M^2[i, j] = f_i \circ f_{i-1} = 0$.

□

Remark 1.2. In particular, if $f : A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$ is a morphism of complex then $[A^\bullet \rightarrow B^{\bullet-1}]$ is the cone of the morphism f .

Lemma 1.3. *A morphism of complex $f : A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$ is a quasi isomorphism if and only if, its cone is acyclic.*

Proof. One get's a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B^\bullet[-1] \rightarrow [A^\bullet \rightarrow B^{\bullet-1}] \rightarrow A^\bullet \rightarrow 0$ by using the canonical inclusion and projection over the direct sum. The long exact sequence induced in cohomology is then:

$$\dots H^{k-1}A^\bullet \rightarrow H^k B^\bullet[-1] \rightarrow H^k[A^\bullet \rightarrow B^{\bullet-1}] \rightarrow H^k A^\bullet \rightarrow H^{k+1} B^\bullet[-1] \dots$$

By using the fact that $H^k B^\bullet[-1] = H^{k-1} B^\bullet$ one gets:

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{k-1}A^\bullet \rightarrow H^{k-1}B^\bullet \rightarrow H^k[A^\bullet \rightarrow B^{\bullet-1}] \rightarrow H^k A^\bullet \rightarrow H^k B^\bullet \dots$$

And then the statement is straightforward by reading the exact sequence. □

Lemma 1.4. *If a complex $[A^\bullet \rightarrow B^{\bullet-1} \rightarrow C^{\bullet-2}]$ is acyclic then there is a long exact sequence*

$$\dots \rightarrow H^k A \rightarrow H^k B \rightarrow H^k C \rightarrow \dots$$

Proof. One can see that by construction there is a canonical isomorphism of complexess: $[A^\bullet \rightarrow B^{\bullet-1} \rightarrow C^{\bullet-2}] = [A^\bullet \rightarrow [B^\bullet \rightarrow C^{\bullet-1}]^{\bullet-1}]$.

Then by the previous lemma: $A^\bullet \rightarrow [B^\bullet \rightarrow C^{\bullet-1}]$ is a quasi isomorphism. One can then rewrite the long exact sequence in cohomology givent by the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C^\bullet[-1] \rightarrow [B^\bullet \rightarrow C^{\bullet-1}] \rightarrow B^\bullet \rightarrow 0$ wich is (as in the previous lemma):

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{k-1}B^\bullet \rightarrow H^{k-1}C^\bullet \rightarrow H^k[B^\bullet \rightarrow C^{\bullet-1}] \rightarrow H^k B^\bullet \rightarrow H^k C^\bullet \rightarrow \dots$$

The result is then a long exact sequence :

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{k-1}B^\bullet \rightarrow H^{k-1}C^\bullet \rightarrow H^k A^\bullet \rightarrow H^k B^\bullet \rightarrow H^k C^\bullet \rightarrow \dots$$

□

Chapter 2

Presheaves and sheaves

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space.

2.1 Sheaves

Definition 2.1. A presheaf on X is a contravariant functor from the category of open sets of X to abelian groups.

Definition 2.2. If \mathcal{F} is a presheaf on X and $p \in X$ then the stalk of \mathcal{F} at p is the abelian group $\mathcal{F}_p := \varinjlim_{p \in U \text{ open}} \mathcal{F}(U)$.

Definition 2.3. If \mathcal{F} is a presheaf on X , it is said to be a sheaf if for any $U \subset X$ open and any covering family of U $(U_a)_{a \in A}$ one has the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{a \in A} \mathcal{F}(U_a) \rightarrow \prod_{a, b \in A} \mathcal{F}(U_a \cap U_b) \quad (2.1)$$

2.2 \mathcal{K} -sheaves

Definition 2.4. A \mathcal{K} -presheaf on X is a contravariant functor from the category of compact sets of X to abelian groups.

Definition 2.5. If \mathcal{F} is a \mathcal{K} -presheaf on X and $p \in X$ then the stalk of \mathcal{F} at p is the abelian group $\mathcal{F}_p := \varinjlim_{p \in K \text{ compact}} \mathcal{F}(K) = \mathcal{F}(\{p\})$.

Definition 2.6. If \mathcal{F} is a \mathcal{K} -presheaf on X , it is said to be a \mathcal{K} -sheaf if the following conditions are satisfied:

•

$$\mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

• For K_1 and K_2 two compacts of X the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K_1 \cup K_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K_1) \oplus \mathcal{F}(K_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K_1 \cap K_2) \quad (2.3)$$

- For any compact K of X , the following natural morphism is an isomorphism

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ K \subset U \text{ open relatively compact}}} \mathcal{F}(\overline{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K) \quad (2.4)$$

Remark 2.7. (2.4) is well defined because if K is a compact subset of X , then for $x \in K$ let U_x be an open neighborhood relatively compact (which exists by local compactness), the family $(U_x)_{x \in K}$ covers K then one can extract a finite cover of it : U_1, \dots, U_n and then $\cup_{i=1}^n U_i$ is an open neighborhood, and a finite union of relatively compact, then it's relatively compact. $(\cup_{i=1}^n \overline{U_i} = \overline{\cup_{i=1}^n U_i})$

2.3 Technical lemmas

Lemma 2.8. If K_1, \dots, K_n are compact of X then $\{U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_n\}_{U_i \supset K_i \text{ open in } X}$ is a cofinal system of neighborhoods of $K_1 \cap \dots \cap K_n$.

Proof. It's the theorem `IsCompact.nhdsSet-inter-eq` in the File `Mathlib/Topology/Separation.lean` and the use of `Filter.HasBasis.inf` in the file `Mathlib.Order.Filter.Bases`

□

Lemma 2.9. If \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are two categories, $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ two functors such that (F, G) is an adjoint pair. Then for (F, G) to be an equivalence of category, it's enough to have that the canonical natural transformations $\text{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \Rightarrow F \circ G$ and $G \circ F \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ are isomorphisms.

Proof. `CategoryTheory.Adjunction.toEquivalence` in `mathlib`

□

2.4 Equivalence of category

Definition 2.10.

- If \mathcal{F} is a presheaf then let $\alpha^* \mathcal{F}$ be the \mathcal{K} -presheaf:

$$K \mapsto \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ K \subset U \text{ open}}} \mathcal{F}(U)$$

- If \mathcal{G} is a \mathcal{K} -presheaf then let $\alpha_* \mathcal{G}$ be the presheaf:

$$U \mapsto \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ U \supset K \text{ compact}}} \mathcal{G}(K)$$

Proposition 2.11. The pair (α^*, α_*) is an adjoint pair.

Proof. • Let τ be an element of $\text{hom}(\alpha^* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$. It's the data of morphism τ_K for K a compact of X such that for any K and K' compacts

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ K \subset U}} \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\tau_K} & \mathcal{G}(K) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ K' \subset U}} \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{K'}} & \mathcal{G}(K') \end{array} \quad (2.5)$$

is a commutative square. Then for any U and V opens, by composing with the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{K \subset U} \mathcal{F}(U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(V) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{K' \subset U} \mathcal{F}(U) \end{array}$$

one get's a commutative square :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(K) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(V) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(K') \end{array} \tag{2.6}$$

. Conversely such data give rise (by taking the limit over U and V) to a commutative square such as in (2.5)

- On the other hand if one takes the limit over K and K' one get's a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_{K \subset U} \mathcal{G}(K) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(V) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_{K \subset V} \mathcal{G}(K) \end{array}$$

(that allow to recover the previous one in the same as before) wich is the data of an element of $\text{hom}(\mathcal{F}, \alpha_* \mathcal{G})$.

Then the elements of $\text{hom}(\alpha^* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ and $\text{hom}(\mathcal{F}, \alpha_* \mathcal{G})$ are both obtained by a natural construction (in \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G}) applied to (2.6). \square

Lemma 2.12.

- α^* send sheaves to \mathcal{K} -sheaves
- α_* send \mathcal{K} -sheaves to sheaves
- The restrictions obtained still form an adjoint pair between sheaves and \mathcal{K} -sheaves.

Proof.

- Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf. The condition $\emptyset \subset U$ is always satisfied and \emptyset is minimal among open subset for the inclusion then $(\alpha^*)(\mathcal{F})(\emptyset) = \mathcal{F}(\emptyset)$. One can apply the sheaf condition to the empty family and obtain the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\emptyset) \rightarrow \Pi_\emptyset = 0$, and then (2.2).

Let K_1, K_2 be two of compacts of X , let U_1, U_2 be a two opens such that $K_i \subset U_i$ for all i . Then the sheaf condition gives an exact sequence (because for abelian groups the product is the direct sum) $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_1 \cup U_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_1) \oplus \mathcal{F}(U_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_1 \cap U_2)$. The injective limits are exact then taking the limits over those opens gives an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K_i \subset U_i} \mathcal{F}(U_1 \cup U_2) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K_i \subset U_i} \mathcal{F}(U_1) \times \mathcal{F}(U_2) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K_i \subset U_i} \mathcal{F}(U_1 \cap U_2) \quad (2.7)$$

An open U contains $K_1 \cup K_2$ if and only if it's of the form $U_1 \cup U_2$ with $K_i \subset U_i$ (one can take $U_1 = U_2 = U$ for the direct implication), then by definition $\varinjlim_{K_i \subset U_i} \mathcal{F}(U_1 \cup U_2) = \alpha^* \mathcal{F}(K_1 \cup K_2)$.

The injective limit commute with the direct sum (as it is a coproduct), then:

$$\varinjlim_{K_i \subset U_i} \mathcal{F}(U_1) \times \mathcal{F}(U_2) = (\varinjlim_{K_i \subset U_i} \mathcal{F}(U_1)) \bigoplus (\varinjlim_{K_i \subset U_i} \mathcal{F}(U_2)) = \alpha^* \mathcal{F}(K_1) \bigoplus \alpha^* \mathcal{F}(K_2)$$

.

By the lemma 2.8 the limit $\varinjlim_{K_i \subset U_i} \mathcal{F}(U_1 \cap U_2)$ compute the same thing as $\varinjlim_{K_1 \cap K_2 \subset U} \mathcal{F}(U) = \alpha^* \mathcal{F}(K_1 \cap K_2)$.

Then the exact sequence (2.7) is in fact (2.3).

Let K be a compact, U a relatively comapct open such that $K \subset U$ and V an open such that $\bar{U} \subset V$ then $K \subset V$. Conversely if V is an open containing K , then K is a compact of V (locally compact as X is) and then admits an open neighborhood U relatively compact (in V). Thus (because the two limits are over the same set) one has the equality

$$\varinjlim_{K \subset U \text{ open relatively compact}} \varinjlim_{\bar{U} \subset V \text{ open}} \mathcal{F}(V) = \varinjlim_{K \subset U \text{ open}} \mathcal{F}(V)$$

. Wich rewrite by definition as $\varinjlim_{K \subset U \text{ open relatively compact}} \alpha^* \mathcal{F}(\bar{U}) = \alpha^* \mathcal{F}(V)$ i.e. (2.4).

Then $\alpha^* \mathcal{F}$ is a \mathcal{K} -sheaf.

- Let \mathcal{G} be a \mathcal{K} -sheaf. Let K_1, \dots, K_n be a family of compacts subsets let's show that the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}(K_i) \rightarrow \prod_{i,j=1}^n \mathcal{G}(K_i \cap K_j)$.

If the family is empty, then the sequence is $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$ wich is exact beause of (2.2).

If $n = 1$ then the sequence is $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(K_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(K_2) \rightarrow 0$ wich is exact beause of (2.2).

\mathcal{G} is a \mathcal{K} -sheaf, then (by (2.3)) the map $\mathcal{G}(K \cup K') \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(K) \oplus \mathcal{G}(K')$ is injective, then (the direct products of two abélian groups is their product), then bi straightforward induction the map is injective $\mathcal{G}(\bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}(K_i)$.

Let's show the exactness of the other term in the sequence by induction, the base case of $n = 2$ is given by (2.3). Let's assume that it's exact for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed. Let K_1, \dots, K_n, K_{n+1} be compact subset and (f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}) be an element of the kernel of $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{G}(K_i) \rightarrow \prod_{i,j=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{G}(K_i \cap K_j)$.

Then (f_1, \dots, f_n) is in the kernel of $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}(K_i) \rightarrow \prod_{i,j=1}^n \mathcal{G}(K_i \cap K_j)$ so by induction hypothesis, it's of the form $(f|_{K_1}, \dots, f|_{K_n})$ for $f \in \mathcal{G}(K := \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i)$. On the other hand, by the compatibility of restriction $f|_{K \cap K_{n+1}} = f|_{K_1}|_{K \cap K_{n+1}} = f_1|_{K \cap K_{n+1}} = f_1|_{K_1 \cap K_{n+1}}|_{K \cap K_{n+1}}$ so $f|_{K \cap K_{n+1}} - f_{n+1}|_{K \cap K_{n+1}} = f_1|_{K_1 \cap K_{n+1}}|_{K \cap K_{n+1}} - f_{n+1}|_{K_1 \cap K_{n+1}}|_{K \cap K_{n+1}} = 0$ by hypothesis. Then by the exactness of (2.3) f and f_{n+1} are of the form $g|_K$ and $g|_{K_{n+1}}$, with $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} K_i)$.

Then (f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}) is of the form $(g|_{K_1}, \dots, g|_{K_{n+1}})$. We conclude the exactness proof because the inclusion of the image into the kernel is straightforward by definition of the map.

Let $(U_a)_{a \in A}$ be a family of opens of X , one can consider the collections of family of compact $(K_a)_{a \in A}$ such that $\forall a \in A / K_a \subset U_a$ and only a finite number of them are not empty (by the (2.2) adding empty compacts in the family ad a product with zero in the exact sequence, which does not change the sequence) and take the projective limit of the previous exact sequence over it.

The sequence remains exact because projective limits are left exacts:

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim_{a \in A} \mathcal{G}(\bigcup_{a \in A} K_a) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{a \in A} \prod_{a \in A} \mathcal{G}(K_a) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{a,b \in A} \prod_{a,b \in A} \mathcal{G}(K_a \cap K_b)$$

. The projective limits commute with products, then the sequence is

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim_{a \in A} \mathcal{G}(\bigcup_{a \in A} K_a) \rightarrow \prod_{a \in A} \varprojlim_{a \in A} \mathcal{G}(K_a) \rightarrow \prod_{a,b \in A} \varprojlim_{a,b \in A} \mathcal{G}(K_a \cap K_b)$$

By definition (because it's for a fixed a and does not depend of the family for other indexes) $\varprojlim_{a \in A} \mathcal{G}(K_a) = \alpha_* \mathcal{G}(U_a)$ and $\varprojlim_{a,b \in A} \mathcal{G}(K_a \cap K_b) = \alpha_* \mathcal{G}(U_a \cap U_b)$. Any compact included in $\bigcup_{a \in A} U_a$ is included in a finite number of the opens then $\varprojlim_{a \in A} \mathcal{G}(\bigcup_{a \in A} K_a)$ compute $\alpha_* \mathcal{G}(\bigcup_{a \in A} U_a)$, then one gets the sheaf condition for $\alpha_* \mathcal{G}$.

- A morphism between two (\mathcal{K}) -sheaves is by definition a morphism between the two underlying (\mathcal{K}) -presheaves then, the natural equality $\text{hom}_{\text{Sh}}(\alpha^* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \text{hom}_{\text{Sh}}(\mathcal{F}, \alpha_* \mathcal{G})$ is a consequence of 2.11

□

Lemma 2.13. *The functors α^* and α_* preserve the stalk.*

Proof. • Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf. Then the stalk of $\alpha^* \mathcal{F}$ at $p \in X$ is by definition $\alpha^* \mathcal{F}(\{p\}) = \varinjlim_{\{p\} \subset U \text{ open}} \mathcal{F}(U)$ which is by definition the stalk of \mathcal{F} at p .

- Let \mathcal{G} be a \mathcal{K} -sheaf. Then

□

Lemma 2.14. *The previous adjoint pair give rise to an equivalence of category between sheaves and \mathcal{K} -sheaves*

Proof. By using 2.9, it's enough to show that for any sheaf \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{K} -sheaf \mathcal{G} , the natural maps $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \alpha_* \alpha^* \mathcal{F}$ and $\alpha^* \alpha_* \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ are isomorphism. The fact of being a natural isomorphism can be checked locally.

- Let U be an open of X . One has to check that $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{U \supset K \text{ compact}} \varinjlim_{K \subset U' \text{ open}} \mathcal{F}(U')$ is an isomorphism.

•

□

Chapter 3

Homotopy sheaves

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{F}^\bullet be complex of \mathcal{K} -presheaves then taking the cohomology defines a \mathcal{K} -presheaf denoted $H^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\bullet$.

Definition 3.2. A morphism of complex of \mathcal{K} -presheaf $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\bullet$ is called quasi-isomorphism if it induces isomorphisms $H^i \mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow H^i \mathcal{G}^\bullet$ for all i .

Definition 3.3. A complex of \mathcal{K} -presheaves \mathcal{F}^\bullet is said to be a Homotopy- \mathcal{K} -sheaf if the following conditions are satisfied:

•

$$\mathcal{F}^\bullet(\emptyset) \text{ is an acyclic complex} \quad (3.1)$$

• For K_1 and K_2 two compact of X the following complex is acyclic:

$$[\mathcal{F}^\bullet(K_1 \cup K_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\bullet-1}(K_1) \bigoplus \mathcal{F}^{\bullet-1}(K_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\bullet-2}(K_1 \cap K_2)] \quad (3.2)$$

• For any compact K of X , the following natural morphism is a quasi-isomorphism

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ K \subset U \text{ open relatively compact}}} \mathcal{F}^\bullet(\overline{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\bullet(K) \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.4. By using 1.4, (3.3) give rise to a "Mayer-Vietoris" long exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow H^k \mathcal{F}^\bullet(K_1 \cup K_2) \rightarrow H^k \mathcal{F}^\bullet(K_1) \bigoplus H^k \mathcal{F}^\bullet(K_2) \rightarrow H^k \mathcal{F}^\bullet(K_1 \cap K_2) \rightarrow \dots$$

Lemma 3.5. Let \mathcal{F}^\bullet be a complex of \mathcal{K} -presheaves. If \mathcal{F}^\bullet has a finite filtration whose associated graded is a Homotopy- \mathcal{K} -sheaf, then \mathcal{F}^\bullet is a Homotopy- \mathcal{K} -sheaf.

Proof. TODO □

Lemma 3.6. If \mathcal{F}^\bullet is a homotopy- \mathcal{K} -sheaf, and $H^{-1} \mathcal{F}^\bullet = 0$ then $H^0 \mathcal{F}^\bullet$ is a \mathcal{K} -sheaf

Proof.

- $\mathcal{F}^\bullet(\emptyset)$ is acyclic then in particular it's cohomology in degree 0 is 0, then one gets (2.2)
- $H^{-1} \mathcal{F}^\bullet(K_1 \cap K_2) = 0$ then the first terms 3.4 gives the exact sequence of (2.3)

- Let K be a compact of X , the quasi-isomorphism of (2.4) gives (in particular) that $H^0\mathcal{F}^\bullet(K) = H^0(\varinjlim \mathcal{F}^\bullet(\bar{U}))$. To conclude one has to apply that the cohomology commute with inductive limit of a complex (Bouraki algèbre prop 1 X.28) and that in the category of presheaves of abélian groups, the limits are computed objectwise.

□

Chapter 4

Pushforward, exceptional pushforward, and pullback

Let X and Y be two locally compact hausdorff spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map.

4.1 For Sheaves

Definition 4.1. If \mathcal{F} is a pre-sheaf over X , then the rule $U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(U))$ defines a pre-sheaf over Y .

The functor obtained is denoted f_* and named the pushforward by f .
 f_* send sheaves over X into sheaves over Y .

Proof. TODO □

Definition 4.2. If \mathcal{F} is a pre-sheaf over Y , then the rule $U \mapsto \varinjlim_{f(U) \subset V} \mathcal{F}(V)$ defines a pre-sheaf over Y .

If \mathcal{F} is a sheaf, the sheafification of the previous pre-sheaf is denoted $f^*\mathcal{F}$ and called the pullback by f .

Proof. TODO □

Definition 4.3. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is the inclusion of an open subset, the exceptional pushforward by f : $f_!$ is defined by $f_!\mathcal{F}(U)$ being the subset of $f_*\mathcal{F}(U)$ of sections that vanish over a neighborhood of $Y - X$.

It send the sheaves over X into the sheaves over Y

Proof. TODO □

4.2 For \mathcal{K} -sheaves

Let's assume that f is proper.

Definition 4.4. If \mathcal{F} is a pre- \mathcal{K} -sheaf over X , then the rule $K \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(K))$ defines a pre- \mathcal{K} -sheaf over Y .

The functor obtained is denoted f_* and named the pushforward by f .
 f_* send \mathcal{K} -sheaves over X into \mathcal{K} -sheaves over Y .

Proof. TODO

□

Chapter 5

Čech cohomology

5.1 Čech cohomology of sheaves

Definition 5.1. If X is a topological space, and \mathcal{F} a sheaf over X , then let $\check{H}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{F})$ be the Čech cohomology of X with coefficient in \mathcal{F}

Definition 5.2. If X is a topological space, K a compact subset of X and \mathcal{F} a sheaf over X , then let $\check{H}_K^\bullet(X; \mathcal{F})$ be the Čech cohomology of X with support in K with coefficient in \mathcal{F}

Definition 5.3. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map between topological spaces, and \mathcal{F} a sheaf over X . f induces a natural map $\check{H}^\bullet(Y; f_*\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{F})$.

Moreover if f is proper, one gets a natural map $\check{H}_c^\bullet(Y; f_*\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}_c^\bullet(X; \mathcal{F})$

Lemma 5.4. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an inclusion of open subset, then there is a natural isomorphism $f_! : \check{H}_c^\bullet(X; \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^\bullet(Y; f_!\mathcal{F})$

Proof. □

5.2 Čech cohomology of complex of \mathcal{K} -sheaves

Definition 5.5. Let \mathcal{F}^\bullet be a complex of \mathcal{K} -presheaves on a compact space X then we define the Čech cohomology $\check{H}(X; \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ by `TODO`

Remark 5.6. By using the inclusion of \mathcal{K} -presheaves into complexes of \mathcal{K} -presheaves, one gets a definition of Čech cohomology for \mathcal{K} -presheaves.

Lemma 5.7. Let \mathcal{F}^\bullet be an acyclic complex of \mathcal{K} -presheaves, then $\check{H}^k(X; \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0$

Proof. `TODO` □

Lemma 5.8. Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^\bullet \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of complex of \mathcal{K} -presheaves. Then there is a long exact sequence in Čech cohomology:

$$\dots \rightarrow \check{H}^k(X; \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \rightarrow \check{H}^k(X; \mathcal{G}^\bullet) \rightarrow \check{H}^k(X; \mathcal{H}^\bullet) \rightarrow \dots$$

Proof. `TODO` □

Lemma 5.9. *If $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism then the induced maps $\check{H}^i \mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow \check{H}^i \mathcal{G}^\bullet$ are isomorphisms.*

Proof. By 1.3, the complex $[\mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{\bullet-1}]$ is acyclic then by 5.7, it's Čech cohomology is zero.

But there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\bullet[-1] \rightarrow [\mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{\bullet-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow 0$, then the long exact sequence induced by 5.8 gives the claimed isomorphisms. \square

Proposition 5.10. *Let \mathcal{F}^\bullet be a complex of \mathcal{K} -presheaves that verify (3.1) and (3.2) then the canonical map $H^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow \check{H}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. TODO \square

5.3 Čech cohomology is determined by stalks

Lemma 5.11. *Let \mathcal{F}^\bullet be a complex of \mathcal{K} -presheaves that verify (2.4) and such that all the stalks are 0 then $\check{H}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{F}) = 0$*

Proof. \square

Lemma 5.12. *Let \mathcal{F}^\bullet be a complex of \mathcal{K} -presheaves that verify (3.3) and $H^i \mathcal{F}^\bullet = 0$ for $i \ll 0$. Then if the stalks of \mathcal{F}^\bullet are acyclics, $\check{H}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0$*

Proof. TODO \square

Proposition 5.13. *Let \mathcal{F}^\bullet and \mathcal{G}^\bullet be complexes of \mathcal{K} -presheaves that verify (3.3) and $H^i \mathcal{F}^\bullet = H^i \mathcal{G}^\bullet = 0$ for i small enough.*

Then if a morphism $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\bullet$ induces a quasi-isomorphism on stalks, $\check{H}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = \check{H}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{G}^\bullet)$

Proof. \square

Chapter 6

Purehomotopy \mathcal{K} -sheaves

Definition 6.1. A homotopy \mathcal{K} -sheaf \mathcal{F}^\bullet is said to be pure on X if:

- For $p \in X$ and $i \neq 0$, $(H^i \mathcal{F}^\bullet)_p = 0$
- $H^i \mathcal{F}^\bullet = 0$ for $i \ll 0$ locally on X : ie for all $p \in X$ there is an open neighbourhood U of p and an integer N such that for $i \leq N$ and $K \subset U$: $H^i \mathcal{F}^\bullet(K) = 0$

Lemma 6.2. Let \mathcal{F}^\bullet be a pure-homotopy \mathcal{K} -sheaf. Then:

- For $i < 0$ $H^i \mathcal{F}^\bullet = 0$
- $H^0 \mathcal{F}^\bullet$ is a \mathcal{K} -sheaf.

Proof. TODO □

Proposition 6.3. Let \mathcal{F}^\bullet be a pure-homotopy \mathcal{K} -sheaf. Then there is a canonical isomorphism:

$$H^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\bullet(X) = \check{H}^\bullet(X; H^0 \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$$

More generally: Let $[\mathcal{F}_0^\bullet \rightarrow \dots \mathcal{F}_n^{\bullet-n}]$ be a complex of pure-homotopy \mathcal{K} -sheaves, then there is a canonical isomorphism:

$$H^\bullet[\mathcal{F}_0^\bullet(X) \rightarrow \dots \mathcal{F}_n^{\bullet-n}(X)] = \check{H}^\bullet(X; [H^0 \mathcal{F}_0^\bullet \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (H^0 \mathcal{F}_n^\bullet)[n]])$$

Proof. TODO □

Chapter 7

Poincaré–Lefschetz duality

Uses chech cohomology with compact supports for sheaves

Definition 7.1. Let M be a topological manifold, the rule $\mathfrak{o}_M : K \mapsto H_{\dim M}(M, M \setminus K)$ defines a \mathcal{K} –sheaf, called the orientation \mathcal{K} –sheaf of M .

If M is a manifold with boundary, let $j : M \setminus \partial M \rightarrow M$ denote the canonical inclusion. The the orientation shaeves of M are defined as follows:

- $\mathfrak{o}_M := j_* \mathfrak{o}_{M \setminus \partial M}$
- $\mathfrak{o}_{M \text{ rel } \partial} := j_! \mathfrak{o}_{M \setminus \partial M}$

Definition 7.2. Singular chains

Lemma 7.3. Let X be a topological manifold, then we have:

- $C_\bullet(X, X)$ is an acyclic complex
- For A and B two closed subsets of X the folowing complex is acyclic:

$$[C_\bullet(X, X \setminus (A \cup B)) \rightarrow C_{\bullet+1}(X, X \setminus A) \bigoplus C_{\bullet+1}(X, X \setminus B) \rightarrow C_{\bullet+2}(X, X \setminus (A \cap B))]$$

- For a family $(K_a)_{a \in A}$ of closed subsets of X wich is filtered (for any $a, b \in A$ there is $c \in A$ such that $K_c \subset K_a \cap K_b$) any compact K of X , the following natural morphism is a quasi-isomorphism

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ a \in A}} C_\bullet(X, X \setminus K_a) \rightarrow C_\bullet(X, X \setminus (\bigcap_{a \in A} K_a))$$

Proof. TODO □

Lemma 7.4. Let M be a topological manifold of dimension n with boundary, $i : X \rightarrow M$ a closed subset, and $N \subset \partial M$ a closed subset that locally looks like $\emptyset \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ or \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Let $j : \overset{\circ}{M} \cup \overset{\circ}{N} \rightarrow M$ be the canonical inclusion. Then there is a canonical isomorphism:

$$H^\bullet[C_{n-1-\bullet}(N, N \setminus X) \rightarrow C_{n-1-\bullet}(M, M \setminus X)] = \check{H}_c^\bullet(X; i^* j_! j^* \mathfrak{o}_M)$$

Proof. uses tout les poussé en avatn, tiré en arrière et tout ça, la cohomologie à support compact □

Chapter 8

Homotopy colimits

8.1 Homotopy colimits

Definition 8.1.

Definition 8.2.

8.2 Homotopy colimits of pure homotopy \mathcal{K} -sheaves

Lemma 8.3.

Proof.

□

Lemma 8.4.

Chapter 9

Steenrod homology