



The screenshot shows a Jupyter Notebook interface with several cells of Python code and their execution results. The code compares Logistic Regression and MLP models based on precision. The results show that MLP performs better in terms of precision.

```
File Edit Selection View Go Run ... ← → Q ml-foundation EXPLORER ... ysis.py User_product_purchase_details_p2.csv C:\...\Downloads weekly.py weekly.py C:\...\weekly2 weeklytry.py 1 BLACKBOX ...
```

```
ML-FOUNDATION
> unsupervised
> Problem Statement...
ANN.ipynb
ANN.py
autograd_graph
autograd_graph.png
bank_campaign_analy...
Boston.csv
churn (1).csv
diabetes.csv
merged_output.csv
mlp_graph
mlp_graph.png
nn.ipynb
optional
panda_one.ipynb
Pandas_revision.ipynb
task_1.py
task1_keras.py
user_demographics.csv
User_product_purcha...
weekly.py
weeklytry.py 1
Wine.csv
```

```
> OUTLINE > TIMELINE
```

```
PROBLEMS 1 OUTPUT DEBUG CONSOLE TERMINAL PORTS
```

```
9627/9627 10s 989us/step - loss: 0.2648 - precision: 0.7921 - val_loss: 0.2585 - val_precision: 0.7933
Epoch 1/15
9627/9627 11s 1ms/step - loss: 0.2620 - precision: 0.7927 - val_loss: 0.2580 - val_precision: 0.7934
Epoch 12/15
9627/9627 10s 1ms/step - loss: 0.2604 - precision: 0.7929 - val_loss: 0.2606 - val_precision: 0.8069
Epoch 13/15
9627/9627 9s 903us/step - loss: 0.2589 - precision: 0.7929 - val_loss: 0.2537 - val_precision: 0.7952
Epoch 14/15
9627/9627 10s 990us/step - loss: 0.2579 - precision: 0.7933 - val_loss: 0.2544 - val_precision: 0.7981
Epoch 15/15
9627/9627 9s 913us/step - loss: 0.2568 - precision: 0.7935 - val_loss: 0.2546 - val_precision: 0.7923
```

```
==== MLP Classifier Results ===
Test Accuracy: 0.7896227240562439
5157/5157 2s 414us/step
Precision: 0.7896227369272782
Recall: 0.9610812141676339
F1-Score: 0.8669559216631898
```

```
==== Precision Validation ===
Logistic Regression Precision: 0.7258340568026789
MLP Precision: 0.7896227369272782
MLP performs better in terms of precision.
```