Domino Magic Threefold Problem Set

Asier Mujika

Slides by Chih-Hung Liu, Daniel Wolleb and Andreas Bärtschi November 23, 2022

ETH Zürich


Domino Snake – The problem

Given:

- ightharpoonup h imes w grid with obstacles
- ightharpoonup p queries of point pairs ((q,r),(s,t))

Wanted:

y or n per query: Does a domino snake between the two points exist?



Rephrased in graph theory language:

What corresponds to a domino snake?


- ▶ a (q, r)-(s, t)-path on the 4-neighborhood grid graph with holes
- this path needs to have even length (i.e. an even number of vertices)

Is even length necessary and sufficient?

- necessary: odd length paths can not be tiled into dominos of area 2.
- ▶ sufficient: a path $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l)$ of even length l = 2k can always be tiled into dominoes of the form (p_1, p_2) , (p_3, p_4) , ..., (p_{l-1}, p_l) .

Domino Snake – Handling a single query

BFS can answer if any path from (q,r) to (s,t) exists and if possible gives us the shortest such path.




What if this path is of odd length?

Can we add a detour to find a path of the right parity?

No! Chessboard coloring argument.

We require: $(q+r) \not\equiv_2 (s+t)$



Domino Snake – Handling multiple queries

p=1: check that BFS from (q,r) visits (s,t) and $(q+r)\not\equiv_2 (s+t)$.

p > 1:

- ightharpoonup Running BFS over and over is expensive $ightarrow \mathcal{O}(hwp)$
- ▶ We do not really need the shortest path.
- \blacktriangleright We just ask: Are (q,r) and (s,t) in the same connected component?
- ▶ Precompute the connected components in $\mathcal{O}(hw)$.
- lacktriangle Each of the p queries can then be answered in $\mathcal{O}(1)$ by checking
 - $ightharpoonup component((q,r)) \stackrel{?}{=} component((s,t))$
 - $(q+r) \not\equiv_2 (s+t).$

Overall runtime: $\mathcal{O}(hw+p)$

New Tiles – The problem

Problem

Given a $h \times w$ matrix of 0's and 1's.

Find the maximum number of non-overlapping 2×2 matrices of the form: $\frac{1}{1}$

Is there a greedy solution, ideas?

Greedily take every 2x2 free space.

Counterexample:

0110

1111

1111


Greedy gives answer 1. Maximum is 2.

(We ignore the zeros at the boundary from now on.)

We look at bitmasks: j-th bit is 1 iff j-th column may be used (regardless of the input)

State of the subproblem: [i,bitmask], $1 \le i \le h$, $0 \le bitmask \le 2^w - 1$

DP[i][bitmask] :=maximum number of 2x2 matrices we can place on top of the first i rows where the i-th row is constrained to the bitmask.



6

We look at bitmasks: j-th bit is 1 iff j-th column may be used (regardless of the input)

State of the subproblem: [i,bitmask], $1 \le i \le h$, $0 \le bitmask \le 2^w - 1$


 $DP[i][bitmask] := \max \text{imum number of } 2x2 \text{ matrices we can place on top of the first } i \text{ rows}$ where the i-th row is constrained to the bitmask.

Initialization:

- ▶ In a single row, no tiles fit: DP[1][bitmask] = 0, for any bitmask
- ▶ If we do not use the new row at all: $DP[i][\underbrace{00\dots 0}_{w}] = \max_{bitmask}(DP[i-1][bitmask])$

Recurrent formula for placing new tiles:

We have to take some 2×2 matrices in the i - 1, i strip



Try only those bitmasks that have even number of consecutive 1s.

All others are covered by removing single bits and not adding anything new.

Check whether this maximal amount of 2×2 matrices is compatible with the input matrix. If yes, check whether this would lead to a larger tiling.

Recurrent formula for placing new tiles:

We have to take some 2×2 matrices in the i-1,i strip



For the example:

$$DP[i][000011011110011] \leftarrow DP[i-1][111100100001100] + 4$$

Recurrent formula for placing new tiles:

We have to take some 2×2 matrices in the i-1,i strip

- ▶ We might always leave a square of the new row empty.
- ▶ In general: if the bitmask fits in row i and i-1 of the input, try to fix all squares.
- ► Take the lexicographical order to compute in topological order.

$$\begin{split} DP[i][bitmask] = \max(\max_{j \in \{j \ | \ j\text{-th bit is set in } bitmask\}} DP[i][bitmask \ \text{with } j\text{-th bit unset}], \\ DP[i-1][\text{negated } bitmask] + bitcount(bitmask)/2) \end{split}$$

Runtime:

Size of the table: $2^w \cdot h$.

Update step per entry: two loops over w (one in the initialization, one for the check).

Runtime: $\mathcal{O}(2^w \cdot h \cdot w)$, which is fast enough.

Slower solution for first subtask:

For smaller w, we could also check for a given bitmask all of its subsets, resulting in running time $\mathcal{O}(3^w \cdot w \cdot h)$.

Implement it yourself:

Try it out! This task is available on the judge.


Snakes strike backe - The problem


Given:

- ightharpoonup h imes w grid with obstacles (snake cages) and entrance/exit pair.
- ▶ Minimum path width p, safety distance p/2. (Cases: $p = 1, p = 2, p \le 30$)

Wanted:

> yes or no: Is there a path between the entrance and the exit with minimum width p which keeps clear from obstacles by p/2?





Snakes strike back – Case p=1

Rough Idea:

Use graph from Domino Snake, but delete squares which are adjacent to snake cages.







Problem: Fails, graph is disconnected!

Need better grasp on path width p and safety distance p/2.

Equivalent: Curve (has 0 width!), Safety distance: \boldsymbol{p} .

Solution Approach:

If there is a curve: Shift and bend, such that it runs along the boundary of cells which are not adjacent to snake cages.







Solution (p = 1):

BFS on grid graph given by vertices and by boundary segments with distance ≥ 1 to snake cage boundarys.

Can we adapt for larger p?

Snakes strike back – Case p=2

Rough Idea (first case solution adapted): BFS on grid graph given by vertices and by boundary segments with distance ≥ 2 to snake cage boundarys.







Problem: When can we pass between two obstacles / their vertices?

- $ightharpoonup \Delta x$ or Δy at least 2p, or

Solution Approach:

Because p=2, second case only appears for $\Delta x=\Delta y=3$. Hence add diagonals between vertices u,v of the same cell.







Solution (p=2):

BFS on grid graph given by

- ightharpoonup vertices and boundary segments with safety distance ≥ 2 ,
- diagonals between vertices if they belong to the same cell.

Snakes strike back – Arbitrary p

Question: Can we extend the p=2 solution to arbitrary p?

Recall: Main problem for p=2 were obstacles which lie diagonally apart, i.e. pairs $(\Delta x, \Delta y)$ such that $\sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2} \geq 2p$, but $\Delta x, \Delta y < 2p$.

Answer: For p>2 there will be many different kinds of pairs $(\Delta x, \Delta y)$, not only one! Things start to get messy!

Look at the problem statement again:

Originally: Is there a path of width p which keeps clear from obstacles by p/2?

Redefined: Is there a path of width 0 which keeps clear from obstacles by p?

Redefinition II: Is there a path of width 2p which keeps clear from obstacles by 0?

Snakes strike back – Arbitrary p

Draw path with a brush of Diameter 2p.

This sounds familiar:

 ${\sf H1N1-How}$ to move a disk D without colliding with a given point set P? Move disk along Voronoi Diagram edges / in the Delaunay triangulation.

Problem: Here our obstacles are cells, not points.

Solution: Replace every snake cage square by its 4 vertices.

Careful:

➤ We need vertices of each square! (Only considering the convex & concave vertices of the boundary of the full obstacle is not enough.)

