

# Algorithms and Complexity: Homework #1

Due on February 1, 2019 at 3:10pm

*Professor Bradford*

**Patrik Sokolowski**

## Problem 1

Page 22, Exercise 1.

### Solution

#### Explanation or Counterexample

*True or False?* In every instance of the Stable Matching Problem, There is a stable matching containing a pair  $(m, w)$  such that  $m$  is ranked first on the preference list of  $w$  and  $w$  is ranked first on the preference list of  $m$ .

*False!*

Consider:

Female preference lists.PNG

M

m1	1	2
m2	1	2

W

w1	1	2
w2	1	2

Picture

Provided by Professor Bradford's Power Point

$m1$  prefers  $w1$  and  $m2$  prefers  $w1$   $w1$  prefers  $m1$  and  $w2$  prefers  $m1$

It is impossible to have all four people have their number 1 preferences if two on each side prefer one on the other side.

Having  $m1$  and  $m2$  prefer  $w1$  will make it impossible to satisfy this unless a polygamous rule is put in. Both  $m$ 's cannot be made into a perfect match.

Having  $w1$  and  $w2$  prefer  $m1$  will make it impossible to satisfy this unless a polygamous rule is put in. Both  $w$ 's cannot be made into a perfect match.

## Problem 2

Page 22, Exercise 2.

### Solution

#### Explanation or Counterexample

*True or False?* Consider an instance of the Stable Matching Problem in which there exists a man  $m$  and a woman  $w$  such that  $m$  is ranked first on the preference list of  $w$  and  $w$  is ranked first in the preference list of  $m$ . Then, in every stable matching  $S$  for this instance, the pair  $(m, w)$  belongs to  $S$ .

*True!*

Consider the pairs:

$(m, w^1)$  and  $(m^1, w)$

We know  $m$  prefers  $w$  and  $w$  prefers  $m$ . If given any different match-ups such as the ones above we get instabilities which are not perfect pairs. This would not hold in this instance, only showing  $(m, w)$  belongs in  $S$ . We wouldn't be able to satisfy the instance which wants  $(m, w)$  belonging to  $S$ , so we need  $(m, w)$  matched.

## Problem 3

Give an inductive proof of

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (2i+1) = n^2.$$

### Solution

*Proof.* Put your proof here. □

Proof:

*Basis :  $n = 1$*

$$\text{Leftside : } \sum_{i=0}^{1-1} (2(0) + 1) = 1$$

$$\text{Rightside : } (1)^2 = 1$$

Both sides are equal, making it true for  $n=1$

*InductionStep : assuming  $(n = 1)$  is true for  $(n = c)$*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{c-1+1} (2i+1) &= \sum_{i=0}^{c-1} (2i+1) + (2(c+1) - 1) \\ &= c^2 + 2(c+1) - 1 \quad (\text{induction hypothesis}) \\ &= c^2 + 2c + 1 = (c+1)^2 \quad (\text{rightsideside}) \end{aligned}$$

So this must hold for all  $n \leq 2$

## Problem 4

Let

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^i, \quad (1)$$

Do each of the following,

### Part A

Prove  $f(n) = f(n-1) + x^{n-1}$

*Proof. Basis :  $f(2) = f(1)$*

$$f(1) = \sum_{i=0}^{1-1} x^i = 1$$

$$\text{so } f(2) = 1 + x$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^i = 1 + x \text{ for } n = 2$$

Inductive hypothesis:

say for  $n \leq k$ , some  $k$

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^i$$

Suppose, *FSOC* (For Sake Of Contradiction),  $k$  is the smallest integer where  $f(k) \neq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^i$

by definition,  $f(k) = f(k-1) + x^{k-1}$

$$\text{However } f(k-1) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} x^i$$

$$\text{and } f(k) = f(k-1) + x^{k-1}$$

This means there can be no minimal  $k$  where

$$f(k) \neq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^i \quad \square$$

### Part B

Prove  $f(n) = xf(n-1) + 1$

*Proof. Basis :  $n = 2$*

$$f(2) = xf(1) + 1$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{1-1} x^i + 1$$

$$f(2) = x + 1$$

*Inductive hypothesis :  $f(n) = xf(n-1) + 1 \quad \forall \quad n \leq C$*

*Inductive Step :  $f(n)$  fails first for  $n$*

$f(n) = xf(n-1) + 1$ , by I.H :

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} x^i + 1 \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} x^{i+1}$$

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x^i + 1 \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x^{i+1}$$

$\square$

### Part C

Prove  $f(n) = (x^n - 1)/(x - 1)$

*Proof. Basis :  $f(2) = f(1)$*

$$f(1) = \sum_{i=0}^{1-1} x^i = 1$$

*so  $f(2) = 1 + x$*

$$\text{and } \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^i = 1 + x \text{ for } n = 2$$

Inductive hypothesis:

say for  $n \leq k$ , some  $k$

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^i$$

*Suppose, FSOC (For Sake Of Contradiction),  $k$  is the smallest integer where  $f(k) \neq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^i$*

*by definition,  $f(k) = (x^k - 1)/(x - 1)$*

$$\text{However } f(2) = 1 + x \neq (x^2 - 1)/(x - 1)$$

$$\text{and } f(k) = (x^k - 1)/(x - 1)$$

*This means there can be no minimal  $k$  where*

$$f(k) \neq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^i \quad \square$$

### Part D

What happens when  $x = 1$ , how about for Equation 1?

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1^i$$

$$f(1) = \sum_{i=0}^{1-1} 1^i = 1 = 1$$

$$f(2) = \sum_{i=0}^{2-1} 1^i = 1 + 1 = 2$$

$$f(3) = \sum_{i=0}^{3-1} 1^i = 1 + 1 + 1 = 3$$

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=0}^n n$$

Part A:

$$f(n) = f(n-1)x^{n-1}$$

$$f(n) = f(n-1)1^{n-1}$$

*Basis :  $f(1) = 1$  and  $f(2) = 2$*

*Suppose, FSOC (For Sake Of Contradiction),  $k$  is the smallest integer where  $f(k) \neq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^i$*

*by definition,  $f(k) = f(k-1)1^{k-1}$*

$$\text{However, } f(1) = 0 \neq \sum_{i=0}^{1-1} 1^i = 1$$

*This means there can be no minimal  $k$  where*

$$f(k) \neq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^i$$

Part B:

Basis:  $n = 1$

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{1-1} 1^i = (1)f(1-1) + 1$$

*Inductive hypothesis :*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1^i = (1)f(n-1) + 1 \quad \forall n \leq C$$

*Inductive Step :*

$$(1)f(n-1) + 1 \text{ always equals } \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1^i$$

$$f(n-1) + 1 = f(n)$$

$$\text{by definition will} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1^i$$

Part C:

$$f(n) = (x^2 - 1)/(x - 1)$$

$$f(n) = (1^2 - 1)/(1 - 1)$$

$$f(n) = 0$$