Paul Gustafson

Texas A&M University - Math 447

Instructor: Dr. Johnson

## HW 1

**16.10** Prove that  $m^*(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^*(U_n)$  for any sequence  $(U_n)$  of pairwise disjoint open sets.

*Proof.* Let  $U := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ . Note that since each  $U_n$  is the disjoint union of countably many open intervals, the general case reduces to the case where each  $U_n$  is an open interval. If  $\ell(U_n) = \infty$  for some n, then  $m(U) = \infty$  by monotonicity. Hence, we may also assume  $\ell(U_n) < \infty$  for all n.

By the definition of exterior measure,  $m^*(U) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(U_n)$ . For the opposite inequality, let  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exist disjoint compact intervals  $K_n \subset U_n$  such that  $\ell(U_n) - \ell(K_n) < \epsilon 2^{-n}$ . Let  $S_i := \bigcup_{n=1}^i K_n$ . Since each  $S_i$  is compact, each cover of  $S_i$  by open intervals admits a finite subcover. Since the  $K_n$  are disjoint compact intervals, we can do the usual monkeying to show that  $m(S_i) = \sum_{n=1}^i \ell(K_n)$ . Thus,  $m(U) \geq \lim_{i \to \infty} S_i = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(K_n) = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(U_n)) - \epsilon$ .  $\square$ 

**16.27** For each n, let  $G_n$  be an open subset of [0,1] containing the rationals in [0,1] with  $m^*(G_n) < 1/n$ , and let  $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ . Prove that  $m^*(H) = 0$  and that  $[0,1] \setminus H$  is a first category set in [0,1]. Thus, [0,1] is the disjoint union of two "small" sets.

Proof. For any n,  $m^*(H) < m^*(G_n) = 1/n$  since  $H \subset G_n$ . Thus,  $m^*(H) = 0$ . Note that  $[0,1] \setminus H = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} [0,1] \setminus G_n$ . For each n,  $[0,1] \setminus G_n$  is closed, and cannot have interior since it does not intersect the rationals. Thus,  $[0,1] \setminus H$  is first category.

**16.28** Fix  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and repeat our "middle thirds" construction for the Cantor set except that now, at the *n*th stage, each of the  $2^{n-1}$  open intervals we discard from [0,1] is to have length  $(1-\alpha)3^{-n}$ . The limit,  $\Delta_{\alpha}$ , of this process is called a generalized Cantor set. Check that  $m^*(\Delta_{\alpha}) = \alpha$ .

Proof. Let  $C_0 = [0, 1]$ , and  $C_n$  denote the *n*the stage of the construction. Then  $\Delta_{\alpha} = \bigcap_n C_n$ . Note that each stage removes intervals of total length  $2(1 - \alpha)(\frac{2}{3})^n$ . Thus, since  $C_n$  is the disjoint union of compact intervals,  $m^*(C_n) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1-\alpha}{2} (\frac{2}{3})^n = 1 - (\frac{1-\alpha}{3})^{\frac{1-(\frac{2}{3})^{n+1}}{1/3}} = \alpha + (1-\alpha)(\frac{2}{3})^{n+1}$ . Thus, since  $\Delta_{\alpha} \subset C_n$  for all n, we have  $m^*(\Delta_{\alpha}) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} m^*(C_n) = \alpha$ .

Let U be a cover of  $\Delta_{\alpha}$  by open intervals. Since  $\Delta_{\alpha}$  is compact, there exists a finite subcover  $F \subset U$  of nonempty intervals. By replacing overlapping intervals with their union and adding the in-between point to every pair of abutting intervals, WLOG each pair of intervals in F is disjoint and nonabutting. Then  $[0,1] \setminus F$  is a disjoint finite collection of closed intervals of positive length. Let  $B_n := C_n \setminus C_{n-1}$ . By construction, each  $B_n$  is the union of disjoint, open intervals of length  $(1-\alpha)3^{-n}$ , and the  $B_n$  are themselves disjoint. Thus, since

 $[0,1] \setminus \Delta_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$  and the length of the intervals of  $B_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , there exists N such that  $[0,1] \setminus F \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{N} B_n$ . Hence,  $C_N \subset F$ . This implies  $\alpha = \lim_{n \to \infty} C_n \leq \Delta_{\alpha}$ , so  $m^*(\Delta_{\alpha}) = \alpha$ .

**16.29** Check that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{1-1/n}$  has outer measure 1. Use this to give another proof that [0,1] can be written as the disjoint union of a set of first category and a set of zero measure.

*Proof.* Let  $S:=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\Delta_{1-1/n}$ . Then since for all  $n,\ \Delta_{1-1/n}\subset S\subset [0,1]$ , we have  $m^*(S)=1$ . Since  $m^*(S)+m^*([0,1]\setminus S)\leq 1$ , we have  $m^*([0,1]\setminus S)=0$ . To see that S is first category, note that, for any  $0<\alpha<1,\ \Delta_{\alpha}$  contains no intervals. Hence, it has empty interior. Thus, since each  $\Delta_{\alpha}$  is closed, S is the countable union of nowhere dense sets.

**16.42** Suppose that E is measurable with m(E) = 1. Show that:

1. There is a measurable set  $F \subset E$  such that m(F) = 1/2. (Hint: Consider the function  $f(x) = m(E \cap (-\infty, x])$ .)

- 2. There is a closed set F, consisting entirely of irrationals, such that  $F \subset E$  and m(F) = 1/2.
- 3. There is a compact set F with empty interior such that  $F \subset E$  and m(F) = 1/2.

*Proof.* By the inner regularity of m, there exists compact  $K \subset E$  with m(K) = 0.99. Let  $(q_n)$  be an enumeration of the rationals. Note that  $G := K \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{0.01/2^{-n}}(q_n)$  is compact, and  $m(G) \geq 0.98$ .

Let  $f(x) = m(G \cap (-\infty, x])$ . To see that f is continuous, note that, if x leq y,  $f(y) - f(x) = m(G \cap (-\infty, y]) - m(G \cap (-\infty, x]) = m(G \cap (x, y]) \le y - x$ . Since G is bounded, f(x) = 0 for all large negative x, and  $f(x) = m(G) \ge 0.98$  for all large positive x. Thus, by the intermediate value theorem, there exists x such that f(x) = 1/2. Hence,  $F := G \cap (-\infty, x]$  satisfies all three requirements.

16.48 Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be any collection of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that there is always a smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  containing  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Proof. Let  $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}\}$  be the collection of all  $\sigma$ -algebras containing  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$ . To see that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, let  $(S_n) \subset \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $S_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ , it is in every  $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$ , so  $S_1^c \in \bigcap_{\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under complements. Similarly,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n \in \bigcap_{\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{A}$ , and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n \in \bigcap_{\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{A}$ .

**16.49** The smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{E}$  is called the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{E}$  and is denoted  $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$ . If  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , prove that  $\sigma(\mathcal{E}) \subset \sigma \mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof.* Every σ-algebra containing  $\mathcal{F}$  also contains  $\mathcal{E}$ . Hence, if  $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}\}$  is the collection of all σ-algebras containing  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $\{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}\}$  is the same for  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $\{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}\} \subset \{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}\}$ . Hence,  $\sigma(\mathcal{E}) = \bigcap_{\alpha} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha} \subset \bigcap_{\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha} = \sigma(\mathcal{F})$ .

**16.53** Show that the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is generated by each of the following:

- 1. The open intervals  $\mathcal{E}_1 := \{(a, b) : a < b\}$
- 2. The closed intervals  $\mathcal{E}_1 := \{[a, b] : a < b\}$
- 3. The half-open intervals  $\mathcal{E}_1 := \{(a, b], [a, b) : a < b\}$
- 4. The open rays  $\mathcal{E}_1 := \{(a, \infty), (-\infty, a) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$
- 5. The closed rays  $\mathcal{E}_1 := \{[a, \infty), (-\infty, a] : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$

*Proof.* Since each of these collections is a subset of the Borel sets, we only need to show that each collection generates the Borel sets. For 1, note that  $(a, \infty) = \bigcap_{b=a+1}^{\infty} (a, b)$  and similarly for  $(-\infty, a)$ . Hence, (1) generates all the open intervals, so all the open sets since every open set is the countable union of open intervals.

For 2, note that  $(a,b) = \bigcup_n [a + \frac{b-a}{n+5}, b - \frac{b-a}{n+5}]$ . Hence, (2) generates (1). The rest are similar.

**16.25** Suppose that  $m^*(E) > 0$ . Given  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , show that there exists an open interval I such that  $m^*(E \cap I) > \alpha m^*(I)$ . (Hint: It is enough to consider the case that  $m^*(E) < \infty$ . Now suppose the conclusion fails.)

*Proof.* If  $m^*(E) = \infty$ , then  $m(E) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E \cap (-i,i)$  implies that, for some i > 0,  $m^*(E \cap (-i,i)) > 0$ . Then if we have the finite case proved below, apply it to  $E \cap (-i,i)$  to get an interval such that  $m^*(E \cap (-i,i) \cap I) > \alpha m^*(I)$ . This implies  $m^*(E \cap I) > \alpha m^*(I)$ .

In the case that  $m^*(E) < \infty$ , suppose the conclusion fails. That is, for every open interval I,  $m^*(E \cap I) \le \alpha m^*(I)$ . Let  $(I_n)$  be a cover of E by open intervals such that  $\sum_n \ell(I_n) < \alpha^{-1} m^*(E)$ . Then  $m^*(E) \le m^*(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E \cap I_n) \le \alpha \sum_{n=1}^\infty m^*(I_n) < m^*(E)$ , a contradiction.

**16.44** Let E be a measurable set with m(E) > 0. Prove that E - E contains an interval centered at 0. (Hint: Take I as in Exercise 25 for  $\alpha = 3/4$ . If |x| < m(I)/2, note that  $I \cup (I+x)$  has measure at most 3m(I)/2. Thus,  $E \cap I$  and  $(E \cap I) + x$  cannot be disjoint. Finally,  $(E+x) \cap E \neq \emptyset$  means that  $x \in E - E$ ; that is,  $E - E \subset (-m(I)/2, m(I)/2)$ .)

*Proof.* The hint is the proof. One elaboration: to see that  $E \cap I$  and  $(E \cap I) + x$  cannot be disjoint, suppose for the sake of contradiction they were disjoint. Then  $3/4m(I) + 3/4m(I) < m(E \cap I) + m(E \cap I) < m(E \cap I) + m((E \cap I) + x) = m((E \cap I) \cup ((E \cap I) + x)) = m(E \cap (I \cup (I + x))) \le m(I \cup I + x) \le 3/2m(I)$ , a contradiction.

**J16.1.2** Suppose  $f_n$  and f are Riemann integrable on [a,b] and  $f_n \to f$  pointwise on [a,b]. Prove that  $\int_a^b f_n(t) dt \to \int_a^b f(t) dt$ 

*Proof.* By subtracting f from  $f_n$ , we may assume  $f_n \to 0$ . Since  $f_n$  are Riemann integrable,  $f_n^+ := f_n \vee 0$  and  $f_n^- := -(f_n \wedge 0)$  are also Riemann integrable, and go to 0 pointwise. Since  $f_n = f_n^+ - f_n^-$ , we only need to prove the conclusion for nonnegative functions. Hence, we may also assume  $f \geq 0$ .

Assume  $\int_a^b f_n(t) \neq 0$ . By passing to a subsequence, we have, for some fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\int_a^b f_n(t) > \epsilon$  for all n. In particular, for every n there exists a finite partition  $P_n$  of [a,b] such that  $L(f,P) > \epsilon/2$ . Let  $Q_m := \bigcup_{n=1}^m P_n$ . Then for every n,  $\lim \inf_{m \to \infty} L(f_n, Q_m) > \epsilon/2$ .

**J16.2** Construct  $\phi_n$  in C[0,1] s.t.  $0 \le \phi_n \le 1$ ,  $\phi_1 \ge \phi_2 \ge \ldots$ ,  $\phi_n \to \phi$  pointwise on [0,1], but  $\phi$  is not Riemann integrable on [0,1]. (Hint: The function  $\phi$  can be the characteristic function of a "fat Cantor set" that you construct in 16.28. Why is it not Riemann integrable?)

Proof. Let  $\phi = \Delta_{\alpha}$  for some  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . To see that  $\phi$  is not Riemann integrable, note that for any partition P, we have  $U(\phi,P) - L(\phi,P) = \sum_{I \in P} \omega(f,I) \ell(I) \geq \sum_{I \in P, I \cap \Delta_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset} \omega(f,I) \ell(I) = \sum_{I \in P, I \cap \Delta_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset} \ell(I) \geq m * (\Delta_{\alpha}) = \alpha$ . Let  $C_n$  denote the set at the nth stage of the construction of  $\Delta_{\alpha}$ . Let

Let  $C_n$  denote the set at the *n*th stage of the construction of  $\Delta_{\alpha}$ . Let  $\phi_n$  be the piecewise linear function defined to be 1 on  $C_n$ , 0 on the middle  $\frac{n+3}{n+5}$ th of each interval of  $C_n^c$ , and the line segment connecting the two on each  $\frac{1}{n+5}$ th end of each such interval. It is easy to check that  $(\phi_n)$  satisfies all the requirements.

**J16.3** If 
$$f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$$
 and  $\int_a^b |f| = 0$ , then  $f = 0$  a.e.

*Proof.* Suppose  $S := \{x : f(x) \neq 0\}$  has  $m^*(S) > 0$ . Then if D(f) denotes the set of discontinuities of f, we have  $m^*(D(f)) = 0$  since f is Riemann integrable. Hence,  $m^*(S \setminus D(f)) \geq m^*(S) - m^*(D(f)) > 0$ .

In particular, there exists  $x_0 \in S \setminus D(f)$ . By the continuity of f at  $x_0$ , there exists c > 0 and  $\delta > 0$  such that |f| > c in  $B_{\delta}(x_0)$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $\int_a^b |f| = 0$ .