

# Notes on Riemann Surfaces

Ziquan Yang

October 9, 2015

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Basic Constructions and Properties</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Some results from complex analysis . . . . .	1
1.1.1	Local normal form . . . . .	1
1.1.2	Fundamental theorem of algebra . . . . .	1
1.2	Complex calculus on manifolds . . . . .	1
1.2.1	Almost complex structures . . . . .	1
1.2.2	Holomorphic functions . . . . .	3
1.2.3	Differential forms . . . . .	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Theory of Divisors</b>	<b>7</b>

## 1 Basic Constructions and Properties

### 1.1 Some results from complex analysis

#### 1.1.1 Local normal form

Suppose  $h : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  is a holomorphic function and  $h(0) = 0$ .

#### 1.1.2 Fundamental theorem of algebra

### 1.2 Complex calculus on manifolds

#### 1.2.1 Almost complex structures

Suppose  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be an open subset. We want to decide when  $f$  is holomorphic. We start by looking at the tangent bundles. Let  $z_j = x_j + iy_j : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $x_j, y_j : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are sections of  $T^*U$ . Here by  $T^*U$  we mean the real tangent space of  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Similarly we want to say  $dz_j = dx_j + idy_j$  is a section of  $T^*U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(TU, \mathbb{C})$ . We also have  $d\bar{z} = dx_j - idy_j$  as sections of

$T^*U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ . We may often omit the subscript  $\mathbb{R}$ , but it is important to keep in mind that when we are dealing with these situations  $\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}$  is assumed. Clearly  $dx, dy$  and  $dz, d\bar{z}$  span the same space, since

$$[dz, d\bar{z}] = [dx, dy] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and matrix has determinant  $-2i$ . We may either use basis  $dx_j, dy_j$ 's or  $dz_j, d\bar{z}_j$ 's. For the latter we set the dual basis

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right)$$

Now we may write

$$df = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} dz_j + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} d\bar{z}_j \right)$$

We would like to endow the bundle  $TU$  with the structure of a complex vector bundle, so we need to give an action of  $\mathbb{C}$  on fibers. We would like to say

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$$

We define  $J : TU \rightarrow TU$  by

$$J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \quad J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}\right) = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

Note that  $J^2 = -1$ . Hence we obtain an action of  $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}[J]/(J^2 + 1)$  on  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ , where  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a fiber of  $TU$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional real vector space and  $J : V \rightarrow V$  be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map satisfying  $J^2 = -1$ . Then  $V \otimes \mathbb{C} = V^1 \oplus V^2 \cong V \oplus iV$ , where*

$$\begin{aligned} V' &= \{v - iJv : v \in V\} \\ V'' &= \{v + iJv : v \in V\} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover  $J|_{V'} = i, J|_{V''} = -i$ .

*Proof.* Straightforward verification. □

**Remark 1.2.** Let  $J : V \rightarrow V$  be as above. We want to define a conjugate operation  $V \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V \otimes \mathbb{C}$  given by  $a + ib \mapsto a - ib$ , where  $a, b \in V$ . Note that  $\overline{V'} = V''$ .

**Example** Consider a fiber  $T_p U$ . Suppose we have already defined  $J$ . Then we can write  $T_p U \otimes \mathbb{C} = T'_p U \oplus T''_p U$ , where  $J$  acts on the first component by  $i$  and the second by  $-i$ .  $T'_p U$  is spanned by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - iJ\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$$

and  $T''_p U$  is spanned by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + iJ\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}$$

**Lemma 1.3.** *The composite map  $\varphi' : V \rightarrow V \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong V' \oplus V'' \rightarrow V'$  is complex-linear. Similarly, the composite map  $\varphi'' : V \rightarrow V''$  is conjugate-linear.*

*Proof.* Recall that  $i$  acts on  $V$  as  $J$ , so being complex linear just means

$$\varphi'(Jv) = \frac{1}{2}(Jv - iJ^2v) = \frac{1}{2}(Jv + iv) = \frac{1}{2}i(v - iJv) = i\varphi'(v)$$

□

**Example** Again we look at how this applies to a fiber  $T_p U$ . Consider  $\varphi' : T_p U \rightarrow T'_p U$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} &\mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} &\mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \end{aligned}$$

$J$  serves to permute the vectors in both columns.

### 1.2.2 Holomorphic functions

**Definition 1.4.** A smooth function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic at  $p \in U$  if

$$Df : T_p U \rightarrow T_p \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}$$

is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear with respect to  $J$ .

Equivalently, we could also require that the induced map  $T_p U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow T_p \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  commutes with  $J$ .

Note that  $J : V \rightarrow V$  induces a natural map  $J : V^* \rightarrow V^*$ .  $J$  is self-ajoint in that

$$\langle J\varphi, v \rangle = \langle \varphi, Jv \rangle, \forall \varphi \in V^*, v \in V$$

which can be verified by straightforward computation. We let  $J$  to act on the cotangent spaces as well, so by Lemma 1.1 we have a decomposition

$$T_p^*U \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_p^{1,0}U \oplus T_p^{0,1}U$$

where  $J$  acts on  $T_p^{1,0}U$  as  $i$  and on  $T_p^{0,1}U$  as  $-i$ .  $T_p^{1,0}U$  is spanned by  $dz_1, \dots, dz_n$  and  $T_p^{0,1}U$  is spanned by  $d\bar{z}_1, \dots, d\bar{z}_n$ . Looking back to  $f$ , we see that  $Df_p$  pulls  $dw \in T_{f(p)}^*\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  to  $T_p^{1,0}U$  and  $d\bar{w}$  to  $T_p^{0,1}U$ .

Write  $w = f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . We see that

$$dw \mapsto df = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} dz_j + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} d\bar{z}_j$$

and similarly  $d\bar{w} = d\bar{f}$ . Recall that  $d$  is a real operator, so  $d\bar{f} = \overline{df}$ . Now we make an important observation:

$$\begin{aligned} (Df)^* \text{ commutes with } J &\iff Df^*(dw) \text{ has type } (1,0) \\ &\iff df \text{ has type } (1,0) \\ &\iff \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} = 0, j = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

**Example** Let us look at the case  $n = 1$ . Write  $f = u + iv$ , where  $u, v : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Recall that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$$

and hence

$$2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = (u_x - v_y) + i(u_y + v_x)$$

Therefore  $f$  is holomorphic in our new definition if and only if it satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equations. We can also readily make the following observation:

**Lemma 1.5.**  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic if and only if it is holomorphic in each coordinate, i.e. for all  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in U$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$  the function

$$z \mapsto f(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, z, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n)$$

is holomorphic.

We say a function is *analytic* if at each point it is given by a convergent power series. If  $n = 1$ , then it is well known that  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic if and only if it is analytic. We would like to extend this property to higher dimensions. Let  $\Delta(z_j)$  denote copy of open disk of radius  $r_j$  whose coordinate is labelled  $z_j$ . We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.6.** *A smooth function  $f : \Delta(z_1) \times \cdots \times \Delta(z_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic if and only if there is a power series*

$$\sum_K c_K z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_n^{k_n}, \quad K = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$$

*that converges to  $f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f$  is holomorphic on  $U$ . Choose  $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$  such that  $|z_j| < s_j < r_j$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z_1, \dots, z_n) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w_n|=s_n} \frac{f(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, w_n)}{w_n - z_n} dw_n \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \int_{|w_n|=s_n} \int_{|w_{n-1}|=s_{n-1}} \frac{f(z_1, \dots, w_{n-1}, w_n)}{(w_n - z_n)(w_{n-1} - z_{n-1})} dw_{n-1} dw_n \\ &= \dots \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^n \int \int \cdots \int \frac{f(w_1, \dots, w_n)}{\prod_{j=1}^n (w_j - z_j)} dw_1 \cdots dw_n \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we only need to expand the denominators:

$$\frac{1}{w_j - z_j} = \frac{1}{w_j} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{w_j^n} z_j^n$$

The converse is straightforward by Lemma 1.5. □

### 1.2.3 Differential forms

Let  $M$  be a manifold. We can form the  $k$ th exterior product  $\bigwedge^k T^*M$  and let  $E^k(M)$  be the set of  $C^\infty$  sections. Linear algebra says

$$\bigwedge^k (T^*U \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \left( \bigwedge^p T^{1,0}U \otimes \bigwedge^q T^{0,1}U \right)$$

Therefore  $E_{\mathbb{C}}^k(U) = \{\text{sections of } T^*U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\}$ ,

$$E_{\mathbb{C}}^k(U) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E^{p,q}(U)$$

$E^{**}(U)$  is a bigraded algebra with operators  $\partial, \bar{\partial}$ . We define a map

$$d : E^0(X) \rightarrow E^{1,0} \oplus E^{0,1}$$

by  $df = \partial f + \bar{\partial} f$ , where

$$\partial f = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} dz_j, \quad \bar{\partial} f = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} d\bar{z}_j$$

Note that  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a priori, only a  $C^\infty$  function. It is holomorphic if and only if  $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ .  $\partial, \bar{\partial}$  extend to maps  $E^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow E^{p+1,q}(X), E^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow E^{p,q+1}(X)$  and they still satisfy  $\partial^2, \bar{\partial}^2 = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.7.** *Every complex manifold has a natural orientation.*

**Definition 1.8.** A holomorphic 1-form on a Riemann surface  $X$  is a closed 1-form of type  $(1, 0)$ .

At the first spot of the definition, I find the requirement that the form must be closed a bit weird, but the following lemma tells us that closedness is exactly describing the condition of being “locally holomorphic”.

**Lemma 1.9.** *Let  $f(z)dz$  be a  $(1, 0)$ -form on the disk  $\Delta$ . TFAE:*

- a.  $f(z)dz$  is holomorphic.
- b.  $f(z)$  is holomorphic.
- c.  $\bar{\partial}(f(z)dz) = 0$ .

*Proof.*

$$d(fdz) = df \wedge dz = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} dz + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} d\bar{z} \right) \wedge dz = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} d\bar{z} \wedge dz = 2i \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} dx \wedge dy$$

Therefore

$$d(fdz) = 0 \iff \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0 \iff f \text{ is holomorphic}$$

To show  $a \iff c$ , we first show that  $\bar{\partial}(dz) = 0$ . Since  $d^2z = 0$ , we know that  $(\partial + \bar{\partial})dz = 0$ .  $\partial(dz)$  is a  $(2, 0)$  form, but there is none. Therefore  $\bar{\partial}(dz) = 0$ . Now

$$\bar{\partial}(fdz) = \bar{\partial}f \wedge dz = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} d\bar{z} \wedge dz = d(fdz)$$

□

**Remark 1.10.** More generally, on a Riemann surface  $X$ , if  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a holomorphic function, then  $df$  is a holomorphic 1-form.

**Example** Label the coordinates of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  by  $(x, y)$ . Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$  be the curve defined by  $y^2 = p(x)$ , where  $p(x)$  is some square-free polynomial. Suppose the degree of  $p(x)$  is  $2g + 1$ . We claim that

$$\frac{dx}{y}, \frac{x dx}{y}, \dots, \frac{x^{g-1} dx}{y}$$

Note that  $x : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a holomorphic function, so  $dx$  is a holomorphic 1-form. Let  $X' = X - y^{-1}(0)$ . If

$$p(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{2g} (x - a_j)$$

$y^{-1}(0) = \{(a_j, 0), j = 0, 1, \dots, 2g\}$ . Clearly  $x^n dx/y$  is holomorphic on  $X'$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , so we are really trying to show that these forms are holomorphic at each  $(a_j, 0)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $a_0 = 0$ .

## 2 Theory of Divisors

Let  $M$  be a  $C^\infty$  manifold,  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function. We write  $f = u + iv$ .  $df = du + idv$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued 1-form. It is a section of

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(TM, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(TM \otimes \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cong T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong T^*M \oplus iT^*M$$

We want to define  $L(D) = \{f \in \mathfrak{M}(X) : (f) + D \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}$ . Notation:  $\text{Div}(0) = \sum_p \infty[p]$  and hence  $\text{Div}(0) \geq D$  for all  $D$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Suppose  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ . Then  $f \cdot s(D) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_D)$  if and only if  $f \in L(D)$ .*

**Proposition 2.2.** *If  $X$  is compact and  $\deg D = 0$ , then  $L(D) \neq 0$  if and only if  $D$  is principal.*