

Paul Gustafson  
 Texas A&M University - Math 416  
 Instructor: Dr. Papanikolas

## HW 6, due April 4

**35.18** Consider the subnormal series  $0 \rightarrow A_3 \times 0 \rightarrow S_3 \times 0 \rightarrow S_3 \times A_3 \rightarrow S_3 \times S_3$ . All the factor groups have prime order so are simple, abelian. Thus,  $S_3 \times S_3$  is solvable.

**19** Yes, let  $\sigma$  be a 90-degree rotation and  $\tau$  a reflection. Note that  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  is cyclic of order 4 and normal in  $D_4$ . Hence, we have the subnormal series  $0 \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow C_4 \rightarrow D_4$ , which is a composition series since the orders of all the factor groups are prime (2, actually).

**36.5** Each Sylow 3-subgroup of  $S_4$  are generated by one of the following 3-cycles:  $(1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 4), (1, 3, 4), (2, 3, 4)$ . The fact that they are conjugate is a consequence of the Sylow theorems, but you could just conjugate by transpositions if you want to be explicit. For example,  $(3, 4)(1, 2, 3)(3, 4) = (1, 2, 4)$ , so the corresponding 3-Sylow subgroups are conjugate.

**13** The only divisor of 45 that is congruent to 1 mod 3 is 1. Thus, the 3-Sylow subgroup (of order 9) is normal in the whole group.

**15**  $P$  is obviously a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $N[N[P]]$ . Suppose  $Q$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $N[N[P]]$ . Then  $Q = gPg^{-1}$  for some  $g \in N[N[P]]$ . Since  $N[P]$  is normal in  $N[N[P]]$ , this implies  $Q \subset N[P]$ . Hence,  $Q$  and  $P$  are  $p$ -Sylow subgroups of  $N[P]$ , so  $Q = P$  since  $P$  is normal in  $N[P]$ . Thus,  $P$  is the unique  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $N[N[P]]$ , so is normal in  $N[N[P]]$ .

**18** Note that 3, 5, and 15 are not congruent to 1 mod 17. Hence, the only divisor of 255 that is congruent to 1 mod 17 is 1. Thus, the 17-Sylow subgroup is normal in the whole group.

**19** Presumably  $m \neq 1$  or else we have the counterexample  $C_p$ . Since  $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ,  $n_p \mid m$ . This implies  $n_p = 1$  since  $1 < m < p$ . Thus, the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup is normal in the whole group.

**37.4** Call the group  $G$ . By the Sylow theorems,  $n_5 = 1$ ,  $n_7 = 1$ , and  $n_{47} = 1$ . Hence, the corresponding Sylow subgroups are normal in  $G$ . Since they have prime order, they are cyclic and have trivial intersection. Hence, using the trick from class (proved below), each pair of Sylow subgroups commutes pointwise.

Trick from class: If  $H, K \triangleleft G$  with  $H \cap K = \{e\}$  and  $h \in H, k \in K$ ; then  $hk = kh$ . Proof of trick:  $hkh^{-1}k^{-1} = k'k^{-1} \in K$  and  $hkh^{-1}k^{-1} = hh' \in H$ , so  $hkh^{-1}k^{-1} = e$ .

Let  $x, y, z \in G$  have orders 5, 7, and 47, respectively. Since  $x, y$  commute,  $xy$  has order 35 ( $x^k$  and  $y^k$  only have the same order for  $35 \mid k$ ). Similarly,  $xyz$  has order  $(5)(7)(47)$ .

**5** Call the group  $G$ .  $96 = (32)(3)$ , so the possibilities are  $n_2 = 1$  or  $n_2 = 3$ . WLOG  $n_2 = 3$  since  $G$  is not simple if  $n_2 = 1$ . But  $(n_2)! = 6 < 96 = |G|$ . Hence, by a theorem proved in class,  $G$  is not simple (consider the transitive action of  $G$  on the set of 3-Sylow subgroups by conjugation).

**6**  $160 = (32)(5)$ , so  $n_2 = 1$  or  $n_2 = 5$ . WLOG,  $n_2 = 5$ . But  $5! = 120 < 160$ , so  $G$  is not simple.

**8**

- a. Note that  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}(\tau a_i) = \tau\sigma a_i = \tau a_{i'}$  where  $i' = i + 1 \pmod{m}$ . If  $x \notin (\tau a_i)_i$  for any  $i$ , then  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}(x) = \tau\tau^{-1}(x) = x$  since  $\tau^{-1}x \notin (a_i)_i$ .
- b. It suffices to show that  $(1, 2, \dots, m)$  is conjugate to each  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ . By part(a), this is obvious: just define  $\tau$  by  $i \mapsto a_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and extend this to a bijection of  $[n]$  however you like.
- c. Let  $\sigma = \prod_i \sigma_i$  and  $\eta = \prod_i \eta_i$  denote two such products of disjoint cycles with each  $\sigma_i = (\sigma_{i1}, \dots, \sigma_{i,r_i})$  and  $\eta_i = (\eta_{i1}, \dots, \eta_{i,r_i})$ . Since the  $\sigma_{ij}$  are distinct and the  $\eta_{ij}$  are distinct, there exists  $\tau \in S_n$  such that  $\tau(\sigma_{ij}) = \eta_{ij}$  for all  $i, j$ .

Since conjugation by  $\tau$  is an automorphism,  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \prod_i \tau\sigma_i\tau^{-1} = \prod_i \eta_i = \eta$ , where the middle equality follows from (a).

- d. kjk