

# Mathematical Formulation of Transformer Attention

Transformer attention uses **queries**, **keys**, and **values** to compute weighted sums of values. Given an input sequence of \$N\$ tokens represented by row vectors in a matrix  $X\in \mathbb{R}^{n}$  we first project \$X\$ into query, key, and value spaces by learned matrices. Concretely, for one attention head we use weight matrices  $W^Q,W^K\in \mathbb{R}^{d}_{\text{wodel}}\times d_k$  and  $W^V\in \mathbb{R}^{d}_{\text{wodel}}\times d_k$  to form:

- \$Q = XW^Q \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times d\_k}\$ (queries),
- $K = XW^K \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times d_k}$  (keys),
- $V = XW^V \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times d_v}$  (values).

Each row \$q\_i\$ of \$Q\$ is the **query vector** for token \$i\$, each row \$k\_j\$ of \$K\$ is a **key vector** for token \$j\$, and each row \$v\_j\$ of \$V\$ is a **value vector** for token \$j\$ 1. Intuitively, each query \$q\_i\$ "asks" how much attention to pay to each key \$k\_j\$, and these attention weights are used to form a weighted sum of the corresponding values \$v\_j\$. This projection step is summarized by Jurafsky & Martin (2025):

"For one head we multiply \$X\$ by the query, key, and value matrices  $W^Q$ ,  $W^K$ ,  $W^K$ ,  $W^V$  to produce matrices Q, K, V containing all the key, query, and value vectors:  $Q=XW^Q$ , V,  $Y=XW^K$ ,  $V=XW^V$ .

Throughout, \$d\_k\$ is the dimensionality of the key/query vectors and \$d\_v\$ is the dimensionality of the value vectors. In self-attention typically \$N\$ (sequence length) equals the number of queries and keys.

### Scaled Dot-Product Attention

The core of the Transformer's attention mechanism is **scaled dot-product attention**. We compute raw similarity scores between every query and every key by a matrix dot-product. Let  $\$\$S = QK^\infty R^{N\times N},\$\$$  so that  $\$S_{ij}=q_i\cdot k_j\$$  is the dot product between query \$i\$ and key \$j\$. To convert these scores into normalized weights, we apply the following steps  $^2$ :

1. **Scale:** Divide the score matrix by \$\sqrt{d\_k}\$:

 $$$S' = \frac{QK^{\infty}}{\sqrt{d_k}},. $$$ 

The factor  $1/\sqrt{d_k}$  prevents the dot products from growing too large in magnitude (for high-dimensional  $q_i,k_j$ ), which would make the softmax saturate with very small gradients 3. Vaswani *et al.* (2017) note that without this scaling the variance of  $q_i$ 0 grows with  $d_k$ 0, so dividing by  $q_i$ 0 here.

 scores into a probability distribution over keys for each query. It ensures all weights A=1 4 . In effect, the largest dot-products get larger weights, but in a  $\sin[0,1]$  and  $\sin[0,1]$  and  $\arcsin[0,1]$  and  $\arcsin[0,1]$  differentiable way (unlike a hard  $\arcsin[0,1]$  4 .

3. **Weighted sum:** Multiply the weight matrix by \$V\$:

 $$\star (Q,K,V) = A\,V\,,\quad O = AV\in\mathbb{R}^{N\times d_v}\,. $$$  Here each output row  $o_i$  is a weighted sum of value vectors:

 $$5_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A_{ij}\,v_j\,. $$ 

In other words, query \$i\$ attends to all values \$v\_j\$ in proportion to the weight \$A\_{ij}\$. Jurafsky & Martin (2025) describe this procedure:

"Once we have the  $QK^{\top}$  matrix, we can scale these scores, take the softmax, and then multiply the result by V resulting in a matrix of shape  $N\times \mathbb{C}$ .

Putting this together, the **matrix formula** for scaled dot-product attention is given in Vaswani *et al.* (2017) as:

\$\$ \text{Attention}(Q,K,V) \;=\; \text{softmax}!\Biql(\frac{QK^\top}{\sqrt{d\_k}}\Biqr)\,V\,. \$\$

This compact equation encapsulates the above steps <sup>2</sup>. Note that the softmax is applied independently to each query's row of scores, so each \$q\_i\$ produces its own weight vector over all keys <sup>7</sup>.

**Scaled Dot-Product Attention (Vaswani et al., 2017)** – For query matrix Q and key matrix K, compute raw scores  $QK^{\top}$ . Scale by  $1/\sqrt{d_k}$ , apply softmax row-wise to get weights, then multiply by V:  $\frac{d_k}{\pi}QK^{\cot Q(K/\cot Q(K^{2} P)})$  =  $\frac{d_k}{\pi}QK^{\cot Q(K^{\cot Q(K)})}$ 

## **Calculating Attention Weights**

More explicitly, for each query vector \$q\_i\$ (row \$i\$ of \$Q\$) the attention weight \$\alpha\_{ij}\$\$ on value \$v\_j\$ is given by:

 $\$  \alpha\_{ij} \;=\; \frac{\exp!\bigl(q\_i\cdot k\_j/\sqrt{d\_k}\bigr)}{\sum\_{j'=1}^N \exp!\bigl(q\_i\cdot k\_{j'}/ \sqrt{d\_k}\bigr)},. \$\$

Then the output for query  $i = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{ij}\,v_j\,.$  \$\$ In matrix form this is exactly the \$i\$th row of \$AV\$. Thus the **attention weight matrix** \$A=\mathbb{G}(QK^\star)\ has entries \$A\_{ij}=\alpha\_{ij}\$. In summary:

- Compute score vector \$s\_i = q\_i K^\top\$ (dot products with all keys).
- Scale  $s i' = s i/\sqrt{d k}$ .
- Normalize  $\alpha_i = \mathrm{Softmax}(s_i')$ , so  $\alpha_i = \mathrm{Softmax}(s_i')$ .
- Form output \$o i = \alpha i V\$.

This procedure ensures each output is a convex combination of the rows of \$V\$, weighted by how "relevant" each key is to the query.

# **Softmax and Scaling Insights**

The **softmax** function is crucial because it converts raw dot-product scores into a differentiable probability distribution. By exponentiating and normalizing, softmax emphasizes the largest scores while keeping all

weights positive and summing to 1 <sup>4</sup>. The Transformer authors note that softmax is a *continuous, differentiable* alternative to a hard max operation <sup>5</sup>. This smoothness is essential for gradient-based optimization. Moreover, applying softmax row-wise means each query independently attends to keys.

The **scaling factor**  $1/\sqrt{d_k}$  arises from variance considerations. Vaswani *et al.* show that if the components of query and key vectors are independent with variance 1, then the dot-product  $q_i \cdot d_k \le 1$  has variance  $d_k \le 1$ . Without scaling, large  $d_k \le 1$  would push  $q_i \cdot d_k \le 1$  to large magnitudes, driving the softmax into regions with extremely small gradients (saturating the softmax). To avoid this, we divide by  $c_i \cdot d_k \le 1$  so that the dot products have unit variance on average. In practice, this normalization keeps the softmax inputs at a scale where the exponential function is well-behaved and gradients are stable  $c_i \cdot d_k \le 1$ .

In summary, **softmax** ensures a proper probability weighting over keys, and the **\$\sqrt{d\_k}\$** scaling prevents very large or very small softmax inputs for high-dimensional vectors <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup>.

### **Multi-Head Attention**

The Transformer improves representational power by using **multi-head attention** <sup>8</sup> . Instead of one attention, we run \$h\$ parallel "heads," each with its own projection of queries, keys, and values. Concretely:

- We choose \$h\$ attention heads. For head \$i=1,\dots,h\$, we have separate learned projections \$W\_i^Q,W\_i^K\in\mathbb{R}^{d\_{\text{model}}\times d\_k}\$ and \$W\_i^V\in\mathbb{R}^{d\_{\text{model}}\times d\_v}\$.
- Compute head-specific queries/keys/values: \$Q\_i = XW\_i^Q,\;K\_i = XW\_i^K,\;V\_i = XW\_i^V\$, each of size \$N\times d\_k\$ (for \$Q\_i,K\_i\$) and \$N\times d\_v\$ (for \$V\_i\$).
- Each head \$i\$ independently performs scaled dot-product attention:  $$\star \text{Attention}(Q_i,K_i,V_i) = \text{Softmax}\Big( \frac{Q_iK_i^{top}_{sqrt_{d_k}}}{Bigr_i^{i}} \right) $$$
- This yields \$h\$ output matrices \$\text{head}\_i\in\mathbb{R}^{\N\times d\_v}\$ 8.
- Concatenate the heads along the feature dimension: \$\$H = [\text{head}\_1;\,\dots;\,\text{head}\_h] \in\mathbb{R}^{N\times (h\,d v)}.\$\$
- Apply a final linear projection  $W^O\in R}^{(h,d_v)\times d_{v}}$  to combine heads:
- $\$  \text{MultiHead}(X) = HW^O \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times d\_{\text{model}}},. \$\$

Jurafsky & Martin summarize this as: "we linearly project the queries, keys and values \$h\$ times with different learned projections... perform the attention function in parallel... concatenate and once again project, resulting in the final values" <sup>9</sup> . In formula form, Vaswani *et al.* give:

$$\mathrm{head}_i = \mathrm{Attention}(QW_i^Q,\ KW_i^K,\ VW_i^V) \quad (i=1,\ldots,h), \ \mathrm{MultiHead}(X) = [\mathrm{head}_1;\ldots;\mathrm{head}_h]\ W^O \ .$$

More compactly, with \$\oplus\$ denoting concatenation:

\$\$ \text{MultiHead}(X) = (\text{head}1 \oplus \text{head}\_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{head}\_h)\,W^O\,. \$\$
This formula is given in Jurafsky & Martin (2025) as Equation (8.37) 10. Multi-head attention allows the model to attend to information from different representation subspaces; each head can focus on different patterns or

relations in the input <sup>9</sup>. After concatenation and projection, the output has the same shape as a single-head output (\$N\times d\$), ready to be fed into the next layer of the transformer. }

**References:** The above formulations follow Vaswani *et al.* (2017) <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> and Jurafsky & Martin (2025) <sup>1</sup> <sup>10</sup> . The use of softmax and scaling is discussed in both sources, with [26] explaining the scaling rationale and [38] providing the standard softmax formulation.

1 6 10 web.stanford.edu

https://web.stanford.edu/~jurafsky/slp3/8.pdf

2 3 8 9 Attention is All you Need

https://papers.neurips.cc/paper/7181-attention-is-all-you-need.pdf

4 7 An Intuition for Attention | Jay Mody

https://jaykmody.com/blog/attention-intuition/

5 Transformer: Attention Is All You Need | Learning-Deep-Learning

 $https://patrick-llgc.github.io/Learning-Deep-Learning/paper\_notes/transformer.html\\$