Paul Gustafson Texas A&M University - Math 641 Instructor - Fran Narcowich

## Midterm

1 Use the Courant-Fischer mini-max theorem to show that  $\lambda_2 < 0$  for the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

*Proof.* The characteristic polynomial for A is  $f(x) := x^3 + 6 + 6 - 9x - 4x - x = x^3 - 14x + 12$ . We have  $\lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x) < 0$ , f(0) > 0, f(1) < 0, and  $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) > 0$ . Thus  $\lambda_2 < 0$ .

**2** Let A be an  $n \times n$  complex matrix that satisfies  $A^*A = AA^*$ . Show that A is diagonalizable and that there is a unitary matrix U for which  $U^*AU = \Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ .

Proof. Step 1: A and  $A^*$  are simultaneously diagonalizable. Let  $J \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the Jordan Normal Form for A. I claim that J is diagonal. Suppose not. Then J contains an  $m \times m$  Jordan block B for  $1 < m \le n$ . If  $\lambda$  is the generalized eigenvalue corresponding for B, then we have  $[B, B^*]_{11} = (BB^*)_{11} - (B^*B)_{11} = (|\lambda|^2 + 1) - |\lambda|^2 \ne 0$ . Hence  $[J, J^*] \ne 0$ , so  $[A, A^*] \ne 0$ , a contradiction. Thus, J is diagonal. The matrix  $J^* = \overline{J}^T$  is clearly diagonal also.

Step 2: A is unitarily diagonalizable. The proof is by induction on n. The base case is trivial. For the inductive step, recall that A must have an eigenvector. Let v be an normalized eigenvector of A. Let  $w \in v^{\perp}$ . Then  $\langle v, Aw \rangle = \langle A^*v, w \rangle = 0$  since v is an eigenvector of both A and  $A^*$  by Step 1. Hence  $v^{\perp}$  is an invariant subspace of A, and we can apply the inductive hypothesis to  $A|_{v^{\perp}}$ .

**3** Let f be continuous on [0,1], with f(0)=f(1)=0 and let  $s\in S^{1/n}(1,0)$  be the linear spline interpolant to f, with knots at  $x_j=\frac{j}{n}$ .

(a) Let 
$$\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$
. Show that  $\left| \int_0^1 s(x) e^{i\lambda x} dx \right| \leq \frac{2n^2}{\lambda^2} \omega(f, 1/n)$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{0}^{1} s(x)e^{i\lambda x} dx \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{k/n}^{(k+1)/n} s(x)e^{i\lambda x} dx \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{1}{i\lambda} s(x)e^{i\lambda x} \right]_{x=k/n}^{(k+1)/n} - \frac{1}{i\lambda} \int_{k/n}^{(k+1)/n} s'(x)e^{i\lambda x} dx \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i\lambda} \int_{k/n}^{(k+1)/n} s'(x)e^{i\lambda x} dx \right| \\ &= \left| -\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[ s'(x)e^{i\lambda x} \right]_{x=k/n}^{(k+1)/n} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| s' \left( \frac{k+1}{n} - \right) \right| + \left| s' \left( \frac{k}{n} + \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2n\omega(f, 1/n) \\ &= \frac{2n^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} \omega(f, 1/n). \end{split}$$

(b) Use the previous part to show that  $\left| \int_0^1 f(x) e^{i\lambda x} dx \right| \le \omega(f, 1/n) + \frac{2n^2}{\lambda^2} \omega(f, 1/n)$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_0^1 f(x) e^{i\lambda x} dx \right| &\leq \left| \int_0^1 f(x) - s(x) e^{i\lambda x} dx \right| + \left| \int_0^1 s(x) e^{i\lambda x} dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |f(x) - s(x)| dx + \frac{2n^2}{\lambda^2} \omega(f, 1/n) \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \omega(f, 1/n) dx + \frac{2n^2}{\lambda^2} \omega(f, 1/n) \\ &\leq \omega(f, 1/n) + \frac{2n^2}{\lambda^2} \omega(f, 1/n) \end{split}$$

4 Let  $\{\phi_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a set of polynomials orthogonal with respect to a weight function w(x) on a domain [a,b]. Assume that the degree of  $\phi_n$  is n, and that the coeffiction of  $x^n$  in  $\phi_n(x)$  is  $k_n>0$ . In addition, suppose that the continuous functions are dense in  $L^2_w[a,b]=\{f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{C}:\int_a^b|f(x)|^2w(x)dx<\infty\}$ .

(a) Show that  $\phi_n$  is orthogonal to all polynomials of degree n-1 or less.

*Proof.* The set  $\{\phi_k\}_{0 \le k < n}$  spans the polynomials of degree less than n-1.

(b) Show that  $\{\phi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is complete in  $L_w^2[a,b]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g \in L^2_w[a,b]$  be continuous. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . By the Weierstrauss Approximation Theorem, pick a polynomial p such that  $\|g-p\|_{C[a,b]} < \epsilon$ . Then  $\|g-p\|_{L^2_w[a,b]} = \int_a^b |g-p|^2 w dx \le \epsilon^2 \int_a^b w dx$ . Since  $\phi_0 \in L^2_w[a,b]$ , this last integral is finite. Hence, the polynomials are dense in  $L^2_w[a,b]$ .

Now suppose  $\{\phi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is not complete. By a previous homework problem, there exists a normalized function  $f \in L^2_w[a,b]$  with  $\langle f,\phi_n\rangle=0$  for all n. Thus for any polynomial p, we have  $\|f-p\|^2_{L^2_w[a,b]}=\|f\|^2_{L^2_w[a,b]}+\|p\|^2_{L^2_w[a,b]}\geq 1$ . This contradicts the fact that the polynomials are dense in  $L^2_w[a,b]$ .

(c) Show that the polynomials satisfy the recurrence relation  $\phi_{n+1}(x) = (A_n x + B_n)\phi_n(x) + C_n\phi_{n-1}(x)$ . Find  $A_n$  in terms of the  $k_n$ 's.

*Proof.* We have  $\phi_{n+1} = A_n x \phi_n + \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \phi_j$  for some unique  $A_n$  and  $(a_j)_{j=1}^n$ .

For  $1 \le l \le n-2$ , part (a) implies that

$$0 = \langle \phi_{n+1}, \phi_l \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle A_n x \phi_n + \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \phi_j, \phi_l \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle A_n x \phi_n, \phi_l \right\rangle + a_l \langle \phi_l, \phi_l \rangle$$

$$= A_n \langle \phi_n, x \phi_l \rangle + a_l \langle \phi_l, \phi_l \rangle$$

$$= a_l \langle \phi_l, \phi_l \rangle$$

Hence  $a_l = 0$  for  $1 \le l \le n-2$ , so  $\phi_{n+1} = A_n x \phi_n + B_n \phi_n + C_n \phi_{n-1}$ . By comparing leading coefficients,  $A_n = \frac{k_{n+1}}{k_n}$ .

- **5** Suppose that  $f(\theta)$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic function in  $C^m(\mathbb{R})$ , and that  $f^{(m+1)}$  is piecewise continuous and  $2\pi$ -periodic. Here m>0 is a fixed integer. Let  $c_k$  denote the k-th (complex) Fourier coefficient for f and let  $c_k^{(j)}$  denote the k-th Fourier coefficient for  $f^{(j)}$ .
- (a) Show that  $c_k^{(j)} = (ik)^j c_k$  for  $1 \le j \le m+1$ .

*Proof.* Integrating by parts j times, we have

$$\begin{split} c_k^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f^{(j)}(x) e^{-ikx} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \left[ f^{(j-1)}(x) e^{2\pi kx} \right]_0^{2\pi} + (ik) \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) e^{2\pi kx} dx \right) \\ &= \frac{ik}{2\pi} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} f^{(j-1)}(x) e^{2\pi kx} dx \right) \\ &\vdots \\ &= \frac{(ik)^j}{2\pi} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) e^{2\pi kx} dx \right) \\ &= (ik)^j c_k \end{split}$$

(b) For  $k \neq 0$ , show that the Fourier coefficient  $c_k$  satisfies the bound

$$|c_k| \le \frac{1}{2\pi |k|^{m+1}} ||f^{(m+1)}||_{L_1[0,2\pi]}$$

*Proof.* Integrating by parts m+1 times, we have

$$|c_k| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi (ik)^{m+1}} \int_0^{2\pi} f^{(m+1)}(x) e^{-ikx} dx \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi |k|^{m+1}} ||f^{(m+1)}||_{L_1[0,2\pi]}$$

(c) Let  $S_n(\theta) = \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k e^{ik\theta}$  be the *n*-th partial sum of the Fourier series for  $f, n \ge 1$ . Show that both of the following hold for f:

$$||f - S_n||_{L_2} \le C \frac{||f^{(m+1)}||_{L_1}}{n^{m+\frac{1}{2}}} \text{ and } ||f - S_n||_{C[0,2\pi]} \le C' \frac{||f^{(m+1)}||_{L_1}}{n^m}.$$

*Proof.* By Parseval's theorem, we have

$$||f - S_n||_{L_2} = \left(\sum_{k>n} |c_k|^2\right)^{-1/2}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{k>n} \frac{C}{|k|^{2m+2}} ||f^{(m+1)}||_{L_1[0,2\pi]}^2\right)^{-1/2}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k>n} \frac{C}{|k|^{2m+2}}\right)^{-1/2} ||f^{(m+1)}||_{L_1[0,2\pi]}$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{k>n} \frac{C_1}{|k|^{2m+2}} dk\right)^{-1/2} ||f^{(m+1)}||_{L_1[0,2\pi]}$$

$$= \left(\frac{C_2}{n^{2m+1}}\right)^{-1/2} ||f^{(m+1)}||_{L_1[0,2\pi]}$$

$$= \frac{C_3}{n^{m+1/2}} ||f^{(m+1)}||_{L_1[0,2\pi]}$$

Using part (b), we have

$$||f - S_n||_{C[0,2\pi]} = \sup_{x \in [0,2\pi]} \left| \sum_{k > n} c_k(x) e^{ikx} \right|$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in [0,2\pi]} \sum_{k > n} |c_k(x)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k > n} \frac{1}{2\pi |k|^{m+1}} ||f^{(m+1)}||_{L_1[0,2\pi]}$$

$$\leq \left( \int_{k > n} \frac{C'}{|k|^{m+1}} dk \right) ||f^{(m+1)}||_{L_1[0,2\pi]}$$

$$= \frac{C''}{n^m} ||f^{(m+1)}||_{L_1[0,2\pi]}.$$

(d) Let f(x) be the  $2\pi$ -periodic function that equals  $x^2(2\pi - x)^2$  when  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Verify that f satisfies the conditions above with m = 1. With the help of (a), calculate the Fourier coefficients for f. (Hint: look at f''.)

*Proof.* To see that f satisfies the conditions with m=1, we need to check that  $f'(0+)=f'(2\pi-)$  and f'' is piecewise continuous (f'' is  $2\pi$ -periodic since f is). The former follows from the fact that f has double roots at 0 and  $2\pi$ . The latter is obvious.

For  $x \in (0, 2\pi)$ , we have

$$f(x) = x^4 - 4\pi x^3 + 4\pi^2 x^2$$
$$f'(x) = 4x^3 - 12\pi x^2 + 8\pi^2 x$$
$$f''(x) = 12x^2 - 24\pi x + 8\pi^2$$

From (a), the Fourier coefficient  $c_k$  for f is

$$\begin{split} c_k &= (ik)^{-2} c_k^{(j)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi k^2} \int_0^{2\pi} f''(x) e^{-ikx} dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi k^2} \int_0^{2\pi} (12x^2 - 24\pi x) e^{-ikx} dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi k^2} \left( \left[ \frac{12x^2 - 24\pi x}{-ik} e^{-ikx} \right]_0^{2\pi} + \frac{1}{ik} \int_0^{2\pi} (24x - 24\pi) e^{-ikx} dx \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi k^2} \left( \frac{24}{ik} \right) \int_0^{2\pi} x e^{-ikx} dx \\ &= \frac{24i}{2\pi k^3} \int_0^{2\pi} x e^{-ikx} dx \\ &= \frac{24i}{k^3} \left( \frac{i}{k} \right) \\ &= -\frac{24}{k^4}, \end{split}$$

where the penultimate equality uses the homework problem calculating the Fourier series of  $g(x)=x, 0\leq x<2\pi$ .