OptForce

Author: Sebastián N. Mendoza, Center for Mathematical Modeling, University of Chile. snmendoz@uc.cl

Reviewers(s): Chiam Yu Ng (Costas D. Maranas group), Lin Wang (Costas D. Maranas group), John Sauls

INTRODUCTION:

In this tutorial we will run optForce. For a detailed description of the procedure, please see [1]. Briefly, the problem is to find a set of interventions of size "K" such that when these interventions are applied to a wild-type strain, the mutant created will produce a particular target of interest in a higher rate than the wild-type strain. The interventions could be knockouts (lead to zero the flux for a particular reaction), upregulations (increase the flux for a particular reaction) and downregulations (decrease the flux for a particular reaction).

For example, imagine that we would like to increase the production of succinate in Escherichia coli. Which are the interventions needed to increase the production of succinate? We will approach this problem in this tutorial and we will see how each of the steps of OptForce are solved.

MATERIALS

EQUIPMENT

- 1. MATLAB
- 2. A solver for Mixed Integer Linear Programming (MILP) problems. For example, Gurobi.

EQUIPMENT SETUP


Use changeCobraSolver to choose the solver for MILP problems.

PROCEDURE

The proceduce consists on the following steps

- 1) Maximize specific growth rate and product formation.
- 2) Define constraints for both wild-type and mutant strain:
- 3) Perform flux variability analysis for both wild-type and mutant strain.
- 4) Find must sets, i.e, reactions that MUST increase or decrease their flux in order to achieve the phenotype in the mutant strain.

Figure 1.



5) Find the interventions needed that will ensure a increased production of the target of interest Now, we will approach each step in detail.

STEP 1: Maximize specific growth rate and product formation

changeCobraSolver('gurobi', 'ALL');

First, we load the model. This model comprises only 90 reactions, which describe the central metabolism of E. coli [2].

Then, we change the objective function to maximize biomass ("R75"). We also change the lower bounds, so E. coli will be able to consume glucose, oxygen, sulfate, ammomium, citrate and glycerol.

```
> Gurobi interface added to MATLAB path.
 > gurobi (version 751) is compatible and fully tested with MATLAB R2016b on your operating system.
 > Solver for LP problems has been set to gurobi.
> Gurobi interface added to MATLAB path.
 > qurobi (version 751) is compatible and fully tested with MATLAB R2016b on your operating system.
 > Solver for MILP problems has been set to gurobi.
> Gurobi interface added to MATLAB path.
 > gurobi (version 751) is compatible and fully tested with MATLAB R2016b on your operating system.
 > Solver for QP problems has been set to gurobi.
 > Gurobi interface added to MATLAB path.
 > gurobi (version 751) is compatible and fully tested with MATLAB R2016b on your operating system.
 > Solver for MIQP problems has been set to gurobi.
 > Solver gurobi not supported for problems of type NLP. Currently used: matlab
modelFileName = 'AntCore.mat';
modelDirectory = getDistributedModelFolder(modelFileName); %Look up the
folder for the distributed Models.
modelFileName= [modelDirectory filesep modelFileName]; % Get the full path.
Necessary to be sure, that the right model is loaded
model = readCbModel(modelFileName);
model.c(strcmp(model.rxns, 'R75')) = 1;
model = changeRxnBounds(model, 'EX_gluc', -100, 'l');
model = changeRxnBounds(model, 'EX_o2', -100, '1');
model = changeRxnBounds(model, 'EX_so4', -100, '1');
model = changeRxnBounds(model, 'EX_nh3', -100, '1');
model = changeRxnBounds(model, 'EX_cit', -100, 'l');
model = changeRxnBounds(model, 'EX_glyc', -100, 'l');
```

Then, we calculate the maximum specific growth rate and the maximum production rate for succinate.

```
growthRate = optimizeCbModel(model);
fprintf('The maximum growth rate is %1.2f', growthRate.f);
```

The maximum growth rate is 14.36

```
model = changeObjective(model, 'EX_suc');
maxSucc = optimizeCbModel(model);
fprintf('The maximum production rate of succinate is %1.2f', maxSucc.f);
```

The maximum production rate of succinate is 155.56

TIP: The biomass reaction is usually set to 1%-10% of maximum theoretical biomass yield when running the following steps, to prevent solutions without biomass formation.

- 1. Maximizing product formation
- 2. Finding MUST sets of second order
- 3. Finding FORCE sets

STEP 2: Define constraints for both wild-type and mutant strain

TIMING: This step should take a few days or weeks, depending on the information available for your species.

CRITICAL STEP: This is a manual task, so you should search for information in articles or even perform your own experiments. You can also make assumptions for describing the phenotypes of both strains which will make this task a little faster but make sure to have two strains different enough, because you should be able to find differences in reactions ranges.

We define constraints for each strain as follows:

- 1. The WT strain's biomass function ("R75") is constrained to near the maximum growth rate.
- 2. The mutant strain's biomass function is set to zero. Succinate export ('EX_suc') is forced to be the maximum as calculated previously.

Step 3: Flux Variability Analysis

TIMING: This task should take from a few seconds to a few hours depending on the size of your reconstruction We run the FVA analysis for both strains

```
[minFluxesW, maxFluxesW, minFluxesM, maxFluxesM, ~, ~] = FVAOptForce(model,
...
constrWT, constrMT);
```

Starting parallel pool (parpool) using the 'local' profile ... connected to 4 workers.

```
disp([minFluxesW, maxFluxesW, minFluxesM, maxFluxesM]);
```

```
-90.1251
         97.1300 44.4313 100.0000
         86.0700 44.4375 100.0000
      0
         86.0700
                 44.4375 100.0000
-56.1567
        86.0700 -44.4500
                           11.1143
 21.3033 163.5300 55.5500 111.1143
 -3.0777 154.8640 55.5500 111.1143
      0 151.5086 0 55.5625
      0 187.2551
                    0 55.5687
0 0.0187
      0 169.5163
-10.0660 102.9449
                      0 0.0125
10.0660 66.5714
                      0 0.0063
-10.0660 102.9449 0 0.0125
-48.9454 7.5600 -0.0063 0
-53.9994 2.5060 -0.0063
-53.9994 2.5060 -0.0063

      -2.5060
      53.9994
      0
      0.0063

      0
      86.0700
      0
      55.5625

      0 86.0700 0 55.5625
 9.7020 114.6466 55.5500 55.5625
        56.5564 55.5500 55.5571
     0
 16.0264 145.2048 155.5500 155.5563
 16.0264 145.2048 155.5500 155.5563
 0.9344 130.1128 155.5500 155.5562
 -5.6736 123.5048 155.5500 155.5563
                          0.0062
      0 118.0576 0
40 123.2516 0
 5.1940 123.2516
                            0.0062
-98.1150 123.2516 -55.5625
                           0.0062
      0 151.5086 0 55.5625
0 151.5086 0 55.5625
      0 254.5400 55.5500 777.7875
      0 253.2493 0 722.2375
 -7.1960 94.6056
                       0 0.0125
      0 84.8467 88.8750 88.9000
      0
        84.8467 88.8750 88.9000
      0 175.1064 188.8500 188.9000
      0 175.1064 188.8500 188.9000
 91.4130 107.1280 0
 9.4500
        9.4500
                       0
                                 0
                      0
 2.9400
        2.9400
                                0
         3.9340
                      0
 3.9340
                                0
                      0
 25.4520
        56.8820
                                0
                      0
 3.2060
          3.2060
                                0
                      0
 6.8320
          6.8320
                                0
                      0
        15.7150
      0
                                 0
                      0
 -6.8880
          8.8270
                                 0
                      0
 0.6790
         16.3940
                                 0
      0
         31.4300
                       0
```

3.2620	3.2620	0	0
4.5640	4.5640	0	0
4.5640	4.5640	0	0
7.2380	38.6680	0	0
2.0440	2.0440	0	0
5.6280	5.6280	0	0
5.9920	5.9920	0	0
3.8640	3.8640	0	0
2.4640	2.4640	0	0
1.8340	1.8340	0	0
0.7560	0.7560	0	0
1.2600	1.2600	0	0
2.0440	2.0440	0	0
1.2600	1.2600	0	0
79.7324	200.0000	199.9500	200.0000
0	118.0576	0	0.0062
-39.5563	353.9124	-22.2500	33.3500
0	253.2493	0	722.2375
40.6268	100.0000	99.9875	100.0000
15.0890	100.0000	99.9929	100.0000
-100.0000	84.8467	-100.0000	-99.9500
0	175.1064	188.8500	188.9000
0	101.8016	0	0.0125
134.9718	407.3274	311.1000	311.1187
62.1267	100.0000	99.9750	100.0000
97.4820	97.4820	0	0
3.2620	3.2620	0	0
14.0000	14.0000	0	0
0	175.1064	188.8500	188.9000
134.9718	407.3274	311.1000	311.1187
0	101.8016	0	0.0125
0	253.2493	0	722.2375
-100.0000	-40.6268	-100.0000	-99.9875
-100.0000	-15.0890	-100.0000	-99.9929
-100.0000	84.8467	-100.0000	-99.9500
-97.4820	-97.4820	0	0
-100.0000	-62.1267	-100.0000	-99.9750
-3.2620	-3.2620	0	0
0	105.4230	155.5500	155.5500
0	105.4230	155.5500	155.5500
11.6200	11.6200	0	0
5.0540	5.0540	0	0
5.9920	5.9920	0	0

Now, the run the next step of OptForce.

Step 4: Find Must Sets

TIMING: This task should take from a few seconds to a few hours depending on the size of your reconstruction

First, we define an ID for this run. Each time you run the functions associated to the optForce procedure, some folders can be generated to store inputs used in that run. Outputs are stored as well. These folders will be located inside the folder defined by your run ID. Thus, if your runID is "TestOptForce", the structure of the folders will be the following:

```
CurrentFolder
| TestOptForce
| Inputs
```

```
Outputs
```

To avoid the generation of inputs and outputs folders, set keepInputs = 0, printExcel = 0 and printText = 0.

Also, a report of the run is generated each time you run the functions associated to the optForce procedure. So, the idea is to give a different runID each time you run the functions, so you will be able to see the report (inputs used, outputs generated, errors in the run) for each run.

We define then our runID.

```
runID = 'TestOptForceM';
```

Fow now, only functions to find first and second order must sets are supported in this third step. As depicted in **Figure 1**, the first order must sets are MUSTU and MUSTL; and second order must sets are MUSTUU, MUSTLL and MUSTUL.

A) Finding first order must sets

We define constraints.

```
constrOpt = struct('rxnList', {{'EX_gluc', 'R75', 'EX_suc'}}, 'values',
[-100, 0, 155.5]');
```

We then run the functions findMustL and findMustU that will allow us to find mustL and mustL sets, respectively.

i) MustL Set:

```
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2715 nonzeros
Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
 Matrix range
                [5e-02, 1e+03]
  Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
  Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03]
  RHS range
                  [5e-01, 1e+03]
Presolve removed 564 rows and 482 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 146 rows, 316 columns, 957 nonzeros
Variable types: 273 continuous, 43 integer (43 binary)
Root relaxation: objective 9.748200e+01, 169 iterations, 0.00 seconds
                                       Objective Bounds
                 Current Node
 Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time
```

97.4820000 97.48200 0.00% - 0s

Explored 0 nodes (169 simplex iterations) in 0.02 seconds Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)

Solution count 1: 97.482

Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)

Best objective 9.748200000000e+01, best bound 9.748200000000e+01, gap 0.0000%

Academic license - for non-commercial use only

Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2710 nonzeros

Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary)

Coefficient statistics:

Matrix range [5e-02, 1e+03]
Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03]
RHS range [5e-01, 1e+03]

Presolve removed 564 rows and 483 columns

Presolve time: 0.01s

Presolved: 146 rows, 315 columns, 954 nonzeros

Variable types: 273 continuous, 42 integer (42 binary)

Root relaxation: objective 9.141300e+01, 174 iterations, 0.00 seconds

Nodes | Current Node | Objective Bounds | Work
Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time

* 0 0 0 91.4130000 91.41300 0.00% - Os

Explored 0 nodes (174 simplex iterations) in 0.02 seconds Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)

Solution count 1: 91.413

Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)

Best objective 9.1413000000000e+01, best bound 9.141300000000e+01, gap 0.0000%

Academic license - for non-commercial use only

Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2705 nonzeros

Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary)

Coefficient statistics:

Matrix range [5e-02, 1e+03] Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00] Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03] RHS range [5e-01, 1e+03]

Presolve removed 564 rows and 484 columns

Presolve time: 0.01s

Presolved: 146 rows, 314 columns, 951 nonzeros

Variable types: 273 continuous, 41 integer (41 binary)

Root relaxation: objective 2.545200e+01, 149 iterations, 0.00 seconds

Nodes | Current Node | Objective Bounds | Work
Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time

* 0 0 0 25.4520000 25.45200 0.00% - Os

Explored 0 nodes (149 simplex iterations) in 0.03 seconds Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)

Solution count 1: 25.452

Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)

Best objective 2.545200000000e+01, best bound 2.545200000000e+01, gap 0.0000%

Academic license - for non-commercial use only Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2700 nonzeros Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary) Coefficient statistics: [5e-02, 1e+03]Matrix range Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00] Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03] [5e-01, 1e+03] RHS range Presolve removed 564 rows and 485 columns Presolve time: 0.01s Presolved: 146 rows, 313 columns, 948 nonzeros Variable types: 273 continuous, 40 integer (40 binary) Root relaxation: objective 1.162000e+01, 182 iterations, 0.00 seconds Current Node Objective Bounds Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time 11.6200000 11.62000 0.00% - Os Explored 0 nodes (182 simplex iterations) in 0.03 seconds Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors) Solution count 1: 11.62 Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12) Best objective 1.162000000000e+01, best bound 1.16200000000e+01, gap 0.0000% Academic license - for non-commercial use only Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2695 nonzeros Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary) Coefficient statistics: [5e-02, 1e+03] Matrix range Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00] Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03] RHS range [5e-01, 1e+03] Presolve removed 564 rows and 486 columns Presolve time: 0.01s Presolved: 146 rows, 312 columns, 945 nonzeros Variable types: 273 continuous, 39 integer (39 binary) Root relaxation: objective 1.000350e+01, 202 iterations, 0.00 seconds Current Node Objective Bounds Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time * 0 0 10.0035000 10.00350 0.00% Explored 0 nodes (202 simplex iterations) in 0.02 seconds Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors) Solution count 1: 10.0035 Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12) Best objective 1.0003500000000e+01, best bound 1.000350000000e+01, gap 0.0000% Academic license - for non-commercial use only Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2690 nonzeros Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary) Coefficient statistics: Matrix range [5e-02, 1e+03] Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00] Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03] RHS range [5e-01, 1e+03] Presolve removed 567 rows and 488 columns

Presolve time: 0.01s

Presolved: 143 rows, 310 columns, 933 nonzeros

Variable types: 272 continuous, 38 integer (38 binary)

Root relaxation: objective 9.450000e+00, 174 iterations, 0.00 seconds

	Nodes		Cu	rrent Node	Objecti	Objective Bounds			Work	
Exp	ol Une	xpl	Obj	Depth IntInf	Incumbent	BestBd	Gap	It/Node	Time	
*	0	0		0	9.4500000	9.45000	0.00%	_	0s	

Explored 0 nodes (174 simplex iterations) in 0.02 seconds Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)

Solution count 1: 9.45

Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)

Best objective 9.450000000000e+00, best bound 9.45000000000e+00, gap 0.0000%

Academic license - for non-commercial use only

Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2685 nonzeros

Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary)

Coefficient statistics:

Matrix range [5e-02, 1e+03]
Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03]
RHS range [5e-01, 1e+03]

Presolve removed 567 rows and 489 columns

Presolve time: 0.01s

Presolved: 143 rows, 309 columns, 930 nonzeros

Variable types: 272 continuous, 37 integer (37 binary)

Root relaxation: objective 7.238000e+00, 167 iterations, 0.00 seconds

	Node	s	Cu	rrent Node	Object:	Objective Bounds			
Exp	ol Un	expl	Obj	Depth IntInf	Incumbent	BestBd	Gap	It/Node	Time
*	0	0		0	7.2380000	7.23800	0.00%	_	0s

Explored 0 nodes (167 simplex iterations) in 0.02 seconds Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)

Solution count 1: 7.238

Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)

Best objective 7.238000000001e+00, best bound 7.23800000001e+00, gap 0.0000%

Academic license - for non-commercial use only

Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2680 nonzeros

Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary)

Coefficient statistics:

Matrix range [5e-02, 1e+03] Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00] Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03] RHS range [5e-01, 1e+03]

Presolve removed 567 rows and 490 columns

Presolve time: 0.01s

Presolved: 143 rows, 308 columns, 927 nonzeros

Variable types: 272 continuous, 36 integer (36 binary)

Root relaxation: objective 6.832000e+00, 175 iterations, 0.00 seconds

	Nodes		Cu	rrent Node	Objecti	Objective Bounds			Work	
Exp	ol Une	xpl	Obj	Depth IntInf	Incumbent	BestBd	Gap	It/Node	Time	
	•			•			0 000			
*	0	U		0	6.8320000	6.83200	0.00%	_	Us	

```
Explored 0 nodes (175 simplex iterations) in 0.02 seconds
Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)
Solution count 1: 6.832
Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)
Best objective 6.83200000001e+00, best bound 6.83200000001e+00, gap 0.0000%
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2675 nonzeros
Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
 Matrix range
                 [5e-02, 1e+03]
 Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
 Bounds range
                  [1e+00, 1e+03]
 RHS range
                   [5e-01, 1e+03]
Presolve removed 567 rows and 491 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 143 rows, 307 columns, 924 nonzeros
Variable types: 272 continuous, 35 integer (35 binary)
Root relaxation: objective 5.992000e+00, 186 iterations, 0.00 seconds
   Nodes
                  Current Node
                                        Objective Bounds
                                                                    Work
Expl Unexpl
               Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent
                                                BestBd
                                                          Gap
                                                               It/Node Time
                                   5.9920000
                                                5.99200 0.00%
Explored 0 nodes (186 simplex iterations) in 0.03 seconds
Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)
Solution count 1: 5.992
Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)
Best objective 5.99200000001e+00, best bound 5.99200000001e+00, gap 0.0000%
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2670 nonzeros
Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
                 [5e-02, 1e+03]
 Matrix range
 Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
                  [1e+00, 1e+03]
 Bounds range
                  [5e-01, 1e+03]
 RHS range
Presolve removed 567 rows and 492 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 143 rows, 306 columns, 921 nonzeros
Variable types: 272 continuous, 34 integer (34 binary)
Root relaxation: objective 5.992000e+00, 146 iterations, 0.00 seconds
```

Note that the folder "TestOptForceM" was created. Inside this folder, two additional folders were created: "InputsMustL" and "OutputsMustL". In the inputs folder you will find all the inputs required to run the the function findMustL. Additionally, in the outputs folder you will find the mustL set found, which were saved in two files (.xls and .txt). Furthermore, a report which summarize all the inputs and outputs used during your running was generated. The name of the report will be in this format "report-Day-Month-Year-Hour-Minutes". So, you can mantain a chronological order of your experiments.

We display the reactions that belongs to the must L set.

```
disp(mustLSet)
```

```
'R11'
'R26'
'R37'
'R38'
'R39'
'R40'
'R41'
'R42'
'R43'
'R46'
'R48'
'R49'
'R50'
'R51'
'R52'
'R53'
'R54'
'R55'
'R56'
'R57'
'R58'
'R59'
'R60'
'R61'
'R73'
'R74'
'PSEUDOpyr_1'
'PSEUDOpep_1'
'PSEUDOco2 1'
```

ii) MustU set:

```
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2769 nonzeros
Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
                [5e-02, 1e+03]
 Matrix range
  Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
                 [1e+00, 1e+03]
  Bounds range
  RHS range
                  [5e-01, 1e+03]
Presolve removed 472 rows and 450 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 238 rows, 348 columns, 1244 nonzeros
Variable types: 300 continuous, 48 integer (48 binary)
Root relaxation: objective 1.063553e+02, 221 iterations, 0.00 seconds
                Current Node
                                       Objective Bounds
 Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd
                                                         Gap | It/Node Time
          0 106.35533
                         0
                               2
                                          - 106.35533
                                                                       0s
```

0 0s 0 0 97.4820000 97.48200 0.00% Cutting planes: Gomory: 1 Implied bound: 1 Explored 1 nodes (236 simplex iterations) in 0.06 seconds Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors) Solution count 1: 97.482 Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12) Best objective 9.748200000000e+01, best bound 9.74820000000e+01, gap 0.0000% Academic license - for non-commercial use only Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2764 nonzeros Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary) Coefficient statistics: [5e-02, 1e+03] Matrix range Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00] [1e+00, 1e+03] Bounds range [5e-01, 1e+03] RHS range Presolve removed 472 rows and 451 columns Presolve time: 0.01s Presolved: 238 rows, 347 columns, 1241 nonzeros Variable types: 300 continuous, 47 integer (47 binary) Root relaxation: objective 1.063553e+02, 244 iterations, 0.00 seconds Nodes Current Node Objective Bounds Work Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent Gap | It/Node Time BestBd 0 106.35533 0 - 106.35533 2 Ω 0 50.0770000 0 50.07700 0.00% 0s Cutting planes: Gomory: 1 Implied bound: 2 Explored 1 nodes (288 simplex iterations) in 0.04 seconds Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors) Solution count 1: 50.077 Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12) Best objective 5.007699999999e+01, best bound 5.00769999999e+01, gap 0.0000% Academic license - for non-commercial use only Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2759 nonzeros Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary) Coefficient statistics: [5e-02, 1e+03] Matrix range Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00] Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03] RHS range [5e-01, 1e+03] Presolve removed 472 rows and 452 columns Presolve time: 0.01s Presolved: 238 rows, 346 columns, 1237 nonzeros Variable types: 300 continuous, 46 integer (46 binary) Root relaxation: objective 1.063553e+02, 229 iterations, 0.00 seconds Current Node Objective Bounds Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time

0s

- 106.35533

0 106.35533 0

2

0 31.99518 0.00% 0 0 31.9951818 0s Cutting planes: Gomory: 1 Implied bound: 1 Explored 1 nodes (245 simplex iterations) in 0.05 seconds Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors) Solution count 1: 31.9952 Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12) Best objective 3.199518181818e+01, best bound 3.199518181818e+01, gap 0.0000% Academic license - for non-commercial use only Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2754 nonzeros Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary) Coefficient statistics: [5e-02, 1e+03] Matrix range Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00] [1e+00, 1e+03] Bounds range [5e-01, 1e+03] RHS range Presolve removed 476 rows and 454 columns Presolve time: 0.01s Presolved: 234 rows, 344 columns, 1222 nonzeros Variable types: 299 continuous, 45 integer (45 binary) Root relaxation: objective 1.063553e+02, 256 iterations, 0.00 seconds Nodes Current Node Objective Bounds Work Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent Gap | It/Node Time BestBd 0 106.35533 0 - 106.35533 2 Ω 0 25.3871818 0 25.38718 0.00% 0s Cutting planes: Gomory: 1 Implied bound: 1 Explored 1 nodes (265 simplex iterations) in 0.04 seconds Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors) Solution count 1: 25.3872 Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12) Best objective 2.538718181817e+01, best bound 2.538718181817e+01, gap 0.0000% Academic license - for non-commercial use only Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2749 nonzeros Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary) Coefficient statistics: Matrix range [5e-02, 1e+03] Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00] Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03] RHS range [5e-01, 1e+03] Presolve removed 480 rows and 456 columns Presolve time: 0.01s Presolved: 230 rows, 342 columns, 1207 nonzeros Variable types: 298 continuous, 44 integer (44 binary) Root relaxation: objective 1.063553e+02, 250 iterations, 0.00 seconds Current Node Objective Bounds Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time

0s

- 106.35533

0 106.35533 0

2

```
0
           0
              13.39362
                                               13.39362
                                                                        0s
                                  13.3936250
Η
     0
           0
                                               13.39362 0.00%
                                                                        0s
Cutting planes:
 Gomory: 1
  Implied bound: 1
Explored 1 nodes (266 simplex iterations) in 0.03 seconds
Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)
Solution count 1: 13.3936
Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)
Best objective 1.339362500000e+01, best bound 1.339362500000e+01, gap 0.0000%
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2744 nonzeros
Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
                 [5e-02, 1e+03]
 Matrix range
 Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
                  [1e+00, 1e+03]
 Bounds range
                  [5e-01, 1e+03]
 RHS range
Presolve removed 483 rows and 458 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 227 rows, 340 columns, 1195 nonzeros
Variable types: 297 continuous, 43 integer (43 binary)
Root relaxation: objective 1.063553e+02, 225 iterations, 0.00 seconds
                                        Objective Bounds
                 Current Node
   Nodes
Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent
                                               BestBd
                                                          Gap | It/Node Time
                           0
                               2
           0 106.35533
                                           - 106.35533
                           0
     0
           0
             53.36022
                                3
                                              53.36022
                                                                        0s
     0
           0
                                 13.3936250
                                             53.36022
                                                          298%
Η
                                                                        0s
Cutting planes:
 Gomory: 1
  Implied bound: 1
 MIR: 3
  StrongCG: 1
Explored 1 nodes (313 simplex iterations) in 0.04 seconds
Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)
Solution count 1: 13.3936
Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)
Best objective 1.339362500000e+01, best bound 1.339362500000e+01, gap 0.0000%
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2739 nonzeros
Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
 Matrix range
                [5e-02, 1e+03]
 Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
  Bounds range
                 [1e+00, 1e+03]
 RHS range
                   [5e-01, 1e+03]
Presolve removed 486 rows and 460 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 224 rows, 338 columns, 1183 nonzeros
Variable types: 296 continuous, 42 integer (42 binary)
Root relaxation: objective 1.063553e+02, 231 iterations, 0.00 seconds
```

```
Objective Bounds
          Current Node
Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time
             106.35533 0 2
13.39362 0 2
         0 106.35533 0
                                         - 106.35533
          0
                                            13.39362
                               13.3936250 13.39362 0.00%
    0
Cutting planes:
 Gomory: 1
 Implied bound: 2
Explored 1 nodes (317 simplex iterations) in 0.03 seconds
Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)
Solution count 1: 13.3936
Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)
Best objective 1.339362500000e+01, best bound 1.339362500000e+01, gap 0.0000%
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2734 nonzeros
Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
 Matrix range [5e-02, 1e+03]
 Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
 Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03] RHS range [5e-01, 1e+03]
Presolve removed 490 rows and 463 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 220 rows, 335 columns, 1171 nonzeros
Variable types: 294 continuous, 41 integer (41 binary)
Root relaxation: objective 1.063553e+02, 211 iterations, 0.00 seconds
                 Current Node
                                     Objective Bounds
Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time
        0 106.35533 0 2 - 106.35533
                        0 13.3936250 13.39362 0.00%
Cutting planes:
 Gomory: 1
 Implied bound: 2
Explored 1 nodes (300 simplex iterations) in 0.03 seconds
Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)
Solution count 1: 13.3936
Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)
Best objective 1.339362500000e+01, best bound 1.339362500000e+01, gap 0.0000%
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 710 rows, 798 columns and 2729 nonzeros
Variable types: 708 continuous, 90 integer (90 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
 Matrix range [5e-02, 1e+03]
 Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
 Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03]
 RHS range [5e-01, 1e+03]
Presolve removed 492 rows and 464 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
```

Note that the folders "InputsMustU" and "OutputsFindMustU" were created. These folders contain the inputs and outputs of findMustU, respectively.

We display the reactions that belongs to the mustU set.

```
'R21'
'R22'
'R23'
'R24'
'R33'
'R34'
'R35'
'R36'
'R36'
'R69'
```

B) Finding second order must sets

First, we define the reactions that will be excluded from the analysis. It is suggested to include in this list the reactions found in the previous step as well as exchange reactions.

```
constrOpt = struct('rxnList', {{'EX_gluc', 'R75', 'EX_suc'}}, 'values',
[-100, 0, 155.5]');
exchangeRxns = model.rxns(cellfun(@isempty, strfind(model.rxns, 'EX_')) ==
0);
excludedRxns = unique([mustUSet; mustLSet; exchangeRxns]);
```

Now, we run the functions for finding second order must sets.

i) MustUU:

```
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 1165 rows, 980 columns and 4128 nonzeros
Variable types: 800 continuous, 180 integer (180 binary)
Coefficient statistics:

Matrix range [5e-02, 2e+03]
Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03]
RHS range [1e-01, 2e+03]
Presolve removed 799 rows and 578 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 366 rows, 402 columns, 1596 nonzeros
Variable types: 324 continuous, 78 integer (78 binary)

Root relaxation: objective 2.127107e+02, 266 iterations, 0.00 seconds
```

```
Current Node | Objective Bounds
Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time
  0
                         0
                               212.7106667 212.71067 0.00%
        0
Explored 0 nodes (361 simplex iterations) in 0.03 seconds
Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)
Solution count 1: 212.711
Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)
Best objective 2.127106666667e+02, best bound 2.127106666667e+02, gap 0.0000%
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 1167 rows, 980 columns and 4132 nonzeros
Variable types: 800 continuous, 180 integer (180 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
 Matrix range [5e-02, 2e+03]
 Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
 Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03]
                [1e-01, 2e+03]
 RHS range
Presolve removed 802 rows and 578 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 365 rows, 402 columns, 1596 nonzeros
Variable types: 324 continuous, 78 integer (78 binary)
Root relaxation: objective 1.585013e+02, 269 iterations, 0.00 seconds
                Current Node
                                     Objective Bounds
Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time
                        0
                              158.5013333 158.50133 0.00% - Os
Explored 0 nodes (269 simplex iterations) in 0.03 seconds
Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)
Solution count 1: 158.501
Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)
Best objective 1.585013333333e+02, best bound 1.585013333333e+02, gap 0.0000%
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 1169 rows, 980 columns and 4136 nonzeros
Variable types: 800 continuous, 180 integer (180 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
 Matrix range [5e-02, 2e+03]
 Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
 Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03] RHS range [1e-01, 2e+03]
Presolve removed 803 rows and 578 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 366 rows, 402 columns, 1602 nonzeros
Variable types: 324 continuous, 78 integer (78 binary)
Root relaxation: objective 1.237373e+02, 274 iterations, 0.00 seconds
                Current Node
                                     Objective Bounds
Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time
                                      - 123.73733
    0
          0 123.73733 0
                            4
                                                                     0s
    0
         0 infeasible 0

    infeasible
```

Cutting planes:
Gomory: 1

Flow cover: 1

```
Explored 1 nodes (282 simplex iterations) in 0.05 seconds
Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)

Solution count 0

Model is infeasible
Best objective -, best bound -, gap -
a MustUU set was found
MustUU set was printed in MustUU.txt
MustUU set was also printed in MustUU_Info.txt
```

Note that the folders "InputsMustUU" and "OutputsFindMustUU" were created. These folders contain the inputs and outputs of findMustUU, respectively.

We display the reactions that belongs to the mustuu set

```
disp(mustUU);

'R30' 'R65'
'R31' 'R65'
```

ii) MustLL:

```
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 1165 rows, 980 columns and 4074 nonzeros
Variable types: 800 continuous, 180 integer (180 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
                 [5e-02, 2e+03]
 Matrix range
 Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
                 [1e+00, 1e+03]
 Bounds range
                  [1e-01, 2e+03]
 RHS range
Presolve removed 799 rows and 578 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 366 rows, 402 columns, 1633 nonzeros
Variable types: 324 continuous, 78 integer (78 binary)
Root relaxation: infeasible, 273 iterations, 0.00 seconds
   Nodes
                 Current Node
                                       Objective Bounds
                                                                   Work
Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd
                                                         Gap | It/Node Time
    0
          0 infeasible
                                          - infeasible
                                                                       0s
Explored 0 nodes (273 simplex iterations) in 0.02 seconds
Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)
Solution count 0
```

```
Model is infeasible

Best objective -, best bound -, gap -
a MustLL set was not found

No mustLL set was not found. Therefore, no plain text file was generated
```

Note that the folders "InputsMustLL" and "OutputsFindMustLL" were created. These folders contain the inputs and outputs of findMustLL, respectively.

We display the reactions that belongs to the mustLL set. In this case, mustLL is an empty array because no reaction was found in the mustLL set.

```
disp(mustLL);
```

iii) MustUL:

```
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 1165 rows, 980 columns and 4101 nonzeros
Variable types: 800 continuous, 180 integer (180 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
  Matrix range
                [5e-02, 2e+03]
  Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
 Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03]
  RHS range
                  [1e-01, 2e+03]
Presolve removed 799 rows and 578 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 366 rows, 402 columns, 1649 nonzeros
Variable types: 324 continuous, 78 integer (78 binary)
Root relaxation: objective 1.063553e+02, 297 iterations, 0.00 seconds
                 Current Node
                                       Objective Bounds
   Nodes
                                                                   Work
 Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time
                               2
     0
           0 106.35533 0
                                         - 106.35533
                                                                       0s
     0
           0 infeasible 0
                                          - infeasible
Cutting planes:
  Gomory: 1
  Flow cover: 2
Explored 1 nodes (301 simplex iterations) in 0.05 seconds
Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)
Solution count 0
Model is infeasible
Best objective -, best bound -, gap -
a MustUL set was not found
No mustUL set was not found. Therefore, no plain text file was generated
```

Note that the folders "InputsMustUL" and "OutputsFindMustUL" were created. These folders contain the inputs and outputs of findMustUL, respectively.

We display the reactions that belongs to the mustUL set. In this case, mustUL is an empty array because no reaction was found in the mustUL set.

```
disp(mustUL);
```

TROUBLESHOOTING 1: "I didn't find any reaction in my must sets"

TROUBLESHOOTING 2: "I got an error when running the findMustX functions (X = L or U or LL or UL or UU depending on the case)"

Step 5: OptForce

TIMING: This task should take from a few seconds to a few hours depending on the size of your reconstruction

We define constraints and we define K the number of interventions allowed, nSets the maximum number of sets to find, and targetRxn the reaction producing the metabolite of interest (in this case, succinate).

Additionally, we define the mustU set as the union of the reactions that must be upregulated in both first and second order must sets; and mustL set as the union of the reactions that must be downregulated in both first and second order must sets.

```
mustU = unique(union(mustUSet, mustUU));
mustL = unique(union(mustLSet, mustLL));
targetRxn = 'EX_suc';
biomassRxn = 'R75';
k = 1;
nSets = 1;
constrOpt = struct('rxnList', {{'EX_gluc','R75'}}, 'values', [-100, 0]);

[optForceSets, posOptForceSets, typeRegOptForceSets, flux_optForceSets] = ...
    optForce(model, targetRxn, biomassRxn, mustU, mustL, ...
        minFluxesW, maxFluxesW, minFluxesM, maxFluxesM, ...
        'k', k, 'nSets', nSets, 'constrOpt', constrOpt, ...
        'runID', runID, 'outputFolder', 'OutputSOptForce', ...
        'outputFileName', 'OptForce', 'printExcel', 1, 'printText', 1,
...
        'printReport', 1, 'keepInputs', 1, 'verbose', 1);
```

```
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 2062 rows, 1248 columns and 6306 nonzeros
Variable types: 978 continuous, 270 integer (270 binary)
Coefficient statistics:

Matrix range [5e-02, 1e+03]
Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03]
RHS range [1e+00, 1e+03]
Presolve removed 1216 rows and 437 columns
Presolve time: 0.02s
Presolved: 846 rows, 811 columns, 3005 nonzeros
```

```
Variable types: 678 continuous, 133 integer (133 binary)
Root relaxation: objective 1.555556e+02, 328 iterations, 0.01 seconds
                 Current Node
                                     Objective Bounds
 Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time
                                        - 155.55556
          0 155.55556 0 3
    0
                                                                     0s
                               -0.0000000 155.55556
    0
          0
                                                                     0s
Η
    0
          0 155.55556
                        0
                             2 -0.00000 155.55556
                                                                     0s
    0
          2 155.55556 0 2 -0.00000 155.55556
                                                                    0s
   83
                         43
                              155.5500000 155.55000 0.00% 14.5
                                                                    0s
Explored 86 nodes (2908 simplex iterations) in 0.15 seconds
Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)
Solution count 2: 155.55 -0
Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)
Best objective 1.555500000000e+02, best bound 1.555500000000e+02, gap 0.0000%
set n 1 was found
optForce found 1 sets
```

Note that the folders "InputsOptForce" and "OutputsOptForce" were created. These folders contain the inputs and outputs of optForce, respectively.

We display the reactions found by optForce

Sets found by optForce were printed in OptForce.txt

```
disp(optForceSets)
```

The reaction found was "SUCt", i.e. a transporter for succinate (a very intuitive solution).

Next, we will increase k and we will exclude "SUCt" from upregulations to find non-intuitive solutions.

TIP: Sometimes the product is at the end of a long linear pathway. In that case, the recomendation is to also exclude most reactions on the linear pathway. Essential reactions and reactions not associated with any gene (i.e. spontaneous reacitons) should also be excluded.

We will only search for the 20 best solutions, but you can try with a higher number.

We will change the runID to save this second result (K = 2) in a different folder than the previous result (K = 1)

```
k = 2;
nSets = 20;
runID = 'TestOptForceM2';
excludedRxns = struct('rxnList', {{'SUCt'}}, 'typeReg','U');
[optForceSets, posOptForceSets, typeRegOptForceSets, flux_optForceSets] = ...
    optForce(model, targetRxn, biomassRxn, mustU, mustL, ...
        minFluxesW, maxFluxesW, minFluxesM, maxFluxesM, ...
    'k', k, 'nSets', nSets, 'constrOpt', constrOpt, ...
    'excludedRxns', excludedRxns, ...
    'runID', runID, 'outputFolder', 'OutputsOptForce', ...
```

```
'outputFileName', 'OptForce', 'printExcel', 1, 'printText', 1,
. . .
               'printReport', 1, 'keepInputs', 1, 'verbose', 1);
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 2062 rows, 1248 columns and 6306 nonzeros
Variable types: 978 continuous, 270 integer (270 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
                [5e-02, 1e+03]
 Matrix range
 Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
                 [1e+00, 1e+03]
 Bounds range
 RHS range
                  [1e+00, 1e+03]
Presolve removed 1176 rows and 439 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 886 rows, 809 columns, 3082 nonzeros
Variable types: 677 continuous, 132 integer (132 binary)
Root relaxation: objective 1.555556e+02, 372 iterations, 0.01 seconds
                 Current Node
                                      Objective Bounds
   Nodes
Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd
                                                       Gap | It/Node Time
    0
          0 155.55556
                          0
                                         - 155.55556
                              2
    0
                                 0.0000000 155.55556
Η
          Ω
    0
          0 155.55556 0
                              2 0.00000 155.55556
                                                                     0s
    0
          2 155.55556 0 2 0.00000 155.55556
                        20
                              155.5500000 155.55556 0.00% 10.3
Cutting planes:
 Cover: 3
Explored 388 nodes (4394 simplex iterations) in 0.15 seconds
Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)
Solution count 2: 155.55 3.03118e-10
Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)
Best objective 1.555500000008e+02, best bound 1.555500000008e+02, gap 0.0000%
set n 1 was found
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 2063 rows, 1248 columns and 6308 nonzeros
Variable types: 978 continuous, 270 integer (270 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
 Matrix range [5e-02, 1e+03]
 Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
 Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03]
 RHS range
                  [1e+00, 1e+03]
Presolve removed 1176 rows and 439 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 887 rows, 809 columns, 3084 nonzeros
Variable types: 677 continuous, 132 integer (132 binary)
Root relaxation: objective 1.555556e+02, 372 iterations, 0.01 seconds
                 Current Node
                                      Objective Bounds
Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time
    0
          0 155.55556
                          0
                                         - 155.55556
                               -0.0000000 155.55556
Η
    0
          0
          0 155.55556
                        0
                              2 -0.00000 155.55556
    0
                         0
    0
          2 155.55556
                              2 -0.00000 155.55556
                                                                     0s
   61
         35
                         14
                                 0.0000000 155.55556
                                                          - 13.6
                                                                     0s
```

155.5500000 155.55556 0.00% 15.7

73

36

16

Cutting planes: Cover: 1 Inf proof: 2 Explored 359 nodes (5346 simplex iterations) in 0.16 seconds Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors) Solution count 3: 155.55 1.7684e-10 -0 Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12) Best objective 1.555500000001e+02, best bound 1.555500000001e+02, gap 0.0000% set n 2 was found Academic license - for non-commercial use only Optimize a model with 2064 rows, 1248 columns and 6310 nonzeros Variable types: 978 continuous, 270 integer (270 binary) Coefficient statistics: Matrix range [5e-02, 1e+03] Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00] Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03] [1e+00, 1e+03] RHS range Presolve removed 1176 rows and 439 columns Presolve time: 0.01s Presolved: 888 rows, 809 columns, 3086 nonzeros Variable types: 677 continuous, 132 integer (132 binary) Root relaxation: objective 1.555556e+02, 372 iterations, 0.01 seconds Current Node Objective Bounds Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time 0 155.55556 0 2 - 155.55556 0 0.0000000 155.55556 Ω Ω Η 0 155.55556 0 2 0.00000 155.55556 Ω 0s 2 155.55556 0 2 0.00000 155.55556 Ω 0s 25 92 155.5500000 155.55556 0.00% 18.9 Os Cutting planes: Inf proof: 3 Explored 367 nodes (6601 simplex iterations) in 0.16 seconds Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors) Solution count 2: 155.55 6.82121e-10

Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)

Best objective 1.555500000000e+02, best bound 1.555500000000e+02, gap 0.0000% set n 3 was found

Academic license - for non-commercial use only

Optimize a model with 2065 rows, 1248 columns and 6312 nonzeros

Variable types: 978 continuous, 270 integer (270 binary)

Coefficient statistics:

Matrix range [5e-02, 1e+03] Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00] Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03] [1e+00, 1e+03] RHS range

Presolve removed 1176 rows and 439 columns

Presolve time: 0.01s

Presolved: 889 rows, 809 columns, 3088 nonzeros

Variable types: 677 continuous, 132 integer (132 binary)

Root relaxation: objective 1.555556e+02, 372 iterations, 0.01 seconds

Objective Bounds Current Node Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time

```
0
     0 155.55556 0 2
                                  - 155.55556
                          -0.0000000 155.55556
 0
      0
      2 155.55556
                       2 -0.00000 155.55556
                   0
 0
                                                           0s
                         155.5437500 155.55556 0.01% 11.7
111
      33
                    29
289
                   17
                         155.5500000 155.55556 0.00% 10.5
      27
```

Cutting planes:

Cover: 6
Inf proof: 3

Explored 450 nodes (4943 simplex iterations) in 0.17 seconds Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)

Solution count 3: 155.55 155.544 -0

Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)

Best objective 1.5555000000000e+02, best bound 1.5555000000000e+02, gap 0.0000% set n 4 was found

Academic license - for non-commercial use only

Optimize a model with 2066 rows, 1248 columns and 6314 nonzeros

Variable types: 978 continuous, 270 integer (270 binary)

Coefficient statistics:

Matrix range [5e-02, 1e+03] Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00] Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03] RHS range [1e+00, 1e+03]

Presolve removed 1176 rows and 439 columns

Presolve time: 0.01s

Presolved: 890 rows, 809 columns, 3090 nonzeros

Variable types: 677 continuous, 132 integer (132 binary)

Root relaxation: objective 1.555556e+02, 372 iterations, 0.01 seconds

Nodes Current Node		Objective Bounds			Work					
E	xpl Un	expl	Obj Dept	th Int	Inf	Incumbent	BestBd	Gap	It/Node	Time
	0	0	155.55556	0	2	-	155.55556	_	-	0s
Η	0	0			-	-0.0000000	155.55556	_	-	0s
	0	0	155.55556	0	2	-0.00000	155.55556	-	-	0s
	0	2	155.55556	0	2	-0.00000	155.55556	-	-	0s
*	111	44		32	8	31.4666667	155.55556	90.9%	11.7	0s
*	121	32		40	13	39.9900000	155.55556	11.1%	10.8	0s
*	279	39		18	15	55.5437500	155.55556	0.01%	11.9	0s
Η	478	17			15	55.5500000	155.55556	0.00%	10.6	0s

Cutting planes:

Cover: 1

Explored 591 nodes (6701 simplex iterations) in 0.19 seconds Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)

Solution count 5: 155.55 155.544 139.99 ... -0

Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)

Best objective 1.55550000000000e+02, best bound 1.5555000000000e+02, gap 0.0000% set n 5 was found

Academic license - for non-commercial use only

Optimize a model with 2067 rows, 1248 columns and 6316 nonzeros

Variable types: 978 continuous, 270 integer (270 binary)

Coefficient statistics:

Matrix range [5e-02, 1e+03] Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00] Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03]

```
[1e+00, 1e+03]
 RHS range
Presolve removed 1176 rows and 439 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 891 rows, 809 columns, 3092 nonzeros
Variable types: 677 continuous, 132 integer (132 binary)
Root relaxation: objective 1.555556e+02, 372 iterations, 0.01 seconds
   Nodes
                Current Node
                              Objective Bounds
Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time
        0 155.55556 0
                            2
    Ω
                                       - 155.55556
                               -0.0000000 155.55556
  0
        0
                            2 -0.00000 155.55556
    0
        0 155.55556 0
                                                                    0s
    0
         2 155.55556 0 2 -0.00000 155.55556
                             81.4666667 155.55556 90.9% 14.6
139.9900000 155.55556 11.1% 13.1
  106 39
                       28
                        42
  121 33
  234
       22
                       21
                              155.5437500 155.55556 0.01% 13.3
                                                                    0s
                      23 155.5500000 155.55556 0.00% 13.7
  307
       21
                                                                    0s
Cutting planes:
 Cover: 4
Explored 466 nodes (6595 simplex iterations) in 0.20 seconds
Thread count was 8 (of 8 available processors)
Solution count 5: 155.55 155.544 139.99 ... -0
Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-12)
Best objective 1.555500000000e+02, best bound 1.555500000000e+02, gap 0.0000%
set n 6 was found
Academic license - for non-commercial use only
Optimize a model with 2068 rows, 1248 columns and 6318 nonzeros
Variable types: 978 continuous, 270 integer (270 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
 Matrix range [5e-02, 1e+03]
 Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00]
 Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+03] RHS range [1e+00, 1e+03]
Presolve removed 1176 rows and 439 columns
Presolve time: 0.01s
Presolved: 892 rows, 809 columns, 3094 nonzeros
Variable types: 677 continuous, 132 integer (132 binary)
Root relaxation: objective 1.555556e+02, 372 iterations, 0.01 seconds
   Nodes
                Current Node
                                    Objective Bounds
Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time
                                       - 155.55556
    Ω
         0 155.55556
Η
    0
         0
                               -0.0000000 155.55556
                                                                     0s
    0
         0 155.55556 0 2 -0.00000 155.55556
                                                                     0s
```

Note that the folders "InputsOptForce" and "OutputsOptForce" were created inside TestOptForce2. These folders contain the inputs and outputs of optForce, respectively.

We display the reactions found by optForce

```
disp(optForceSets)
```

```
'R23'
         'R26'
'R23'
         'R25'
'R21'
         'R26'
'R23'
         'R63'
'R21'
          'R25'
          'R25'
'R24'
'R22'
         'R63'
         'R63'
'R21'
'R22'
         'R25'
'R24'
         'R26'
'R22'
         'R26'
'R24'
         'R63'
'R23'
         'R26'
'R21'
         'R26'
'R24'
         'R26'
'R22'
         'R26'
         'R4'
'R23'
'R21'
         'R4'
'R22'
         'R4'
'R24'
         'R4'
```

TIMING

- STEP 1 ~ 1-2 seconds
- 2. STEP 2: ~ 2-5 seconds
- 3. STEP 3: ~ 10-20 seconds
- 4. STEP 4: ~ 10-20 seconds

TROUBLESHOOTING

1) Problem: "I didn't find any reaction in my must sets"

Possible reason: the wild-type or mutant strain is not constrained enough.

Solution: add more constraints to your strains until you find differences in your reaction ranges. If you don't find any differences, it is better to change the approach and use another algorithm.

2) Problem: "I got an error when running the findMust functions"

Possible reason: inputs are not defined well or solver is not defined.

Solution: verify your inputs, use changeCobraSolver, verify that the global variable CBT_MILP_SOLVER is not empty. It should containg the identifier for a MILP solver.

ANTICIPATED RESULTS

In this tutorial some folders will be created inside the folder called "runID" to store inputs and outputs of the optForce functions (findMustU.m, findMustU.m, findMustUU.m, findMustUL.m, optForce.m)

In this case runID = 'TestOptForce', so inside this folder the following folders will be created:

CurrentFolder

	Tes	stOptForceM
		InputsFindMustL
		OutputsFindMustL
		InputsFindMustU
		OutputsFindMustU
		InputsFindMustLL
		OutputsFindMustLL
		InputsFindMustUU
		OutputsFindMustUU
		InputsFindMustUL
		OutputsFindMustUL
		InputsOptForce
1		OutputsOptForce

The input folders contain inputs (.mat files) for running the functions to solve each one of the bilevel problems. Output folders contain results of the algorithms (.xls and .txt files) as well as a report (.txt) summarizing the outcomes of the steps performed during the execution of the optForce functions.

The optForce algorithm will find sets of reactions that should increase the production of your target. The first sets found should be the best ones because the production rate will be the highest. The last ones should be the worse because the production rete will be lower. Be aware that some sets could not guarante a minimum production rate for your target, so you always have to check the minimum production rate. You can do this using the function testOptForceSol.m. Some sets could allow a higher growth rate than others, so keep in mind this too when deciding which set is better.

Acknowledgments

I would to thanks to the research group of Costas D. Maranas who provided the GAMS functions to solve this example. In particular I would like to thank to Chiam Yu Ng who kindly provides examples for using GAMS.

References

- [1] Ranganathan S, Suthers PF, Maranas CD (2010) OptForce: An Optimization Procedure for Identifying All Genetic Manipulations Leading to Targeted Overproductions. PLOS Computational Biology 6(4): e1000744. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000744.
- [2] Maciek R. Antoniewicz, David F. Kraynie, Lisa A. Laffend, Joanna González-Lergier, Joanne K. Kelleher, Gregory Stephanopoulos, Metabolic flux analysis in a nonstationary system: Fed-batch fermentation of a high yielding strain of E. coli producing 1,3-propanediol, Metabolic Engineering, Volume 9, Issue 3, May 2007, Pages 277-292, ISSN 1096-7176, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2007.01.003.