## Problem Set 1

**1.12** Let X, Z be compact Hausdorff spaces, and let  $h: X \to Z$  be a continuous surjection. Prove that  $\phi: X/\ker h \to Z$ , defined by  $[x] \to h(x)$ , is a homeomorphism.

*Proof.* Since  $X/\ker h$  is a continuous image of the compact set X, it is compact. Since Z is Hausdorff and  $\phi$  is a continuous bijection, this implies  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism ( $\phi$  maps compact sets to compact sets, hence closed sets to closed sets).

**1.13** For fixed t with  $0 \le t < 1$ , prove that  $f : x \mapsto [x, t]$  defines a homeomorphism from a space X to a subspace of CX.

*Proof.* This map f is continuous since the map  $x \mapsto (x,t) \subset X \times I$  is continuous and respects the equivalence relation  $\sim$ . The map f is also injective since  $\sim$  only identifies points of the form [x,1].

To see that  $f^{-1}$  is continuous, let  $U \subset X$  be open. Then  $U \times [0, (t+1)/2) \subset X \times I$  is open. Let  $\pi: X \times I \to CX$  be the canonical quotient map. Then  $f(U) = \pi(U \times [0, (t+1)/2)) \cap f(X)$  is open in f(X).

**2.9** If  $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m\}$  is affine independent with barycenter b, then  $\{b, p_0, \dots, \hat{p}_i, \dots, p_m\}$  is affine independent for each i.

*Proof.* Fix  $0 \le i \le m$ . Suppose  $sb + \sum_{j \ne i} s_j p_j = 0$  with  $s + \sum_{j \ne i} s_j = 0$  for some  $s, s_j \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then we have

$$0 = sb + \sum_{j \neq i} s_j p_j$$

$$= \frac{s}{m+1} \sum_j p_j + \sum_{j \neq i} s_j p_j$$

$$= \sum_j t_j p_j,$$

where  $t_j = \frac{s}{m+1} + s_j$  for  $j \neq i$ , and  $t_i = \frac{s}{m+1}$ . Hence  $\sum_j t_j = s + \sum_{j \neq i} s_j = 0$ . Since  $\{p_i\}_i$  is affine independent, this implies  $t_j = 0$  for all j. Thus s = 0 and  $s_j = 0$  for all  $j \neq i$ . Thus  $\{b, p_0, \dots, \hat{p}_i, \dots, p_m\}$  is affine independent.

It is false that the diameter of a simplex with the barycenter replacing a vertex is always strictly smaller than the diameter of the original simplex. Take an equilateral triangle as an example.  $\Box$ 

**2.10** Show that for  $0 \le i \le m$ ,  $[p_0, \ldots, p_m]$  is homeomorphic to the cone  $C[p_0, \ldots, \hat{p}_i, \ldots, p_m]$  with vertex  $p_i$ .

*Proof.* Since  $C[p_0,\ldots,\hat{p}_i,\ldots,p_m]$  is the continuous image of the compact set  $[p_0,\ldots,\hat{p}_i,\ldots,p_m]$ , it is compact. Also  $[p_0,\ldots,p_m]$  is Hausdorff. Hence it suffices to find a continuous bijection from  $C[p_0,\ldots,\hat{p}_i,\ldots,p_m]$  to  $[p_0,\ldots,p_m]$ .

Define  $\phi: [p_0, \dots, \hat{p}_i, \dots, p_m] \times I \to [p_0, \dots, p_m]$  by  $\phi(\sum_{j \neq i} s_j p_j, t) = t p_i + \sum_{j \neq i} s_j (1-t) p_j$ . Since  $\phi(x,1) = p_i$  for all x,  $\phi$  induces a continuous map  $\bar{\phi}: C[p_0, \dots, \hat{p}_i, \dots, p_m] \to [p_0, \dots, p_m]$ . Since  $\phi$  is surjective, so is  $\bar{\phi}$ .

To see that  $\bar{\phi}$  is injective, first note that  $\phi$  maps only the vertex to the point  $p_i$ . Next if  $\bar{\phi}[\sum_{j\neq i} s_j p_j, t] = \bar{\phi}[\sum_{j\neq i} r_j p_j, u] \neq p_i$ , then  $(u-t)p_i + \sum_{j\neq i} (r_j - s_j)p_j$ . Hence, u=t and  $r_j=s_j$  for all  $j\neq i$  since the  $p_j$  are affine independent. Thus  $\bar{\phi}$  is injective.

**5** Show that if x deformation retracts to A in the weak sense, then the inclusion map  $A \to X$  is a homotopy equivalence.

*Proof.* Let  $i:A\to X$  be the inclusion. Let  $f:X\to A$  be defined by f(x)=F(x,1), where F is the weak deformation retraction. Then  $F:1_X\simeq (i\circ f)$ . Moreover  $F_{|A\times I}:1_A\simeq f\circ i$  makes sense because  $F(A,I)\subset A$ .