Paul Gustafson

Texas A&M University - Math 607 Instructor: Thomas Schlumprecht

## **HW** 8

**1** Let  $f:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$  be integrable (with respect to Lebesgue measure) and nonnegative. Define

$$G_{-} = \{(x, y) : 0 \le x \le 1, 0 \le y \le f(x)\}.$$

Show that  $G_{-}$  is measurable in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  and that

$$m(G_{-}) = \int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx.$$

*Proof. Case f is simple.* In standard form  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \chi_{A_i}$ . Hence  $G_- = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i \times [0, a_i)$  is measurable. Since the  $A_i \times [0, a_i)$  are disjoint we have  $m(G_i) = \sum_i a_i m(A_i) = \int f \, dx$ .

General case. There exists a sequence of simple functions  $\phi_n \uparrow f$ . Let  $H_n = \{(x,y) : 0 \le x \le 1, 0 \le y \le \phi_n(x)\}$ . Then by part (a), each  $H_n$  is measurable and  $m(H_n) = \int \phi_n dx$ . Hence  $G_- = \bigcup_n H_n$  is measurable, and  $m(G_-) = \lim_{n \to \infty} m(H_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int \phi_n dx = \int f dx$ , where the last equality follows from the MCT.

**2** Let f be Lebesgue integrable on (0,1). For 0 < x < 1 define

$$g(x) = \int_{x}^{1} t^{-1} f(t) dt.$$

Prove that g is Lebesgue integrable on (0,1) and that

$$\int_0^1 g(x) \, dx = \int_0^1 f(x) \, dx.$$

[Hint: first prove the case where  $f \geq 0$ .]

Proof. Case  $f \geq 0$ 

**3** Let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$ . Let  $\mu$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\nu$  be the counting measure on  $\mathcal{N}$ . Show that for  $D = \{(x, x) : x \in [0, 1]\}$ 

- a)  $D \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ .
- b) The numbers

$$\mu \otimes v(D), \int \int \chi_D d\mu d\nu$$
, and  $\int \int \chi_D d\nu d\mu$ 

are all unequal.

c) Show that there is more than one measure  $\pi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for which

$$\pi(A \times B) = \mu(A)\nu(B)$$
, whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{0}, 1$ .

p4 Find a measurable function  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  measurable so that

- a)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x,y)| dxdy = \infty$
- b)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x,y) dxdy$ , and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x,y) dydx$  both exist but are unequal.

Proof. Let

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & j = i+1 \\ -1 & j = i-1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Let  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{ij} \chi_{[i,i+1) \times [j,j+1)}$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, y) \, dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & 0 \le y < 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{array} \right. dy = 1,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x,y) \, dy dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -1 & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{array} \right. \, dx = -1.$$

**5** Problem 49/Page 69. Prove Theorem 2.39 by using Theorem 2.37 and Proposition 2.12 together with the following lemmas.

a. If  $E \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$  and  $\mu \times \nu(E) = 0$ , then  $\nu(E_x) = \mu(E^y) = 0$  for a.e. x and y.

b. If f is  $\mathcal{L}$ -measurable and f=0  $\lambda$ -a.e., then  $f_x$  and  $f^y$  are integrable for a.e. x and y, and  $\int f_x d\nu = \int f^y d\mu = 0$  for a.e. x and y. (Here the completeness of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is needed.)

**6** If  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  or  $f \geq 0$  and mble and  $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , then

$$\int f(cx, cy)dxdy = c^{-2} \int f(x, y)dxdy.$$

$$\int f(x+cy,cy)dxdy = \int f(x,y)dxdy.$$

7 Prove that for any  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a simple function

$$\phi = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j \chi_{R_j},$$

where the  $R_j$ 's are products of intervals, and  $\|\phi - f\|_1 \le \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* Since there exist simple functions  $0 \le |\phi_n| \le |f|$  with  $\phi_n \to f$ , by the DCT WLOG f is simple. Then if  $f = \sum_i a_i \chi_{A_i}$  in standard form, it suffices to approximate each  $A_i$  by finite disjoint union of products of intervals.

Let A be a measurable set of finite measure in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . By the outer regularity of Lebesgue measure, WLOG A is open. Let  $E_n = \{x \in A : B_{1/n}(x) \in A\}$ . Then since A is open,  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . Since  $(E_n)$  is increasing, we have  $m(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} m(E_n)$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Pick  $E_n$  such that  $m(A \setminus E_n) < \epsilon$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the collection of all  $R^d$ cubes with half-open sides of length  $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}n}$  and vertices at  $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}n}\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice points.

Then  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a pairwise disjoint covering of  $R^d$ . Let  $U = \bigcup \{Q \in \mathbb{Q} : Q \cap E_n \neq \emptyset\}$ .

Then  $E_n \subset U \subset A$ , where the latter inclusion follows from the fact that the diameter of each cube is  $\frac{1}{2n} < 1/n \le d(E_n, A^c)$ .

diameter of each cube is  $\frac{1}{2n} < 1/n \le d(E_n, A^c)$ . Since U has finite measure, U is a finite disjoint union of products of intervals, and  $m(A\Delta U) = m(A \setminus U) < \epsilon$ .