

Paul Gustafson
Texas A&M University - Math 447
Instructor: Dr. Johnson

HW 3, due Thurs., February 14

17.7 If $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, show that $g \circ f$ is measurable.

Proof. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ be open. Then $g^{-1}(U)$ is open, so $(g \circ f)^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U))$ is measurable. \square

11 Let $G \subset [0, 1]$ be open, containing the interval rationals, and having $m(G) < 1/2$. Prove that $f = \chi_G$ is Borel measurable but cannot be equal a.e. to a Riemann integrable function.

Proof. f is obviously Borel measurable. For the other conclusion, suppose $g = f$ on $[0, 1] \setminus N$ where $m(N) = 0$. Then $g = 1$ on $H := G \setminus N$, and $g = 0$ on $C := ([0, 1] \setminus G) \setminus N$. Note that $m(C) = 1 - m(G) > 1/2$. Hence, it suffices to show that H is dense, for then every point of C will be a point of discontinuity of g .

To see that H is dense, let $U \subset [0, 1]$ be open. Since G is open and dense, there exists an interval $I \subset (G \cap U)$. Since $m(N) = 0$, N cannot contain I . Hence, $I \cap H = I \cap (G \setminus N) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, H intersects U . \square

12 If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz with constant K , and if $E \subset [a, b]$, show that $m^*(f(E)) \leq Km^*(E)$. In particular, f maps null sets to null sets.

Proof. Consider the case when E is an interval. Then, by the Lipschitz condition, $m^*(f(E)) \leq \sup_{x,y \in E} |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \sup_{x,y \in E} K|x - y| = Km(E)$.

For the general case, let $\epsilon > 0$, and let (I_n) be a cover of E by open intervals such that $\sum_n m(I_n) \leq m^*(E) + \epsilon$. Then $(f(I_n))$ covers $f(E)$, so by the special case above, $m^*(f(E)) \leq \sum_n m^*(f(I_n)) \leq \sum_n Km^*(I_n) \leq Km^*(E) + K\epsilon$. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ gives the desired inequality. \square

17 If $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Borel measurable, show that $f \circ g$ is Borel measurable. If f is Borel measurable and g is Lebesgue measurable, show that $f \circ g$ is Borel measurable. If f is Borel measurable and g is Lebesgue measurable, show that $f \circ g$ is Lebesgue measurable.

Proof. Let \mathcal{O} denote the collection of all open sets in \mathbb{R} . By a theorem in class, for any function g , we have $\sigma(g^{-1}(\mathcal{O})) = g^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{O}))$. Hence, if $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ is open and f is Borel measurable, we have $(f \circ g)^{-1}(U) = g^{-1}(f^{-1}(U)) \in g^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{O})) = \sigma(g^{-1}(\mathcal{O}))$. \square

18(e) Show that there is a Lebesgue measurable function F and a continuous function G such that $F \circ G$ is not Lebesgue measurable.

Proof. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and Δ_α be the corresponding Cantor-like set. Since $m(\Delta_\alpha) > 0$, it contains an unmeasurable set E . Let $G : \Delta_\alpha \rightarrow \Delta$ be a homeomorphism (We proved Δ homeomorphic to $\{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ in 446; the same proof goes through for Δ_α). Let $F = \chi_{G(E)}$. Note that $m^*(G(E)) \leq m^*(\Delta) = 0$, so $G(E)$ is measurable. Hence, F is measurable. However, $(F \circ G)^{-1}((1/2, \infty)) = G^{-1}(F^{-1}((1/2, \infty))) = G^{-1}(G(E)) = E$ is unmeasurable. \square

37 Give an example showing that a. u. convergence does not imply uniform convergence a.e.

Proof. Let $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by $f_n(x) = x^n$. On $[0, c]$, $f_n \leq c^n$ converges uniformly. Since c can be picked arbitrarily close to 1, f converges a.u.

On the other hand, suppose f_n converged uniformly on $[0, 1] \setminus N$ with $m(N) = 0$. Since N cannot contain an interval, there exists a sequence $(x_n) \subset [0, 1] \setminus N$ with $x_n \rightarrow 1$. By the uniform convergence, pick N such that $\forall n \geq N$, $\sup_{[0, 1]} |f_n| < 1/2$. Pick M such that $x_M > (1/2)^{1/N}$. Then $f_N(x_M) > 1/2$, a contradiction. \square

40 If f is Lebesgue measurable, prove that there is a Borel measurable function g such that $f = g$ except, possibly, on a Borel set of measure zero. [Hint: Every null set is contained in a Borel set of measure zero.]

Proof. To justify the hint, if N is a null set, there exist open sets $U_n \supset N$ with $m(U_n) = 1/n$. The required Borel set is $\bigcap_n U_n$.

Since f is measurable, f^+ and f^- are measurable. If we find Borel functions g^+, g^- such that $f^+ = g^+$ except on a Borel set of measure zero and the same for g^- , then $f = f^+ - f^- = g^+ - g^-$ except on the union of Borel null sets, which is also a Borel null set. Moreover, $g^+ - g^-$ is Borel measurable since g^+ and g^- are Borel (the proof that the Lebesgue functions form a vector space works verbatim for Borel functions). Thus, by breaking up f into positive and negative parts, we may assume that $f \geq 0$.

Then there exist simple functions $f_n \rightarrow f$ with $0 \leq f_n \leq f_{n+1}$. Write $f_n = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \chi_{A_i}$ in standard form. For each i , we have $A_i = B_i \cup N_{n,i}$ where B_i is Borel, and $N_{n,i}$ is a null set. Let $\tilde{N} = \bigcup_{n,i} N_{n,i}$. By the hint, there exists a Borel null set $N \supset \tilde{N}$. Define $g_n := \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \chi_{A_i \setminus N}$. Since $A_i \setminus N = B_i \cup N_{n,i} \setminus N = B_i \setminus N$, each g_n is Borel.

To see that $g := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n$ is Borel, first note that g^n is increasing on N^c since $g_n = f_n$ on N^c . Moreover, $g^n = 0$ on N for all n . Hence, g^n are increasing on \mathbb{R} . Thus, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $g^{-1}((a, \infty)) = \bigcup_n g_n^{-1}((a, \infty))$ is a Borel set, so g is a Borel function.

Moreover, $g_n = f_n$ on N^c , so $g = f$ on N^c . \square

41 Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ be closed, and let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Prove that f extends to a continuous function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\sup_{\mathbb{R}} |g| \leq \sup_E |f|$.

Proof. E^c is an open set, hence the union of disjoint open intervals (I_n) . If E is empty, set $g := 0$. Otherwise, (I_n) contains at most two semi-infinite intervals. Set the constant value of g on any such interval to the value of f at the finite endpoint. For any bounded interval (a, b) of (I_n) , set g to be the linear function interpolating the points $(a, f(a))$ and $(b, f(b))$.

By construction, $\sup_{\mathbb{R}} |g| \leq \sup_E |f|$, and g is continuous on E^c . It is given that g is continuous on the interior of E . The remaining case is to check that g is continuous on the boundary of E .

Let $x \in E$ be a boundary point of E . I will check right continuity; the left continuity case is analogous.

If x is an isolated point of $E \cap [x, \infty)$, then pick an open interval U containing x such that $U \cap E \cap [x, \infty) = x$. By construction, g is linear hence continuous on $U \cap [x, \infty)$.

If x is not an isolated point of $E \cap [x, \infty)$, let $\epsilon > 0$. By the continuity of f , pick $\delta > 0$ such that $\text{osc}(f, (B_\delta(x) \cap E \cap [x, \infty))) < \epsilon$. Since x is a limit point of $E \cap [x, \infty)$, there exists $y \in B_\delta(x) \cap E \cap (x, \infty)$. Note that $\text{osc}(g, [x, y]) \leq \text{osc}(f, [x, y]) \leq \epsilon$. Hence, g is right continuous at x . \square

43 Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ be a measurable and finite a.e., and let $\epsilon > 0$. Show that there is a polynomial p such that $m(\{|f - p| \geq \epsilon\}) < \epsilon$.

Proof. By Theorem 17.20, there exists a continuous function $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $m(\{|f - g| \geq \epsilon/2\}) < \epsilon/2$. By the Weierstrauss approximation theorem, there exists p such that $|g - p| < \epsilon/2$. Then, since $|f - p| \leq |f - g| + |g - p| = |f - g| + \epsilon/2$, we have

$$m(\{|f - p| \geq \epsilon\}) \leq m(\{|f - g| + \epsilon/2 \geq \epsilon\}) \leq m(\{|f - g| \geq \epsilon/2\}) < \epsilon/2. \quad \square$$

44 Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ be a measurable and finite a.e. Prove that there is a sequence of polynomials (g_n) on $[a, b]$ such that $g_n \rightarrow f$ a.e. on $[a, b]$. [Hint: For each n choose g_n so that the $E_n = \{|f - g_n| \geq 2^{-n}\}$ has $m(E_n) < 2^{-n}$. Now argue that $g_n \rightarrow f$ off the set $E = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n$.]

Proof. By (43), we can define g_n , E_n , and E as in the hint. Since $\sum_n m(E_n) < \infty$, Corollary 16.24 implies $m(E) = 0$. Suppose $x \notin E$. Then there exists N such that $x \notin \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} E_n$. Thus, for $n \geq N$, we have $|f(x) - g_n(x)| < 2^{-n}$. Hence, $g_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$. \square

45 Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ be a measurable and finite a.e., and let $\epsilon > 0$. Show that there is a continuous function g on $[a, b]$ with $m\{f \neq g\} < \epsilon$. [Hint: Combine Exercises 41 and 44 and Egorov's theorem to find (g_n) and a closed set F with $m([a, b] \setminus F) < \epsilon$ and $g_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on F . Now argue that $f|_F$ extends to a continuous function g .]

Proof. \square

46 (Luzin's Theorem) Show that $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable if and only if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a measurable set E with $m(E) < \epsilon$ such that the restriction of f to $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$ is continuous.

Proof. Break \mathbb{R} into countably many disjoint compact intervals $(I_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $m((\bigcup_n I_n)^c) < \epsilon/2$. Apply (45) to f on each I_n to find continuous $g_n : I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and measurable $F_n \subset I_n$ with $m(I_n \setminus F_n) < \epsilon 2^{-n-1}$ such that $g_n = f$ on F_n . Let $E = \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_n F_n$. Then $m(E) \leq m((\bigcup_n I_n)^c) + m(\bigcup_n I_n \setminus F_n) \leq \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2$.

Note that since F_n are disjoint, and $f = g_n$ on F_n is continuous, we have f is continuous on $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$. \square

48 Show that there is a measurable set $K \subset [0, 1]$ such that χ_K is everywhere discontinuous in $[0, 1] \setminus N$ for any null set N .

Proof. Let A_n be a countable base for $[0, 1]$ of open intervals. For each A_n , let $\Delta_n \subset A_n$ be a nowhere-dense, positive measure set (pick a generalized Cantor set out of A_n). Let $K := \bigcup_n \Delta_n$.

Let N be a null set. To see that $K \setminus N$ is dense in $[0, 1]$, let $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ be open and nonempty. Then for some n , $\Delta_n \subset A_n \subset U$. Since Δ_n has positive measure, $\Delta_n \setminus N$ is nonempty. Thus, $K \setminus N$ is dense in $[0, 1]$, so K is dense in $[0, 1] \setminus N$.

Since Δ_n is nowhere dense, K is first category. Thus, by Corollary 9.12, $[0, 1] \setminus K$ is dense in $[0, 1]$, so $[0, 1] \setminus K$ is dense in $[0, 1] \setminus N$. Thus, since $K \setminus N$ and $[0, 1] \setminus (K \setminus N)$ are both dense in $[0, 1] \setminus N$, we have that χ_K is everywhere discontinuous on $[0, 1] \setminus N$. \square