Real Analysis: Exam 1

Paul Beggs

November 6, 2024

"All work on this take-home exam is my own." **Signature:**

1. For a function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$\sup_{x \in D} f(x) = \sup\{f(x) \mid x \in D\}.$$

This is just the supremum of the image, or the smallest number M such that $f(x) \leq M$ for all $x \in D$. Think of a least upper bound on the graph.

Consider two functions,

$$f \colon D \to \mathbb{R}$$
 and $g \colon D \to \mathbb{R}$.

(a) Prove that

$$\sup_{x \in D} (f(x) + g(x)) \le \sup_{x \in D} f(x) + \sup_{x \in D} g(x).$$

Proof. We are given that the function $\sup_{x\in D} f(x)$ is the smallest number M such that $f(x) \leq M$ for all $x\in D$. Similarly, the function $\sup_{x\in D} g(x)$ is the smallest number N such that $g(x)\leq N$ for all $x\in D$. From the definitions of M and N, it follows that $f(x)+g(x)\leq M+N$ for each $x\in D$. Thus, since this holds for every $x\in D$, f(x)+g(x) is bounded above by M+N for all $x\in D$. Therefore, the supremum of f(x)+g(x) is also less than or equal to M+N. Then, by substituting the definitions of M and N, we find that

$$\sup_{x \in D} (f(x) + g(x)) \le M + N$$

$$\le \sup_{x \in D} f(x) + \sup_{x \in D} g(x).$$

(b) Give an example of two functions f and g on the domain [0,1] where the above inequality is strict (that is, the left is less than but not equal to the right).

Goal: of the computations inside (f(x) + g(x)), the largest sum (of those functions) must be less than the largest $x \in D$ for both f(x) and g(x)

Idea: because we proved $\sup(A + B) = \sup(A) + \sup(B)$, we can not simply substitute f(x) and g(x) to be numbers alone. If we were to do so, then we can not find an answer other than that they are equal. Therefore, we need to specify that these functions have variables that can cancel each other out in a way that is unique to the parenthesis, but not for the individual functions.

Solution. If we set the function f(x) = x and the function g(x) = 1 - x, then we can see that

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} (f(x) + g(x)) = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} (x + (1-x))$$
$$= \sup_{x \in [0,1]} (1)$$
$$= 1$$

Now, we can calculate $\sup_{x \in [0,1]} f(x)$ to be 1, when x = 1. Then for $\sup_{x \in [0,1]} g(x)$, we see that it is also 1, when x = 0. Therefore, we have that

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} (f(x) + g(x)) = 1 < 2 = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} f(x) + \sup_{x \in [0,1]} g(x).$$

- 2. For each $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, define $a_{j,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j < k, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \ge k. \end{cases}$
 - (a) For any fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we can look at the sequence $(a_{j,k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$.
 - i. Describe (by showing enough terms to establish the pattern) the sequences $(a_{1,k})$, $(a_{2,k})$, $(a_{3,k})$, and $(a_{4,k})$.

Solution.

$$(a_{1,k}) = (0, 1, 1, 1, 1, ...)$$

$$(a_{2,k}) = (0, 0, 1, 1, 1, ...)$$

$$(a_{3,k}) = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1, ...)$$

$$(a_{4,k}) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, ...)$$

ii. For any fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$, find the limit $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_{j,k}$.

Solution. For any fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the limit of the sequence $(a_{j,k})$ is 1.

iii. Now that you know the limit for each j, find the iterated limit below.

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \left(\lim_{k \to \infty} a_{j,k} \right).$$

Solution. $\lim_{j\to\infty} (\lim_{k\to\infty} a_{j,k}) = \lim_{j\to\infty} (1) = 1$.

- (b) For any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can look at the sequence $(a_{j,k})_{j=1}^{\infty}$.
 - i. Describe (by showing enough terms to establish the pattern) the sequences $(a_{j,1})$, $(a_{j,2})$, $(a_{j,3})$, and $(a_{j,4})$.

Solution.

$$(a_{j,1}) = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$(a_{j,2}) = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$(a_{j,3}) = (1, 1, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$(a_{j,4}) = (1, 1, 1, 1, 0, \dots)$$

ii. For any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, find the limit $\lim_{j\to\infty} a_{j,k}$.

Solution. For any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the limit of the sequence $(a_{j,k})$ is 0.

iii. Now that you know the limit for each k, find the iterated limit below.

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \left(\lim_{k \to \infty} a_{j,k} \right).$$

Solution. $\lim_{j\to\infty} (\lim_{k\to\infty} a_{j,k}) = \lim_{j\to\infty} (0) = 0.$

(c) What is the lesson to learn here about iterated limits?

Solution. From these examples, we can see that the order of the limits matters.

Moreover, we can observe that, in certain cases

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{j \to \infty} a_{j,k} \neq \lim_{j \to \infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} a_{j,k}.$$