## CSC165H1 Problem Set 2

# Yulin WANG, Qidi Zhou, Dana Zhao Wednesday February 7

### 1. AND vs. IMPLIES

a)

Proof:

Header:

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Assume that n > 15

We want to prove that  $n^3$  -  $10n^2 + 3 \ge 165$ .

Body:

$$n^{3} - 10n^{2} + 3 \ge n^{3} - 10n^{2}$$
 (since  $3 \ge 0$ )  
=  $n^{2}(n - 10)$   
 $\ge n^{2} \times 1 = n^{2} = 15^{2}$  (since  $n > 15 \Rightarrow n - 10 \ge 1$ )  
 $\ge 165$ 

Therefore, we have proven that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 15 \Rightarrow n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 \ge 165$ .

#### b)

Since this statement is False, so we want to disprove it.

We can prove that its negation is True.

Negation of the original statement:  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, n \leq 15 \vee n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 < 165.$ 

Proof:

Header:

Let n = 1, then  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

We want to prove  $n < 15 \lor n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 < 165$ .

Since n = 1, so  $n \le 15$ .

Thus,  $n < 15 \lor n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 < 165$  is True.

Therefore, negation of the original statement is True, then the original statement is False.

# 2. Ceiling function

 $\mathbf{a}$ 

Translation:  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n < m \Rightarrow \lceil \frac{m-1}{m} \cdot n \rceil = n$ 

Proof:

Header:

Let n,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Assume that n < m

We want to prove that  $\lceil \frac{(m-1)}{m} \cdot n \rceil = n$ .

Body:

$$\lceil \frac{(m-1)}{m} \cdot n \rceil = \lceil (1 - \frac{1}{m}) \cdot n \rceil$$
$$= \lceil -\frac{n}{m} + n \rceil$$

$$\left[-\frac{n}{m} + n\right] = \left[-\frac{n}{m}\right] + n$$

By Fact 2, since  $-\frac{n}{m} \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so we have:  $\lceil -\frac{n}{m} + n \rceil = \lceil -\frac{n}{m} \rceil + n$  Since n,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and n < m, we know that  $0 \le \frac{n}{m} < 1$ 

Then, 
$$-1 < -\frac{n}{m} \le 0$$
  
So,  $\lceil -\frac{n}{m} \rceil = 0$ 

So, 
$$\left[-\frac{n}{m}\right] = 0$$

Thus, 
$$\lceil \frac{(m-1)}{m} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rceil = \lceil -\frac{n}{m} \rceil + \mathbf{n} = 0 + \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}$$

Therefore, we have proven that  $\forall$  n,m  $\in \mathbb{N}$ , n < m  $\Rightarrow \lceil \frac{(m-1)}{m} \cdot n \rceil = n$ .

### b)

```
Translation:
\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (50|\text{nextFifty}(n) \land \text{nextFifty}(n) \ge n) \land (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, 50|k \land k \ge n \Rightarrow k \ge \text{nextFifty}(n))
\Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \, (50|50 \cdot \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil \, \wedge \, 50 \cdot \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil \, \geq \, n) \, \wedge \, (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \, 50|k \, \wedge \, k \geq n \, \Rightarrow \, k \geq 50 \cdot \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil)
Proof:
Header:
        Let n \in \mathbb{N}
Body:
Firstly, we want to prove that 50|50\cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil \wedge 50\cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil \geq n
       Since 1|\lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil, so 50|50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil
                                                                         (multiply 50 on each side)
       Since \lceil x \rceil \ge x, so \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil \ge \frac{n}{50}
Thus 50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil \ge n (multiply 50 on each side)
Therefore, we have proven that 50|50\cdot\lceil\frac{n}{50}\rceil \wedge 50\cdot\lceil\frac{n}{50}\rceil \geq n
Secondly, we want to prove that \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, 50 | k \wedge k \geq n \Rightarrow k \geq 50 \cdot \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil
        Let k \in \mathbb{N}
        Assume that 50|k \wedge k \geq n
       Since 50|k represents \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}, \, k = 50m \geq n
       So m \geq \frac{n}{50} (divide 50 on each side)
       Then by Fact 1, we know m \ge \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil, so 50m \ge 50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil, then k \ge 50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil
Therefore, we have proven that \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, 50 | k \wedge k \geq n \Rightarrow k \geq 50 \cdot \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil
In conclusion, we have proven that:
\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (50|\text{nextFifty}(n) \land \text{nextFifty}(n) \ge n) \land (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, 50|k \land k \ge n \Rightarrow k \ge \text{nextFifty}(n))
```

3

### 3. Divisibility

a)

Translation:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \leq 2300 \Rightarrow (49|n \Leftrightarrow 50 \cdot (50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil - n) = 50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \, n \leq 2300 \Rightarrow (49|n \Leftrightarrow 50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil - n = \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil)$$

Proof:

(1) Firstly, we want to prove the  $\Rightarrow$  direction of the statement.

Header:

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Assume that  $n \le 2300$ 

We want to prove that  $49|n \Rightarrow 50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil$  -  $n = \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil$ 

Assume that 49|n, which means:

 $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 49k$ , so we have:

$$50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil - n = 50 \cdot \lceil \frac{49k}{50} \rceil - 49k$$

$$= 50 \cdot \lceil \frac{50 - 1}{50} \cdot k \rceil - 49k$$

$$= 50 \cdot k - 49k \text{ (since } n \le 2300, \text{ then } k = \frac{n}{49} \le \frac{2300}{49} < 50, \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ so by } 2(a))$$

$$= k$$

$$and \quad \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil = \lceil \frac{49k}{50} \rceil$$

$$= \lceil \frac{50 - 1}{50} \cdot k \rceil$$

$$= k$$

Thus,  $50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil$  - n =  $\lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil$ 

Therefore, we have proven that:  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \leq 2300 \Rightarrow (49|n \Rightarrow 50 \cdot (50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil - n) = 50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil)$ 

(2) Secondly, we want to prove the  $\Leftarrow$  direction of the statement. Header:

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Assume that  $n \le 2300$ 

We want to prove that  $50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil$  -  $n = \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil \Rightarrow 49 \mid n$ 

Assume that  $50 \cdot \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil$  -  $n = \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil$ 

Then,  $49 \cdot \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil = n$ So,  $\left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil = \frac{n}{49}$  (divide 49 on each side)

Since  $\lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\frac{n}{49} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and then  $49 \mid n$ 

Therefore, we have proven that:  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \leq 2300 \Rightarrow (50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil - n = \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil \Rightarrow 49 \mid n)$ 

In conclusion, we have proven that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \, n \leq 2300 \Rightarrow (49|n \Leftrightarrow 50 \cdot (50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil - n) = 50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil)$ 

b)

In order to disprove this statement, we can prove its negation is True.

Since from 3(a) we know that:

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 50 \cdot (\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) = \text{nextFifty}(n)) \Rightarrow 49 | n$ 

So, we just need to disprove the  $\Rightarrow$  direction of this statement.

Negation of the  $\Rightarrow$  direction of the original statement:

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \, 49 | n \wedge (50 \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil - n \neq \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil)$$

Proof:

Header:

Let 
$$n = 49 \times 50 = 2450$$
, then  $49|n$ 

Body

$$\begin{array}{l} 50 \cdot \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil - n = 50 \cdot \left\lceil \frac{2450}{50} \right\rceil - 2450 = 0 \\ \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{2450}{50} \right\rceil = 49 \\ \text{So, } 50 \cdot \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil - n \neq \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil \end{array}$$

Thus,  $\exists n\in\mathbb{N},\, 49|n\,\wedge\, (50\cdot\lceil\frac{n}{50}\rceil$  -  $n\neq\lceil\frac{n}{50}\rceil)$ 

Therefore, negation of the original statement is True, then the original statement is False.

### 4. Functions

```
a)
```

 $\exists k \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f(x) \leq k$ 

### b)

Translation:

$$\forall f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \ (\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}, \ f_1(x) \leq k_1) \land (\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}, \ f_2(x) \leq k_2) \\ \Rightarrow (\exists k_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}, \ (f_1 + f_2)(\mathbf{x}) \leq k_3)$$

Proof:

Header:

Let  $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ 

Assume that  $(\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f_1(x) \leq k_1) \land (\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f_2(x) \leq k_2)$ 

We want to prove that  $\exists k_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, (f_1 + f_2)(x) \leq k_3$ 

Body:

Let  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Let  $k_3 = k_1 + k_2$ 

Since  $0 \le f_1(x) \le k_1$  and  $0 \le f_2(x) \le k_2$ 

So,  $0 \le f_1(x) + f_2(x) \le k_1 + k_2 = k_3$ 

Thus,  $(f_1 + f_2)(x) \le k_3$ 

Therefore, we have proven that:

$$\forall f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, (\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}, f_1(\mathbf{x}) \leq k_1) \land (\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}, f_2(\mathbf{x}) \leq k_2)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow (\exists k_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}, (f_1 + f_2)(\mathbf{x}) \leq k_3)$$

**c**)

```
Translation:
```

$$\forall f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \ (\exists k_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}, \ (f_1 + f_2)(\mathbf{x}) \leq k_3)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow (\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}, \ f_1(\mathbf{x}) \leq k_1) \land (\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}, \ f_2(\mathbf{x}) \leq k_2)$$

Proof:

Header:

Let  $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ 

Assume that  $\exists k_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, (f_1 + f_2)(x) \leq k_3$ 

We want to prove that  $(\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f_1(x) \leq k_1) \land (\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f_2(x) \leq k_2)$ Boby:

Let  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Let  $k_1 = \frac{k_3}{2} - 1$ 

Let  $k_2 = \frac{k_3^2}{2} + 1$ 

Since,  $(f_1 + f_2)(x) \le k_3$ 

So,  $f_1(x) + f_2(x) \le k_3$ 

Then,  $f_1(x) \le k_3 - f_2(x) \le k_3 - k_2 = k_3 - (\frac{k_3}{2} + 1) = \frac{k_3}{2} - 1 = k_1$ 

Thus,  $f_1(x) \leq k_1$ 

And,  $f_2(x) \leq k_3 - f_1(x) \leq k_3 - k_1 = k_3 - (\frac{k_3}{2} - 1) = \frac{k_3}{2} + 1 = k_2$ 

Thus,  $f_2(x) \leq k_2$ 

Therefore, we have proven that:

$$\forall f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \ (\exists k_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}, \ (f_1 + f_2)(\mathbf{x}) \leq k_3)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow (\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}, \ f_1(\mathbf{x}) \leq k_1) \land (\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}, \ f_2(\mathbf{x}) \leq k_2)$$