PETERSON'S SOLUTION

Peterson's solution is a classic **Software-Based Solution** to the critical-section problem.

Peterson's solution is restricted to two processes that alternate execution between their critical sections and remainder sections.

The processes are numbered P0 and P1. Let Pi represents one process and Pj represents other processes (i.e. j = i-1)

```
do
{
flag[i] = true;
turn = j;
while (flag[j] && turn == j);

Critical Section
```

```
Critical Section
```

```
flag[i] = false;
```

Remainder Section

```
} while (true);
```

Peterson's solution requires the two processes to share two data items:

int turn;

boolean flag[2];

The variable turn indicates whose turn it is to enter its critical section. At any point of time the turn value will be either 0 or 1 but not both.

- if turn == i, then process Pi is allowed to execute in its critical section.
- if turn == j, then process Pj is allowed to execute in its critical section.
- The flag array is used to indicate if a process is ready to enter its critical section.

Example: if flag[i] is true, this value indicates that *Pi* is ready to enter its critical section.

- To enter the critical section, process *Pi* first sets **flag[i]=true** and then sets **turn=j**, thereby **Pi** checks if the other process wishes to enter the critical section, it can do so.
- If both processes try to enter at the same time, turn will be set to both i and j at the same time. Only one of these assignments will be taken. The other will occur but will be overwritten immediately.
- The eventual value of turn determines which of the two processes is allowed to enter its critical section first.

The above code must satisfy the following requirements:

- 1. Mutual exclusion
- 2. The progress
- 3. The bounded-waiting

Check for Mutual Exclusion

- Each Pi enters its critical section only if either flag[j] == false or turn == i.
- If both processes can be executing in their critical sections at the same time, then flag[0] == flag[1] == true. But the value of turn can be either 0 or 1 but cannot be both.

- Hence **P0** and **P1** could not have successfully executed their **while** statements at about the same time.
- If Pi executed "turn == j" and the process Pj executed flag[j]=true then Pj will have successfully executed the while statement. Now Pj will enter into its **Critical section**.
- At this time, **flag[j]** == **true** and **turn** == **j** and this condition will persist as long as *Pj* is in its critical section. As a result, mutual exclusion is preserved.

Check for Progress and Bounded-waiting

- The while loop is the only possible way that a process **Pi** can be prevented from entering the critical section only if it is stuck in the while loop with the condition **flag[j]** == **true** and **turn** == **j**.
- If Pj is not ready to enter the critical section, then flag[j] == false and Pi can enter its critical section.
- If **P**j has set **flag[j]** == **true** and is also executing in its while statement, then either **turn** == **i** or **turn** == **j**.
- If turn == i, then Pi will enter the critical section. If turn == j, then Pj will enter the critical section.
- Once Pj exits its critical section, it will reset flag[j] to false, allowing Pi to enter its critical section.
- If *Pj* resets **flag[j]** to true, it must also set **turn** == **i**. Thus, since *Pi* does not change the value of the variable **turn** while executing the while statement, *Pi* will enter the critical section (**Progress**) after at most one entry by *Pj* (**Bounded Waiting**).

Problem with Peterson Solution

There are no guarantees that Peterson's solution will work correctly on modern computer architectures perform basic machine-language instructions such as load and store.

- The critical-section problem could be solved simply in a single-processor environment if we could prevent interrupts from occurring while a shared variable was being modified. This is an Non-preemptive kernel approach.
- We could be sure that the current sequence of instructions would be allowed to execute in order without preemption.
- No other instructions would be run, so no unexpected modifications could be made to the shared variable.

This solution is not as feasible in a multiprocessor environment.

- Disabling interrupts on a multiprocessor can be time consuming, since the message is passed to all the processors.
- This message passing delays entry into each critical section and system efficiency decreases and if the clock is kept updated by interrupts there will be an effect on a system's clock.