

CHAUVIN

Paul

MVA-RL-HW3

I. Best Arm identification :

$$1). \text{ Let } \mathcal{E} = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \bigcup_{t=1}^{\infty} \{ |\hat{\mu}_{i,t} - \mu_i| > u(t, \delta') \}$$

$$\text{Then, } P(\mathcal{E}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m P\left(\bigcup_{t=1}^{\infty} |\hat{\mu}_{i,t} - \mu_i| > u(t, \delta')\right)$$

Using the anytime confidence bound, we have :

$$P(\mathcal{E}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m P\left(\bigcup_{t=1}^{\infty} |\hat{\mu}_{i,t} - \mu_i| > u(t, \delta')\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \delta'$$

$$\leq m \delta'$$

$$\leq \delta$$

$$\text{with } \boxed{\delta' = \frac{\delta}{m}}$$

2). With the arm elimination condition for the optimal arm :

$$\exists j / \hat{\mu}_{j,t} - u(t, \delta') \geq \hat{\mu}_t^* + u(t, \delta')$$

In order to delete the optimal arm, μ^* should be outside the confidence interval : $|\hat{\mu}_t^* - \mu^*| > u(t, \delta')$

$$\Rightarrow P(|\hat{\mu}_t^* - \mu^*| > u(t, \delta'), \text{ for one } t) \leq P(\mathcal{E}) \leq \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall t, P(|\hat{\mu}_t^* - \mu^*| \leq u(t, \delta')) \geq 1 - \delta$$

I.3

Let $\hat{\mu}_t^*$ be the estimated reward of the arm with the largest expected reward μ^*

$$\text{Under } \neg \mathcal{E} : \hat{\mu}_t^* \geq \mu^* - u(t, \delta')$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{it} \leq \mu_i + u(t, \delta')$$

and with elimination condition

$$\hat{\mu}_t^* - u(t, \delta') \geq \hat{\mu}_{it} + u(t, \delta')$$

\Rightarrow arm i will be deleted if

$$\mu^* - 2u(t, \delta') \geq \mu_i + 2u(t, \delta')$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\mu^* - \mu_i}_{\Delta_i} \geq \underbrace{4u(t, \delta')}_{\frac{4\mu}{\delta}}$$

$$u(t, \delta') = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2t} \log(4t^2/\delta')}$$

$$u(t, \delta') = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2t} \log\left(\frac{4\mu t^2}{\delta}\right)} \quad \text{because } \delta' = \frac{\delta}{\mu}$$

$$\text{Hence, } \Delta_i^2 \geq \frac{16}{2t} \log\left(\frac{4\mu t^2}{\delta}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\Delta_i^2}{16} t \geq \log\left(\frac{4\mu t}{\delta}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow at \geq \log(bt)$$

$$\text{with } a = \frac{\Delta_i^2}{16} ; b = \frac{4\mu}{\delta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{t \geq \frac{1 + \sqrt{4a} + a}{a}} \quad , \quad \boxed{u = \log\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) - 1}$$

using the footnote.

CHAUVIN I.4). The arm will be removed after Paul sampling each sub-optimal arm.

The sample complexity is then :

$$O\left(\sum_{i \neq i^*} \frac{\log(b/a)}{a}\right) = O\left(\sum_{i \neq i^*} \frac{\log\left(\frac{M}{\delta \Delta_i^2}\right)}{\Delta_i^2}\right)$$

I.5).

If multiple best arm exist, the algorithm would never stop as it would not be able to find "bad" arms and S would never be equal to one, so the algorithm would not stop.

II. Regret Minimization.

II.1). For fixed s, a, h, k we have :

• Hoeffding's inequality :

$$\begin{aligned} P(-\varepsilon_r) &= P(|\hat{r}_{h,k}(s,a) - r_{h,k}(s,a)| \geq B_{h,k}^r(s,a)) \\ &\leq \underbrace{2 \exp(-2N_{h,k}(s,a) B_{h,k}^r(s,a)^2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2N_{h,k}(s,a) B_{h,k}^r(s,a)^2 = \log\left(\frac{2}{\delta_r}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow B_{h,k}^r(s,a) = \sqrt{\frac{\log\left(\frac{2}{\delta_r}\right)}{2N_{h,k}(s,a)}}$$

• Weissman inequality:

$$P(\neg \mathcal{E}_p) = P(\|\hat{p}_{nk}^1(\cdot | s, a) - p_k(\cdot | s, a)\|_1 > B_{nk}^p(s, a)) \\ \leq (2^S - 2) \exp\left(-\frac{N_{nk}(s, a) B_{nk}^p(s, a)^2}{2}\right)$$

$$N_{nk}(s, a) B_{nk}^p(s, a)^2 = 2 \log\left(\frac{2^S - 2}{\delta_p}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow B_{nk}^p(s, a)^2 = \frac{2}{N_{nk}(s, a)} \log\left(\frac{2^S - 2}{\delta_p}\right)$$

• Both inequalities give:

$$P(\neg \mathcal{E}_{s, a, k, k}) \leq P(\neg \mathcal{E}_r) + P(\neg \mathcal{E}_p)$$

$$\text{we set } \delta_r = \delta_a = \frac{\delta'}{2}$$

$$P(\neg \mathcal{E}_{s, a, k, k}) \leq \delta'$$

the bound for any s, a, k, k .

$$\text{Then, } P(\mathcal{E}) = 1 - P(\neg \mathcal{E}) = 1 - P(\cup_{s, a, k, k} \neg \mathcal{E}_{s, a, k, k})$$

By Union bound:

$$1 - P(\cup_{s, a, k, k} \neg \mathcal{E}_{s, a, k, k}) \geq 1 - \sum_{s, a, k, k} P(\neg \mathcal{E}_{s, a, k, k})$$

$$\text{we want } P(\mathcal{E}) \geq 1 - \delta/2$$

$$\sum_{s, a, k, k} P(\neg \mathcal{E}_{s, a, k, k}) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$$

$$\text{SAHK } \delta' = \frac{\delta}{2} \Rightarrow \delta' = \frac{\delta}{2 \text{SAHK}}$$

Hence, the confidence bounds are:

$$B_{hk}^r(s, a) = \sqrt{\frac{\log\left(\frac{8SAHK}{\delta}\right)}{2N_{hk}(s, a)}}$$

$$B_{hk}^p(s, a) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N_{hk}(s, a)} \log\left(\frac{(2^S - 2)4SAHK}{\delta}\right)}$$

II.2)

Base case : $h = H$.

$$Q_{H,k}(s, a) = \hat{r}_{H,k}(s, a) + b_{H,k}(s, a)$$

$$Q_H^*(s, a) = r_{H,k}(s, a)$$

We are under \mathcal{I} event, hence:

$$\hat{r}_{H,k}(s, a) \geq r_{H,k}(s, a) - B_{H,k}^r(s, a)$$

$$\text{then: } Q_{H,k}(s, a) \geq r_{H,k}(s, a) + b_{H,k}(s, a) - B_{H,k}^r(s, a)$$

with bonus: $b_{H,k}(s, a) \geq B_{H,k}^r(s, a)$, base case is true.

Inductive step:

$$\text{Assume } Q_{h,k}(s, a) \geq Q_h^*(s, a)$$

$$\text{let's prove } Q_{h-1,k}(s, a) \geq Q_{h-1}^*(s, a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{h-1,k}(s, a) &= \hat{r}_{h-1,k}(s, a) + b_{h-1,k}(s, a) + \sum_{s'} \hat{P}_{h-1,k}(s'|s, a) V_{h,k}(s') \\ &= \hat{r}_{h-1,k}(s, a) + b_{h-1,k}(s, a) + \sum_{s'} \hat{P}_{h-1,k}(s'|s, a) \min_{s/g} (H, \max_{h,k} Q_{h,k}(s, a)) \end{aligned}$$

$$Q_{h-1,k}^*(s,a) = r_{h-1,k}(s,a) + \sum_{s'} p_{h-1,k}(s'|s,a) \max_a Q_{h,k}^*(s,a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow Q_{h-1,k}(s,a) - Q_{h-1,k}^*(s,a) &= \hat{r}_{h-1,k}(s,a) + b_{h-1,k}(s,a) \\ &\quad - r_{h-1,k}(s,a) + \sum_{s'} \hat{p}_{h-1,k}(s'|s,a) \min(H, \max_a Q(s,a)) \\ &\quad - p_{h-1,k}(s'|s,a) \max_a Q_{h,k}^*(s,a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow Q_{h-1,k} - Q_{h-1,k}^* &\geq \hat{r}_{h-1,k}(s,a) + b_{h-1,k}(s,a) - r_{h-1,k}(s,a) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s'} \min(H, \max_a Q) (\hat{p}_{h-1,k} - p_{h-1,k}) \\ &\geq \hat{r}_{h-1,k} + b_{h-1,k} - r_{h-1,k} \\ &\quad - \sum_{s'} \min(H, \max_a Q) |\hat{p} - p| \\ &\geq \hat{r}_{h-1,k} + b_{h-1,k} - r_{h-1,k} \\ &\quad - H \sum_{s'} |\hat{p} - p| \\ &\geq \hat{r}_{h-1,k} + b_{h-1,k} - r_{h-1,k} - H B_{h-1,k}^P(s,a) \end{aligned}$$

because confidence intervals holds as we're under event Σ .

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq -B_{h-1,k}^r(s,a) - H B_{h-1,k}^P(s,a) + b_{h-1,k}(s,a) \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow b_{h-1,k}(s,a) \geq B_{h-1,k}^r(s,a) + H B_{h-1,k}^P$$

II. 3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_h^{\pi_k}(s_{hk}) &= r(s_{hk}, a_{hk}) + \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) V_{h+1}^{\pi_k}(s') \\
 &= r(s_{hk}, a_{hk}) + \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) (V_{h+1}(s') - \delta_{h+1,k}(s')) \\
 &= r(s_{hk}, a_{hk}) + E_P[V_{h+1}(s')] - E_P[\delta_{h+1,k}(s')] \\
 &= r(s_{hk}, a_{hk}) + E_P[V_{h+1}(s')] - \delta_{h+1,k}(s_{h+1,k}) - m_{h,k}
 \end{aligned}$$

III. 3.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{hk}(s_{hk}) &= \min \left\{ H, \max_a Q_{h,k}(s_{hk}, a) \right\} \\
 &\leq Q_{h,k}(s_{hk}, a_{hk})
 \end{aligned}$$

III. 3.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_{1k}(s_{1k}) &= V_{1k}(s) - V_1^{\pi_k}(s) \\
 &\leq Q_{1k}(s_{1k}, a_{1k}) - r(s_{1k}, a_{1k}) - E_P[V_{2k}(s')] \\
 &\quad + \delta_{2k}(s_{2k}) + m_{1k} \\
 &\leq \dots \quad (\text{we replace } \delta_{2k}(s_{2k}) \text{ in the same way}) \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^H Q_{h,k}(s_{h,k}, a_{h,k}) - r(s_{h,k}, a_{h,k}) - E_P[V_{h+1,k}(s')] + m_{h,k}
 \end{aligned}$$

II. 4).

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(T) &= \sum_{k=1}^K V_1^R(s_{1,k}) - V_1^{TK}(s_{1,k}) \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^K V_1(s_{1,k}) - V_1^{TK}(s_{1,k}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \delta_{1k}(s_{1k}) \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{h=1}^H Q_{hk}(s_{hk}, a_{hk}) - r(s_{hk}, a_{hk}) \\
 &\quad - E_{y \sim p} [V_{h+1,k}(y)] + m_{hk} \\
 &= \sum_{k,h} \hat{r}_{hk}(s_{hk}, a_{hk}) - r(s_{hk}, a_{hk}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{s'} (\hat{p}_{hk}(s' | s_{hk}, a_{hk}) - p(s' | s_{hk}, a_{hk})) (V_{h+1,k}(s')) \\
 &\quad + b_{hk}(s_{hk}, a_{hk}) + m_{hk} \\
 &\leq \sum_{k,h} |\hat{r}_{hk} - r| + H \sum_{s'} |\hat{p} - p| + b_{hk} + m_{hk}
 \end{aligned}$$

• we have $\sum_{k,h} m_{hk} \leq 2H \sqrt{KH \log\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)}$ with

probability $\geq 1 - \frac{\delta}{2}$ thanks to Azuma-Hoeffding

• other terms are bounded by confidence intervals.

$$\Rightarrow R(T) \leq \sum_{k,h} 2b_{kh}(s_{kh}, a_{kh}) + 2H \sqrt{KH \log \frac{2}{\delta}}$$

with probability $1 - \delta$.

II. 5).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{h=1}^H \sum_{s,a} \sqrt{N_{h,k}(s,a)} &= HSA \sum_h \sum_{s,a} \frac{\sqrt{N_{h,k}(s,a)}}{HSA} \\
 &\leq HSA \sqrt{\sum_h \sum_{s,a} \frac{N_{h,k}(s,a)}{HSA}} \\
 &= \sqrt{HSA \sum_h \sum_{s,a} N_{h,k}(s,a)} \\
 &\leq \sqrt{HSA} \sqrt{\sum_h K} \quad \text{because } \sum_{s,a} N_{h,k} \leq K
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence :

$$R(T) \leq 2 \sum_{h,k} \sqrt{\frac{\log\left(\frac{8SAHK}{\delta}\right)}{2N_{h,k}(s,a)}} + H \sqrt{\frac{2}{N_{h,k}} \left(\log\left(\frac{2^3-2}{\delta}\right) 4SAHK\right)}$$

$$+ 2H KH \log(2/\delta)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lesssim H^2 S^2 A + H \sqrt{SAK} + H \sqrt{S} (H^2 S^2 A + H \sqrt{SAK}) + 2H \sqrt{KH} \\
 &\lesssim H^2 S \sqrt{AK}
 \end{aligned}$$