Risk Assessment and Management Plan (RMP)

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Advantages of the risk assessment and management plan	2
3. How Risks and Assessment identified among our team	2
- Measurement information for the Risks	2
4. Tables	3
4.1. Risk management chart	3
4.2 List of identified risks	3

1. Introduction

The risk assessment and management plan: documents that lists hazards and risks that could affect a software project, along with mitigation or avoidance techniques. The plan's objective is to guarantee that the project is finished on schedule, on budget, and with the appropriate standard.

2. Advantages of the risk assessment and management plan

- Early identification of any possible problems allows for the necessary mitigation through suitable action.
- Risks are prioritized according to their likelihood and probable consequences, which helps the team concentrate on the most crucial problems.
- Improve project performance by lowering the risk of overruns in budgets and delays.

3. How Risks and Assessment identified among our team

Through discussion sessions where team members shared their knowledge and experiences and explored potential risks, the project team determined the risks connected with the project. The group also carried out analysis to determine the most common hazards on internet platforms and the kinds of vulnerabilities to which the project was most at risk.

The team evaluated and ranked the hazards according to their likelihood and possible consequences. The group produced a risk management strategy that comprised tactics to reduce or remove the risks that were identified as well as a method for tracking the effectiveness of the risk management measures through reporting and monitoring. The team was able to concentrate on the most significant risks and raise the likelihood that the project would succeed thanks to this thorough approach.

Measurement information for the Risks

Impact measures: Low = 1 day delay, Medium = 3 days delay, High = 5 days delay

Probability measures: Low = (0 - 30%), Medium = (30 - 70%), High = (70% - 100%)

4. Tables

4.1. Risk management chart

Low	Medium	High
R07		R02, R04, R05,
		R08
	R06, R10	R01, R03, R11
	1100, 1110	2001, 2000, 2017
	R09	

Figure [4.1]: Risk management chart

4.2. List of identified risks

Risk ID	Risk Type and Description	Risk Score	Resolved in Sprint	Strategy and Effectiveness
US-1.1	 Technical Management External Budget Schedule Etc. 	MediumHigh		MitigateAcceptAvoidTransfer
R01	Time Risk: The project is limited by the capstone timeframe, meaning once the timeframe ends, the contract with the client also ends and the project	Impact: High (5 days delay) Probability: Medium (50%) Risk Score: High	Sprint 5	Strategy: By efficient planning, we can make sure all the features we need are complete. Strategy type: Mitigate
	should be completed.	Consequences: 1) Team members are under more		Contingency plan: Removing the more unnecessary features and saving them for last.

		pressure to meet their deadlines. 2) Potential quality reduction caused by time restraints.		When to invoke the contingency plan: Invoke the plan when the team is deviating too much off schedule.
R02	Application Risk: there exists the risk that our project doesn't solve the problem we intended.	Impact: High (5 days delay) Probability: Low (5%) Risk Score: Medium Consequences: If the application fails to achieve its goal, the time and resources spent developing it were wasted.	Sprint 5	Strategy: Survey our solution to see if it theoretically makes sense. Proper testing before more costly implementations. Strategy type: Mitigate Contingency plan: do it again next semester or replan the solution. When to invoke the contingency plan: when the app fails or when user testing is very negative.
R03	Skill Risk: there is a chance the team won't have the skill set in order to complete the project in the time frame or at all.	Impact: High (5 days delay) Probability: Medium (30%) Risk Score: Medium Consequences:1) Potential delays in the project brought on by a lack of team knowledge. 2) a higher chance of mistakes and poor quality output if team members are not equipped with the required skills.	Sprint 5	Strategy: Proper planning and use of our programmers' skills. Strategy type: Mitigate Contingency plan: hire additional more skilled individuals to make up for the lack and teach. When to invoke the contingency plan: when straying too far off the schedule.
R04	Legal risk: The risk that the execution of the	Impact: High (5 days delay)	Sprint 5	Strategy: Reviewing legal conventions or precedent is beyond the scope of our

	project might violate a legal convention or rule.	Probability: Low (0 - 5%) Risk Score: Medium Consequences: If the project is discovered to be in violation of the law, there could be harm to its trust and reputation.		project, but making a minor change Strategy type: Transfer Contingency plan: We will revert to the old state of the system if something serious arises When to invoke the contingency plan: If our project leads to a serious legal problem, but this is extremely unlikely in our opinion.
R05	Fraud risk: The risk that our project will be exploited by one of the team members with malicious intent, for example, to steal user data.	Impact: High (5 days delay) Probability: Low (0 - 5%) Risk Score: Medium Consequences: Bad reputation and a loss of user trust in the event that private information is lost or stolen.	Sprint 5	Strategy: We believe that the likelihood that any of our team would do something like that is extremely low since there is almost no incentive to steal our clients' information, since it has little utility outside of the system and the legal repercussions would far outweigh the upside. Strategy type: Mitigate Contingency plan: We will forward the pertinent information to law enforcement if we believe a crime was committed. When to invoke the contingency plan: If we believe a malicious action has been committed.
R06	Scalability Risk: The website may not perform well if the number of users or	Impact: Medium (3 days delay) Probability: Medium (30 - 40%)	Sprint 5	Strategy: Mitigate by using a scalable and reliable infrastructure and use load testing for the platform to

	operations is high due to imperfect infrastructure.	Risk score: Medium Consequences: lower user satisfaction if the website performs poorly during periods of high number of users.		ensure that its scalability is acceptable Strategy type: Mitigate Contingency plan: Try alternate deployment methods and services and change the faulty algorithms if applicable When to invoke contingency plan: When we are severely limited by our application's performance
R07	Team dynamics risk: Some team members might have difficulty working together due to personal conflicts and different working styles	Impact: Low (1 days delay) Probability: Low (10%) Risk score: Low Consequences: 1) Conflicts between teammates break up team spirit and communication, there will be a decrease in production and collaboration. 2) Possible quality problems or project delays caused by conflict and misunderstanding amongst team members.	Sprint 5	Strategy: Proper team meetings and encourage team communication. Strategy type: Mitigate Contingency plan: Assess the teams composition and explore the possibility to invoke sub teams to avoid any more conflicts within team members. When to invoke contingency plan: When the conflict of team members persists despite initial attempts to resolve it.
R08	Security risk: There is a risk that our application might be vulnerable to cyberattacks, especially since none of our team	Impact: High (5 days delay) Probability: Low (0 - 10%) Risk score: Medium	Sprint 5	Strategy: Avoid by implementing security measures like 2FA, encryption and DDOS protection Contingency plan: Make a subteam that will be in charge

	members are cybersecurity experts.	Consequences: 1) Possible security breaches or unapproved access to private data might damage user confidentiality and confidence.		of cybersecurity if it becomes a relevant problem When to invoke contingency plan: If cybersecurity threats become a credible threat to our product
R09	Availability of Resources Risk: An unexpected trip by a team member could affect the status of the project and connections within the team.	Impact: Medium (3 days delay) Probability: High (90 %) Risk score: High Consequences: 1) Postponed completion of the traveling team member's allocated job. 2) Possible slowing in decision-making procedures. 3) Additional tasks for the remaining team members.	Sprint 3	Strategy: Assign tasks to the remaining team members and modify deadlines as necessary. Strategy type: Mitigate Contingency plan: assign responsibilities to other team members and use remote collaboration technologies to keep the traveling team member engaged. When to invoke contingency plan: If the traveling team member's absence significantly impacts team communication or project progress, then implement the plan.
R10	Risk Associated with Technology Compatibility: Team members who use MacBooks might have trouble using some of the technologies selected for the project.	Impact: Medium (3 days delay) Probability: Medium (30%) Risk score: Medium Consequences: 1) Delays in task completion. 2) A greater dependence on pair programming. 3) Possibility of lower team spirit or	Sprint 3	Strategy: depending on pair programming as a means of cooperation. Assist team members in learning how to use pair programming or adjust to new technologies by offering guidance and assistance. Strategy type: Mitigate Contingency plan: If required, look into other tools or development environments that work with MacBooks. Set aside more time for pair programming sessions to make

		• • • • •		
		annoyance if certain		sure that everyone is working
		technologies aren't		together effectively.
		completely utilized.		
				When to invoke contingency
				plan: Use the strategy if team
				members who use MacBooks
				continue to face major
				challenges because of the
				selected technologies, which is
				impeding the project's progress
				or the quality of their work.
R11	Platform Change risk:	Impact: Medium	Sprint 2	Strategy:
	Risk that changing	(delayed the		Use Chris as a resource for
	platforms from Uno to	development of the		frontend developers since he
	React might create	frontend by at least a		has by far the most experience
	problems	week)		with the new framework. Chris
	problems	Probability: Certain		is able to help the other
		Risk Score:		developers with most of their
		Medium-High		bugs.
				Strategy type: Mitigate
		Consequences:		Contingency plan: If
		1) Delay in task		required, use more
		completion for the		time-consuming learning
		frontend.		resources for React to improve
				our developers' knowledge
		2) Domino effect:		When to invoke contingency
		Delays in frontend		plan:
		development caused		Use the contingency plan if the
		setbacks for backend		number of setbacks for the
		development as		frontend becomes too much.
		well.		
1				

Figure [4.2]: List of identified risks