Paul Gustafson

Texas A&M University - Math 607 Instructor: Thomas Schlumprecht

HW 6

- **1** Assume (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) is a complete measure space.
 - a) If $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{M} -measurable and $f = g \mu$ -a.e., then g is also measurable.
- b) If $f_n: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{M} -measurable and $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n = f$ μ -a.e., then f is measurable.

Proof. For (a), let $N = \{x : f(x) \neq g(x)\}$. Since \mathcal{M} is complete, $N \in \mathcal{M}$. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. We need to show that $A := g^{-1}((a, \infty))$ is in \mathcal{M} . To see this, note that $A \cap N^c = f^{-1}((a, \infty)) \cap N^c$, which is in \mathcal{M} . Hence $A = (A \cap N) \cup (A \cap N^c)$ is in \mathcal{M} since $A \cap N$ is a null set.

For (b), let $N = \{x : f(x) \neq \lim_n f_n\} \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $f_n \chi_{N^c} \to f \chi_{N^c}$ pointwise. Hence $f \chi_{N^c}$ is measurable by the first exercise of the last homework set. Thus, by (a), f is measurable.

2 If $f \in \mathcal{L}^+$ and $\int f d\mu < \infty$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is an $E \in \mathcal{M}$, so that $\mu(E) < \infty$ and $\int_E f d\mu > \int f d\mu - \epsilon$.

Proof. There exists a simple function $0 \le \phi \le f$ with $\int f - \int \phi \le \epsilon$. Write ϕ in standard form as $\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \chi_{A_n}$, where $a_n \ne 0$. Since ϕ is integrable, $\mu(A_n) < \infty$ for all n. Thus if $E := \bigcup_n A_n$, then $\mu(E) = \sum_n \mu(A_n) < \infty$. Also, we have $\int f - \int_E f \le \int f - \int_E \phi = \int f - \int \phi \le \epsilon$.

3 Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^+(\mu)$. If $\int f d\mu < \infty$, then $\mu(\{x \in X : f(x) = \infty\}) = 0$.

Proof. Let $E := \{x \in X : f(x) = \infty\}$. Suppose $\mu(E) \neq 0$. Let $\phi_n = n\chi_E$. Then $\int f \geq \int \phi_n \to \infty$, a contradiction.

4 Prove Fatou's Lemma without using the Monotone Convergence Theorem, and deduce the MCT from Fatou's Lemma. Fatou's lemma states that if $(f_n) \subset \mathcal{L}^+$, then $\int \liminf f_n \leq \liminf \int f_n$.

Proof. Step 1. Suppose ρ is a measure on \mathcal{M} , and $(E_n) \subset \mathcal{M}$. Then $\rho(\liminf E_n) = \rho(\bigcup_n \bigcap_{k > n} E_k) = \lim_n \rho(\bigcap_{k > n} E_k) \leq \lim_n \inf_{k \geq n} \rho(E_k) = \lim_n \rho(E_n)$.

Step 2. Let ϕ be a simple function such that $0 \le \phi \le \liminf f_n$. Let $E_n = \{x : \phi(x) \le f_n(x)\}$. Then

$$\lim \inf E_n = \bigcup_n \bigcap_{k \ge n} E_k$$

$$= \{x : \exists n \forall k \ge n \quad \phi(x) \le f_k(x) \}$$

$$\supset \{x : \exists n \quad \phi(x) \le \inf_{k \ge n} f_k(x) \}$$

$$\supset \{x : \phi(x) \le \sup_n \inf_{k \ge n} f_k(x) \}$$

$$= \mathbb{R}.$$

By Step 1 applied to the measure $A \mapsto \int_A \phi$, we have $\int \phi = \int_{\liminf E_n} \phi \le \liminf \int_{E_n} \phi \le \liminf \int_{E_n} f_n \le \liminf \int_{E_n} f_n$. Hence $\int \liminf f_n \le \liminf \int_{E_n} f_n$.

For the proof of the MCT, let $(f_n) \subset \mathcal{L}^+$ with $f_n \uparrow f$. First note that $\lim \int f_n$ must exist since $(\int f_n)$ is an increasing sequence. Hence $\int f = \int \lim f_n \leq \lim \inf \int f_n = \lim \int f_n$. For the reverse inequality, we have $f_n \leq f$ so $\int f_n \leq \int f$. Thus, $\lim \int f_n \leq \int f$.

5 Let $f:[0,1] \to [0,1]$ be the Cantor function, and C be the Cantor set. Define g(x) = f(x) + x for $x \in [0,1]$.

- a) g is a bijection from [0,1] to [0,2] and g^{-1} is continuous.
- b) m(g(C)) = 1.
- c) Using Exercise 29/Chapter 1 show that for some nonmeasurable $A \subset g(C)$, $B = g^{-1}(A)$ is Lebesgue measurable but not Borel measurable.
- d) There is a Lebesgue measurable function on $\mathcal R$ which is not Borel measurable.

Proof. To see that the Cantor function f is increasing, recall that if $0 \le a < b \le 1$ with $a = \sum_n a_n r^{-n}$ and $b = \sum_n b_n r^{-n}$ base-r expansions, then $(a_n) < (b_n)$ in the lexicographical ordering. Conversely, if $(a_n) < (b_n)$ in the lexicographical ordering, then $a \le b$. Hence f is increasing on C.

To see that f is increasing on [0,1], let $0 \le x < y \le 1$. If $x \in C^c$, replace it with the lower endpoint x' of the interval in C^c containing x. We have f(x) = f(x') and x' < x < y. Hence WLOG $x \in C$. We can do similarly for y using an upper endpoint instead. Thus $f(x) \le f(y)$ since f is increasing on C. Thus f is increasing on [0,1].

To see that f is continuous, let $a \in [0,1]$. If $a \in C^c$, then f is continuous at a since C^c is open and f is locally constant on C^c .

If $a \in C$, fix $\epsilon > 0$. There exists n such that $2^{-n} < \epsilon$. Suppose $|a - b| < 3^{-n}$ for some b in [0, 1]. Then $a_j = b_j$ for $j \le n$. Hence, $|f(a) - f(b)| \le \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} = 2^{-n} < \epsilon$. Thus f is continuous at a for all $a \in C$. Hence f is continuous.

Since g is the sum of an increasing function and a strictly increasing function, g is strictly increasing. In particular, g is injective. Since f is continuous, so is g. Since g(0) = 0 and g(1) = 2, the intermediate value theorem implies that g surjects onto [0, 2].

To see that g^{-1} is continuous, suppose $F \subset [0,1]$ is closed. Then F is compact. Let $(U_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$ be an open cover of g(F). Then $(g^{-1}(U_{\alpha}))$ is an open cover of F. Let $(g^{-1}(U_{\alpha}))_{\alpha \in G}$ be a finite subcover of F. Then $(U_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in G}$ is a subcover of g(F), since g is a bijection. Thus g(F) is compact, hence closed. Thus g^{-1} is continuous.

For (b), from the definition of the Cantor set we have $C^c = \bigcup_n I_n$ for some countable collection of disjoint intervals I_n with $\sum_n m(I_n) = 1$. The construction of f implies that, for each n, $f(I_n) = \{x_n\}$ for some singleton $\{x_n\}$. Hence $m(g(I_n)) = m(x_n + I_n) = m(I_n)$. Since g is a bijection, $(g(I_n))_n$ remain pairwise disjoint. Thus, $m(g(C)) = 2 - m(g(C^c)) = 2 - \sum_n m(g(I_n)) = 2 - \sum_n m(I_n) = 1$.

For (c), Exercise 29/Chapter 1 implies that there exists a nonmeasurable set $A \subset g(C)$. The proof of this exercise is simple (pick an interval [i, i+1] for which $E \cap [i, i+1]$ has positive measure and then do the Vitali construction using $E \cap N_r$ instead of N_r). Since m(C) = 0 and the Lebesgue measure is complete, $B := g^{-1}(A)$ is Lebesgue measurable. However, if B were Borel measurable, then A = g(B) would be Borel since g^{-1} is continuous hence Borel measurable. Thus, B is not Borel.

For (d), such a function is χ_B .