

Paul Gustafson  
 Texas A&M University - Math 482  
 Instructor: Dr. David Larson

## Cantor Sets in $\mathbb{R}$

Recall that a *perfect* set is a set for which every point is a limit point. A set  $S$  is called *totally disconnected* if for every  $x, y \in S$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U, V \subset S$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$ , and  $U \cup V = S$ .

**Definition 1.** A Cantor set is a non-empty, totally disconnected, perfect, compact metric space.

**Example 1.** Let  $C_0 := [0, 1]$ ,  $C_1 := [0, 1/3] \cup [2/3, 1]$ , and  $C_2 = [0, 1/9] \cup [2/9, 1/3] \cup [2/3, 7/9] \cup [8/9, 1]$ . Similarly, for  $i > 2$ , let  $C_i$  be the closed set given by removing the open middle third of each interval of  $C_{i-1}$ . The ternary Cantor set

$$\Delta := \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i$$

is a Cantor set.

*Proof.* Since  $0 \in C_i$  for all  $i$ ,  $\Delta$  is non-empty. Since each interval in  $C_i$  is of length  $3^{-i}$ ,  $\Delta$  is totally disconnected. It is closed and bounded, so compact by the Heine-Borel theorem.

To see that  $\Delta$  is perfect, first note that the endpoints of any interval in any  $C_i$  remain endpoints of intervals in  $C_{i+1}$ , and  $C_{i+1} \subset C_i$ . Hence, every point that is an endpoint of an interval in some  $C_i$  is in  $\Delta$ . Now, fix  $x \in \Delta$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $C_i$  whose intervals are of length less than  $\epsilon$ . Hence, both endpoints of the interval in  $C_i$  containing  $x$  are within  $\epsilon$  of  $x$ , and are members of  $\Delta$ . Thus,  $x$  is a limit point, so  $\Delta$  is perfect.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $K$  be a Cantor set. If  $A \subset K$  is nonempty and clopen, then  $A$  is Cantor.

*Proof.*  $A$  is compact since it is closed in  $K$ , and totally disconnected since it is open. To see that  $A$  is perfect, let  $x \in A$ . Since  $K$  is perfect, there exists a sequence  $(x_n) \subset K$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Since  $A$  is open, all but a finite number of  $x_n$  lie in  $A$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a Cantor set, then there is a order-preserving homeomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , where  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is ordered lexicographically.

*Proof.* Step 1. Let  $a := \inf(A)$ , and  $d := \sup(A) - a = \text{diam}(A)$ . Since  $A$  is totally disconnected, there exists  $c \in [a + \frac{d}{4}, a + \frac{3d}{4}] \setminus A$ . Then  $M_0 := (-\infty, c) \cap A$  and  $M_1 := (c, \infty) \cap A$  are clopen relative to  $A$ , hence Cantor sets by Theorem 1. Moreover,  $\text{diam}(M_i) \leq \frac{3}{4} \text{diam}(A)$  for  $i = 0, 1$ .

Step 2. For  $n > 1$ , apply Step 1 to  $M_t$  for each  $t \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1}$  to get Cantor sets  $M_{t,0}, M_{t,1} \subset M_t$  with  $M_{t,0} < M_{t,1}$  and  $\text{diam}(M_{t,i}) \leq \frac{3}{4} \text{diam}(M_t)$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . By recursion on  $n$ , for all  $r, s \in \{0, 1\}^n$  we have  $\text{diam}(M_s) \leq (\frac{3}{4})^n \text{diam}(A)$ , and if  $r < s$  in the lexicographical ordering then  $M_r < M_s$ . Moreover, for any fixed  $n$ ,  $A = \bigcup_{s \in \{0,1\}^n} M_s$ .

Step 3. Fix  $x \in A$ . The construction in Step 2 generates a descending sequence of sets  $(M_{t_n})_{t_n \in \{0,1\}^n}$ , each containing  $x$ . Since for all  $n$  we have  $t_{n+1} = t_n, i$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , this sequence of sets determines a unique element  $f(x) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that, for any  $n$ , the first  $n$  entries of  $f(x)$  are  $t_n$ . To see that  $f$  is bijective, note that if  $t \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $t_n = (t(1), t(2), \dots, t(n))$ , then  $f^{-1}(t) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{t_n}$  contains exactly one point, since  $M_{t_n}$  is a descending chain of compact sets.

To see that  $f$  is continuous, note that  $d(x, y)$  is small, so there exists  $M_s$  containing  $x$  and  $y$  where  $n := \text{length}(s)$  is large, so  $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq 2^{-n}$  is small. Since  $A$  is compact, the continuity of  $f$  implies  $f^{-1}$  is also continuous.

To see that  $f$  is order-preserving, if  $x < y$  there exists  $n$  so large that  $x \in M_s, y \in M_t$  for  $s, t$  of length  $n$  with  $s \neq t$ . By Step 2, this implies  $s < t$ . Hence,  $f(x) < f(t)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *If  $S \subset R$  is a Cantor set, there exists a nondecreasing, onto, continuous function  $g : S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be defined by  $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x(i)2^{-i}$ . Defining  $f$  as in Theorem 2, let  $g = h \circ f$ . It suffices to show that  $h$  is nondecreasing, onto, and continuous.

Let  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Then  $|h(x) - h(y)| = |\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (x(i) - y(i))2^{-i}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |x(i) - y(i)|2^{-i} = d(x, y)$ , so  $h$  is continuous. If  $x < y$ , then there exists a minimal  $n$  such that  $x(n) \neq y(n)$ . By the definition of lexicographical ordering,  $x(n) = 0$  and  $y(n) = 1$ . Thus,  $h(y) - h(x) = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} (y(i) - x(i))2^{-i} = 2^{-n} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} (y(i) - x(i))2^{-i} \geq 2^{-n} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} (-1)2^{-i} = 0$ . Hence,  $h$  is nondecreasing. To see that  $h$  is onto, let  $E_n := \{x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} : x(i) = 0 \text{ for all } i > n\}$ . Then each  $h(E_n)$  is a  $2^{-n+1}$ -net for  $[0, 1]$ , so the image of  $h$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$ . Since  $S$  is compact,  $h(S)$  is compact, so  $h$  is onto.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.** *If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is nondecreasing and onto, then  $f$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $c \in (a, b]$ . Since  $f$  is nondecreasing,  $\sup_{x < c} f(x) \leq f(c) = \inf_{x \geq c} f(x)$ . Hence, since  $f$  is onto,  $\sup_{x < c} f(x) = f(c)$ . To see that  $f(c-) = f(c)$ , set  $\epsilon > 0$ . By the definition of supremum, there exists  $a < c$  such  $f(c) - f(a) < \epsilon$ . Then if  $a < x < c$ , since  $f$  is nondecreasing,  $f(c) - f(x) < \epsilon$ . Hence,  $f(c-) = f(c)$ . The proof for right continuity is analogous.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Every compact metric space  $K$  can be written as  $K = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  is perfect (hence compact),  $B$  is countable, and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a countable base for  $K$ . Let  $V := \{S \in U : S \text{ is countable}\}$ , and  $W := U \setminus V$ . Then  $B := \bigcup_{S \in V} S$  is countable and open. Let  $A := K \setminus B$ . Then  $A$  is closed, hence compact.

I claim that  $W$  is a base for the topology of  $A$  relative to  $K$ . Suppose  $C \subset A$  is open in  $A$ , and  $x \in C$ . Then  $C \cup B$  is open in  $K$ , so there exists  $S \in U$  with  $x \in S \subset (C \cup B)$ . Since  $x \notin B$ ,  $S$  cannot be countable, so  $S \in W$ . Hence,  $W$  is a base for  $A$ .

In particular, since every element of  $W$  is uncountable,  $A$  can have no isolated points. Hence,  $A$  is perfect.  $\square$

**Definition 2.** Given an nondecreasing function  $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the  $\alpha$ -exterior measure of a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  is defined to be

$$m_\alpha^*(E) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha(b_i) - \alpha(a_i) : E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i) \right\}$$

**Theorem 4.** If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  is closed and  $m_\alpha^*(E) = 0$  for all nondecreasing, continuous  $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then  $E$  is countable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $E$  were uncountable. If  $E$  contains a nontrivial interval, then let  $\alpha$  be the identity. Since  $E$  contains an interval, it contains a compact set of the form  $[a, b]$  for  $a < b$ . Hence, any cover of  $E$  by open intervals must contain a finite subcover of  $[a, b]$ . The sum of the lengths of intervals in this subcover must be at least  $b - a$ , so  $m_\alpha^*(E) \geq b - a > 0$ , a contradiction.

Suppose  $E$  does not contain any nontrivial intervals. Note that  $E \cap [n, n+1]$  must be uncountable for some  $n$ , so WLOG,  $E$  is compact. Then, by Lemma 2,  $E = A \cup B$  where  $A$  is a Cantor set and  $B$  is countable. Since  $A \subset E$ ,  $m_\alpha^*(A) \leq m_\alpha^*(E)$ , so it suffices to show that  $m_\alpha^*(A) > 0$ .

Let  $f : A \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the increasing, onto, continuous function defined in Theorem 3. Define

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & : x \leq \inf(A) \\ \sup\{f(y) : y \in A \cap (-\infty, x)\} & : x > \inf(A) \end{cases}$$

Since  $A$  is closed and  $f$  is onto  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha$  is onto  $[0, 1]$ . Also,  $\alpha$  is clearly non-decreasing. Since  $\alpha$  is constant outside  $(\inf(A), \sup(A))$ , Lemma 1 implies  $\alpha$  is continuous.

Let  $U$  be a cover of  $A$  by open intervals. Since  $A$  is compact, there exists a finite subcover  $F \subset U$ . Denote the elements of  $F$  by  $((a_i, b_i))_{i=1}^n$ , sorted so that  $a_i \leq a_{i+1}$  for all  $i < n$ . If  $b_{i+1} < b_i$  for some  $i < n$ , then  $(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) \subset (a_i, b_i)$ . Since  $F$  is finite, we can recursively throw out all such redundant sets. This procedure only reduces the sum of interval lengths of  $F$ , so we may assume  $b_i \leq b_{i+1}$  for all  $i < n$ . For  $i < n$ , if  $b_i \geq a_{i+1}$ , then  $\alpha(b_i) - \alpha(a_{i+1}) \geq 0$  since  $\alpha$  is nondecreasing. On the other hand, if  $b_i < a_{i+1}$ , then  $\alpha(b_i) - \alpha(a_{i+1}) = 0$  since  $A \cap [b_i, a_{i+1}] = \emptyset$ .

Thus,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(b_i) - \alpha(a_i) \geq \alpha(b_n) - \alpha(a_1) = 1$ . Hence,  $m_\alpha^*(A) \geq 1$ .  $\square$