## Model description

## February 2022

In accordance with the Unobserved Components approach (I refer to Harvey (1990)), a series  $y_t$  is broken down into:

$$y_t = \mu_t + \gamma_t \tag{1}$$

where  $\mu_t$  gives a trend and  $\gamma_t$  a seasonal component.

Probabilities for the unobserved regimes  $S_t = 0$  and  $S_t = 1$  are governed by

$$S_t^* = \beta_0 + \beta_1 S_{t-1} + \eta_t \qquad \eta_t \sim i.i.d.N(0,1). \tag{2}$$

The Probit model discriminates between regimes whether  $S_t^*$  is non-negative. This mirrors a first order stationary Markov switching process (see Hamilton (1994, ch. 22)) and gives the identities:

$$S_t = 0 \quad \forall \quad \eta_t < -\beta_0 - \beta_1$$
  

$$S_t = 1 \quad \forall \quad \eta_t \ge -\beta_0 - \beta_1.$$
(3)

The trend component in 1 is assumed to satisfy:

$$\mu_t = \mu_{t-1} + \nu_{S_t} + \xi_t \qquad \xi_t \sim i.i.d.N(0, \sigma_{\xi, S_t}^2)$$
 (4)

with

$$\nu_{S_t} = \nu_0 + S_t \nu_1,\tag{5}$$

$$\sigma_{\xi,S_t}^2 = \sigma_{\xi,0}(1 - S_t) + \sigma_{\xi,1}^2 S_t. \tag{6}$$

Thus, the trend is modelled as a RW with both innovation variance and drift depending on the current regime.

 $\gamma_t$  nets out seven-day (-period) seasonality:

$$\gamma_t = \sum_{j=1}^6 \gamma_{t-j} + \omega_t \qquad \omega_t \sim i.i.d.N(0, \sigma_{\omega_t}^2). \tag{7}$$

The stochastic component  $\omega_t$  allows the seasonal coefficients to vary and assumes measurement errors. By their nature,  $\xi_t$  and  $\omega_s$  are uncorrelated for all t and s.

Endogeneity between trend and regime processes arises from the joint density function of all innovations in the system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi_{t,0} \\ \xi_{t,1} \\ \omega_t \\ \eta_t \end{bmatrix} \sim i.i.d.N(0,\Sigma), \qquad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\xi,0}^2 & 0 & 0 & \rho_0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{\xi,1}^2 & 0 & \rho_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{\omega}^2 & 0 \\ \rho_0 & \rho_1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

Note, that the covariance  $\rho_{S_t}$  between innovations to the regime process and trend innovations is itself regime dependent. This is a function of the regime induced heteroskedasticity in 6. If a certain regime innovation triggers a switch from  $S_{t-1} = 0$  to  $S_t = 1$ ,  $\sigma_{\xi,0}$  follows suit and changes to  $\sigma_{\xi,1}$  in t. Since  $\eta_t$  has a constant variance by definition,  $\rho_0$  is forced to change in proportion to  $\sigma_{\xi,1}$  and becomes  $\rho_1$ . However, the correlation between  $\xi_{t,S_t}$  and  $\eta_t$  stays constant and is thus uniquely defined as

$$\varrho = \frac{\rho_0}{\sigma_{\xi,0}} = \frac{\rho_1}{\sigma_{\xi,1}}, \qquad \sigma_{\xi,0}, \sigma_{\xi,1} \neq 0.$$
(9)

I originally employed the approach to model log COVID-19 infections for my Bachelor thesis. An extension of the Kim filter (Kim, 1994) as proposed in Kim et al. (2008) is exploited to feasibly estimate the UC components, regime probabilities and all covariances.

Similar models were used in Chang et al. (2017); Kim et al. (2008); Sinclair (2009); Luginbuhl and de Vos (2003) and many more.

In state space form the measurement equation is defined as:

$$y_t = \underset{1 \times 1}{Z} \alpha_t \tag{10}$$

with the transition equation:

$$\alpha_{t+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{t+1} \\ \nu_0 \\ \gamma_{t+1} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{t+1-5} \end{bmatrix} = T_{8\times 8} \alpha_t + \lambda_{S_t} + R_{0} u_{t+1} \quad u_t \sim N(0, Q_{S_t}) \quad (11)$$

where

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$T = diag \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

$$\lambda_{S_t} = \begin{bmatrix} S_t \nu_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q_{S_t} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\xi, S_t}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{\omega}^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

## References

- Y. Chang, Y. Choi, and J. Y. Park. A new approach to model regime switching. *Journal of Econometrics*, 196(1):127–143, 2017.
- J. D. Hamilton. Time series analysis. Princeton university press, 1994.
- A. C. Harvey. Forecasting, structural time series models and the kalman filter. 1990.
- C.-J. Kim. Dynamic linear models with markov-switching. *Journal of Econometrics*, 60(1-2):1–22, 1994.
- C.-J. Kim, J. Piger, and R. Startz. Estimation of markov regime-switching regression models with endogenous switching. *Journal of Econometrics*, 143(2):263–273, 2008.
- R. Luginbuhl and A. de Vos. Seasonality and markov switching in an unobserved component time series model. *Empirical Economics*, 28(2):365–386, 2003.
- T. M. Sinclair. Asymmetry in the business cycle: Friedman's plucking model with correlated innovations. Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics & Econometrics, 14(1), 2009.