

Index

A

- action plans, 101, 116, 252–253
 - debriefing meeting and, 116
 - in paper prototyping project, 101
 - tips for, 253
- affinity diagrams, 248–250
 - defined, 248
 - illustrated, 248
 - process, 248–250
 - See also* debriefing meeting
- alignment, 276
- “Amelia Bedelia” problem, 65–66
- animation/video simulation, 86
- assumptions
 - disagreements based on, 66
 - mistaken, 25, 77
 - task, 130
- audience, this book, 15–17
- author background, 17–18

B

- backgrounds
 - browser, 76–78
 - creating, 74–80
 - defined, 74–75
 - reasons for using, 75
 - small-screen interface, 78–80
 - software application, 75–76
 - videotaping and, 75
- beeps, simulating, 86

- bias, 292–309
 - bugs, 301
 - data analysis/reporting, 299–300
 - defined, 285
 - effect direction, 306
 - effect magnitude, 306
 - examining, 305–309
 - facilitator, 298–299
 - observer, 300–301
 - paper prototype, 302–305
 - qualitative analysis, 305–309
 - recognizing, 292
 - sources, listing, 305
 - task instructions, 295
 - in tasks, 293–295
 - test machine, 297–298
 - test methodology, 299
 - test setting, 295–297
 - from users, nonprofile, 292–293
- bias case study, 306–309
 - artificial data, 308
 - artificial motivation, 309
 - lack of color, 307
 - visual design, 308–309
- blind spots, 47
- blinders, 79, 80
 - defined, 80
 - illustrated, 79
- body language, observer, 230, 301
 - facilitator, 182, 186, 298
 - observers, 230–231
 - See also* observer rules
- brand, issues pertaining to, 260–261

breadth, 260–261
 in brand, 270
 in concepts and terminology, 268
 in content, 269
 defined, 260
 in documentation, 269
 in navigation, work flow, task flow, 268
 paper prototypes, 265
 in requirements, functionality, 269
 in screen layout, 269–270
 See also dimensions
 broadening, user profiles, 109
 browser backgrounds, 76–78
 creating, 76–78
 illustrated, 77
 several prototype pieces on, 77–78
 See also backgrounds
 bugs, bias due to, 301
 buttons, 80

C

can recycling machine, 90, 91
 cascading menus, 281
 case studies, 25–47
 bias, analyzing, 306–309
 e-commerce, 35–38
 NCR: postal mail sorting machine, 46
 small-screen display, 39–42
 software, 27–30
 touch screen, 42–45
 types of problems found, 25
 validity, 289–290
 Web application, 30–34
 Xerox Stenographic Translator, 46–47
 See also Centra Symposium, Dictaphone Corp., Priceline.com, Pingtel xpressa, The MathWorks cpsselect
 Centra Symposium case study, 30–34
 3D functionality, 32, 33
 avatars, 31, 32, 34
 bandwidth constraints, 33
 social considerations, 33
 stick-figure drawings, 32
 See also case studies
 changes
 with paper prototyping, 52, 53

 planned, scope of, 331
 small, 277
 between usability tests, 217–218
 during usability tests, 52, 215–216
 checkboxes, 80
 checklist (working interface vs. paper prototype), 332–333
 coding, 54–57
 interface behavior, 54
 zero effort, 55
 co-discovery, 186–189
 benefits, 187
 defined, 186
 dominant personalities and, 188
 drawbacks, 187–188
 friends vs. strangers, 188–189
 scheduling, 187
 strangers for, 188–189
 See also usability testing
 collaborative design, 347–349
 See also participatory design
 communication
 within product team, 64–66
 of usability tests, results, 102
 Comparative Usability Evaluation (CUE)
 studies, 300
 compatibility, 282
 comps, 9
 Computers
 behavior of, 209–211
 combining with other roles, 214
 Co-processors with, 166
 defined, 19
 interactions with, 207
 in internal walkthrough, 163–164
 mistakes, 165, 211
 task practice, 84
 technical expertise needed, 165–166
 in usability testing, 5, 6
 computers, 19
 concepts and terminology, 272
 configuration tasks, 329
 content
 breadth issues, 269
 control of, 328
 data, 146
 depth issues, 269, 273
 gripping for, 156

- look issues, 269
- contextual inquiry, 296
- contextual interviewing, 340–341
- Control Point Selection Tool (cpselect) case study, 27–30
 - control point states, 29
 - paper prototypes illustration, 28
 - similarity to other tools, 29–30
 - success of, 30
 - views, 29
- See also* case studies
- controls, size of, 279–280
- Co-processors, 166
- core team, 103–104, 147
- correction fluid, 73
- creative feedback, 58
- creativity
 - not limiting, 150
 - product team, 62
 - users, 58
- cursors, 83

D

- data, 241–256
 - analysis/reporting bias, 299–300
 - capturing, 241–247
 - consistency of, 147
 - content vs. structure, 146
 - realistic, 146, 147
 - sources of, 151
 - types, 242
 - See also* note-taking, observations
- debriefing meetings, 106, 116
- affinity diagram, 248–250
- granularity and, 251–252
- as group method, 251
- issues prioritization in, 247–253
- outputs, 247
- problem causes and, 244
- demographics, 110–111
- DENIM, 266–267
 - See also* prototyping methods
- depth, 261
 - in brand, 270, 275
 - in concepts and terminology, 268, 272
 - in content, 269, 273
 - defined, 261
 - in DENIM prototypes, 267
 - in documentation/help, 269, 274
 - interaction vs., 262
 - issues, 272–275
 - as key factor, 261
 - in navigation, work flow, task flow, 268, 272–273
 - in paper prototypes, 265
 - in requirements, functionality, 269, 274
 - in screen layout, 274–275
 - in slide shows, 264
 - in working version, 263
 - See also* dimensions
- The Design of Everyday Things*, 13
- design reviews, 160–162
 - defined, 160–161
 - with paper prototypes, 161
- designers
 - defined, 103
 - users as, 216–217
- design(s)
 - activity, 50–51
 - collaborative, 347–349
 - constraints, 50, 51
 - existing vs. new, 149–150
 - good, 63
 - interface, 16
 - parallel, 148–149
 - participatory, 14
 - redesigning and, 166–167
 - time, 49
 - unfinished, 58
 - user-centered, 339–351
 - user preferences for, 25
- development
 - context, 325–328
 - stage of, 330–331
 - usability testing vs., 326–327
- development process
 - defined, 314–315
 - questions, 314
 - resource constraints, effect on, 313–314
- development team
 - composition, 322–323
 - core team, 103–104, 147
 - defined, 19
 - division of work, 148

development team (*continued*)

- interactions with users, 236–238
- location of, 323–324
- miscommunication, 65–66
- multidisciplinary, 63, 104, 322–323
- openness to change, 60
- “opinion wars,” 66–67
- reassuring, 311
- small, 323
- technical expertise, 104

dialog boxes

- expandable, 82
- tabbed, 80

Dictaphone Corp., 346–351

- collaborative design, 347–349
- foam models, 350, 351
- Fome-Cor mockups, 349–350
- usage scenarios, 346–347
- See also* user-centered design

dimensions of a prototype, 259–272

- breadth, 260–261, 269–270
- depth, 261, 267, 268, 269, 272–275
- fidelity and, 259–260
- interaction, 262, 267, 278–281
- interface questions and, 268–271
- look, 261–262, 270, 275–276, 276
- See also* paper prototypes

disabled controls, 82

disagreements, 66–67

divide-and conquer, 147–148

documentation, 93, 289

- depth issue and prototype dimensions, 274
- of interface behavior, 256
- prototyping of, 93–95

download time, 281

drag & drop simulation, 86

drop-down lists, 81

dropping tasks, 137–138

E

e-commerce case study. *See* Priceline.com

efficiency ratio

- defined, 315
- use of, 317

elements, separating, 157–158

ending tests, 213

errors

- anticipating, 158–159
- oral messages, 211

ethical/legal responsibilities, 172–177

- examples, bad situations, 165, 232, 321
- informed consent form, 173, 174
- nondisclosure agreements (NDAs), 174–176
- payment for users, 176–177
- risk, 173
- See also* facilitators

examples, this book, 23

- See also* case studies, interface widgets

expandable dialog boxes, 82

expandable lists, 82

expert users, in internal walkthroughs, 163–164

F

facilitation, 171–195, 211–213

- activities, 172
- checklists, 194
- learning, 171
- progress, 195
- self-improvement, 171, 194–195
- scripts, 194, 205–208

facilitator roles, 177–186

- defined, 177
- flight attendant, 177, 178–180
- scientist, 177, 185–186
- sportscaster, 177, 180–185
- trade-offs, 189–191

facilitators

- adjustments, 223
- answering questions as, 212–213
- becoming, 171
- bias due to, 298–299
- body language, 182, 186, 298
- in co-discovery, 187
- combining with other roles, 214
- defined, 7
- duck analogy, 177
- effectiveness, 224
- ending the test, 213
- ethical/legal responsibilities, 172–177
- interactions with users, 181–183
- in internal walkthrough, 163–164

- intervention, 168
- introducing the test, 205–208
- new, 186
- objectivity of, 186
- observer observation, 223–224
- practice, 195
- purpose, 171
- reassuring users, 180
- responsibilities, 172
- seating, 198
- special situations, handling, 191–194
- tips for, 194–195
- See also* usability testing
- false problems, 302–303
- “false top” problem, 278–279
- fidelity, 259–260, 288
 - high, 259
 - low, 259
 - as misleading, 260
 - prototype aspects and, 260
- final walkthrough, 167–168
 - defined, 167
 - “game plan” creation, 168
 - intervention decision, 168
 - observers, familiarizing, 167
 - questions, collecting, 167–168
 - task timing estimation, 168
 - as usability test rehearsal, 167
 - See also* walkthroughs
- flight attendant role, 178–180
 - appropriate feedback, 179–180
 - assisting stuck users, 189–190
 - comfort, 178
 - defined, 177
 - importance, 178
 - during test, 179
 - reassuring users, 179–180
 - user demeanor and, 178–179
 - See also* facilitator roles
- flip chart paper, 74
- foam models, 350
 - illustrated, 351
 - See also* Dictaphone Corp.
- Fome-Cor
 - board, 73
 - mockups, 349–350
 - prototypes, 349
- font size, 276

- functionality
 - breadth of, 260
 - coding vs. paper prototyping, 54–55
 - depth of, 261
 - determining with a paper prototype, 25, 274
 - vs. user goals, 126

G

- granularity of observations, 251–252
- graphic designer, 105
- greeking, 154–156
 - for content, 156
 - defined, 154
 - on Web pages, 155
 - overuse of, 156
 - reasons for using, 154–155

H

- hand-drawing, 84–85, 151–152
 - decision, 151–152
 - illustrated example, 152, 153
 - monochrome, 85, 152–153
 - neatness, 85, 152
 - readability, 152
 - size, 153–154
 - speed, 151
 - tips, 152–154
 - vs. screen shots, 84–85, 151
 - See also* screen shots
- Handheld Usability*, 80
- hand-writing notes, 246
- hardware devices, 90–91
 - can recycling machine, 349–350
 - microphone prototype, 347–350
- hardware props, 88–89
 - defined, 88
 - illustrated example, 89
 - keyboard, 89
 - portable MP3 player, 88
 - tape backup system, 88
 - touch screen control panel, 88
 - See also* paper prototype creation
- high-fidelity prototyping, 20, 259–260

highlight tapes
 as results communicator, 255
 videotaping for, 200

highlighter, 72

history of paper prototyping, 3, 13–14

“hourglass time,” 184

human actors, 92

humor
 in task instructions, 141–142
 in test facilitation, 194
 in user instruction, 141–142

hybrid testing, 334–335
 choice, 335
 defined, 334
 illustrated, 334

I

IBM, 343–345
 Mid-Fi prototypes, 345
 paper prototypes, 343–344
 PowerPoint presentations, 345
 storyboards, 343
 See also user-centered design

“The Iceberg Secret,” 61

incredibly intelligent help, 90–91
 defined, 90
 purpose, 90
 See also paper prototype creation

index cards, 71, 256

inferences, 242–243
 as “argument seeds,” 243
 avoiding, 244
 defined, 242–243
 examples, 243

informed consent, 173

informed consent form, 174
 defined, 174
 illustrated, 175
 writing of, 174
 See also ethical/legal responsibilities

in-room observers. *See* observers

inspection, 281–282

installation tasks, 329

integration with other software, 282

interaction
 defined, 262
 DENIM, 267
 depth vs., 262
 download/response time, 281
 “false top” problem, 278–279
 issues, 277–281
 keystroke/mouse errors, 279
 long documents/lists, 278
 mouse vs. keyboard preference, 280–281
 paper prototypes, 265
 in requirements, functionality, 269
 in response time, performance metrics, 271
 rollover/cascading menus, 281
 scrolling, 277–278
 size of controls, 279–280
 in slide shows, 264
 small changes, 277
 time aspect, 262
 between users and observers, 236–238
 in widgets and controls, 270–271
 in working version, 263
 See also dimensions

interaction simulation, 85–87
 animation and video, 86
 beeps, 86
 drag & drop, 86
 right mouse menus, 86
 rollover/pop-up menus, 85–86
 scrolling, 87
 sliders, progress indicators, 86
 tooltips/mouseovers, 85
 Web site links, 86
 See also paper prototype creation

interface design, 16, 63

interface designers, 63

interface specifications, 255

interface widgets, 80–83
 buttons/checkboxes, 80
 cursors, 83
 DENIM support for, 266
 disabled controls, 82
 drop-down lists, 81
 expandable dialog boxes, 82
 expandable lists, 82
 prototyping, 80–83
 selection/bar highlight, 81

- tabbed dialog boxes, 80
- text fields, 81
- interfaces
 - behavior, coding, 64
 - case studies, 25–47
 - Centra Symposium, 30–34
 - coding, 54–57
 - creating, 49–50
 - defined, 19
 - designing, 50–51
 - feel of, 49
 - iceberg analogy, 61
 - information in, 217
 - jukebox car radio, 42–45
 - look of, 49–50
 - The MathWorks cpsselect, 30
 - Pingtel xpressa, 39–42
 - Priceline.com, 35–39
 - rendering, 51–54
 - small-screen, 78–80
 - style guidelines, adherence to, 150
 - touch screen, 42–45
 - tweaking, 218
 - working, 332–333
- internal walkthroughs, 162–167
 - benefits, 162
 - Computer in, 165–166
 - defined, 160, 162
 - performing, 163
 - redesigning and, 166–167
 - roles, 165
 - usability tests vs., 164–165
 - See also* walkthroughs
- invested effort, 60–62
 - change and, 62
 - minimizing, 60–62
 - ownership feeling and, 62
- issues
 - depth, 272–275
 - dividing into “now” and “later” piles, 253
 - found in paper prototype tests, 25–26
 - interaction, 277–281
 - look, 275–277
 - prioritizing, 248–252
 - technical, finding, 56
 - usability, 253
- iterative usability testing, 118–119

J

- jukebox car radio case study, 42–45
 - conceptual sketch, 43
 - modal touch screen, 42–43
 - texture addition, 43–44
 - touch screen layout guidelines, 42–43

K

- keyboard preference, 280–281
- keystroke errors, 279
- kickoff meeting, 105–116
 - activities overview, 105–106
 - activity estimation, 114–116
 - defined, 100
 - discussion of risks, 106–107
 - schedule determination, 112–114
 - user profile creation, 108–112
 - See also* usability studies

L

- laminator, 74
- laptop note-taking, 246
- links, simulating, 86
- lists
 - drop-down, 81
 - expandable, 82
 - long, 278
 - of materials, 71–73
 - task, 124–125
- long documents, 278
- long-term use, 282
- look, 261–262
 - alignment, 276
 - in brand, 270, 276
 - in colors, fonts, graphic elements, 270
 - in content, 269
 - defined, 261
 - in documentation, 269
 - examples, 275–276
 - font size, 276
 - issues, 275–277
 - paper prototypes, 265

look (*continued*)
 photographs, 276
 in requirements, functionality, 269
 in screen layout, 269–270
 in slide shows, 264
 in working version, 263
See also dimensions
 look and feel (interface), 49–50
 low-fidelity prototyping, 14, 20, 259–260

M

“magic sentence,” 218
 markers, 72
 materials, 69–74
 blank paper, 71
 carrying, 69
 correction fluid, 73
 fine-tip pens, 74
 Fome-Cor board, 73
 highlighter, 72
 illustrated, 70
 list, 71–73
 markers, 72
 pens, 72
 removable tape, 70, 71, 73
 restickable glue, 73
 ruler, 74
 scissors, 72
 sticky notes, 74
 transparency, 70, 72
 transparency pens, 72
 transparent tape, 72
 unlined index cards, 71
 white poster board, 71
See also paper prototype creation
 The MathWorks, 339–342
 case study, 27–30
 contextual interviewing, 340–341
 defined, 339
 prioritizing issues, process for, 250
 “Usability Nights,” 342
 usability testing (paper prototypes), 341
 usability testing (working software), 341–342
 user-centered design, 339–340
See also Control Point Selection Tool
 (cpselect) case study

MATLAB 6, 340–341
 “Maximum Feedback for Minimum Effort,” 12
 menus
 cascading, 281
 drop-down, 81
 pop-up, 85–86
 right mouse, 86
 rollover, 281
 method of access, 93
 methodology reports, 254
 miscommunication, 65–66
 mouse
 errors, 279
 keyboard preference vs., 280–281
 multidisciplinary teams, 63, 104, 322–323

N

narrowing of user profiles, 109
 NCR: postal mail sorting machine, 46
 negligence, fear of, 310–311
 nondisclosure agreements (NDAs), 174–176
 defined, 174
 need for, 176
 simplified version of, 176
 use of, 174
See also ethical/legal responsibilities
 notes
 observer, 239
 in task creation template, 131
 user viewing of, 246
 note-taking, 244–247
 context in, 247
 example, 245
 hand-writing, 246
 laptop, 246
 methods, 244–245
 user quotes, 246–247

O

observations, 242
 defined, 242
 examples, 245
 granularity, 251–252
 pooling, 251

- observer rules, 229–234
 - body language, 230
 - how to explain, 231–233
 - purpose, 230, 231
 - relaxing, 234
 - when to explain, 233–234
- observers, 8, 221–239
 - attention, 222
 - bad experiences with, 227–228
 - benefits of in-room, 221–224
 - bias, 300–301
 - body language, 230, 301
 - briefing, 233–234
 - combining with other roles, 214
 - concerns about, 225–229
 - disruptive behavior, 225
 - facilitator observation of, 223–224
 - familiarizing with prototype, 167
 - illustrated, 8
 - in-room, 221–229
 - interacting with users, 236–238
 - not enough, 200
 - notes and note-taking, 239, 241–247
 - number of, 224, 235
 - preparing users for, 235–236
 - remote, 200
 - seating of, 198
 - user stress concern, 226
 - working up to, 235
 - See also* observer rules, usability tests
- office supplies. *See* materials
- opinion(s), 243
 - as argument seeds, 243
 - defined, 243
 - internal walkthroughs and, 165
 - questions, 124
 - subjective, user, 305
 - wars, 66–67
- optimal solutions, 251
- organization of prototype, 159–160
 - binder for, 159
 - by task vs. function, 159–160
 - envelopes for, 160
 - “gallery of annoying pieces,” 160
 - ordering and, 159
 - table for, 159
 - this book, 20–23
- overanalyzing, 244

P

- paper, 71
- paper prototype bias, 302–305
 - false problems, 302–303
 - like/dislike, 304–305
 - slower action, 303–304
 - See also* bias
- paper prototype creation, 69–95, 115
 - background, 74–80
 - defined, 101
 - documentation, 93, 95
 - existing vs. new design, 149–150
 - greeking, 154–156
 - hand-drawing vs. screen shots, 84–85
 - hardware devices, 90
 - hardware props, 88–89
 - help, 93
 - human actors, 92
 - incredibly intelligent help, 90–91
 - interaction simulation, 85–87
 - interface widgets, 80–83
 - internal walkthroughs, 162–167
 - list of interface pieces, 145–146
 - materials, 69–74
 - organizing, 159–160
 - paths/errors, anticipating, 158–159
 - simplification, 154–156
- paper prototypes, 265–266
 - breadth, 265
 - changing, between tests, 217–219
 - changing, during tests, 215–217
 - compared with software, 291
 - depth, 265
 - design reviews with, 161
 - dimensions of, 259–272
 - elements, separating, 157–158
 - fidelity, 259–260, 288
 - interaction, 207–208, 265
 - look, 265
 - modifying, 214–219
 - organizing, 159–160
 - orientation to, 207
 - product team reaction to, 60–67
 - sample, 317
 - skepticism about, 285, 317–318
 - users liking/disliking, 304–305
 - videotaping, 201–202

- paper prototypes (*continued*)
 - working interface vs., 332–333
 - writing on, 211
- paper prototyping
 - amount of, 158–159
 - author's questions about, 353
 - benefits, 12
 - bias, 285, 292–309
 - case studies, 25–47
 - changes with, 52, 53
 - coding effort, 54, 55
 - comps vs., 9
 - as creative activity, 8
 - defined, 3–4
 - drawbacks, 12
 - in finding technical issues, 56
 - findings from, 25–26
 - hand-drawn, 151–154
 - historical examples, 45–47
 - history of, 3, 13–14
 - illustrated, 4
 - importance, 14–15
 - interface design and, 16
 - introducing, 3–20, 318
 - learning sequence, 20
 - materials, 69–74
 - as “Maximum Feedback for Minimum Effort,” 12
 - politics of, 285–318
 - process, overview of, 4–5
 - product team, effects on, 60–67
 - professionalism, 285, 310–312
 - programming and, 16
 - resources, 285, 312–317
 - storyboards vs., 11
 - time, 314, 315
 - usability and, 12–13
 - as usability testing variant, 4
 - use decision, 257–335
 - usefulness, 14–15
 - user reaction to, 57–60, 204–205
 - users of, 3
 - validity, 285, 286–291
 - war stories, 322
 - when to use, 319–335
 - wireframes vs., 10
 - See also* prototyping methods; usability testing
- parallel design, 148–149
 - benefits, 148
 - competition and, 148–149
 - defined, 148
 - example, 149
 - “use-off” temptation, 148, 149
- participatory design, 14, 216
- paths, anticipating, 158–159
- pattern recognition, 251
- payment for users, 176–177
- pens, 72, 74
- people/logistics, 322–325
- personas, 340
- photographs, 276
 - in paper prototypes, 28, 46, 276
 - in tasks, 140
- pilot tests, 169
- Pingtel xpressa case study, 39–42
 - findings, 41–42
 - interface, 39–41
 - phone illustration, 40
 - See also* case studies
- play-by-play, 182–185
- politics, 285–318
- poster board, 71
- pretest briefing, 205–206
 - checklist for, 205–206
 - script for, 205–206
 - See also* user preparation
- Priceline.com case study, 35–39
 - advertising campaign, 37
 - binding offer, 36–37
 - email address, 36
 - frequently asked questions, 39
 - issues, 35–36
 - probability and pricing, 38–39
 - technical challenges, 37
 - See also* case studies
- priorities, 26
 - of functionality, 26
 - of questions to research, 128
 - of usability issues, 249
- prioritizing issues
 - affinity diagrams for, 248–250
 - examples, 250
 - granularity and, 251–252
 - as group method, 251
 - in paper prototyping project, 101

- statistics and, 252
- problems
 - causes of, 244
 - false, 302–303
 - finding, with inspection, 281–282
 - fixing between tests, 217–218
 - fixing during tests, 215–216
 - found in walkthroughs, 166–167
 - percentage and efficiency ratio, 315
 - See also* issues
- processing delays, 329–330
- product team
 - communication across disciplines, 63–64
 - creativity, 62–63
 - defined, 19
 - effects of paper prototyping on, 60–67
 - invested effort minimization, 60–62
 - mindset, 60
 - in parallel design, 148
 - remote, 64
 - See also* development team
- products, 19
- professionalism, 310–312
 - concerns about, 311–312
 - defined, 285
- programmers, 63
- programming, 16
- progress indicator simulation, 86
- prototype preparation. *See* paper prototype creation
- prototyping
 - activities, 50
 - coding and, 54–57
 - designing and, 50–51
 - GUI, 45
 - rendering and, 51–54
 - tools, 52, 53
 - See also* paper prototyping
- prototyping methods, 262–267
 - DENIM, 266–267
 - slide show, 263–265
 - working version, 263
 - See also* paper prototyping
- psychological benefits, 57–60

Q

- questions
 - answering, 212–213
 - author's, 353
 - to avoid (observer-user interaction), 236–238
 - collecting from product team, 167–168
 - design, avoiding, 231
 - encouraging from users, 182–183
 - from observers, 222–223
 - opinion, avoiding, 123–124
 - survey, in-room observers, 224
 - in task design, 127–128
- questions, listing, 124, 127–128
 - brainstorming, 128
 - defined, 124, 127
 - examples, 127–128
 - See also* task design
- questions, prioritizing, 124, 128
 - broad questions and, 128
 - specific questions and, 128
 - See also* task design

R

- reality checks
 - of tasks, 142–143
 - of user profiles, 143
- real-life context, 282
- redesigning, 166–167
 - in internal walkthroughs, 166–167
 - between usability tests, 217–218
 - during usability tests, 215–216
- “red-herring” tasks, 123
- rehearsal, usability test. *See* internal walkthroughs
- release, 19
- remote usability testing, 323–324
- removable tape
 - defined, 73
 - illustrated, 71
 - illustrated use, 70
 - uses, 73, 147
 - See also* materials
- rendering of prototype, 49, 51–54
- requirements. *See* functionality
- rescheduling costs, 324–325

research, 286–289
 abstracts, 287, 288–289
 See also validity
 resource constraints, 312–317
 defined, 285
 development process effect, 313–314
 workload, 313
 restickable glue, 73
 results, communication of
 documentation, 256
 highlight tapes, 255
 interface specification, 255
 methodology report, 254
 top-10 list, 254
 walkthrough video, 255
 risk management
 defined, 106
 paper prototyping for, 107
 risks/rewards, 229
 rollovers
 menus, 281
 simulating, 85–86
 rough prototypes, 59
 rulers, 74

S

scientist role, 185–186
 defined, 177, 185–186
 new facilitators and, 186
 objectivity, 186
 See also facilitator roles
 scissors, 72
 scope
 of planned changes, 331
 task, 122
 timing and, 330–331
 screen layout, 274–275
 screen shots, 84–85, 151–152
 capture, 157
 defaults, removing, 156–157
 enlarging, 156
 hand-drawn corrections to, 152
 illustrated example, 152
 link history and, 157
 readability, 152
 speed, 151, 157
 using, 156–157
 vs. hand drawing, 84–85, 151
 Web-specific, 157
 See also hand-drawing
 screeners, 117
 screens, definitions, 19
 scribe, in internal walkthrough, 163–164
 scrolling
 on Web pages, 277–278
 simulating, 87
 seating diagrams, 198
 selection bar/highlight, 81
 serendipitous discovery, 303–304
 simplification, 154–155
 skeptics, dealing with, 317–318
 slide shows, 263–265
 See also prototyping methods
 small-screen display case study, 39–42
 defined, 39
 findings, 41–42
 interface, 39
 paper prototype screen, 41
 paper prototype tests, 40
 phone illustration, 40
 questions, 40
 See also case studies
 small-screen interfaces, 78–80
 blinders for, 79, 80
 prototyping, 78
 screen real estate importance, 78
 size constraints, 78–79
 software application backgrounds, 75–76
 creating, 75
 illustrated, 76
 several prototype pieces on, 77–78
 See also backgrounds
 software case study, 27–30. *See* The MathWorks
 cpsselect case study
 customer feedback, 30
 defined, 27–28
 paper prototype illustration, 28
 paper prototyping answers, 29–30
 team questions, 28–29
 See also case studies
 spec reviews, 314
 sportscaster role, 180–185
 defined, 177, 180
 in observation vs. inference, 244

- play-by-play, 182–185
- in special situations, 189–191
- think-aloud protocol, 181
- tips for, 182–185
- See also* facilitator roles
- statistics, 252
- sticky notes, 74
- storyboards
 - defined, 11
 - IBM, 343
 - illustrated, 11
 - as paper prototype, 11
- success rates, 252
- supplies. *See* materials
- Symposium. *See* Centra Symposium case study

T

- tabbed dialog boxes, 80
- task creation, 125, 129–136
 - assumptions, 130
 - goals/output, 129
 - inputs, 129–130
 - steps, 130
 - template, 129
 - template, examples, 131–136
 - time estimates, 130
 - user instructions, 130–131, 138–139
 - See also* task design
- task design, 115, 121–143
 - defined, 101
 - as group activity, 131
 - importance, 293–294
 - instructions for users step, 125, 138–142
 - listing goals step, 124, 125–127
 - listing questions step, 124, 127–128
 - ordering tasks step, 125, 136–138
 - overview of steps, 124–125
 - prioritizing questions step, 124, 128
 - reality-check step, 125, 142–143
 - task creation step, 125, 129–136
 - See also* task creation
- task ordering, 125, 136–138
 - reordering and, 137–138
 - time expansion factor, 136–137
 - See also* task design
- task templates, 129, 136
 - blank form, 129
 - examples of completed, 131–136
 - illustrated, 129
 - use of, 129–130
- tasks
 - assumptions, 130
 - bias in, 293–295
 - completion, 123
 - completion steps, 130
 - completion time, 130
 - configuration, 329
 - dropping, 137–138
 - end point, 123
 - ending, early, 184–185
 - good, characteristics of, 121–124
 - inputs, 129–130
 - installation, 329
 - instructions, 138–141
 - length, 137
 - from list intersection, 124
 - listing pieces for, 145–146
 - name, 129
 - number, 129
 - output, 129
 - per page, 140–141
 - reality-checking, 142–143
 - “red herring,” 123
 - reordering, 137–138
 - scope, 122
 - short, 137
 - solutions, 122–123
 - suboptimizing, 219
 - time expansion factor, 136–137
 - times, estimating, 136, 168
 - unrealistic, 138
 - user interaction and, 123–124
 - user-defined, 330
- technical expertise, 104
- technical writers, 63
- technology
 - dependence on, 325–326
 - user familiarity with, 293
- terminology, this book, 18–19
- test environment
 - accounts and databases, 327–328
 - hardware and facility, 327
- test facility, 197

test machine bias, 297–298
 test methodology bias, 299
 test setting
 contextual inquiry and, 296
 unrealistic bias, 295–297
 text fields, 81
 texture, simulating, 43–44
 think-aloud protocol, 181
 time
 computer-based prototyping, 52, 54–55, 315, 328
 to create prototype, 101, 145, 150, 315
 design, 49
 download, 281
 estimates, 130
 expansion factor in tasks, 136–137
 hourglass, 184
 in interaction dimension, 262
 limiting, 150
 paper prototyping, 52, 314, 315, 328
 rendering, 49
 response, 281
 user profile creation, 111–112
 user recruitment and, 116
 timing of paper prototyping, 330–331
 tooltips simulation, 85
 top-10 lists, 254
 touch screen case study. *See* jukebox car radio case study
 transparency
 illustrated use of, 70
 pens, 72
 to simulate texture, 43–44
 uses, 72
 transparent tape, 72

U

unspoken assumptions, 66
 usability
 issues found with paper prototype, 25
 perspectives on, 12–13
 self-educating in, 171
 specialists, 16, 17
 “Usability Nights,” 342
 usability studies
 core team, 103–104
 defined, 19, 100
 frequency of, 117
 graphic designer's role, 105
 kickoff meeting, 100, 105–116
 number of, 118
 number of users, 113–114
 overview, 100–102
 planning, 99–119
 process, 100
 technical expertise, 104
 test length, 112–113
 test spacing, 114
 user recruitment, 101, 116–117
 usability testing, 16–17, 101, 197–219
 attendance at, 106
 challenges, 191–194
 changes during, 52
 co-discovery, 186–189
 Computer behavior, 209–211
 Computer role, 5, 6
 defined, 19
 in finding blind spots, 47
 first, 119
 goals of, 172
 hybrid, 334–335
 informed consent, 173
 internal walkthroughs and, 164–165
 iterative, 118–119
 learning experience of, 47
 length of, 112–113
 limitations of, 282–283
 mistakes, learning from, 354
 number of users, 113–114
 paper prototyping as variant, 4
 paper prototyping vs., 13
 preparing material for, 94–95
 purpose, 138
 rehearsal, 167
 remote, 323–324
 risk, 173
 seating, 198
 slide shows, 265
 as social setting, 298
 spacing of, 114
 test facility, 197
 as unnatural activity, 292, 296
 user preparation, 203–209
 videotaping, 199–202

- watching users during, 124
 - See also* facilitators; observers; users
- usability tests
 - changing prototype between, 217–219
 - changing prototype during, 215–217
 - introducing, 207–208
 - methodology bias, 299
 - as nerve-wracking, 233
 - reset procedures, 328
 - test environment, 327–328
 - think-aloud protocol, 181
 - war stories, 319–322
 - See also* facilitation, facilitators
- usage scenarios, 346–347
- user error, 158–159, 211, 279
- user goals, 124, 125–127
 - accomplishments, 126
 - defined, 124, 125
 - functionality vs., 126–127
 - guesses, 126
 - list, 124, 125–127
 - types of, 125–126
 - See also* task design
- user instructions, 138–142
 - bias in, 293–295
 - example, 139
 - goal description, 139
 - humor, 141–142
 - one task per page, 140–141
 - in task creation template, 130–131
 - unintended clues and, 139
 - visual aids, 139–140
 - written format, 140
 - See also* task design
- user preparation, 203–209
 - during recruitment, 203
 - for observers, 235–236
 - pretest briefing, 205–206
 - test introduction, 207–208
 - in test room, 204
 - upon arrival, 204
- user profiles
 - bias, nonprofile users, 292–293
 - broadening, 109
 - characteristics, 108, 110–111
 - creating, 108–112
 - creation time, 111–112
 - demographics, 110–111
 - examples, 109–110
 - expertise, subject matter, 292–293
 - expertise, technology, 293
 - narrowing, 109
 - reality checking, 111
- user recruitment, 116–118
 - customers and, 117
 - defined, 101
 - frequency and, 117
 - internal, 116, 117
 - money and, 116
 - outsourced, 116
 - screeners, 117
 - time and, 116
 - user preparation during, 203
 - user sources and, 117
- user-centered design examples, 339–351
 - Dictaphone, 346–351
 - IBM, 343–345
 - The MathWorks, 339–342
- user-defined tasks, 330
- users
 - actions, handling, 211–212
 - with agendas, 192–193
 - challenges, 191–193
 - characteristics, 108
 - co-discovery, 187
 - comments, 124
 - confused, 242
 - co-workers and, 164
 - crying, 232
 - customers as, 117
 - designers and, 216–217
 - expectations, setting, 311
 - expert, 163
 - expertise, subject matter, 292–293
 - expertise, technology, 293
 - introducing, 204
 - location of, 323–324
 - mismatched, 193
 - mock, 317
 - nervous, 193–194
 - nonprofile, 292–293
 - nonspecific utterances, 183–184
 - number of, 113–114
 - observer interaction, 236–238
 - payment for, 176–177
 - psychological benefits for, 57–60

users (*continued*)

- quotes, capturing, 246–247
- reaction to paper prototyping, 57–60, 204–209
- rescheduling, 324–325
- respecting, 179–180, 222
- seating, 198
- source of, 117
- stress, 226
- stuck, getting unstuck, 191–192
- subject matter expertise, 292–293
- subjective opinions, 305
- talking with, 181, 209
- uncomfortable, 235–236
- viewing notes, 246
- vocabulary, 183
- waiting for, 210
- watching, 124

V

- validity, 286–291
 - case studies, 289–290
 - concern, 286
 - defined, 285
 - evidence, 290
 - research, 286–289
 - summary, 290
- videotaping, 199–202
 - backgrounds and, 75
 - consent form, 174–175
 - for documentation tape, 200–201
 - for highlight tape, 200
 - how to, 201

- reasons against, 199–200
- reasons for, 200–201
- walkthrough, 255
- wiring, 202
 - See also* usability testing
- visual aids, 139–140

W

- walkthrough videos, 255
- walkthroughs, 101, 115
 - attendance at, 106
 - final, 167–168
 - internal, 160, 162–167
- war stories, 319–322
 - paper prototype, 322
 - usability test, 319–322
- Web application case study. *See* Centra Symposium
- Web site case study. *See* Priceline.com
- widgets. *See* interface widgets
- wireframes, 10
- Wizard of Oz testing, 92–93
 - defined, 92
 - for paper prototyping, 92–93
- working interface vs. paper prototype, 332–333
- written instructions, 140

X

- Xerox Stenographic Translator, 46–47
- xpressa. *See* Pingtel xpressa case study