

Paul Gustafson  
 Texas A&M University - Math 607  
 Instructor: Thomas Schlumprecht

## HW 9

1 If  $f \in L_1(0, \infty)$ , define

$$g(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) dt, \quad 0 < s < \infty.$$

Prove that  $g(s)$  is differentiable on  $(0, \infty)$  and that

$$g'(s) = - \int_0^\infty t e^{-st} f(t) dx, \quad 0 < s < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $s \in (0, \infty)$  and  $0 \leq |h| \leq s/2$ . We have  $|e^{-st} f(t)| \leq |f(t)|$ , so  $e^{-st} f(t) \in L_1$ . Hence

$$\frac{g(s+h) - g(s)}{h} = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-(s+h)t} - e^{-st}}{h} f(t) dt.$$

By the Mean Value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{e^{-(s+h)t} - e^{-st}}{h} f(t) \right| &\leq \sup_{h \in (-s/2, s/2)} | -te^{-(s+h)t} | |f(t)| \\ &= te^{-(s/2)t} |f(t)| \\ &\leq C_s |f(t)| \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the DCT,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s+h) - g(s)}{h} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{ds} e^{-st} f(t) = - \int_0^\infty t e^{-st} f(t) dx.$$

□

2 Let  $(\Omega, \mu, \Sigma)$  be a finite measure space and  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of measurable functions on  $\Omega$ . Suppose that for each  $\omega \in \Omega$  there is an  $M_\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  so that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|f_k(\omega)| \leq M_\omega$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Show that there is a measurable  $A \subset \Omega$  and an  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  so that  $\mu(\Omega \setminus A) < \epsilon$  and  $f_k(\omega) < M$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $\omega \in A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $E_j := \bigcap_n \{f_n < j\}$ . Then  $(E_j)$  is increasing and  $\bigcup_j E_j = \Omega$ . Hence  $\lim_j \mu(E_j) = \mu(\Omega)$ . Since  $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ , we can pick  $M$  such that  $\mu(\Omega \setminus E_M) = \mu(\Omega) - \mu(E_M) < \epsilon$ . Moreover, if  $\omega \in E_M$ , then  $f_k(\omega) < M$  for all  $k$ . □

3 57/page 77. Show that  $\int_0^\infty e^{-sx} x^{-1} \sin x dx = \arctan(s^{-1})$  for  $s > 0$  by integrating  $e^{-sxy} \sin x$  with respect to  $x$  and  $y$ . (Hints:  $\tan(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta) = \cot \theta$  and Exercise 31d.)

*Proof.* For fixed  $x > 0$ , we have  $|e^{-sxy} \sin x| \in L_1(1, \infty)$ . Moreover, since  $|\frac{\sin x}{x}| \leq 1$  for all  $x > 0$ , we have  $(x \mapsto e^{-sx} x^{-1} \sin x) \in L_1(0, \infty)$ . Thus, by Tonelli's theorem,  $(x \mapsto e^{-sxy} \sin x) \in L_1((0, \infty) \times (1, \infty))$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty e^{-sx} x^{-1} \sin x \, dx &= s \int_0^\infty \int_1^\infty e^{-sxy} \sin x \, dy \, dx \\
&= s \int_1^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-sxy} \sin x \, dx \, dy \\
&= s \int_1^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-sxy} \sin x \, dx \, dy \\
&= \frac{s}{2i} \int_1^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{(i-sy)x} - e^{(-i-sy)x} \, dx \, dy \\
&= \frac{s}{2i} \int_1^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{i-sy} e^{(i-sy)x} + \frac{1}{i+sy} e^{(-i-sy)x} \right]_{x=0}^\infty dy \\
&= -\frac{s}{2i} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{i-sy} + \frac{i+sy}{i+sy} dy \\
&= \int_1^\infty \frac{s}{1+s^2 y^2} dy \\
&= \int_s^\infty \frac{1}{1+u^2} du \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan(s) \\
&= \arctan \cot \arctan(s) \\
&= \arctan(s^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

□

4 60/page 77.  $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)/\Gamma(x+y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} dt$  for  $x, y > 0$ . (Recall that  $\Gamma$  was defined in Section 2.3. Write  $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)$  as a double integral and use the argument of the exponential as a new variable of integration.)

*Proof.* We have  $\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt$  for  $\Re z > 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) &= \left( \int_0^\infty s^{x-1} e^{-s} ds \right) \left( \int_0^\infty t^{y-1} e^{-t} dt \right) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty s^{x-1} t^{y-1} e^{-s-t} ds dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_s^\infty s^{x-1} (u-s)^{y-1} e^{-u} du ds \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^u s^{x-1} (u-s)^{y-1} e^{-u} ds du \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 (uv)^{x-1} (u-uv)^{y-1} e^{-u} u dv du \\
&= \left( \int_0^\infty u^{x+y-1} e^{-u} du \right) \left( \int_0^1 v^{x-1} (1-v)^{y-1} dv \right) \\
&= \Gamma(x+y) \int_0^1 v^{x-1} (1-v)^{y-1} dv
\end{aligned}$$

□

5 Given a bounded function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , define

$$H(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{|x-y| \leq \delta} f(y), \text{ and } h(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \inf_{|x-y| \leq \delta} f(y)$$

- a) For  $x \in [a, b]$ ,  $f$  continuous at  $x \iff H(x) = h(x)$ .
- b) Assume now that  $(P_k)$  is an increasing sequence of partitions of  $[a, b]$  for which the mesh converges to zero. Write  $P_k = (t_0^{(k)}, t_1^{(k)}, \dots, t_{n_k}^{(k)})$ . Define for  $x \in [a, b]$ ,

$$G(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G_{P_k}(x) \text{ and } g(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{P_k}(x),$$

where for a partition  $P = (t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n)$

$$G_P = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{(t_{i-1}, t_i]} \sup_{t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i]} f(t) \text{ and } g_P = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{(t_{i-1}, t_i]} \inf_{t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i]} f(t).$$

Prove that  $H = G$  and  $h = g$   $m$ -a.e.

- c) Show that  $f$  is Riemann integrable  $\iff$  the set of discontinuities of  $f$  has Lebesgue measure zero.

*Proof.* Let  $H_\delta(x) = \sup_{|x-y| \leq \delta} f(y)$  and  $h_\delta(x) = \inf_{|x-y| \leq \delta} f(y)$ . For fixed  $x$ , note that  $H_\delta(x)$  is an increasing function of  $\delta$ , and  $h_\delta(x)$  is a decreasing function of  $\delta$ .

For (a), suppose  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Pick  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$  for all  $|x - y| < \delta$ . Then  $f(x) \leq H_\delta \leq f(x) + \epsilon$  and  $f(x) -$

$\epsilon \leq h_\delta \leq f(x)$ . By the monotonicity of  $H_\delta$  and  $h_\delta$  in  $\delta$ , it follows that for  $0 < \gamma \leq \delta$  we have  $f(x) \leq H_\gamma \leq f(x) + \epsilon$  and  $f(x) - \epsilon \leq h_\gamma \leq f(x)$ . Thus  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} H_\delta = f(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} h_\delta$ .

For the converse, we assume that  $H(x) = h(x)$ . Suppose  $f(x) \neq H(x)$ . Note that  $h(x) \leq f(x) \leq H(x)$ . Hence  $h(x) < H(x)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $f(x) = H(x) = h(x)$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Pick  $\delta > 0$  such that  $H_\delta - f(x) < \epsilon$  and  $f(x) - h_\delta < \epsilon$ . Then if  $|x - y| < \delta$ , we have  $f(y) - f(x) \leq H_\delta(x) - h(y) < \epsilon$  and  $f(y) - f(x) \geq h_\delta(x) - f(x) > -\epsilon$ .

For (b), I first claim that  $H$  is upper semicontinuous. Let  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Pick  $\delta > 0$  such that  $H_\delta(x) - H(x) < \epsilon$ . Then if  $|x - y| < \delta/2$  we have  $H(y) \leq H_{\delta/2}(y) \leq H_\delta(x) < H(x) + \epsilon$ . Therefore,  $\limsup H(x_n) \leq H(x) + \epsilon$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , so  $H$  is upper semicontinuous. A similar argument (or taking negatives) shows that  $h$  is lower semicontinuous.

For any partition  $P$  we have  $G_P > H$ , so  $G > H$ . Suppose  $m(\{G > H\}) > 0$ . Then by continuity from below, there exists  $n > 0$  such that  $m(\{G - H > 1/n\}) > 0$ .

For (c), note that if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  we have  $\limsup f(x_n) = \limsup H(x_n) \leq H(x)$  and  $\liminf f(x_n) \leq \liminf h(x_n) \leq h(x)$ . Hence, if  $H(x) = h(x)$ , then  $f(x)$  is continuous at  $x$ . The converse is also true. Thus, for (c), it suffices to show that  $f$  is Riemann integrable  $\iff H = h$  a.e.

Recall that  $f$  is Riemann integrable  $\iff$  for every  $(P_k)$  with mesh converging to zero we have  $\int G_{P_k} - g_{P_k} \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $G_{P_k} - g_{P_k}$  is decreasing in  $k$ , by the DCT we have  $\lim_k \int G_{P_k} - g_{P_k} = \int \lim_k G_{P_k} - g_{P_k} = \int H - h$ . Thus  $f$  is Riemann integrable  $\iff H = h$  a.e.  $\square$

**6 Problem 30/page 60.** Hint: AM-GM. Show that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^k x^n (1 - k^{-1}x)^k dx = n!$ .

*Proof.* Using Exercise (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^k x^n (1 - k^{-1}x)^k dx &= k^{n+1} \int_0^1 u^n (1 - u)^k du \\ &= k^{n+1} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(n+k+2)} \\ &= n! \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right) \left(\frac{k}{k+2}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{k}{k+n+1}\right) \\ &\rightarrow n! \end{aligned}$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**7 Problem 1/88.** Let  $\nu$  be a signed measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ . If  $(E_j)$  is an increasing sequence in  $\mathcal{M}$ , the  $\nu(\bigcup_1^\infty E_j) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \nu(E_j)$ . If  $(E_j)$  is a decreasing sequence in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\nu(E_1)$  is finite, then  $\nu(\bigcap_1^\infty E_j) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \nu(E_j)$ .

*Proof.* For the first part, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu\left(\bigcup_1^\infty E_j\right) &= \nu\left(E_1 \cup \bigcup_1^\infty E_{j+1} \setminus E_j\right) \\
&= \nu(E_1) + \sum_1^\infty \nu(E_{j+1} \setminus E_j) \\
&= \lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \nu(E_1) + \sum_1^J \nu(E_{j+1} \setminus E_j) \\
&= \lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \nu\left(E_1 + \bigcup_1^J E_{j+1} \setminus E_j\right) \\
&= \lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \nu(E_{J+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

For the second part, first note that if  $A \subset E_1$  with  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  then  $\nu(A) + \nu(E_1 \setminus A) = \nu(E_1)$ . Since  $\nu(E_1)$  is finite,  $\nu(A)$  must be finite. Hence  $\nu(E_1 \setminus A) = \nu(E_1) - \nu(A)$ . Using this fact and the previous part, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu\left(\bigcap_1^\infty E_j\right) &= \nu\left(E_1 \setminus \bigcup_1^\infty (E_1 \setminus E_j)\right) \\
&= \nu(E_1) - \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \nu(E_1 \setminus E_j) \\
&= \nu(E_1) - \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\nu(E_1) - \nu(E_j)) \\
&= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \nu(E_j)
\end{aligned}$$

□

**8 Problem 4/88.** If  $\nu$  is a signed measure and  $\lambda, \mu$  are positive measures such that  $\nu = \lambda - \mu$ , then  $\lambda \geq \nu^+$  and  $\mu \geq \nu^-$ .

*Proof.* Suppose not. WLOG there exists a measurable set  $A$  such that  $\lambda(A) < \nu^+(A)$ . From the Haar decomposition, there exists a partition  $P \cup N = X$  where  $P$  contains the support of  $\nu^+$  and  $N$  contains the support  $\nu^-$ . Then  $\lambda(A \cap P) \leq \lambda(A) < \nu^+(A) = \nu(A \cap P) = \lambda(A \cap P) - \mu(A \cap P) < \lambda(A \cap P)$ , a contradiction. □

**9 Problem 7/88.** Suppose that  $\nu$  is a signed measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  and  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ .

- a.  $\nu^+(E) = \sup\{\nu(F) : F \in \mathcal{M}, F \subset E\}$  and  $\nu^-(E) = -\inf\{\nu(F) : F \in \mathcal{M}, F \subset E\}$ .
- b.  $|\nu|(E) = \sup\{\sum_1^n |\nu(E_j)| : n \in \mathbb{N}, E_1, \dots, E_n \text{ are disjoint, and } \bigcup_1^n E_j = E\}$ .

*Proof.* For (a), we have the partition  $X = P \cup N$  where  $P$  and  $N$  contain the support of  $\nu^+$  and  $\nu^-$  respectively. Hence  $\nu^+(E) = \nu(E \cap P) \leq \sup\{\nu(F) :$

$F \in \mathcal{M}, F \subset E\}$ . On the other hand, if  $F \in \mathcal{M}, F \subset E$  then  $\nu(F) = \nu^+(F) - \nu^-(F) \leq \nu^+(F) \leq \nu^+(E)$ . The  $\nu^-$  part follows from applying the first part to  $-\nu$ .

For (b), let  $K = \sup\{\sum_1^n |\nu(E_j)| : n \in \mathbb{N}, E_1, \dots, E_n \text{ are disjoint, and } \bigcup_1^n E_j = E\}$ . We have  $|\nu|(E) = \nu^+(E) + \nu^-(E) = |\nu(E \cap P)| + |\nu(E \cap N)| \leq K$ . On the other hand, if  $(E_j)_1^n$  is a partition of  $E$  then  $\sum_1^n |\nu(E_j)| = \sum_1^n |\nu^+(E_j) - \nu^-(E_j)| \leq \sum_1^n |\nu|(E_j) \leq |\nu|(E)$ .  $\square$